Running title: Scavenging in vertebrates

Number of words: \sim 9999

Date of submission: March 29, 2016

A Recipe for Scavenging - the natural history of a behaviour

- Adam Kane, Kevin Healy, Thomas Guillerme, Graeme Ruxton, & Andrew Jackson.
- 1. A. Kane (adam. kane@ucc. ie), University College Cork, Cooperage Building, School of Biological Earth and Environmental Sciences, Cork, Ireland.
- 2. K. Healy and A. Jackson, Trinity College Dublin, Department of Zoology, School of Natural Sciences, Dublin Ireland.
- 3. T. Guillerme, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Department of Life Sciences, Buckhurst Road, Ascot SL5 7PY, United Kingdom.
- 4. G. Ruxton, School of Biology, Sir Harold Mitchell Building, Greenside Place, St Andrews, KY16 9TH, United Kingdom.

1 Abstract

- Scavengers existed in the past and they exist now. Often under appreciated. Three main habitat types considered: land, air and sea. Different drivers in these areas.
- 4 Review looks at these

Introduction

Historically, scavengers have not been viewed as the most charismatic of animals. This may go some way to explaining the gap in our knowledge of the prevalence of this behaviour. Consider Professor Sanborn Tenney writing in 1877 for The American Naturalist who had this to say about one well known group, "prominent among the mammalian scavengers are the hyenas, the ugliest in their general appearance of all the flesh eaters." He contrasts these with "nobler kinds" of carnivores such as lions and tigers (Tenney 1877). Even aside from our own subjective biases, scavenging is a difficult behaviour to detect after the fact. Without catching a carnivore in the act of killing we are left to infer how the prey was killed. Some simple heuristics can inform us, for instance, in cases where the prey item was simply too large to have been killed by the ostensible predator (Pobiner 2008). But clearly, a scavenger doesn't only feed on animals too big for it to have hunted. The obvious lack of direct 12 behavioural data compounds the difficulty of discerning scavenging among extinct forms. 13 Indeed, a single species of dinosaur notwithstanding, a synthesis describing the natural history of scavengers is absent from the literature. Fortunately, research on scavenging is on the rise (Koenig 2006). As a result, we are now beginning to realise the extent of this behaviour such 16 that, "in some ecosystems, vertebrates have been documented to assimilate as much as 90%of the available carrion" (Beasley et al. 2015). Even Tenney's noble big cats are now known to take in a significant portion of carrion in their diet where some lion populations get over 19 50% of their meat from carcasses. By recognising the difficulty in directly observing 20 scavenging, a suite of methods have been used to discern the most suitable morphologies, physiologies and environments for a scavenging lifestyle to prosper. Here we chart the natural 22 history of scavenging by looking at the potential for the behaviour in dominant vertebrate groups.

The Difficulty of Scavenging

- The chief hurdle to scavenging is finding a sufficient quantity of food, the occurence of which
- 3 is difficult to predict in space and time. Thus, any animal existing as a scavenger must
- 4 minimise its locomotory costs and maximise its detection capabilities (Ruxton and Houston
- ₅ 2004b). Once found, the scavenger has to process the carrion and overcome the agents of
- 6 decay produced by the action of microorganisms on the carcass (Ruxton et al. 2014). The
- 7 habitat must also be productive enough to sustain an animal biomass that will eventually
- 8 produce carcasses. We can draw on the image of a scavenger moving through its
- environment, searching for food and trying to process it efficiently as we explore the
- prevalence of this behaviour through time.

1 Locomotion

24

- As endotherms, mammals can sustain long bouts of energetically expensive activity. By contrast, modern reptiles are ectothermic, limiting their activity periods. This is exacerbated 13 by the sprawling gait seen in many lizards which results in Carrier's Constraint such that the animal can't move and breathe at the same time because the lateral movements impedes its 15 lungs (Carrier 1987). An effect which manifests itself in aspects such as maximum 16 sustainable speed where an equivalent mammal has a six to seven fold increase (Ruben 17 1995). To quantify this effect with a simple example we can turn to some allometric 18 relationships relating sustainable travelling speed to body mass. In the case of mammals and 19 reptiles these are $1.15 \times \text{body mass (kg)}^{0.12}$ and $0.23 \times \text{body mass (kg)}^{0.12}$ respectively 20 (Ruxton and Houston 2004b). We can insert these into a foraging radius model $\frac{\text{duration} \times \text{speed}}{2}/1000$ 21 for a 12 hour foraging day which shows that while a 10 kg reptile can range 6.5 km an equally sized mammal can range nearly 33 km (Enstipp et al. 2006). 23
 - Today, terrestrial scavenging in the mammals is probably best known in an African

- context where hyenas, jackals and lions all take sizable proportions of carrion in their diet. In
- the spotted hyena (Crocuta crocuta), striped hyena (Hyaena hyaena) and brown hyena
- ³ (Hyaena brunnea) it can be over 90% (Jones et al. 2015). Yet, no contemporary terrestrial
- 4 vertebrate exists as an obligate scavenger but most if not all are facultative to some extent
- 6 (Beasley et al. 2015). Therefore, we can use hyenas as examples of efficient terrestrial
- 6 scavengers to compare with other forms. In terms of locomotion, they employ a characteristic
- ⁷ "rocking horse gait" which allows them to cover great distances efficiently, loping at 10
- 8 km/hr (Mills 1989, Jones et al. 2015). The order Carnivora saw its origins in the Middle
- Eocene (56-33.9 Million years ago Mya) where it split into the Caniforma and Feliforma. So
- we can trace efficient terrestrial movement by carnivores from this point on. Certainly, it is
- profitable to compare extant terrestrial species to their prehistoric forebears given the
- dominance of mammalian carnviores since the Eocene.
- Unsurprisingly, given their enduring appeal, the prevalence of scavenging has been 13 explored in the carnivorous, theropod dinosaurs. They were the dominant terrestrial forms for most of the Mesozoic Era (252.17 - 66 Mya) and ranged from the chicken-sized to the 15 whale-sized, all of which were bipedal. They are quite alien to anything we know today which 16 restricts our ability to understand their ecology far more so than extinct mammals 17 (Weishampel et al. 2004). Of relevance, are the questions that still persist about their 18 metabolism with the latest evidence suggesting they were mesothermic i.e. intermediate to 19 ecto- and endotherms (Grady et al. 2014). We do know that they walked with the erect gait 20 of mammals or birds rather than the sprawling gait of lizards and that they were most likely 21 facultative scavengers (Weishampel et al. 2004, DePalma et al. 2013). Taken together, this implies dinosaurs had a foraging range that fell in between that of modern terrestrial 23 mammals and reptiles. 24
- Of course, tetrapod terrestrial dominance predates the evolution of the dinosaurs. It is during the Permian (298.9 252.17 Mya) that we have the earliest evidence of vertebrate

- scavenging where a temnospondyl amphibian fed on the carcass of Varanops, a predatory
- 2 synapsid of the time (Reisz and Tsuji 2006). And it is with the evolution of endothermy in
- 3 the therapsid-mammal lineage (Clarke and Pörtner 2010) that terrestrial vertebrates would
- 4 have had the ability to range widely, a vital component in seeking out carrion.
- Scavenging behaviour might have evolved on land as soon as the first terrestrial tetrapods
- evolved. In fact, some of the earlier tetrapods tracks dating back to the early Middle
- Devonian (393.3 387.7 Mya) were found in intertidal environments (Niedzwiedzki et al.
- 8 2010). These environments are isolated from marine systems twice a day leaving potential
- carrion unexploited by marine vertebrates. Niedzwiedzki et al. (2010) suggests that these
- 10 environments "would thus have allowed marine ancestors of tetrapods gradually to acquire
- terrestrial competence while accessing a new and essentially untouched resource."
- But it is in the air that we find scavengers par excellence. Flight is a cheaper means of 12 locomotion than walking or running (Tucker 1975). We know that many extant birds exist as 13 facultative scavengers; storks, eagles, corvids, all take substantial quantities of carrion in their diet (Kendall 2013). The advantage of flight can be extended further in larger species that 15 engage in soaring instead of flapping flight, which is even cheaper still (approximately twice 16 the basal metabolic rate) (Hedenstrom 1993, Spivey et al. 2014). The benefits this confers 17 are clear from the information we have on the enormous foraging ranges of many vultures 18 (Spiegel et al. 2013) and seabirds (Thaxter et al. 2012). In the former case we have the best 19 known scavengers on Earth. Vultures consist of two convergent groups, from the old and the 20 new world and represent the only example of obligate vertebrate scavengers today. The 21 families from which modern vultures arose, the Accipitridae and Cathartidae, appear during the Palaeocene (66 - 56 Mya; Jetz et al. 2012, Jarvis et al. 2014). Avian flight is far older than this and originates in the Late Jurassic (163.5-145 Mya), conincident with the fossils of 24 Archaeopteryx lithographica so many of these benefits would have been realised from that 25 point on for carnivorous birds. However, vertebrate flight is much older still where pterosaurs

- predate bird origins by a considerable margin in the Late Triassic (235-201.3 Mya).
- ² Scavenging in this diverse group has been hypothesied many times before (Witton and Naish
- 2008). Certain clades of these animals could reach enormous sizes (e.g. Azhdarchids with
- wingspans of 11 metres; Witton and Habib 2010) and, notably, look to have engaged in
- soaring flight (Witton and Habib 2010).
- The only other vertebrate group capable of powered flight are the bats where scavenging
- 7 has not been recorded to our knowledge. The bat fossil record is notoriously poor owing to
- * their fragile skeletons so we are unable to determine if extinct species were more suited to
- 9 this lifestyle (Eiting and Gunnell 2009). Although it does not seem that flight is the main
- criterion precluding them from scavenging (see below).
- Aquatic scavengers have a locomotory benefit because water is a medium that is 11 conducive to low-cost movement (Tucker 1975). In fact, the cost of swimming is lower than 12 either running or flying (Williams 1999). This has led some researchers to argue for the 13 feasability of a scavenging fish (Ruxton and Houston 2004a, Ruxton and Bailey 2005). As with the aerial and terrestrial enviornments we have evidence of facultative scavenging among 15 extant and extinct aquatic species. For example, the remains of a mosasaur and a terrestrial 16 hadrosaur were discovered with embedded teeth from a Cretaceous shark, Squalicorax 17 (Schwimmer et al. 1997). As well as a likely instance of scavenging between a 18 4-million-year-old white shark (Carcharodon) and mysticete whale from Peru (Ehret et al. 2009). We might expect then that by combining an aquatic environment and an endothermic 20 metabolism that marine mammals would prosper as scavengers. We know fossil pinnipeds 21 and cetaceans from 60 Mya have transitional features indicative of their trajectory to fully aquatic species (Williams 1999). But despite this movement away from land the energetic 23 savings were negligible because the total cost incurred by a swimming marine mammal is 24 high (Williams 1999). As Williams (1999) notes, "free-ranging animals must contend with 25 the total energetic expenditure associated with supporting basic biological functions as well as

with moving the body and appendages through the environment."

2 Detection

A simplification of terrestrial, vertebrate scavengers in sensory terms is one of them existing in a two-dimensional plane while foraging for carrion directly. They can detect carcasses at a range that is defined by the radius of their sensory organs. As a consequence, they have a much more restricted view of the landscape than do aerial foragers. Hyenas have well developed sensory organs, whereby they can smell a rotting carcass 4 km away and can hear the vocalisations of conspecifics at a distance of 10 km (Mills 1989). Using the approach of Spiegel et al. (2013) we estimate a spotted hyena could resolve a 2 metre target at 1 km distance. Ruxton and Houston (2004b) calculated that "a 1 tonne mammal or reptile, in an ecosystem yielding carrion at densities similar to the current Serengeti, could have met its 11 energy requirements if it could detect carrion over a distance of the order of 400-500 m". 12 Species capable of flight have effectively added an extra spatial dimension, i.e. the 13 vertical component, to their sensory environment over land animals. This allows them to look 14 down on a landscape where they are unencumbered by obstacles that would obstruct the view 15 of a terrestrial scavenger. Such an ability has obvious benefits in detecting carrion. Vultures are known to have impressive visual acuity with one estimate indicating Lappet-faced 17 Vultures (Torgos tracheliotus) are capable of detecting a 2 metre carcass over 10 km away 18 (Spiegel et al. 2013). Eagles too are known to have highly developed visual abilities 19 (Reymond 1985). It follows from this that the evolution of flight allowed aerial animals to 20 detect far more carrion than their terrestrial counterparts (Lisney et al. 2013). 21 Moreover, having a panoramic view means being able to gather a wealth of information 22 from other foragers, be they conspecifics or other species (Jackson et al. 2008). Again, 23 returning to vultures, the genus Gyps consists of highly social and colonially nesting species (Fernández-Bellon et al. 2015). These behaviours allow them forage far more efficiently

- because one bird can scrounge information on the location of food from another successful
- ² forager (Kane et al. 2014).
- Aside from sight, three species within the new world family Cathartidae, (genus
- 4 Cathartes), have well developed olfactory systems (Lisney et al. 2013). Among them are the
- 5 Turkey Vultures (Cathartes aura) which were able to locate 90% of baits set out in a tropical
- 6 forest (Houston 1986). An atuned sense of smell is obviously useful in detecting decaying
- ⁷ carrion. Clearly, it would be pointless to have incredible ranging abilites and not have the
- sensory architecture to benefit from it.
- Returning to bats, their visual acuity is famously poor, and echolocation would not lend itself to discovering immobile carrion. Their small size and poor terrestrial ability would also count against them at a carcass (Riskin et al. 2006).
- Depending on the species, a carcass in water either floats or descends to the sea floor 12 (Whitehead and Reeves 2005). In the latter low-light environment, visual detection distances 13 are far lower (< 100 m) than they would be in the air. As such, animals detect resources through chemo- and mechanoreception more so than through vision (Ruxton and Houston 15 2004a). This is particularly relevant to extant aquatic snakes who are deemed as having the 16 most suitable physiology for scavenging. A hypothesis put forth by Sazima and Strüssmann 17 (1990) argued that chemical gradients in water would allow for a relatively easier detection of 18 carrion. This gained some support from DeVault and Krochmal (2002), who found a preponderence of aquatic snake species in their review of this behaviour. 20

Processing

- 22 Since carrion is not dispatched directly, often the most easily accessible and choicest
- 23 components of the carcass will be missing or, if present, will be fought over. Being able to
- extract nutrients from remnants gives the scavenger a great advantage. Thus, the bone
- ²⁵ crushing ability of hyenas reveals another useful scavenger trait. Osteophagy is known across

