**Running title:** Scavenging in vertebrates

Number of words:  $\sim$ 9999

Date of submission: March 18, 2016

## The natural history of scavenging in vertebrates

- 5 Adam Kane, Kevin Healy, Thomas Guillerme, Graeme Ruxton, & Andrew Jackson.
- 1. A. Kane (adam. kane@ucc. ie), University College Cork, Cooperage Building School of Biological Earth and Environmental Sciences Cork, Ireland.
- 2. K. Healy and A. Jackson, Trinity College Dublin, Department of Zoology; School of Natural Sciences, Dublin Ireland.
- 3. T. Guillerme, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Department of Life Sciences, Buckhurst Road, Ascot SL5 7PY, UK.
- 4. G. Ruxton, School of Biology, Sir Harold Mitchell Building, Greenside Place, St Andrews, KY16 9TH, United Kingdom

1 Abstract

- Scavengers existed in the past and they exist now. Often under appreciated. Three main habitat types considered: land, air and sea. Different drivers in these areas.
- 4 Review looks at these

## Introduction

Historically, scavengers have not been viewed as the most charismatic of animals. This may go some way to explaining the gap in our knowledge of the prevalence of this behaviour. Consider Professor Sanborn Tenney writing in 1877 for The American Naturalist who had this to say about one well known group, "Prominent among the mammalian scavengers are the hyenas, the ugliest in their general appearance of all the flesh eaters." He contrasts these with 'nobler kinds" of carnivores such as lions and tigers (Tenney 1877). Even aside from our own subjective biases, scavenging is a difficult behaviour to detect after the fact. Without catching a carnivore in the act of killing we are left to infer how the prey was killed. Some simple heuristics can inform us, for instance, in cases where the prey item was simply too large to have been killed by the ostensible predator (Pobiner 2008). But clearly, a scavenger doesn't only feed on animals too big for it to have hunted. The obvious lack of direct 12 behavioural data compounds the difficulty of discerning scavenging among extinct forms. 13 Indeed, a single species of dinosaur notwithstanding, a synthesis describing the natural history of scavengers is absent from the literature. Fortunately, research on scavenging is on the rise (Koenig 2006). As a result, we are now beginning to realise the extent of this behaviour such 16 that, "in some ecosystems, vertebrates have been documented to assimilate as much as 90%of the available carrion" (Benbow et al. 2015). Even Tenney's noble big cats are now known to take in a significant portion of carrion in their diet where some lion populations get over 19 50% of their meat from carcasses. By recognising the difficulty in directly observing 20 scavenging, a suite of methods have been used to discern the most suitable morphologies, physiologies and environments for a scavenging lifestyle to prosper. Here we chart the natural 22 history of vertebrate scavenging on air, land and in water by focussing on the dominant vertebrate groups.

#### 1 The Difficulty of Scavenging

- The chief hurdle to scavenging is finding a sufficient quantity of food, the occurence of which
- 3 is difficult to predict in space and time. Once found the scavenger has to then overcome the
- agents of decay produced by the action of microorganisms on the carcass (Ruxton et al.
- 5 2014). The idea of scrounging from predator kills is undermined by studies showing that in
- 6 the majority of ecosystems more animals die from disease and starvation than predation
- <sup>7</sup> (Benbow et al. 2015). Thus, any animal existing as a scavenger must maximise its detection
- 8 capabilities and minimise its locomotory costs (Ruxton and Houston 2004b). The habitat
- 9 must also be productive enough to sustain an animal biomass that will eventually produce
- 10 carcasses.

# Aerial Scavengers

- 12 Vultures represent the best known scavengers on Earth. These birds consist of two
- convergent groups, from the old and the new world and represent the only example of
- obligate vertebrate scavengers today. Given their unique position, they have been extensively
- 5 studied to determine what adaptations they possess that allows them to so flourish in this
- niche. Underscoring their prowess are studies such as Ogada et al. (2012) who note a three
- 17 fold increase in carcass persistence times in the absence of vultures. As such, we can begin by
- exploring the adaptations and the environments of vultures to draw comparisons with other
- scavenging species and *their* environments.
- Species capable of flight have effectively added an extra spatial dimension, i.e. the
- vertical component, to their sensory environment over land animals. This allows them to look
- down on a landscape where they are unencumbered by obstacles that would obstruct the view
- of a terrestrial scavenger. Such an ability has obvious benefits in detecting carrion. Vultures
- 24 are known to have impressive visual acuity with one estimate indicating Lappet-faced

- Vultures (Torgos tracheliotus) are capable of detecting a 2 metre carcass over 10 km away
- <sup>2</sup> (Spiegel et al. 2013). We know that many birds exist as facultative scavengers; storks, eagles,
- 3 corvids, are all known to take substantial quantities of carrion in their diet. And eagles in
- 4 particular are known to have highly developed visual abilities. It follows from this that the
- 5 evolution of flight allowed aerial animals to detect far more carrion than their terrestrial
- 6 counterparts (Lisney et al. 2013).
- Moreover, having a panoramic view means being able to gather a wealth of information
- 8 from other foragers, be they conspecifics or other species (Jackson et al. 2008). Again,
- 9 returning to vultures, the genus *Gyps* consists of highly social and colonially nesting species
- 10 (Fernández-Bellon et al. 2015). These behaviours allow them forage far more efficiently
- because one bird can scrounge information on the location of food from another successful
- 12 forager (Kane et al. 2014).
- Aside from sight, three species within the new world family Cathartidae, (genus
- <sup>14</sup> Cathartes), have well developed olfactory systems (Lisney et al. 2013). Among them are the
- Turkey Vultures (Cathartes aura) which were able to locate 90% of baits set out in a tropical
- 16 forest (Houston 1986). This would be impossible for the visually reliant old world species.
- 17 This point illustrates how the environment can impact search efficiency depending on the
- sensory system that's used.
- 19 Flight is also a cheaper means of locomotion than running (Tucker 1975). This advantage
- 20 can be extended further in larger species by engaging in soaring instead of flapping flight,
- which is even cheaper still (approximately twice BMR) (Hedenstrom 1993). The benefits this
- 2 confers are clear from the information we have on the enormous foraging ranges of some
- seabirds and accipiters (Bamford et al. 2007). Clearly, it would be pointless to have incredible
- detection abilities and not have a cost efficient movement to benefit from it. Although, as
- with detection ability, the environment has a role to play here. Vultures and eagles tend to
- 26 soar using thermals and if these air pockets don't form, say on a cloudy day, the bird is

- $_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$  grounded (Mundy et al. 1992). In many habitats (e.g. the arctic) it is simply not possible for
- <sup>2</sup> sufficiently powerful thermals to form and as a consequence large-bodied vultures cannot
- exist. The upshot of this is that terrestrial carnivores like bears and wolves take more carrion
- 4 (DeVault et al. 2003).
- Avian flight originates in the Late Jurassic (163.5-145 Million years ago Mya),
- 6 conincident with the fossils of Archaeopteryx lithographica so many of these benefits would
- have been realised from that point on for carnivorous birds. However, vertebrate flight is
- 8 much older than this where pterosaurs predate bird origins by a considerable margin in the
- Late Triassic (235-201.3 Mya). Scavenging in this diverse group has been hypothesied many
- times before. Certain clades of these animals could reach enormous sizes (e.g. Azhdarchids
- with wingspans of 11 metres) and look to have engaged in soaring flight (Witton and Habib
- 2010). Although Witton and Naish (2008) argued that neck inflexibility and straight, rather
- than hooked jaw morphology points against their existing as obligate scavengers, Azhdarchid
- terrestrial proficency indicates they would have been comfortable foraging on the ground.
- 15 Indeed, extant Marabou Storks (Leptoptilos crumenifer) have a comparable morphology and
- 16 are noted facultative scavengers so it is reasonable to believe that certain pterosaurs behaved
- 17 similarly.
- Large body size confers substantial dominance and starvation resistance benefits (Ruxton
- and Houston 2004b). Thus, we would expect scavengers to have this trait selected for even
- in the case of weight-constrained fliers. Cinereous Vultures (Aegypius monachus) and condors
- <sup>21</sup> (Vultur gryphus, Gymnogyps californianus) all have body masses that can exceed 10 kg and
- 22 represent some of the heaviest bird species capable of flight (Ferguson-Lees and Christie
- 23 2001, Donázar et al. 2002). And as we have noted the Azhdarchid pterosaurs were far bigger
- <sup>24</sup> again, with estimated body masses of around 80 kg.
- The only other vertebrate group capable of powered flight are the bats where scavenging
- 26 has not been recorded to our knowledge. Their visual acuity is famously poor and

