### Machine Learning-I (CS/DS 706)

## **Assignment 1**

Chetna Jain (MT2016044) Kanika Narang (MT2016069) Tehreem Ansari (MT2016145)

#### Question 2: R

#### Background for the problem:

- First we check how data behaves without any corruption. 'Training' set consisting of 40 samples each from all the three classes setosa, versicolor, virginica. 'Testing' set consists of the separate 10 samples.
- For training the data we are using Random Forest. In the random forest approach, a
  large number of decision trees are created. Every observation is fed into every decision
  tree. The most common outcome for each observation is used as the final output. A new
  observation is fed into all the trees and taking a majority vote for each classification
  model.
- The metrics used: Accuracy and Confusion Matrix
- Our class label is 'Species'. For the dataset we are using we have 3 different Species: Iris-setosa, Iris-versicolor and Iris-virginica. We train our random forest(rf) on this label and then test the rf on the same.
- The data also has 4 other labels: SepalLengthCm, SepalWidthCm, PetalLengthCm and PetalWidthCm.
- The number of trees to be generated is kept default of 500.
- Mtry: Is the Number of variables available for splitting at each tree node.

#### Step 1: Importing libraries.

```
library(party)
library(randomForest)
library(caret)
library(e1071)
```

Step 2: Loading the test and train data in R to be used.

```
trainData <- read.csv(file='./IrisTraining.csv')
testData <- read.csv(file='./IrisTesting.csv')
print(head(trainData))
print(head(testData))</pre>
```

#### Output:

	SepalLengthCm	SepalWidthCm	PetalLengthCm	PetalWidthCm	Species
1	5.1	3.5	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa
2	4.9	3.0	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa
3	4.7	3.2	1.3	0.2	Iris-setosa
4	4.6	3.1	1.5	0.2	Iris-setosa
5	5.0	3.6	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa
6	5.4	3.9	1.7	0.4	Iris-setosa
	SepalLengthCm	SepalWidthCm	PetalLengthCm	PetalWidthCm	Species
1	5.0	3.5	1.3	0.3	Iris-setosa
2	4.5	2.3	1.3	0.3	Iris-setosa
3	4.4	3.2	1.3	0.2	Iris-setosa
4	5.0	3.5	1.6	0.6	Iris-setosa
5	5.1	3.8	1.9	0.4	Iris-setosa
6	4.8	3.0	1.4	0.3	Iris-setosa

#### Step 3: Train the randomForest on uncorrupted training data.

#Training random forest on actual uncorrupted data
iris\_rf <- randomForest(Species~.,data=trainData)</pre>

#### **Step 4: Testing the random forest error on test data**

#Testing the dataset after training it on uncorrupted
irisPred<-predict(iris\_rf,newdata=testData)
print(irisPred)</pre>

#### Output:

	SepalLengthCm	SepalWidthCm	PetalLengthCm	PetalWidthCm	Species
1	5.1	3.5	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa
2	4.9	3.0	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa
3	4.7	3.2	1.3	0.2	Iris-setosa
4	4.6	3.1	1.5	0.2	Iris-setosa
5	5.0	3.6	1.4	0.2	Iris-setosa
6	5.4	3.9	1.7	0.4	Iris-setosa
	SepalLengthCm	SepalWidthCm	PetalLengthCm	PetalWidthCm	Species
1	5.0	3.5	1.3	0.3	Iris-setosa
2	4.5	2.3	1.3	0.3	Iris-setosa
3	4.4	3.2	1.3	0.2	Iris-setosa
4	5.0	3.5	1.6	0.6	Iris-setosa
5	5.1	3.8	1.9	0.4	Iris-setosa
6	4.8	3.0	1.4	0.3	Iris-setosa

#### **Step 5: Confusion Matrix and Accuracy**

```
print ("WITHOUT CORRUPTION:")
confusionMatrix(testData$Species, irisPred)
```

#### Output:

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

#### Reference

Prediction	Iris-setosa	Iris-versicolor	Iris-virginica
Iris-setosa	10	0	0
Iris-versicolor	0	10	0
Iris-virginica	0	0	10

Overall Statistics

Accuracy : 1

95% CI : (0.8843, 1)

No Information Rate : 0.3333 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 4.857e-15

Kappa : 1 Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA

Statistics by Class:

	Class:	Iris-setosa	Class:	Iris-versicolor
Sensitivity		1.0000		1.0000
Specificity		1.0000		1.0000
Pos Pred Value		1.0000		1.0000
Neg Pred Value		1.0000		1.0000
Prevalence		0.3333		0.3333
Detection Rate		0.3333		0.3333
Detection Prevalence		0.3333		0.3333
Balanced Accuracy		1.0000		1.0000
	Class:	Iris-virgini	ica	
Sensitivity		1.00	900	
Specificity		1.00	900	
Pos Pred Value		1.00	900	
Neg Pred Value		1.00	999	

#### **Step 6: Interpretation**

Detection Rate

Detection Prevalence

Prevalence

From the above metric we can see that accuracy is 100%. Also the data used for training is uncorrupted.

0.3333

0.3333

0.3333

# Part 2.a: Training data with the 4 explanatory attributes corrupted with some noise, no change in class(Species) attribute

Currently the corruption is only in 4 data points. If more data points are corrupted, the answer may vary.

**Step 1 and 2 is same as above.** The only difference being, instead of IrisTraining.csv we are using IrisTraining\_corrupted1.csv.

```
#Solving now on corrupted data
trainDataCorrupted <- read.csv(file='./IrisTraining_corrupted1.csv')
le print(head(trainDataCorrupted))</pre>
```

Now, we are training our Random Forest algorithm on corrupted data instead of uncorrupted as before.

#### Step 3: Training on corrupted dataset

```
#training on corrupted data
iris_rf_corrupted1 <- randomForest(Species~.,data=trainDataCorrupted)</pre>
```

#### Step 4: Testing the test dataset after training on corrupted data

```
#testing dataset after training on corrupted
irisPred_corrupted1<-predict(iris_rf_corrupted1,newdata=testData)
print(irisPred_corrupted1)</pre>
```

#### Output:

```
2
                                                                      5
            1
   Iris-setosa
                  Iris-setosa
                                Iris-setosa
                                              Iris-setosa
                                                             Iris-setosa
                                Iris-setosa
   Iris-setosa
                  Iris-setosa
                                               Iris-setosa
                                                             Iris-setosa
                          12
                                        13
                                                       14
Iris-versicolor Iris-versicolor Iris-versicolor Iris-versicolor
Iris-versicolor Iris-versicolor Iris-versicolor Iris-versicolor
                                        23
Iris-virginica Iris-virginica Iris-virginica Iris-virginica Iris-virginica
Iris-virginica Iris-virginica Iris-virginica Iris-virginica Iris-virginica
Levels: Iris-setosa Iris-versicolor Iris-virginica
```

#### Step 5: Determining the accuracy and confusion metrics

```
print ("WITH CORRUPTION PART 1:")
confusionMatrix(testData$Species, irisPred_corrupted1)
```

#### Output:

#### [1] "WITH CORRUPTION PART 1:"

#### Confusion Matrix and Statistics

#### Reference

Prediction	Iris-setosa	Iris-versicolor	Iris-virginica
Iris-setosa	10	0	0
Iris-versicolor	0	10	0
Iris-virginica	0	0	10

#### Overall Statistics

Accuracy : 1

95% CI: (0.8843, 1)

No Information Rate : 0.3333 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 4.857e-15

Kappa : 1 Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA

#### Statistics by Class:

	Class:	Iris-setosa	Class:	Iris-versicolor
Sensitivity		1.0000		1.0000
Specificity		1.0000		1.0000
Pos Pred Value		1.0000		1.0000
Neg Pred Value		1.0000		1.0000
Prevalence		0.3333		0.3333
Detection Rate		0.3333		0.3333
Detection Prevalence		0.3333		0.3333
Balanced Accuracy		1.0000		1.0000

Class: Iris-virginica
Sensitivity 1.0000
Specificity 1.0000
Pos Pred Value 1.0000
Neg Pred Value 1.0000
Prevalence 0.3333

#### Step 6: Interpretation

From above, we can see that accuracy is still 100% even after adding some noise in the 4 features(Without adding noise in the class label). This is so because after adding noise, the random forest algorithm becomes more generalized and can recognize noise better. Hence on testing on test data, the random forest still gives 100% accuracy.

# Part 2.b: Training data with some of the class labels corrupted (changed to something else) without changing any of the explanatory attributes.

6 data points have been corrupted in their class label. I have changed from the original value to lamCorrupted value.