a range of terrestrial carnivores and given some fat-rich mammalian bones have an energy density (6.7 kJ/g) comparable with that of muscle tissue, it makes skeletal remains an enticing resource (Brown 1989). This ability reached its zenith among hyenas with the evolution of the 110 kg Pachycrocuta brevirostris during the Pliocene (3.6 - 2.58 Mya; Palmqvist et al. 2011). Some work on extinct sabretooths suggests they may have left a large amount of food for would-be scavengers because of their unique skull morphology. As a result, the decline of Machairodontinae sabretooths has been offered as an explanation for the extinction of P brevirostris (Palmqvist et al. 2011). The bone-crushing dogs that evolved during the Oligocene (subfamily Borophaginae; 33.9 - 23.03 Mya) have been compared to hyenas in terms of their feeding ecology as well (Van Valkenburgh et al. 2003, Martín-Serra et al. 2016). 11 Interestingly, such comparisons have given insight into the feeding ecology of early 12 hominins who, for instance, had the ability to craft tools for breaking open bones (Blasco 13 et al. 2014). The question of where our ancestors placed on the hunter-scavenger axis during the Plio-Pleistocene has been a matter of debate for years. A recent study investigating 15 potential scavenging opportunities for hominins in Kenya found that, even when discounting 16 bone material, there is a substantial amount of scavengeable meat left on predated remains; 17 sufficient to sustain the requirements of an adult male Homo erectus (Pobiner 2015). In 18 some historical hominin-inhabited areas there were a greater number of felids than hyenids. 19 This is significant because hyenas are likely to have left far less flesh on a carcass than a felid 20 such as a sabretooth enabling contemperaneous hominins to benefit (Pobiner 2015). The 21 intelligence, resultant tool-use and cooperative nature of hominins meant they could likely adapt to take on more or less carrion depending on their environment (Moleón et al. 2014). 23 When it comes to reptiles they possees an advantage here, in that over the course of a 24

year their food requirements can be 30 times smaller than an endotherm of equal size (Nagy

2005). Any adaptations that reduce energetic costs are likely to be selected in scavengers.

- 1 DeVault and Krochmal (2002) suggest this is an avenue for scavenging in snakes because
- they "exhibit exceedingly low maintenance metabolisms, and most can survive on a few scant
- ³ feedings per year. It is, therefore, possible for snakes to rely largely on infrequent, less
- 4 energy-rich meals." In the same review the authors found occurrences of scavenging spread
- 5 across five families of snakes and stated that this behaviour is "far more common than
- 6 currently acknowledged."(DeVault and Krochmal 2002). The same reasoning can be applied

Much work has focused on the existence of scavenging in dinosaurs by using simple

- $_{7}$ to crocodlies and their allies (Forrest 2003). A sit and wait strategy is viable for an
- 8 ectotherm.
- energetics approaches that typically focused on a single species namely Tyrannosaurus rex (Ruxton and Houston 2003, Carbone et al. 2011) but a recent modelling study investigated the likely prevelance of scavenging across a range of body sizes. In it the authors demonstrated that species of intermediate body masses (approximatively 500 kg) would have 13 gained the most benefit from scavenging. This was the result of gut capacity limitations and the effects of competition at the carcass. At the larger extreme this owes to the fact that gut 15 capacity doesn't scale isometrically with body mass so the benefits of greater mass level off; 16 there's only so much food an individual can consume at a single sitting (Calder 1996). For 17 the smaller species, larger competitors would have prevented their access to carrion. 18 In Mesozoic systems some extremely large theropod dinosaurs had a morphology which 19 suggests an ability to process bone e.g. the robust skull and dentition of T. rex (Hone and 20 Rauhut 2010). There is direct evidence that *T. rex* did this in the form of distinctive wear 21 marks on its tooth apices (Farlow and Brinkman 1994, Schubert and Ungar 2005) and the presence of bone fragments in its coprolites (Chin et al. 1998). The animal also had an enormous bite force, with one estimate putting it at 57000 Newtons (Bates and Falkingham 24 2012). This is noted as being powerful enough to break open skeletal material (Rayfield et al. 2001).

- Scavenging should be particularly attractive to avian predators compared to mammals.
- 2 Solitary mammalian predators can kill prey up to the same body mass as themselves and
- 3 sometimes an order of magnitude heavier (e.g. socially hunting lions (Owen-Smith and Mills
- 4 2008)). In contrast, birds of prey tend to kill prey smaller than themselves (Slagsvold and
- 5 A Sonerud 2007). This is likely due to their need to kill prey that they can fly away with, as
- well as the risk of injury being higher (which carries a higher mortality risk) for a bird than a
- 7 mammal. Thus for birds, scavenging means they can exploit species that would otherwise be
- 8 too big for them to kill.
- Large body size confers substantial dominance and starvation-resistance benefits (Ruxton and Houston 2004b). Thus, we would expect scavengers to have this trait selected for even in the case of weight-constrained fliers. Cinereous Vultures (*Aegypius monachus*) and condors (*Vultur gryphus, Gymnogyps californianus*) all have body masses that can exceed 10 kg and represent some of the heaviest bird species capable of flight (Ferguson-Lees and Christie
- ¹⁴ 2001, Donázar et al. 2002).
- And as we have noted the Azhdarchid pterosaurs were far bigger again, with estimated body masses of over 200 kg (Witton and Habib 2010). Although Witton and Naish (2008) argued that neck inflexibility and straight, rather than hooked jaw morphology points against pterosaurs existing as *obligate* scavengers, Azhdarchid terrestrial proficency indicates they would have been comfortable foraging on the ground. Indeed, extant Marabou Storks