- echolocation does not lend itself to discovering immobile carrion. Their small size and poor
- 2 terrestrial ability would also count against them at a carcass. The bat fossil record is
- 3 notoriously poor owing to their fragile skeletons so we are unable to determine if extinct
- 4 species were more suited to this lifestyle.

# Terrestrial Scavengers

- 6 A simplification of terrestrial, vertebrate scavengers is one of them existing in a
- 7 two-dimensional plane while foraging for carrion directly. They can detect carcasses at a
- range that is defined by the radius of their sensory organs, usually the visual and olfactory
- senses. As a consequence, they have a much more restricted view of the landscape than do
- 10 aerial foragers. No contemporary terrestrial vertebrate exists as an obligate scavenger but
- $_{11}$  most if not all are facultative scavengers to some extent. Ruxton and Houston (2004b) offer
- 2 a reason for this in that the traits that allow for vultures to exist as scavengers undermined
- their ability to hunt but that the same forces have not prevented mammals from doing so.
- The same authors calculated that "a 1 tonne mammal or reptile, in an ecosystem yielding
- carrion at densities similar to the current Serengeti, could have met its energy requirements if
- it could detect carrion over a distance of the order of 400–500 m."(Ruxton and Houston
- 17 2004b).
- Terrestrial scavenging in the mammals is probably best known in an African context
- where hyenas, jackals and lions all take sizable proportions of carrion in their diet. In the
- 20 spotted hyena (Crocuta crocuta), striped hyena (Hyaena hyaena) and brown hyena (Hyaena
- brunnea) it can be as high as 99% (Benbow et al. 2015). Therefore, we can again use these
- species as our efficient terrestrial scavengers to compare with other forms.
- Similar to vultures they have well developed sensory organs, particularly in olfaction
- <sup>24</sup> whereby they can detect a rotting carcass 2 km downwind. They have a characteristic

"rocking horse gait" which allows them to cover great distances efficiently. A major difficulty for terrestrial scavengers is competition with vultures. Noctural behaviour in the Hyaenidae in general has been put forth as an adaptation to reduce competition with these exclusively diurnal birds (Gittleman 2013). The bone crushing ability of hyenas reveals another useful scavenger trait. Since carrion is not dispatched directly, often the most easily accessible and choicest components of the carcass will be missing or, if present, will be fought over. Being able to extract nutrients from remnants gives the scavenger a great advantage. Osteophagy is known across a range of terrestrial carnivores. Some fat-rich mammalian bones have an energy density (6.7 kJ/g) comparable with that of muscle tissue, making skeletal remains an enticing resource (Brown 1989). This ability reached its zenith among hyenas with the evolution of the 110 kg Pachycrocuta brevirostris during the Pliocene (Palmqvist et al. 2011). Some work on extinct sabretooths suggests they may have left a large amount of food for would-be scavengers because of their unique skull morphology. As a result the decline of 13 Machairodontinae sabretooths has been offered as an explanation for the extinction of P brevirostris (Palmqvist et al. 2011). 15 The order Carnivora sees its origins in the Eocene where it split into the Caniforma and 16 Feliforma. And many of the aforesaid adapations for scavenging are found in these other 17 major terrestrial mammalian carnviores. Though the specific mix of features realised in 18 hyenas suggest this is the model organism for terrestrial scavenging among mammals in the 19 past. For example, the bone-crushing dogs that evolved during the Oligocene (subfamily 20 Borophaginae) have been compared to hyenas in terms of their feeding ecology 21 (Van Valkenburgh et al. 2003, Martín-Serra et al. 2016). Certainly, it is profitable to compare

Interestingly such comparisons have given insight into the feeding ecology of early 25 hominins who, for instance, had the ability to craft tools for breaking open bones (Hone and

extant terrestrial species to their prehistoric forebears given the dominance of mammalian

22

24

carnviores since the Eocene.

