MATH 109 - HOMEWORK 6

Due Friday, February 23rd. Handwritten submissions only. The exercises in this homework are worth 16 points.

Problem 1

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove using the principle of induction:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}.$$

Solution 1

We prove both identities by the principle of induction.

• Consider the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}.$$

First, we check that for n = 1 this statement is true. This is simple:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{1} k^2 = 1^2 = 1 = \frac{1(1+1)(2+1)}{6},$$

Second, we conduct the induction step: assuming that the statement is true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we want to show that it is true for n + 1. We observe

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^2 + (n+1)^2$$
$$= \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + (n+1)^2,$$

where we have used the induction hypothesis. Now we simplify:

$$\frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + (n+1)^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1) + 6(n+1)^2}{6}$$

$$= \frac{(n^2+n)(2n+1) + 6(n^2+2n+1)}{6}$$

$$= \frac{2n^3 + 2n^2 + n^2 + n + 6n^2 + 12n + 6}{6}$$

$$= \frac{2n^3 + 9n^2 + 13n + 6}{6}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2(n+1)+1)}{6} = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3)}{6} = \frac{(n^2+3n+2)(2n+3)}{6}$$
$$= \frac{2n^3+6n^2+4n+3n^2+9n+6}{6}$$
$$= \frac{2n^3+9n^2+13n+6}{6}.$$

This completes the induction step.

We have proven that the identity is true for n=1, and that the identity being true some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies the identity being true for n+1 too. By the principle of induction, the identity holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof is complete.

• Consider the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}.$$

First, we check that for n=1 this statement is true, which is not difficult:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{1} k^3 = 1^3 = 1 = \frac{1^2(1+1)^2}{4}.$$

Second, we conduct the induction step: assuming that the statement is true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we want to show that it is true for n + 1. We observe

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^3 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^3 + (n+1)^3$$
$$= \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} + (n+1)^3$$
$$= \frac{(n^2 + 4n + 4)(n+1)^2}{4},$$

where we have used the induction hypothesis and applied some simple algebraic manipulation in the last step. On the other hand, we have

$$\frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)^2}{4} = \frac{(n+1)^2(n^2+4n+4)}{4}.$$

This completes the induction step.

We have proven that the identity is true for n=1, and that the identity being true some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies the identity being true for n+1 too. By the principle of induction, the identity holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof is complete.

Problem 2

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 4$. Prove that n! is divisible by a square number $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\sqrt{s} \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.

Solution 2

One may get an idea why this is true when writing out the factorials for a few small numbers. First, we consider the case that n is even. Then n = 2k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and we have $k = n/2 = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. In particular,

$$n! = n(n-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = (2k)(2k-1) \cdot \dots \cdot (k+1)(k)(k-1) \cdot \dots \cdot 2 \cdot 1.$$

Obviously, n! is divisible by k^2 . Hence n! is divisible by the square number $s = k^2$, and

$$\sqrt{s} = k > n/2 = |n/2|.$$

Second, consider the case that n is odd. Then n-1 is even. We have that n-1 is divisible by a square number s such that $\sqrt{s} \ge (n-1)/2 = \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$. Then n! is divisible by s too, and $\sqrt{s} \ge \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Hence there exists a square number as desired for $n \ge 4$ odd.

The truth of the statement follows.

Problem 3

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove Pascal's identity:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{l} S_{n,p+1-l} = (n+1)^{p+1} - 1, \quad \text{where} \quad S_{n,p} := \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p}.$$

Use this formula to compute $S_{n,4}$ for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Solution 3

We observe that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{l} S_{n,p+1-l} = \sum_{l=1}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{l} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p+1-l}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{l} k^{p+1-l}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{l} k^{p+1-l} - k^{p+1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left((k+1)^{p+1} - k^{p+1} \right) = (n+1)^{p+1} - 1.$$