 (Leptoptilos crumenifer) have a comparable morphology and are noted facultative scavengers so it is reasonable to believe that certain pterosaurs behaved similarly.
- The competitive ability of even the largest bird is radically diminished in their interactions with mammalian competitors however, and as such they tend to consume carrion rapidly.
- Houston (1974) observed a group of *Gyps* vultures consuming all of the soft tissue from a 50
 kg Grant's gazelle *Nanger granti* in eight minutes.
- The first bird lineages did not have beaks and were predominantly carnivorous (Martyniuk

- 2012). This implies that, among the earliest species, scavenging would have been a live opportunity cf. their descendants who evolved beaks, restricting their ability to consume flesh.
- Shivik (2006) points out that "evolutionary pressures favor detection maximizers relative to toxification minimizers in competitive interactions for carcasses." But the fact remains that overcoming microorganism toxins is still a beneficial adaptation to any scavenger. Avian scavengers have evolved incredibly acidic stomachs that allow them to consume and process putrefied flesh with no ill effects (Houston and Cooper 1975, Roggenbuck et al. 2014). This adapation is not restricted to vultures though, Grémillet et al. (2012) showed wandering albatrosses (Diomedea exulans so-called 'vultures of the seas') had an average pH of 1.5, which enables them to consume fisheries discards. Outside of the birds there is evidence of selection for 'toxification minimizers'. From our earlier arguments we know that ecthotherms are limited in their ability to find carrion as quickly as endotherms. This implies later arrivers 13 would benefit especially from well-developed detoxifying apparatus. Shivik (2006) suggests that "specialized oral structures in snakes may have evolved under pressures associated with 15 scavenging." Moreover, some authorities have charted an evolutionary course from basal fossorial snakes to modern terrestrial species by way of an obligate scavenger intermediate 17 (Bauchot 2006).
- It is in the ability to process carrion that bats suffer. Big bats (which are better suited for 19 scavenging, following our previous argument) are typically frugivores and therefore lack the 20 adaptations for digesting meat. While carnivorous bats are mainly found in the microbats 21 which are insectivorous.

Environment

18

Both the biotic and abiotic environment a would-be scavenger finds itself in can influence to degree to which it can depend on carrion. As noted earlier, a system similar to the Serengeti

- in productivity could have supported a terrestrial scavenger (Ruxton and Houston 2004b).
- 2 Indeed, in systems that were dominated by large ectothermic or mesothermic vertebrates the
- same primary productivity would have supported a greater biomass (McNab 2009). The
- 4 upshot of this is there was a higher biomass of herbivores dying and offering scavenging
- opportunities. Predators were large-bodied too compared to extant mammalian predators
- 6 (McNab 2009), and so, especially if they were ectothermic, could last longer between meals
- 7 rendering scavenging a more attractive behaviour relative to predation. The absence of flying
- 8 vertebrates in the Palaeozoic may have permitted terrestrial forms to take in a higher
- 9 proportion of carrion in their diet. Osteophagy may have been even more viable during the
- 10 Mesozoic era because the body mass distribution of herbviores tended to be skewed towards
- larger sizes (O'Gorman and Hone 2012). When we couple this with the fact that skeletal
- $_{12}$ mass scales greater than linearly with body mass (Prange et al. 1979) there would have been
- 13 a lot of bone material to consume in the environment provided an animal had the biology to
- process it (Chure and Fiorillo 1997). As we discussed earlier, osteophagy could be extremely
- beneficial to a scavenger.
- Vultures and eagles tend to soar using thermals and if these air pockets don't form, say
 on a cloudy day, the bird is grounded (Mundy et al. 1992). In many habitats (e.g. the arctic)
 it is simply not possible for sufficiently powerful thermals to form and as a consequence
 large-bodied vultures cannot exist. The upshot of this is that terrestrial carnivores like bears
 and wolves take more carrion (DeVault et al. 2003). The use of different sensory systems also
 illustrates the impact of the environment. The relatively open savanna systems of Africa are
 well suited to a visually dependent vulture whereas more forested areas would select for
- A major difficulty for terrestrial scavengers is competition with vultures. Noctural behaviour in the Hyaenidae in general has been put forth as an adaptation to reduce competition with these exclusively diurnal birds (Gittleman 2013).

species that have a well developed olfactory system (Houston 1986).

The presence of occasional bounties of carrion in the form of whale falls has led some researchers to investigate if a scavenger could survive by seeking out these remains exclusively. Ruxton and Bailey (2005) argued that although this is energetically feasible it's ecologically unlikely. Any animal that could seek out such whale carcasses is unlikely to have ignored other types of carrion. Although no aquatic species have ever exceeded the size of whales, some enormous animals have evolved in this environment before the evolution of whales, including Leedsichthys, a bony fish from the Middle Jurassic (174.1-163.5 Mya), that weighed in excess of 20 tonnes. Thus, the energetic feasiblity of a marine scavenger has a long history. One point of interest is that of the whaling industry, which provided a bonanza of floating carcasses especially during the 20th century (Whitehead and Reeves 2005). This meant Killer Whales (Orcinus orca) could switch from hunting to scavenging, a switch made that much easier by the noise of the whaling vessels that would effectively ring the "dinner-bells" (Whitehead and Reeves 2005). Early whales such as Basilosaurus seem to fit 13 into the same niche as Killer Whales and we have some evidence for scavenging in this group as well (Fahlke 2012).