- Rauhut 2010, Blasco et al. 2014). The question of where our ancestors placed on the
- hunter-scavenger axis during the Plio-Pleistocene has been a matter of debate for years. A
- 3 recent study investigating potential scavenging opportunities for hominins in Kenya found
- 4 that, even when discounting bone material, there is a substantial amount of scavengeable
- meat left on predated remains; sufficient to sustain the requirements of an adult male *Homo*
- 6 erectus (Pobiner 2015). In some historical hominin-inhabited areas there were a greater
- 7 number of felids than hyenids. This is significant because hyenas will leave far less flesh on a
- 8 carcass than a felid such as a sabretooth enabling contemperaneous hominins to benefit
- 9 (Pobiner 2015). The intelligence, resultant tool-use and cooperative nature of hominins
- meant they could likely adapt to take on more or less carrion depending on their
- 11 environment.
- By contrast to mammals, a successful reptilian scavenger requires a far different set of adapations. Modern forms are ectothermic, limiting their activity periods. This is exacerbated 13 by the sprawling gait seen in many lizards which results in Carrier's Constraint such that the animal can't move and breathe at the same time because the lateral movements impedes its 15 lungs (Carrier 1987). This manifests itself in aspects such as maximum sustainable speed 16 where an equivalent mammal has a six to seven fold increase (Ruben 1995). A lower 17 metabolism does give reptiles an advantage however, in that over the course of a year their 18 food requirements can be 30 times smaller than an endotherm of equal size (Nagy 2005). 19 Any adaptations that reduce energetic costs are likely to be selected in scavengers. DeVault 20 and Krochmal (2002) suggest this is an avenue for scavenging in snakes because they 21 "exhibit exceedingly low maintenance metabolisms, and most can survive on a few scant feedings per year. It is, therefore, possible for snakes to rely largely on infrequent, less energy-rich meals." In the same review the authors found occurrences of scavenging spread 24 across five families of snakes and stated that this behaviour is "far more common than 25 currently acknowledged."(DeVault and Krochmal 2002).

Unsurprisingly, given their enduring appeal, the prevalence of scavenging has been explored in the carnivorous, theropod dinosaurs. These animals ranged from the chicken-sized to the whale-sized all of which were bipedal. They are quite alien to anything we know today which restricts our ability to understand their ecology far more so than extinct mammals (Weishampel et al. 2004). Of relevance, are the questions that still persist about their metabolism (Grady et al. 2014) and sensory perception. We do know that they walked with the erect gait of mammals or birds rather than the sprawling gait of lizards and that they were most likely facultative scavengers (DePalma et al. 2013). Much work has focused on the existence of the behaviour in Tyrannosaurus rex (Ruxton and Houston 2003, Carbone et al. 2011) but a recent energetics study investigated the likely prevelance of scavenging across a range of body sizes. In it the authors demonstrated that species of intermediate body masses (approx. 500 kg) would have gained the most benefit from scavenging. This was the result of gut capacity limitations and the effects of competition at the carcass. At the larger extreme 13 this owes to the fact that gut capacity doesn't scale isometrically with body mass so the benefits of greater mass level off; there's only so much food an individual can consume at a 15 single sitting (Calder 1996). For the smaller species, larger competitors would have prevented 16 their access to carrion.

As we discussed for the case of Cenozoic carnivores, osteophagy could be extremely 18 beneficial to a scavenger. In Mesozoic systems some extremely large theropod dinosaurs had 19 a morphology which suggests an ability to process bone e.g. the robust skull and dentition of 20 T. rex. There is direct evidence that T. rex did this in the form of distinctive wear marks on 21 its tooth apices (Farlow and Brinkman 1994, Schubert and Ungar 2005) and the presence of bone fragments in its coprolites (Chin et al. 1998). The animal also had an enormous bite force, with one estimate putting it at 57000 Newtons (Bates and Falkingham 2012). This is 24 noted as being powerful enough to break open skeletal material (Rayfield et al. 2001). 25

17

Osteophagy may have been even more viable during this era because the body mass

- distribution of herbviores tended to be skewed towards larger sizes (O'Gorman and Hone
- 2 2012). When we couple this with the fact that skeletal mass scales greater than linearly with
- body mass (Prange et al. 1979) there would have been a lot of bone material to consume in
- the environment provided an animal had the biology to process it (Chure and Fiorillo 1997).
- Of course, tetrapod terrestrial dominance predates the evolution of the dinosaurs. It is in
- 6 the Permian, almost 300 millions years ago, that we have the earliest evidence of vertebrate
- <sup>7</sup> scavenging where a temnospondyl amphibian fed on the carcass of *Varanops*, a predatory
- 8 synapsid of the time (Reisz and Tsuji 2006). The absence of flying vertebrates in the
- 9 Palaeozoic may have permitted terrestrial forms to take in a higher proportion of carrion in
- their diet. As noted earlier, a system similar to the Serengeti in productivity could have
- supported a terrestrial scavenger (Ruxton and Houston 2004b).

## Aquatic Scavengers

- An aquatic environment presents challenges for direct observational studies and so, similar to
- the approaches involving extinct species, much work has approached the question of
- scavenging propensity from an energetics perspective. Although as with the aerial and
- terrestrial enviornments we have evidence of facultative scavenging among aquatic species.
- 17 For example, the remains of a mosasaur and a terrestrial hadrosaur were discovered with
- embedded teeth from a Cretaceous shark Squalicorax (Schwimmer et al. 1997). As well as a
- likely instance of scavenging between a 4-million-year-old white shark (Carcharodon) and
- 20 mysticete whale from Peru (Ehret et al. 2009). The existence of an obligate scavenger in a
- marine setting is uncertain (Britton and Morton 1994, Smith and Baco 2003, Ruxton and
- Houston 2004a, Ruxton and Bailey 2005). Depending on the species a carcass in this
- environment either floats or descends to the sea floor. In the latter low-light environment,
- detection distances are far lower (< 100 m) than they would be in the air. As such, animals

- detect resources through chemo- and mechanoreception more so than through vision (Ruxton
- 2 and Houston 2004a). However, water is a medium that is conducive to low-cost movement
- 3 (Tucker 1975) and so may be able to support an obligate scavenging fish (Ruxton and
- 4 Houston 2004a, Ruxton and Bailey 2005). Benbow et al. (2015) do note that "some benthic
- s scavengers (e.g., hagfish: family Myxinidae) rely on necrophagy for a large portion of their
- 6 diet and may indeed be obligate scavengers".
- Extant aquatic snakes are deemed as having the most suitable physiology and
- 8 environment for scavenging. A hypothesis put forth by Sazima and Strüssmann (1990)
- 9 argued that chemical gradients in water would allow for a relatively easier detection of
- carrion. This gained some support from DeVault and Krochmal (2002), who found a
- preponderence of aquatic snake species in their review of this behaviour.
- The presence of occasional bounties of carrion in the form of whale falls has led some researchers to investigate if a scavenger could survive by seeking out these remains 13 exclusively. Ruxton and Bailey (2005) argued that although this is energetically feasible it's ecologically unlikely. Any animal that could seek out such whale carcasses is unlikely to have 15 ignored other types of carrion. Although no aquatic species have ever exceeded the size of 16 whales, some enormous animals have evolved in this environment before the evolution of 17 whales, including Leedsichthys, a bony fish from the Middle Jurassic (174.1-163.5 Mya), that 18 weighed in excess of 20 tonnes. Thus, the energetic feasiblity of a marine scavenger has a 19 long history. One point of interest is that of the whaling industry, which provided a bonanza 20 of floating carcasses especially during the 20th century (Whitehead and Reeves 2005). This 21 meant Killer Whales Orcinus orca could switch from hunting to scavenging, a switch made that much easier by the noise of the whaling vessels that would effectively ring the 23 "dinner-bells" (Whitehead and Reeves 2005). Early whales such as Basilosaurus seem to fit 24 into the same niche as Killer Whales and we have some evidence for scavenging in this group 25 as well (Fahlke 2012).

