

# Generalization Theorem

kankanray

## **Theorem 1.** Generalization Theorem

Given  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{L}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$ . Assume  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ , and  $x_i$  is not a free variable of any formula in  $\Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i \varphi)$ .

*Proof.*  $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$  implies that there is a proof of  $\varphi$  in  $\Gamma$ :  $\langle B_1, \dots, B_n \rangle$  with  $B_n = \varphi$ . We use induction on index  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , to show that  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_j)$ .

Base step:  $B_1$  must be in  $\Gamma \cup \mathbb{L}$ . If  $B_1 \in \Gamma$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash B_1$ . Since  $x_i$  is not a free variable of  $B_1$ , we have  $\Gamma \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\forall x_i \varphi))$ , thus we have  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_1)$ . If  $B_1 \in \mathbb{L}$ , then we have  $(\forall x_i B_1) \in \mathbb{L}$  also, thus  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_1)$ . In both case, we have  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_1)$ .

Induction step: Assume for all  $j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k < n$ , we have  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_j)$ . Consider  $B_{k+1}$ . If  $B_{k+1} \in \Gamma \cup \mathbb{L}$ , then repeat the process in base step, we know  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_{k+1})$ . If there exists  $1 \leq s, t < (k+1)$ , and  $B_t$  is just  $(B_s \rightarrow B_{k+1})$ . Then by induction hypothesis, we have:

$\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_s)$ , and

$\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i (B_s \rightarrow B_{k+1}))$ .

We also have  $\Gamma \vdash ((\forall x_i (B_s \rightarrow B_{k+1})) \rightarrow ((\forall x_i B_s) \rightarrow (\forall x_i B_{k+1})))$ , so we then have  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_{k+1})$ .

By induction, we have  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i B_n)$ , i.e.  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall x_i \varphi)$   $\square$