6 Conclusion

- The geological record shows the Earth has undergone radical fluctuations in temperature.
- 18 This will have had a significant bearing on the availability and persistence of carrion. To
- $_{19}$ illustrate the point, a 10° C increase in ambient temperature can double carcass
- decomposition rates (Parmenter and MacMahon 2009) and geological evidence indicates that
- 21 the Mesozoic Earth was at least 6 °C warmer than now (Sellwood and Valdes 2006). In
- 22 terms of specific habitats, it has been shown that decomposition is greater in warm and moist
- 23 areas versus more xeric ones (Beasley et al. 2015). Moreover, oceanic productivity and
- habitat structure are all impacted by climactic conditions. The impacts these can have on

- scavengers have been empirically supported e.g. Beasley et al. (2015) who point to a series
- of studies showing how microbes and invertebrates benefit at higher temperatures to the
- ³ detriment of vertebrate scavengers such that "above 20°C vertebrates were able to detect
- and consume only 19% of small-mammal carcasses, whereas at temperatures below 18°C,
- 5 vertebrates consumed 49% of carcasses".

Acknowledgments

⁷ A lot of people are to thank here.

References

- 2 Bates, K. and Falkingham, P. 2012. Estimating maximum bite performance in Tyrannosaurus rex
- using multi-body dynamics. Biology Letters 8: 660–664.
- ⁴ Bauchot, R. 2006. Snakes: a natural history. Sterling Publishing Company, Inc.
- ⁵ Beasley, J. et al. 2015. Ecological role of vertebrate scavengers. In: Benbow, M. E. et al. (eds.),
- Introduction to Carrion Ecology, Evolution, and Their Applications, chap. 6. CRC Press, Boca
- Raton, Florida, USA, pp. 107–128.
- 8 Blasco, R. et al. 2014. Breaking bones to obtain marrow: A comparative study between percussion
- by batting bone on an anvil and hammerstone percussion. Archaeometry 56: 1085–1104.
- Brown, C. J. 1989. A study of the Bearded Vulture *Gypaetus barbatus* in southern Africa. Ph.D.
- thesis, University of Natal (Pietermaritzburg).
- ¹² Calder, W. A. 1996. Size, function, and life history. Courier Dover Publications.
- 13 Carbone, C. et al. 2011. Intra-guild competition and its implications for one of the biggest
- terrestrial predators, *Tyrannosaurus rex.* Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
- Sciences 278: 2682–2690.
- ¹⁶ Carrier, D. R. 1987. The evolution of locomotor stamina in tetrapods: circumventing a mechanical
- constraint. Paleobiology: 326-341.
- Chin, K. et al. 1998. A king-sized theropod coprolite. Nature 393: 680–682.
- 19 Chure, D. and Fiorillo, A. 1997. One big al to go and hold the mayo: evidence of scavenging of a
- specimen of allosaurus from the morrison formation (late jurassic) of wyoming. Journal of
- Vertebrate Paleontology 17: 38A.
- ²² Clarke, A. and Pörtner, H.-O. 2010. Temperature, metabolic power and the evolution of
- endothermy. Biological Reviews 85: 703–727.

- DePalma, R. A. et al. 2013. Physical evidence of predatory behavior in *Tyrannosaurus rex.* –
- 2 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110: 12560–12564.
- DeVault, T. L. and Krochmal, A. R. 2002. Scavenging by snakes: an examination of the literature.
- Herpetologica 58: 429–436.
- 5 DeVault, T. L. et al. 2003. Scavenging by vertebrates: behavioral, ecological, and evolutionary
- perspectives on an important energy transfer pathway in terrestrial ecosystems. Oikos 102:
- 7 225–234.
- 8 Donázar, J. A. et al. 2002. Effects of forestry and other land-use practices on the conservation of
- cinereous vultures. Ecological Applications 12: 1445–1456.
- Ehret, D. J. et al. 2009. Caught in the act: trophic interactions between a 4-million-year-old white
- shark (carcharodon) and mysticete whale from peru. Palaios 24: 329–333.
- 12 Eiting, T. P. and Gunnell, G. F. 2009. Global completeness of the bat fossil record. Journal of
- Mammalian Evolution 16: 151–173.
- ¹⁴ Enstipp, M. et al. 2006. Foraging energetics of north sea birds confronted with fluctuating prey
- availability. In: Boyd, I. et al. (eds.), Top Predators in Marine Ecosystems, chap. 13.
- 16 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 191–210.
- Fahlke, J. M. 2012. Bite marks revisited—evidence for middle-to-late eocene basilosaurus isis
- predation on dorudon atrox (both cetacea, basilosauridae). Palaeontologia Electronica 15: 32A.
- 19 Farlow, J. and Brinkman, D. 1994. Wear surfaces on the teeth of tyrannosaurs. In: Dino Fest;
- 20 Proceedings of a Conference for the General Public. Palaeontological Society Special
- 21 Publications, vol. 7. pp. 165–175.
- Ferguson-Lees, J. and Christie, D. A. 2001. Raptors of the world. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- Fernández-Bellon, D. et al. 2015. Density-dependent productivity in a colonial vulture at two spatial
- scales. Ecology .