## Ecological Role

It is recognised that scavengers keep energy flows at a higher trophic level in food webs than decomposers because they consume relatively more carrion (DeVault et al. 2003). They are also hugely important for the dispersal of nutrients (Benbow et al. 2015). Consider the diversity of animals that can end up feeding at the carcass of an elephant. Here we have an incredibly dense and nutrient rich patch that ends up being distributed widely. In the absence of vertebrate scavengers, invertebrates and microorganisms would consume the carcass in-situ or at least distribute the constituent nutrients over a much shorter range. This effect has been magnified as vertebrates evolved certain key traits that allowed them to range farther, namely an upright gait, an endothermic metabolism and of course, flight. To quantify this effect with a simple example we can turn to some allometric relationships relating sustainable travelling speed to body mass. In the case of mammals and reptiles these are 1.15 \* body 12 mass (kg)  $^{0.12}$  and 0.23 \* body mass (kg)  $^{0.12}$  respectively (Ruxton and Houston 2004b). We can insert these into a foraging radius model ((duration \* speed)/2)/1000 for a 12 hour foraging day which shows that while a 10 kg reptile can range 6.5 km an equally sized 15 mammal can range nearly 33 km (Enstipp et al. 2006). Thus, in an ecological context, the 16 evolution of these steps coupled with the ability to scavenge resulted in a world with a far more widely distributed nutrient landscape.

## The Role of Climate

- The geological record shows the Earth has undergone radical fluctuations in temperature.
- This will have had a significant bearing on the availability of carrion. A 10°C increase in
- 22 ambient temperature can double carcass decomposition rates (Benbow et al. 2015). Oceanic
- 23 productivity, decomposition rates and habitat structure are all impacted by climactic
- 24 conditions. Benbow et al. (2015) points to a series of studies showing how microbes and

- invertebrates benefit at higher temperatures to the detriment of vertebrate scavengers "above
- $_2$   $20^{\circ}\text{C}$  vertebrates were able to detect and consume only 19% of small-mammal carcasses,
- $_{\mbox{\tiny 3}}$  whereas at temperatures below  $18^{\circ}\mbox{C},$  vertebrates consumed 49% of carcasses". Furthermore,
- 4 "carcass decomposition occurs more rapidly in warm, moist habitats when compared with
- 5 more xeric habitats."

# **Acknowledgments**

<sup>7</sup> A lot of people are to thank here.

## References

- 2 Bamford, A. J. et al. 2007. Ranging behaviour of cape vultures Gyps coprotheres from an
- endangered population in namibia. Bird Conservation International 17: 331–339.
- <sup>4</sup> Bates, K. and Falkingham, P. 2012. Estimating maximum bite performance in *Tyrannosaurus rex*
- using multi-body dynamics. Biology Letters 8: 660–664.
- 6 Benbow, M. E. et al. 2015. Introduction to carrion ecology, evolution, and their applications. -
- <sup>7</sup> Carrion Ecology, Evolution, and Their Applications: 1.
- 8 Blasco, R. et al. 2014. Breaking bones to obtain marrow: A comparative study between percussion
- by batting bone on an anvil and hammerstone percussion. Archaeometry 56: 1085–1104.
- Britton, J. C. and Morton, B. 1994. Marine carrion and scavengers. Oceanography and Marine
- Biology: an annual review 32: 369-434.
- Brown, C. J. 1989. A study of the Bearded Vulture Gypaetus barbatus in southern Africa. Ph.D.
- thesis, University of Natal (Pietermaritzburg).
- 14 Calder, W. A. 1996. Size, function, and life history. Courier Dover Publications.
- <sup>15</sup> Carbone, C. et al. 2011. Intra-guild competition and its implications for one of the biggest
- terrestrial predators, *Tyrannosaurus rex.* Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
- Sciences 278: 2682–2690.
- <sup>18</sup> Carrier, D. R. 1987. The evolution of locomotor stamina in tetrapods: circumventing a mechanical
- constraint. Paleobiology: 326-341.
- <sup>20</sup> Chin, K. et al. 1998. A king-sized theropod coprolite. Nature 393: 680–682.
- <sup>21</sup> Chure, D. and Fiorillo, A. 1997. One big al to go and hold the mayo: evidence of scavenging of a
- specimen of allosaurus from the morrison formation (late jurassic) of wyoming. Journal of
- Vertebrate Paleontology 17: 38A.

