SIG Proceedings Paper in LaTeX Format*

Extended Abstract[†]

Lars Thørväld[‡] The Thørväld Group Hekla, Iceland larst@affiliation.org

ABSTRACT

This paper provides a sample of a LATEX document which conforms, somewhat loosely, to the formatting guidelines for ACM SIG Proceedings. 1

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computer systems organization → Embedded systems; *Redundancy*; Robotics; • Networks → Network reliability;

KEYWORDS

ACM proceedings, LATEX, text tagging

ACM Reference Format:

Lars Thørväld. 1997. SIG Proceedings Paper in LaTeX Format: Extended Abstract. In *Proceedings of ACM Woodstock conference (WOOD-STOCK'97)*, Jennifer B. Sartor, Theo D'Hondt, and Wolfgang De Meuter (Eds.). ACM, New York, NY, USA, Article 4, 12 pages. https://doi.org/10.475/123_4

1 PATHS AND ALIASING

Model dynamics using judgement of the form:

$$V, H, R, F \vdash_{P:\Sigma} e \Downarrow v, H', F'$$

Where $V: \operatorname{Var} \to \operatorname{Val} \times \operatorname{State}, H: \operatorname{Loc} \to \operatorname{Val}, R \subseteq \operatorname{Loc}, F \subseteq \operatorname{Loc}, \text{ and } \Sigma: \operatorname{Var} \to \operatorname{FTypes}$. This can be read as: under stack V, heap H, roots R, freelist F, and program P with signature Σ , the expression e evaluates to v, and engenders a new heap H' and freelist F'.

A *program* is then a Σ indexed map P from Var to pairs $(y_f, e_f)_{f \in \Sigma}$, where $\Sigma(y_f) = A \to B$, and $\Sigma; y_f : A \vdash e_f : B$ (typing rules are discussed in 6). We write $P : \Sigma$ to mean P is a program with signature Σ . Because the signature Σ for the mapping of function names to first order functions does not change during evaluation, we drop the subscript Σ from \vdash_{Σ} when the context of evaluation is clear. It is convenient to think of the evaluation judgement \vdash as being indexed by a family of signatures Σ 's,

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s). WOODSTOCK'97, July 1997, El Paso, Texas USA

BTypes	τ ::=		
	nat	nat	natura
	unit	unit	unit
	bool	bool	boolea
	$prod(au_1; au_2)$	$ au_1 imes au_2$	produ
	list(au)	L(au)	list
FTypes	ρ ::=		
	$arr(au_1; au_2)$	$ au_1 ightharpoonup au_2$	first o
Exp	e ::=		

variab

numb

unit

true

false

applic pair

match

match

share

numei

true v

false v

null va

functi

loc va

pair va

variab

nil cons

if abstra

x	X
nat[n]	\overline{n}
unit	()
T	Т
F	F
$if(x; e_1; e_2)$	if x then e_1 else e_2
$lam(x:\tau.e)$	$\lambda x : \tau . e$
ap(f;x)	f(x)
$tpl(x_1; x_2)$	$\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$
$case(x_1, x_2.e_1)$	case $p\{(x_1;x_2) \hookrightarrow e_1\}$
nil	
$cons(x_1; x_2)$	$x_1 :: x_2$
$case\{l\}(e_1;x,xs.e_2)$	$case l \{ nil \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid cons(x; xs) \hookrightarrow e_2 \}$
$let(e_1; x: \tau.e_2)$	$let x = e_1 in e_2$
$share(x; x_1, x_2, e)$	share x as x_1, x_2 in e

Val	v ::=	
	val(n)	n
	val(T)	T
	val(F)	F
	val(Null)	Null
	val(cl(V; x.e))	(V, x.e)
	val(l)	1
	$val(pair(v_1; v_2))$	$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$

State	s ::=		
	alive	alive	live va
	dead	dead	dead v
Loc	<i>l</i> ::=		

x

var(x)

^{*}Produces the permission block, and copyright information

[†]The full version of the author's guide is available as acmart.pdf document

 $[\]ddagger$ This author is the one who did all the really hard work.

 $^{^1{\}rm This}$ is an abstract footnote

^{© 2016} Copyright held by the owner/author(s). ACM ISBN 123-4567-24-567/08/06...\$15.00 https://doi.org/10.475/123_4

each of which is a set of "top-level" first-order declarations to be used during evaluation.

For a partial map $f:A\to B$, we write dom for the defined values of f. Sometimes we shorten $x\in dom(f)$ to $x\in f$. We write $f[x\mapsto y]$ for the extension of f where x is mapped to y, with the constraint that $x\notin dom(f)$.

Roots represents the set of locations required to compute the continuation *excluding* the current expression. We can think of roots as the heap allocations necessary to compute the context with a hole that will be filled by the current expression.

In order prove soundness of the type system, we need some auxiliary judgements to defining properties of a heap. Below we define $reach: Val \rightarrow \{\{Loc\}\}\}$ that maps stack values its the root multiset, the multiset of locations that's already on the stack.

Next we define reachability of values:

```
\begin{split} reach_H(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) &= reach_H(v_1) \uplus reach_H(v_2) \\ reach_H(l) &= \{l\} \uplus reach_H(H(l)) \\ reach_H(\ ) &= \emptyset \end{split}
```

For a multiset S, we write $\mu_S:S\to\mathbb{N}$ for the multiplicity function of S, which maps each element to the count of its occurence. If $\mu_S(x)\geq 1$ for a multiset S, then we write $x\in S$ as in the usual set membership relation. If for all $s\in S$, $\mu(s)=1$, then S is a property set, and we denote it by set(S). Additionally, $A\uplus B$ denotes counting union of sets where $\mu_{A\uplus B}(s)=\mu_A(s)+\mu_B(s)$, and $A\cup B$ denotes the usual union where $\mu_{A\cup B}(s)=\max(\mu_A(s),\mu_B(s))$. For the disjoint union of sets A and B, we write $A\sqcup B$.

Next, we define the predicates no_alias, stable, and disjoint:

```
\begin{aligned} &\text{no\_alias}(V,H) \colon \ \forall x,y \in V, x \neq y. \ \text{Let} \ r_x = reach_H(V(x)), \\ &r_y = reach_H(V(y)). \ \text{Then:} \\ &(1) \ \sec(r_x), \sec(r_y) \\ &(2) \ r_x \cap r_y = \emptyset \\ &\text{stable}(R,H,H') \colon \ \forall l \in R. \ H(l) = H'(l). \\ &\text{safe}(V,H,F) \colon \ \forall x \in V. \ reach_H(V(x)) \cap F = \emptyset \\ &\text{disjoint}(C) \colon \ \forall X,Y \in C. \ X \cap Y = \emptyset \end{aligned}
```

For a stack V and a heap H, whenever no_alias(V, H) holds, visually, one can think of the situation as the following: the induced graph of heap H with variables on the stack as additional leaf nodes is a forest: a disjoint union of arborescences (directed trees); consequently, there is at most one path from a live variable on the stack V to a location in H by following the pointers.

First, we define $FV^*(e)$, the multiset of free variables of e. As the usual FV, it is defined inductively over the structure of e; the only unusual thing is that multiple occurences of a free variable x in e will be reflected in the multiplicity of $FV^*(e)$.

Next, we define $locs_{V,H}$ using the previous notion of reachability.

$$locs_{V,H}(e) = \bigcup_{x \in FV(e)} reach_H(V(x))$$

size calculates the *literal size* of a value, e.g. the size to store its address.

$$size(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) = size(v_1) + size(v_2)$$

 $size(_) = 1$

Let card(S) denote the number of unique elements, e.g. the cardinality of a multiset S. We write $\|v\|_H$ for $card(reach_H(v))$ As usual, we extend it to stacks V: $\|V\|_H = \sum_{V(x)=v} \|v\|_H$

copy(H,L,v) takes a heap H, a set of locations L, and a value v, and returns a new heap H' and a location l such that l maps to v in H'.

```
\begin{aligned} copy(H, L, \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) &= \\ &\text{let } L_1 \sqcup L_2 \subseteq L \\ &\text{where } |L_1| = \|v_1\|_H \ , |L_2| = \|v_2\|_H \\ &\text{let } H_1, v_1' = copy(H, L_1, v_1) \\ &\text{let } H_2, v_2' = copy(H_1, L_2, v_2) \text{ in } \\ &H_2, \langle v_1', v_2' \rangle \\ &copy(H, L, l) = \\ &\text{let } l' \in L \text{ in } \\ &\text{let } H', v = copy(H, L \setminus \{l'\}, H(l)) \text{in } \\ &H'\{l' \mapsto v\}, l' \\ &copy(H, L, v) = \\ &H, v \end{aligned}
```

2 GARBAGE COLLECTION SEMANTICS

$$V(x) = v$$

 $g = \{l \in H \mid l \notin F \cup R \cup locs_{V'',H}(e_2)\}\$ $V'', H, R, F \cup g \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v, H', F'$

 $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2$

3 OPERATIONAL SEMANTICS

In order to prove the soundess of the type system, we also define a simplified operational semantics that does not account for garbage collection.

$$V, H \vdash e \Downarrow v, H'$$

This can be read as: under stack V, heap H the expression e evaluates to v, and engenders a new heap H'. We write the representative rules.

$$\begin{split} v &= \langle V(x_1), V(x_2) \rangle \\ \frac{(L \sqcup \{l\}) \cap dom(H) = \emptyset \qquad H', l = copy(H, L, v)}{V, H + cons(x_1; x_2) \Downarrow l, H'} (S_{18}) \\ \\ V(x) &= l \qquad H(l) = \langle v_h, v_t \rangle \\ V' &\subseteq V \qquad dom(V') = FV(e_2) \setminus \{x_h, x_t\} \\ \\ V'' &= V'[x_h \mapsto v_h, x_t \mapsto v_t] \qquad V'', H + e_2 \Downarrow v, H' \\ \hline V, H + case x \{ nil \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid cons(x_h; x_t) \hookrightarrow e_2 \} \Downarrow v, H' \\ \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} V &= V_1 \sqcup V_2 & dom(V_1) = FV(e_1) \\ dom(V_2) &= FV(\texttt{lam}(x:\tau.e_2)) & V_1, H \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \upsilon_1, H_1 \\ \frac{V_2' &= V_2[x \mapsto \upsilon_1] & V_2', H_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow \upsilon_2, H_2}{V, H \vdash \texttt{let}(e_1; x:\tau.e_2) \Downarrow \upsilon_2, H_2} (S_{20}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} V &= V'[x \mapsto v'] \\ L \ fresh \qquad |L| &= \left\|v'\right\|_H \qquad H', v'' = copy(H, L, v') \\ \frac{V'[x_1 \mapsto v', x_2 \mapsto v''], H' + e \Downarrow v, H''}{V, H + \text{shareCopy } x \text{ as } x_1, x_2 \text{ in } e \Downarrow v, H''} (S_{21}) \end{split}$$

4 WELL DEFINED ENVIRONMENTS

In order to define the potential for first-order types, we need a notion of well-define environments, one that relates heap values to semantic values of a type. We first give a denotational semantics for the first-order types:

$$() \in \llbracket \text{unit} \rrbracket$$

$$\bot \in \llbracket \text{bool} \rrbracket$$

$$\top \in \llbracket \text{bool} \rrbracket$$

$$0 \in \llbracket \text{nat} \rrbracket$$

$$n+1 \in \llbracket \text{nat} \rrbracket \text{ if } n \in \llbracket \text{nat} \rrbracket$$

$$[] \in \llbracket L(A) \rrbracket$$

$$\pi(a,l) \in \llbracket L(A) \rrbracket \text{ if } a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket \text{ and } l \in \llbracket L(A) \rrbracket$$

Where semantic set for each type is the least set such that the above holds. Note $\pi(x, y)$ is the usual set-theoretic pairing function, and write $[a_1, ..., a_n]$ for $\pi(a_1, ..., \pi(a_n, []))$.

Now we give the judgements relating heap values to semantic values, in the form $H \vdash v \mapsto a : A$, which can be read as: under heap H, heap value v defines the semantic value $a \in A$.

$$\frac{n \in \mathbb{Z}}{H \vDash n \mapsto n : \mathsf{nat}}(V:\mathsf{ConstI})$$

$$\overline{H \vDash \mathsf{Null} \mapsto n : \mathsf{unit}}(V:\mathsf{ConstI})$$

$$\frac{A \in \mathsf{BType}}{H \vDash \mathsf{Null} \mapsto n : L(A)}(V:\mathsf{Nil})$$

$$\overline{H \vDash \mathsf{T} \mapsto \mathsf{T} : \mathsf{bool}}(V:\mathsf{True}) \qquad \overline{H \vDash \mathsf{F} \mapsto \bot : \mathsf{bool}}(V:\mathsf{False})$$

$$\frac{l \in \mathsf{Loc} \qquad H(l) = \langle v_h, v_t \rangle}{H \vDash v_h \mapsto a_1 : A \qquad H \vDash v_t \mapsto [a_2, \dots, a_n] : L(A)}(V:\mathsf{Cons})$$

$$\overline{H \vDash l \mapsto [a_1, \dots, a_n] : L(A)}(V:\mathsf{Cons})$$

5 STACK VS HEAP ALLOCATED TYPES

In order to share variables, we need to distinguish between types that are allocated on the stack and the heap. We write $\boxed{\mathtt{stack}(A)}$ to denote that values of type A will be allocated *entirely* on the stack at run time (no references into the heap).

$$\frac{A \in \{ \texttt{unit}, \texttt{bool}, \texttt{nat} \}}{\texttt{stack}(A)} (S:Const)$$

$$\frac{\texttt{stack}(A_1) \qquad \texttt{stack}(A_2)}{\texttt{stack}(A_1 \times A_2)} (S:Product)$$

6 LINEAR GARBAGE COLLECTION TYPE RULES

The linear version of the type system takes into account of garbaged collected cells by returning potential locally in a match construct. