Tutorial Sheet 1 (Interference)

- Q.1 If in an interference pattern, the ratio between maximum and minimum intensities is 36:1, find the ratio between the amplitude and intensities of the two interfering waves.

 Ans: 7:5, 49:25
- Q.2 Two identical coherent waves produced interference pattern. Find the ratio of intensity at the centre of a bright fringe to the intensity at a point one quarter of the distance between two fringes from the centre. Ans: 2:1
- Q.3 In an interference pattern with two coherent sources, the amplitude of intensity variation is found to be 5% of the average intensity. Calculate the relative intensities of the interfering sources. Ans: 1600:1
- Q.4 Two coherent sources of intensity ratio 9:1 interfere. Prove that in the interference pattern, $(I_{max}-I_{min})/(I_{max}+I_{min})=3/5$
- Q.5 In a two slit interference pattern at a point we observe 10^{th} order maximum for $\lambda = 7000\text{\AA}$. What order will be visible here, if the source of light is replaced by light of wavelength 5000 Å? Ans: 14
- Q.6 Two coherent sources are placed 0.18 mm apart and the fringes are observed on a screen 80 cm away. It is found that with a certain monochromatic source of light the fourth bright fringe is situated at a distance of 10.8 mm from the central fringe. Find the wavelength of light. Ans: 6075 Å
- Q.7 Given light of wavelength 5100 Å from a narrow slit is incident on a double slit. If the overall separation of 10 fringes on a screen 200 cm away is 2.0 cm, find the double slit separation. Ans: 0.51mm
- Q.8 In Young's double slit experiment the slits are 0.5mm apart and interference is observed on a screen placed at distance of 100cm from the slits. It is found that the 9th bright fringe is at a distance of 8.835mm from second dark fringe from the center pattern. Find the wavelength of light used. Ans: 5890 Å
- Q.9 In a particular two-slit interference pattern with $\lambda=6000$ Å, the zero order and the 10^{th} order maxima fall at micrometer readings 12.34 mm and 14.73 mm. If λ is changed to 5000 Å, deduce the positions of the zero and 20th order fringes, other arrangements remaining the same.

 Ans: 12.34mm, 16.32mm, 8.36mm
- Q.10 A double slit of separation 1.5 mm is illuminated by white light (between 4000 Å to 8000 Å). On a screen 120 cm away colored interference pattern is formed. If a pin hole is made on this screen at a distance of 3.0 mm from the central white fringe, what wavelengths will be absent in the transmitted light? Ans: 6818.2 Å, 5769.2 Å, 5000 Å, 4411.9 Å

Interference [Tutorial Sheet 1]

Ans. 1: Given that I max = 364 Thin 1

" let a, & 92 are the amplitutes of Source Sten

$$\frac{\int_{\text{max}}^{\infty} = \frac{(a_1 + a_2)^2}{\int_{\text{min}}^{\infty} = \frac{36}{1} = \frac{36}{1} = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{1} = \frac{6}{1}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 + a_2 = 6a_1 - 6a_2 \Rightarrow 7a_2 = 5a_1 \Rightarrow \frac{a_1}{a_2} = \frac{7}{5}$$

$$\frac{T_1}{T_2} = \frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} = \frac{49}{25}$$

9 +9 +29.9 (080

Ans. 2: > Intensity and centre Puntre = 40 + 20.01080

Indensity and one quarter distance Fry = a + a + 20.01089 In 1m2 = 202

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{cenbre}}{1} = \frac{4\alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} = \frac{2}{1}$$

Ans. 3: Let average intensity is 100 then

$$\frac{P_{\text{max}}}{P_{\text{min}}} = \frac{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^2}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^2} = \frac{100 + 5}{100 - 5} = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} = \frac{\sqrt{105}}{\sqrt{95}}$$

$$= \frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} = \frac{(\sqrt{105} + \sqrt{95})^2}{(\sqrt{105} - \sqrt{95})^2} = \frac{1600}{1}$$

Ans. 5: Ind D, 2d are parameter,
$$n=10$$

then. $x_n = h \frac{h}{2} \frac{D}{2d}$
 $x_0 = 10 \cdot \frac{9000 \times 10^{-10}}{2d}$

Let n the order maxima for $h = 50000 \times 10^{-10}$ m then

 $20 = h \times 5000 \times 10^{-10} \frac{D}{2d}$
 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1$

Ans. 8:
$$\Rightarrow$$
 Miven $2d = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{m}$, $D = 1 \text{m}$.

 $x_9 = x_2 = 8.035 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}$
 $x_9 = 9 \frac{\lambda D}{2d}$, $x_2 = (2 \times 2 + 1) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 = (9 - \frac{3}{2}) \cdot \lambda D$
 $x_9 - x_2 =$

 $x_{10}-x_{0} = 10\beta = 2 \cdot 39 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m} = 3\beta = 2 \cdot 39 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}$ $\beta = \lambda \frac{D}{2d} = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 39 \times 10^{-6} = 6050 \times 10^{-10} \times \frac{D}{2d}.$ $\Rightarrow \frac{D}{2d} = \frac{2 \cdot 39}{6} \times 10^{3} = 3 \cdot 90 \times 10^{+2} \text{ m}.$ $\text{when } \lambda = 5050 \times 10^{-10}, \quad \lambda = 12 \cdot 34 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}.$ $220 = 204 5050 \times 10^{-10}, \quad \lambda = 3.90 \times 10^{+2} = 3.90 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ $220 = (12 \cdot 34 + 3.90) \times 10^{-3} \text{ m} = 16 \cdot 32 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ $(12 \cdot 34 - 3.96) \times 10^{-3} \text{ m} = 16 \cdot 32 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$ $(12 \cdot 34 - 3.96) \times 10^{-3} \text{ m} = 8.36 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}$

Ans. 10:

$$\lambda = (4 \circ x^{0} - 3 \circ x^{0}) + 0$$

$$\lambda = (4 \circ x^{0} - 3 \circ x^{0}) + 0$$

$$\lambda = (3 \times 10^{-3}) + 0$$

$$\lambda = (3 \times 10$$

[6818.2, n = 6], [5769.2, n = 7], [5000 A^0 , n = 8], [4411.8 A^0 , n = 9]