- DePalma, R. A. et al. 2013. Physical evidence of predatory behavior in Tyrannosaurus rex. —
- 2 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 110: 12560–12564.
- DeVault, T. L. and Krochmal, A. R. 2002. Scavenging by snakes: an examination of the literature.
- Herpetologica 58: 429–436.
- 5 DeVault, T. L. et al. 2003. Scavenging by vertebrates: behavioral, ecological, and evolutionary
- perspectives on an important energy transfer pathway in terrestrial ecosystems. Oikos 102:
- 7 225–234.
- 8 Donázar, J. A. et al. 2002. Effects of forestry and other land-use practices on the conservation of
- cinereous vultures. Ecological Applications 12: 1445–1456.
- Ehret, D. J. et al. 2009. Caught in the act: trophic interactions between a 4-million-year-old white
- shark (carcharodon) and mysticete whale from peru. Palaios 24: 329–333.
- Enstipp, M. et al. 2006. Foraging energetics of north sea birds confronted with fluctuating prey
- availability. In: Boyd, I. et al. (eds.), Top Predators in Marine Ecosystems, chap. 13.
- 14 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 191–210.
- Fahlke, J. M. 2012. Bite marks revisited—evidence for middle-to-late eocene basilosaurus isis
- predation on dorudon atrox (both cetacea, basilosauridae). Palaeontologia Electronica 15: 32A.
- Farlow, J. and Brinkman, D. 1994. Wear surfaces on the teeth of tyrannosaurs. In: Dino Fest;
- Proceedings of a Conference for the General Public. Palaeontological Society Special
- 19 Publications, vol. 7. pp. 165–175.
- Ferguson-Lees, J. and Christie, D. A. 2001. Raptors of the world. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
- 21 Fernández-Bellon, D. et al. 2015. Density-dependent productivity in a colonial vulture at two spatial
- scales. Ecology .
- 23 Gittleman, J. L. 2013. Carnivore behavior, ecology, and evolution. Springer Science & Business
- Media.

- 1 Grady, J. M. et al. 2014. Evidence for mesothermy in dinosaurs. Science 344: 1268–1272.
- <sup>2</sup> Hedenstrom, A. 1993. Migration by soaring or flapping flight in birds: the relative importance of
- energy cost and speed. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B:
- Biological Sciences 342: 353–361.
- 5 Hone, D. W. and Rauhut, O. W. 2010. Feeding behaviour and bone utilization by theropod
- 6 dinosaurs. Lethaia 43: 232–244.
- 7 Houston, D. C. 1986. Scavenging efficiency of turkey vultures in tropical forest. The Condor 88:
- 8 318–323.
- Jackson, A. L. et al. 2008. The effect of social facilitation on foraging success in vultures: a
- modelling study. Biology Letters 4: 311–313.
- 11 Kane, A. et al. 2014. Vultures acquire information on carcass location from scavenging eagles. -
- Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 281.
- Koenig, R. 2006. Vulture research soars as the scavengers' numbers decline. Science 312.
- Lisney, T. J. et al. 2013. Comparison of eye morphology and retinal topography in two species of
- new world vultures (aves: Cathartidae). The Anatomical Record 296: 1954–1970.
- Martín-Serra, A. et al. 2016. In the pursuit of the predatory behavior of borophagines (mammalia,
- carnivora, canidae): Inferences from forelimb morphology. Journal of Mammalian Evolution :
- <sub>18</sub> 1–13.
- 19 Mundy, P. J. et al. 1992. The vultures of Africa. Academic Press London.
- Nagy, K. A. 2005. Field metabolic rate and body size. Journal of Experimental Biology 208:
- 21 **1621–1625**.
- 22 Ogada, D. et al. 2012. Effects of vulture declines on facultative scavengers and potential
- implications for mammalian disease transmission. Conservation biology 26: 453-460.