Finally, we obtain a formula for $S_{n,4}$. As a preparation to make this more comfortable, we first conduct the general simplification

$$\sum_{l=1}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{l} S_{n,p+1-l} = \sum_{l=1}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{l} S_{n,p-(l-1)} = \sum_{l=0}^{p} \binom{p+1}{l+1} S_{n,p-l}.$$

Now in the case p = 4 we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{l=1}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{l} S_{n,p+1-l} &= \sum_{l=0}^{4} \binom{4+1}{l+1} S_{n,4-l} \\ &= \binom{4+1}{0+1} S_{n,4-0} + \binom{4+1}{1+1} S_{n,4-1} + \binom{4+1}{2+1} S_{n,4-2} + \binom{4+1}{3+1} S_{n,4-3} + \binom{4+1}{4+1} S_{n,4-4} \\ &= \binom{5}{1} S_{n,4} + \binom{5}{2} S_{n,3} + \binom{5}{3} S_{n,2} + \binom{5}{4} S_{n,1} + \binom{5}{5} S_{n,0} \\ &= 5 S_{n,4} + 10 S_{n,3} + 10 S_{n,2} + 5 S_{n,1} + S_{n,0} \end{split}$$

For the terms $S_{n,0}, S_{n,1}, S_{n,2}, S_{n,3}$, we have already seen formulas in the homework and/or the lectures. Using Pascal's identity, we thus can derive

$$5S_{n,4} = (n+1)^5 - 1 - 10S_{n,3} - 10S_{n,2} - 5S_{n,1} - S_{n,0}.$$

Plugging formulas for the terms on the right-hand side and then dividing by 5, we are done.

Problem 4

Find the mistake in the following reasoning:

Claim: All cars have the same color.

We use the principle of induction: we show that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ within every set of n cars all cars have the same color.

First, if n=1, then certainly every set containing 1 car has all cars with the same color.

Second, suppose the for all sets of n cars we have the cars in that set having the same color. Consider now a set $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n, a_{n+1}\}$ of n+1 cars, and define

$$A_1 = \{a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}\}, \quad A_{n+1} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}.$$

By the induction assumption, we have that all cars in A_1 and all cars in A_{n+1} have the same color. Hence all cars in $A = A_1 \cup A_{n+1}$ have the same color.

Solution 4

The induction step is conducted incorrectly for n=1, when proving the statement for n=2.

To illustrate the mistake, we scrutinize the induction step. The reasoning in the induction step is as follows: Having a set of n + 1 cars,

$$A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}\}\$$

we split up A into two sets

$$A_1 = \{a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1}\}, \quad A_{n+1} = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}.$$

By the induction assumption, the cars in the sets A_1 and A_{n+1} have the same color. Suppose we pick a car a_i with 1 < i < n+1. Then this car a_i has the same color as the car a_1 and the same color as the car a_{n+1} , which implies that the cars a_1 and a_{n+1} have the same color too. In particular, one may conclude that all the cars in the set A share the same color.

The problem is that in the case n = 1 there is no index i that satisfies 1 < i < 2. In other words, if $A = \{a_1, a_2\}$, then the sets $A_1 = \{a_1\}$ and $A_2 = \{a_2\}$ have no car in common. This is where the induction step fails.

If the claim would be true n = 2, then indeed we could prove that all cars have the same color. The induction step does not work when going from n = 1 to n = 2.

Problem 5

Prove the following: for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 12$ there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that n = 4a + 5b.

Solution 5

There are different ways to prove this. We present a proof which uses induction and another proof which proves the claim directly using the divisor-remainder theorem.

• We prove the claim by induction over the number n.

First, the induction base is the case n = 12, for which we have $n = 3 \cdot 4$. So in the base case the claim is true with a = 3 and b = 0.

Now assume that the claim is true for some $n \geq 12$. We prove the claim for the case n+1. By the induction assumption, there exist $a_0, b_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $n=4a_0+5b_0$. We distinguish the cases where $a_0 > 0$ and $a_0 = 0$.

