#### TITLE

by

#### Kraig Andrews

Ph.D. Disseration Prospectus

YEAR		
Advisor		
Advisor		

## Table of Contents

	List of I List of T																								
1	Introdu	ıcti	on																						1
	1.1 Bir																								
	1.2 Gr	aph	ene a	ıs a i	New	Τv	WO-	dir	ner	isio	ona	ıl N	Mat	eri	al							 			1
	1.2	.1	Pro	perti	es c	of G	rap	ohe	ne													 			1
A	appendice	es																							5
A	Acrony	$\mathbf{m}\mathbf{s}$																							6

# List of Figures

1.1	Graphene honeycomb lattice	-
1.2	Bandgap of graphene	6

## List of Tables

### Chapter 1

### Introduction

#### 1.1 Birth of Integrated Circuits

The development of microelectronics revolutionized the world in the latter half of the twentieth century. The term semiconductor, in the sense it is known today, first appears in literature in 1911 [1]. Initially, work on the subject was rather pessimistic. However, in the years following Word War II breakthroughs began shed light on the possible applications and the underlying physics involved, such as the ideas of *instrinsic* and *extrinsic* semiconductors [2, 3, 4, 5].

The history of semiconductors and transistors is a well documented subject. The first transistor was constructed at Bell Labs in 1947 using polycrystalline germanium. Shortly thereafter one was developed using silicon. Throughout the following years, these devices were improved on by replacing polycrystalline with single crystals [6]. Then Jack Kilby demonstrated the first integrated circuit (IC) in 1958, for which he would win the Nobel Prize in physics [7, 8]. The scale of ICs grew rapidly in the subsequent years. Initially only a few transistors could fit on a chip (small-scale integration), in stark contrast to moder-day chips that contains billions of transistors [9, 10]. Growth continued at a rapid pace, but eventually it was realized that some limits, material and integration based, existed in silicon and other commonly used materials [11, 12]. In part, these limitations increased the interest in alternative materials. As a result widespread and renewed interest has led to a breadth information and results on a wide range of materials and their applications.

#### 1.2 Graphene as a New Two-dimensional Material

Layered materials have existed for billions of years, and have been studied over the last few centuries [13, 14]. In recent decades the scientific study of graphite (3D) has led to new forms materials, such as carbon nanotubes (1D) and fullerenes (0D) [15, 16, 17]. However, only more recently have scientists began to understand the potential of such layered materials and their potential technological applications. After attempting unsuccessfully to synthesize few-layer graphite during the 1960s (only around 10-50 layers were able to be synthesized) a breakthrough was finally acheived [16]. This most notably began with the synthesis of monolayer graphene [18].

#### 1.2.1 Properties of Graphene

To date, graphene's properties have been the focus of much research, both theoretical and experimental. It has been one of the primary driving forces in study of 'relativistic' condensed matter physics due to its low dimensionality and its band structure that allows electrons to mimic relativistic particles confirming the appearance of several relativistic phonomena [19, 20, 21, 22]. In its most basic sense, graphene is

composed of a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in two-dimensional honeycomb lattice (see fig. 1.1) It has a Young's modulus of 100 GPa (several times more than steel) with a breaking force that is 13% of its Young's modulus [23, 24]. Its stength is due, in part, to its strong in-plane carbon (C) bonds. In addition, graphene can sustain elastic deformations of 20% due to its two-dimensional nature and it has high pliability [16]. These mechanical properties are of interest because graphene lies in the extreme ranges of many metrics considering its size and dimensionality.

Aside from its mechanical properties, graphene's transport properties were another reason why the material was so appealing. Graphene's mobility is several times that of silicon's. Experimental results have shown graphene mobility around  $15,000\,\mathrm{cm^{-2}V^{-1}s^{-1}}$  with a potential theoretical limit of  $200,000\,\mathrm{cm^{-2}V^{-1}s^{-1}}$  [25, 24]. The upper theoretical limit imposed on mobility is due to scattering, however, these high mobilities are achieved mainly because electrons in graphene act very much like photons in their mobility due to their lack of mass. This enables them to travel sub-micron distances without scattering [26]. In reality, there are other limiting factors that need to be considered such as the quality of graphene and scattering with the substrate, for example.




Figure 1.1: Graphene: a layer of carbon atoms in a honeycomb lattice.




Figure 1.2: One of the most unusual features of graphene is that its conduction and valence bands meet at a point, meaning that in single-layer graphene there is no band gap (figure obtained from [27])

Despite its impressive properties, the main drawback of graphene is its lack of bandgap. As this became known, the prospect of using graphene for the fabrication to ICs became unlikely. In graphene the conduction and valence bands touch at a single point as shown in fig. 1.2 [28]. Ultimately, the lack of a bandgap means that the current on/off ratio is low and is unappealing for logical circuit applications [29]. However, graphene exhibits some interesting properties as a result of having no bandgap, particularly as it pertains to its optical properties. The material's band structure allows for absorption of light over a large range of the electromagnetic spectrum, ranging from infrared ( $< 1.65\,\mathrm{eV}$ ) to ultraviolet ( $> 3.2\,\mathrm{eV}$ ), offering potential electronic-photonic device applications [30, 31, 32].