- 1 Forrest, R. 2003. Evidence for scavenging by the marine crocodile metriorhynchus on the carcass of
- a plesiosaur. Proceedings of the Geologists' Association 114: 363–366.
- 3 Gittleman, J. L. 2013. Carnivore behavior, ecology, and evolution. Springer Science & Business
- 4 Media.
- 5 Grady, J. M. et al. 2014. Evidence for mesothermy in dinosaurs. Science 344: 1268–1272.
- 6 Grémillet, D. et al. 2012. Vultures of the seas: hyperacidic stomachs in wandering albatrosses as an
- adaptation to dispersed food resources, including fishery wastes. PloS one 7: e37834.
- 8 Hedenstrom, A. 1993. Migration by soaring or flapping flight in birds: the relative importance of
- energy cost and speed. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B:
- Biological Sciences 342: 353–361.
- Hone, D. W. and Rauhut, O. W. 2010. Feeding behaviour and bone utilization by theropod
- 12 dinosaurs. Lethaia 43: 232–244.
- 13 Houston, D. 1974. The role of griffon vultures gyps africanus and gyps ruppellii as scavengers. -
- Journal of Zoology 172: 35–46.
- Houston, D. C. 1986. Scavenging efficiency of turkey vultures in tropical forest. The Condor 88:
- 16 318-323.
- Houston, D. C. and Cooper, J. 1975. The digestive tract of the whiteback griffon vulture and its
- role in disease transmission among wild ungulates. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 11: 306–313.
- Jackson, A. L. et al. 2008. The effect of social facilitation on foraging success in vultures: a
- modelling study. Biology Letters 4: 311–313.
- Jarvis, E. D. et al. 2014. Whole-genome analyses resolve early branches in the tree of life of modern
- birds. Science 346: 1320-1331.
- Jetz, W. et al. 2012. The global diversity of birds in space and time. Nature 491: 444–448.

- Jones, S. et al. 2015. Ecology of african carrion. In: Benbow, M. E. et al. (eds.), Introduction to
- ² Carrion Ecology, Evolution, and Their Applications, chap. 6. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida,
- 3 USA, pp. 461–494.
- 4 Kane, A. et al. 2014. Vultures acquire information on carcass location from scavenging eagles. –
- Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 281.
- 6 Kendall, C. J. 2013. Alternative strategies in avian scavengers: how subordinate species foil the
- despotic distribution. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 67: 383–393.
- 8 Koenig, R. 2006. Vulture research soars as the scavengers' numbers decline. Science 312.
- Lisney, T. J. et al. 2013. Comparison of eye morphology and retinal topography in two species of
- new world vultures (aves: Cathartidae). The Anatomical Record 296: 1954–1970.
- 11 Martín-Serra, A. et al. 2016. In the pursuit of the predatory behavior of borophagines (mammalia,
- carnivora, canidae): Inferences from forelimb morphology. Journal of Mammalian Evolution :
- 13 1–13.
- Martyniuk, M. P. 2012. A Field Guide to Mesozoic Birds and Other Winged Dinosaurs. Pan Aves.
- McNab, B. K. 2009. Resources and energetics determined dinosaur maximal size. Proceedings of
- the National Academy of Sciences 106: 12184–12188.
- 17 Mills, M. 1989. The comparative behavioral ecology of hyenas: the importance of diet and food
- dispersion. In: Carnivore behavior, ecology, and evolution. Springer, pp. 125–142.
- 19 Moleón, M. et al. 2014. Humans and scavengers: The evolution of interactions and ecosystem
- services. BioScience: biu034.
- Mundy, P. J. et al. 1992. The vultures of Africa. Academic Press London.
- Nagy, K. A. 2005. Field metabolic rate and body size. Journal of Experimental Biology 208:
- 23 1621-1625.

- ¹ Niedzwiedzki, G. et al. 2010. Tetrapod trackways from the early middle devonian period of poland.
- Nature 463: 43–48.
- O'Gorman, E. J. and Hone, D. W. E. 2012. Body size distribution of the dinosaurs. PLoS ONE 7:
- ₄ e51925.
- 5 Owen-Smith, N. and Mills, M. G. 2008. Predator-prey size relationships in an african large-mammal
- food web. Journal of Animal Ecology 77: 173–183.
- 7 Palmqvist, P. et al. 2011. The giant hyena Pachycrocuta brevirostris: modelling the bone-cracking
- behavior of an extinct carnivore. Quaternary International 243: 61–79.
- 9 Parmenter, R. R. and MacMahon, J. A. 2009. Carrion decomposition and nutrient cycling in a
- semiarid shrub-steppe ecosystem. Ecological Monographs 79: 637–661.
- Pobiner, B. 2008. Paleoecological information in predator tooth marks. Journal of taphonomy 6:
- 12 373-397.
- Pobiner, B. L. 2015. New actualistic data on the ecology and energetics of hominin scavenging
- opportunities. Journal of human evolution 80: 1–16.
- $_{15}$ Prange, H. D. et al. 1979. Scaling of skeletal mass to body mass in birds and mammals. -
- American Naturalist 113: 103–122.
- 17 Rayfield, E. J. et al. 2001. Cranial design and function in a large theropod dinosaur. Nature 409:
- 18 1033–1037.
- 19 Reisz, R. R. and Tsuji, L. A. 2006. An articulated skeleton of Varanops with bite marks: the oldest
- known evidence of scavenging among terrestrial vertebrates. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology
- 21 26: 1021–1023.
- 22 Reymond, L. 1985. Spatial visual acuity of the eagle aquila audax: a behavioural, optical and
- anatomical investigation. Vision research 25: 1477–1491.

- Riskin, D. K. et al. 2006. Terrestrial locomotion of the new zealand short-tailed bat mystacina
- tuberculata and the common vampire bat desmodus rotundus. Journal of Experimental Biology
- з 209: 1725–1736.
- 4 Roggenbuck, M. et al. 2014. The microbiome of new world vultures. Nature communications 5.
- 5 Ruben, J. 1995. The evolution of endothermy in mammals and birds: from physiology to fossils. –
- 6 Annual Review of Physiology 57: 69–95.
- 7 Ruxton, G. D. and Bailey, D. M. 2005. Searching speeds and the energetic feasibility of an obligate
- whale-scavenging fish. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 52:
- 9 1536–1541.
- Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. 2003. Could Tyrannosaurus rex have been a scavenger rather
- than a predator? an energetics approach. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series
- B: Biological Sciences 270: 731-733.
- Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. 2004a. Energetic feasibility of an obligate marine scavenger. -
- Marine ecology. Progress series 266: 59–63.
- 15 Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. 2004b. Obligate vertebrate scavengers must be large soaring
- fliers. Journal of Theoretical Biology 228: 431–436.
- Ruxton, G. D. et al. 2014. Why fruit rots: theoretical support for janzen's theory of
- microbe-macrobe competition. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281:
- 19 20133320.
- 20 Sazima, I. and Strüssmann, C. 1990. Necrofagia em serpentes brasileiras: exemplos e previsões. –
- Revista Brasileira de Biologia 50: 463–468.
- Schubert, B. W. and Ungar, P. S. 2005. Wear facets and enamel spalling in tyrannosaurid
- dinosaurs. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 50: 93–99.

- Schwimmer, D. R. et al. 1997. Scavenging by sharks of the genus squalicorax in the late cretaceous
- of north america. Palaios: 71–83.
- 3 Sellwood, B. W. and Valdes, P. J. 2006, Mesozoic climates; General circulation models and the
- rock record. Sedimentary geology 190: 269–287.
- 5 Shivik, J. A. 2006. Are vultures birds, and do snakes have venom, because of macro-and
- microscavenger conflict? BioScience 56: 819–823.
- ⁷ Slagsvold, T. and A Sonerud, G. 2007. Prey size and ingestion rate in raptors: importance for sex
- roles and reversed sexual size dimorphism. Journal of Avian Biology 38: 650–661.
- 9 Spiegel, O. et al. 2013. Factors influencing foraging search efficiency: why do scarce lappet-faced
- vultures outperform ubiquitous white-backed vultures? The American Naturalist 181:
- 11 E102-E115.
- Spivey, R. et al. 2014. Analysing the intermittent flapping flight of a manx shearwater, puffinus
- puffinus, and its sporadic use of a wave-meandering wing-sailing flight strategy. Progress in
- Oceanography 125: 62-73.
- Tenney, S. 1877. A few words about scavengers. The American Naturalist 11: 129–135.
- 16 Thaxter, C. B. et al. 2012. Seabird foraging ranges as a preliminary tool for identifying candidate
- marine protected areas. Biological Conservation 156: 53–61.
- ¹⁸ Tucker, V. A. 1975. The energetic cost of moving about: Walking and running are extremely
- inefficient forms of locomotion. much greater efficiency is achieved by birds, fish and bicyclists. -
- American Scientist 63: 413–419.
- Van Valkenburgh, B. et al. 2003. Chapter 7: Pack hunting in miocene borophagine dogs: Evidence
- from craniodental morphology and body size. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural
- 23 History: 147–162.
- Weishampel, D. B. et al. 2004. The dinosauria. University of California Press.

- Whitehead, H. and Reeves, R. 2005. Killer whales and whaling: the scavenging hypothesis. -
- Biology Letters 1: 415–418.
- Williams, T. M. 1999. The evolution of cost efficient swimming in marine mammals: limits to
- energetic optimization. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological
- 5 Sciences 354: 193–201.
- 6 Witton, M. P. and Habib, M. B. 2010. On the size and flight diversity of giant pterosaurs, the use
- of birds as pterosaur analogues and comments on pterosaur flightlessness. PLOS ONE 5:
- ₈ e13982.
- 9 Witton, M. P. and Naish, D. 2008. A reappraisal of azhdarchid pterosaur functional morphology
- and paleoecology. PLOS ONE 3: e2271.