In the former case, $a_0 > 0$, we have

$$n+1 = 4a_0 + 5b_0 + 1 = 4a_0 + 5b_0 + 5 - 4 = 4(a_0 - 1) + 5(b_0 + 1),$$

so the claim holds for n+1 with $a=a_0-1\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $b=b_0+1$. Note that $a_0>0$ ensures that $a\geq 0$.

In the latter case, $a_0 = 0$, we observe

$$n+1=5b_0+1=5b_0+4\cdot 4-3\cdot 5=4\cdot 4+5(b_0-3).$$

Since $n \ge 12$ we have $b_0 \ge 3$, and so $b_0 - 3 \ge 0$. It follows that the claim is true for n + 1 with a = 4 and $b = b_0 - 3$.

Having proven the claim for n=12 and having shown that the claim being true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies the claim being true for n+1 too, we employ the principle of induction to derive the claim for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof is complete.

• Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \ge 2$. By the divisor-remainder theorem, there exist $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 \le r < 4$ such that n = 4q + r. We observe that 1 = 5 - 4, so we have

$$n = 4q + r = 4(q - r) + 5r.$$

Since $n \ge 12$ we have $q \ge 3$, and thus $q - r \ge 0$. In particular, $q - r \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus the claim follows with a = q - r and b = r.

Problem 6

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Suppose that f(x) = f(x+1) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Prove that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have f(x) = f(x+m).

Solution 6

Suppose that $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that f(x) = f(x+1) for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We prove the claim by induction.

First, the base case is n = 1. Here the claim is already true by our initial assumptions, so nothing remains to be proven here.

 $^{{\}rm ^{i}See \ also:} \ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mathematical_induction\#Example:_forming_dollar_amounts_by_coins$

Second, we consdier the induction step. Suppose that the claim is true for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we then show that it is true for n + 1. Indeed, we have

$$f(x+(n+1)) = f((x+n)+1) = f(x+n)$$
,

where the last equality follows from the property that f(z+1) = f(z) for all real numbers $z \in \mathbb{R}$, applied to the choice z = x + 1. But now we can use the induction assumption to find

$$f\left(x+n\right) = f\left(x\right).$$

Thus the claim is true for the case n+1 too.

We have proven that the claim holds for n = 1, and that the claim being true some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ implies the claim being true for n + 1 too. By the principle of induction, the claim is true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof is complete.

Problem 7

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we define repeated exponentiation as follows:

$$\exp^{0}(x) = x, \quad \exp^{n+1}(x) = \exp(\exp^{n}(x))$$

Prove the following statement:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : (x > 1 \land m < n) \to (\exp^m(x) < \exp^n(x))$$

In other words, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with x > 1 and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with m < n we have $\exp^m(x) < \exp^n(x)$.

Solution 7

We prove the claim by two consecutive induction arguments.

First, we show that $\exp^m(x) > 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove this by induction. The base case is m = 1, where we observe $x < \exp(x) = \exp^m(x)$ by the properties of the exponential function. The induction step assumes that the claim is true for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and proves it for m + 1. Indeed, if x > 1 and $\exp^m(x) > 1$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we immediately get $\exp^{m+1}(x) = \exp(\exp^m(x)) > 1$ by the properties of the exponential function. According to the principle of induction, we have $\exp^m(x) > 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Second, to complete the proof, we prove a reformulation of the result:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} : \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0, d \in \mathbb{N} : (x > 1) \to (\exp^m(x) < \exp^{m+d}(x)).$$

This is shown again by an induction argument. Let us fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with x > 1 and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Consider the first the base case d = 1. Since x > 1 we have $\exp^m(x) > 1$ by the first part of this proof, and from the properties of the exponential function we then get

$$\exp^m(x) < \exp^{m+1}(x).$$

Next we conduct the induction step. Assuming that the claim is true for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we show that it is true for d + 1. We have

$$\exp^m(x) < \exp^{m+d}(x) < \exp^{m+d+1}(x),$$

where the first inequality holds by the induction hypothesis, and the second inequality follows again from the properties of the exponential function and $\exp^{m+d}(x) > 1$ for x > 1. Since we have proven the induction base and the induction step, the desired claim follows by the principle of induction.