Step 1 and 2 is same as above. The only difference being, instead of IrisTraining.csv we are using IrisTraining\_corrupted2.csv.

```
trainDataCorrupted2 <- read.csv(file='./IrisTraining_corrupted2.csv')</pre>
testData <- read.csv(file='./IrisTesting.csv')
print(head(trainDataCorrupted2))
print(head(testData))
 SepalLengthCm SepalWidthCm PetalLengthCm PetalWidthCm
                                                        Species
           5.1
                       3.5
                                   1.4
                                                0.2 Iris-setosa
2
           4.9
                       3.0
                                   1.4
                                                0.2 Iris-setosa
3
           4.7
                       3.2
                                   1.3
                                                0.2 Iris-setosa
           4.6
                       3.1
                                   1.5
                                                0.2 Iris-setosa
5
           5.0
                                   1.4
                       3.6
                                                0.2 Iris-setosa
                       3.9
                                    1.7
           5.4
                                                0.4 Iris-setosa
 SepalLengthCm SepalWidthCm PetalLengthCm PetalWidthCm
                                                        Species
           5.0
                      3.5
                                   1.3
                                               0.3 Iris-setosa
1
2
           4.5
                      2.3
                                   1.3
                                                0.3 Iris-setosa
3
           4.4
                      3.2
                                   1.3
                                                0.2 Iris-setosa
4
           5.0
                      3.5
                                   1.6
                                                0.6 Iris-setosa
5
           5.1
                                   1.9
                                                0.4 Iris-setosa
                       3.8
                                                0.3 Iris-setosa
           4.8
                      3.0
                                   1.4
```

#### **Step 3: Training on corrupted dataset**

```
#training on corrupted data
iris_rf_corrupted2 <- randomForest(Species~.,data=trainDataCorrupted2)</pre>
```

#### Step 4: Testing the test dataset after training on corrupted data

```
#testing dataset after training on corrupted
irisPred_corrupted2<-predict(iris_rf_corrupted2,newdata=testData)
print(irisPred_corrupted2)</pre>
```

#### **Output:**

1	2	3	4	5
Iris-setosa	IamCorrupted	Iris-setosa	Iris-setosa	Iris-setosa
6	7	8	9	10
Iris-setosa	Iris-setosa	Iris-setosa	Iris-setosa	Iris-setosa
11	12	13	14	15
Iris-versicolor	Iris-versicolor	Iris-versicolor	Iris-versicolor	Iris-versicolor
16	17	18	19	20
Iris-versicolor	Iris-versicolor	Iris-versicolor	Iris-versicolor	Iris-versicolor
21	22	23	24	25
Iris-virginica	Iris-virginica	Iris-virginica	Iris-virginica	Iris-virginica
26	27	28	29	30
Iris-virginica	Iris-virginica	Iris-virginica	Iris-virginica	Iris-virginica
Levels: IamCorr	upted Iris-setosa	a Iris-versicolo	r Iris-virginica	

#### **Step 5: Determining the accuracy and confusion metrics**

print ("WITH CORRUPTION PART 2:")
confusionMatrix(testData\$Species, irisPred\_corrupted2)

#### Output:

#### Confusion Matrix and Statistics

#### Reference

Prediction	IamCorrupted	Iris-setosa	Iris-versicolor	Iris-virginica
IamCorrupted	0	0	0	0
Iris-setosa	1	9	0	0
Iris-versicolor	0	0	10	0
Iris-virginica	0	0	0	10

#### Overall Statistics

Accuracy: 0.9667

95% CI: (0.8278, 0.9992)

No Information Rate : 0.3333 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : 2.963e-13

Kappa: 0.9508

Mcnemar's Test P-Value : NA

#### Statistics by Class:

	Class:	IamCorrupted Class:	Iris-setosa	
Sensitivity		0.00000	1.0000	
Specificity		1.00000	0.9524	
Pos Pred Value		NaN	0.9000	
Neg Pred Value		0.96667	1.0000	
Prevalence		0.03333	0.3000	
Detection Rate		0.00000	0.3000	
Detection Prevalence		0.00000	0.3333	
Balanced Accuracy		0.50000	0.9762	
A STATE OF THE STA	Class:	Iris-versicolor Clas	ss: Iris-virginica	
Sensitivity		1.0000	1.0000	
Specificity		1.0000	1.0000	
Pos Pred Value		1.0000	1.0000	
Neg Pred Value		1.0000	1.0000	
Prevalence		0.3333	0.3333	
Detection Rate		0.3333	0.3333	
Detection Prevalence		A 3333	A 3333	

#### **Step 6: Interpretation**

Here, we see that accuracy has reduced from 100% to 96.67%. This is because we are corrupting the training data in the class label itself and not the features. Hence the training random forest algorithm becomes overfitted and thus accuracy reduces when testing on the test data.