- O'Gorman, E. J. and Hone, D. W. E. 2012. Body size distribution of the dinosaurs. PLoS ONE 7:
- <sub>2</sub> e51925.
- Palmqvist, P. et al. 2011. The giant hyena Pachycrocuta brevirostris: modelling the bone-cracking
- behavior of an extinct carnivore. Quaternary International 243: 61–79.
- 5 Pobiner, B. 2008. Paleoecological information in predator tooth marks. Journal of taphonomy 6:
- 6 373–397.
- 7 Pobiner, B. L. 2015. New actualistic data on the ecology and energetics of hominin scavenging
- 8 opportunities. Journal of human evolution 80: 1–16.
- 9 Prange, H. D. et al. 1979. Scaling of skeletal mass to body mass in birds and mammals. -
- American Naturalist 113: 103–122.
- Rayfield, E. J. et al. 2001. Cranial design and function in a large theropod dinosaur. Nature 409:
- 12 1033–1037.
- Reisz, R. R. and Tsuji, L. A. 2006. An articulated skeleton of Varanops with bite marks: the oldest
- known evidence of scavenging among terrestrial vertebrates. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology
- 15 26: 1021–1023.
- Ruben, J. 1995. The evolution of endothermy in mammals and birds: from physiology to fossils. -
- Annual Review of Physiology 57: 69–95.
- Ruxton, G. D. and Bailey, D. M. 2005. Searching speeds and the energetic feasibility of an obligate
- whale-scavenging fish. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 52:
- 20 1536-1541.
- Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. 2003. Could Tyrannosaurus rex have been a scavenger rather
- than a predator? an energetics approach. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series
- 23 B: Biological Sciences 270: 731–733.

- Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. 2004a. Energetic feasibility of an obligate marine scavenger. -
- Marine ecology. Progress series 266: 59–63.
- Ruxton, G. D. and Houston, D. C. 2004b. Obligate vertebrate scavengers must be large soaring
- fliers. Journal of Theoretical Biology 228: 431–436.
- 5 Ruxton, G. D. et al. 2014. Why fruit rots: theoretical support for janzen's theory of
- 6 microbe-macrobe competition. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281:
- 7 20133320.
- Sazima, I. and Strüssmann, C. 1990. Necrofagia em serpentes brasileiras: exemplos e previsões. –
- 9 Revista Brasileira de Biologia 50: 463–468.
- 10 Schubert, B. W. and Ungar, P. S. 2005. Wear facets and enamel spalling in tyrannosaurid
- dinosaurs. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 50: 93–99.
- Schwimmer, D. R. et al. 1997. Scavenging by sharks of the genus squalicorax in the late cretaceous
- of north america. Palaios: 71–83.
- Smith, C. R. and Baco, A. R. 2003. Ecology of whale falls at the deep-sea floor. Oceanography
- and marine biology 41: 311-354.
- Spiegel, O. et al. 2013. Factors influencing foraging search efficiency: why do scarce lappet-faced
- vultures outperform ubiquitous white-backed vultures? The American Naturalist 181:
- 18 E102–E115.
- <sup>19</sup> Tenney, S. 1877. A few words about scavengers. The American Naturalist 11: 129–135.
- Tucker, V. A. 1975. The energetic cost of moving about: Walking and running are extremely
- inefficient forms of locomotion. much greater efficiency is achieved by birds, fish and bicyclists. –
- American Scientist 63: 413–419.

- <sup>1</sup> Van Valkenburgh, B. et al. 2003. Chapter 7: Pack hunting in miocene borophagine dogs: Evidence
- from craniodental morphology and body size. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural
- <sup>3</sup> History: 147–162.
- Weishampel, D. B. et al. 2004. The dinosauria. University of California Press.
- 5 Whitehead, H. and Reeves, R. 2005. Killer whales and whaling: the scavenging hypothesis. –
- 6 Biology Letters 1: 415–418.
- Witton, M. P. and Habib, M. B. 2010. On the size and flight diversity of giant pterosaurs, the use
- of birds as pterosaur analogues and comments on pterosaur flightlessness. PLOS ONE 5:
- 9 e13982.
- 10 Witton, M. P. and Naish, D. 2008. A reappraisal of azhdarchid pterosaur functional morphology
- and paleoecology. PLOS ONE 3: e2271.