### **Bibliography**

- [1] J Koenigsberger and J Weiss. Über die thermoelektrischen effekte (thermokräfte, thomsonwärme) und die wärmeleitung in einigen elementen und verbindungen und über die experimentelle prüfung der elektronentheorien. Annalen der Physik, 340(6):1–46, 1911.
- [2] G. Busch. Early history of the physics and chemistry of semiconductors-from doubts to fact in a hundred years. *European Journal of Physics*, 10:254–264, oct 1989.
- [3] KARL Lark-Horovitz. The present state of physics. Am. Assoc. Advancement Sci., Washington, DC, page 57, 1954.
- [4] A. H. Wilson. The theory of electronic semi-conductors. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, 133(822):458–491, 1931.
- [5] A. H. Wilson. The theory of electronic semi-conductors. ii. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character, 134(823):277–287, 1931.
- [6] Donald A. Neaman. Semiconductor Physics and Devices: Basic Principles. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 3 edition, 2003.
- [7] Lidia Łukasiak and Andrzej Jakubowski. History of semiconductors. *Journal of Telecommunications* and information technology, pages 3–9, 2010.
- [8] Jack S. Kilby. Miniaturized electronic circuits. United States Patent Office, 1959. U.S. Patent 3,138,743, issued June 1964.
- [9] G. Moore. Cramming more components onto integrated circuits. *Electronics*, 38(8), 1965.
- [10] Peter Clarke. Intel enters billion-transistor processor era, October 2005. [Online; 13 accessed-December-2015].
- [11] James D. Meindl, Qiang Chen, and Jeffrey A. Davis. Limits on silicon nanoelectronics for terascale integration. *Science*, 293(5537):2044–2049, 2001.
- [12] Max Schulz. The end of the road for silicon? Nature, 399:729-730, 1999.
- [13] Joshua Golden, Melissa McMillan, Robert T Downs, Grethe Hystad, Ian Goldstein, Holly J Stein, Aaron Zimmerman, Dimitri A Sverjensky, John T Armstrong, and Robert M Hazen. Rhenium variations in molybdenite (mos 2): Evidence for progressive subsurface oxidation. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 366:1–5, 2013.
- [14] Benjamin C Brodie. On the atomic weight of graphite. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, pages 249–259, 1859.
- [15] H. W. Kroto, J. R. Heath, S. C. O'Brien, R. F. Curl, and R. E. Smalley. C60: Buckminsterfullerene. Nature, 318:162–163, 1985.

- [16] Ruben Mas-Balleste, Cristina Gomez-Navarro, Julio Gomez-Herrero, and Felix Zamora. 2d materials: to graphene and beyond. *Nanoscale*, 3:20–30, 2011.
- [17] Sumio Iijima. Helical microtubules of graphitic carbon. Nature, 354:56-58, 1991.
- [18] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov. Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. *Science*, 306(5696):666–669, 2004.
- [19] A. K. Geim and K. S. Novoselov. The rise of graphene. Nature Materials, 6:183–191, 2007.
- [20] K.S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S.V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M.I. Katsnelson, I.V. Grigorieva, S.V. Dubonos, and A.A. Firsov. Two-dimensional gas of massless dirac fermions in graphene. *Nature*, 438:197–200, 2005.
- [21] L. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Camacho, M. Khodas, and I. Zaliznyak. The experimental observation of quantum hall effect of l=3 chiral quasiparticles in trilayer graphene. *Nat. Phys.*, 7:953–957, 2011.
- [22] J. R. Williams, L. DiCarlo, and C. M. Marcus. Quantum hall effect in a gate-controlled p-n junction of graphene. *Science*, 317(5838):638–641, 2007.
- [23] Simone Bertolazzi, Jacopo Brivio, and Andras Kis. Stretching and breaking of ultrathin mos2. ACS Nano, 5(12):9703–9709, 2011. PMID: 22087740.
- [24] Deji Akinwande, Nicholas Petron, and James Hone. Two-dimensional flexible nanoelectronics. Nature Communications, 5, 2014.
- [25] A. Dargys and J. Kundrotas. *Handbook on physical properties of Ge, Si, GaAs, InP.* Science and Encyclopedia Publishers, Vilnius, Lithuania, 1994.
- [26] K.S. Novoselov and A.K. Geim. The rise of graphene. Nature Materials, 6:183–191, 2007.
- [27] Berkley Labs. Bilayer graphene gets a bandgap, June 2009. [Online: 23 accessed-December-2015].
- [28] P. R. Wallace. The band theory of graphite. Phys. Rev., 71:622–634, May 1947.
- [29] Mingsheng Xu, Tao Liang, Minmin Shi, and Hongzheng Chen. Graphene-like two-dimensional materials. *Chemical Reviews*, 113(5):3766–3798, 2013. PMID: 23286380.
- [30] F.N. Xia, T. Mueller, Y.M. Lin, A. Valdes-Garcia, and P. Avouris. Ultrafast graphene photodetector. Nat. Nanotechnol., 4:839–843, 2009.
- [31] Feng Wang, Yuanbo Zhang, Chuanshan Tian, Caglar Girit, Alex Zettl, Michael Crommie, and Y. Ron Shen. Gate-variable optical transitions in graphene. *Science*, 320(5873):206–209, 2008.
- [32] T.J. Echtermeyer, L. Britnell, P.K. Jasnos, A. Lombardo, R.V. Gorbachev, A.N. Grigorenko, A.K. Geim, A.C. Ferrari, and K.S. Novoselov. Strong plasmonic enhancement of photovoltage in graphene. Nat. Commun., 2:458, 2011.

# Appendices

## Appendix A

# Acronyms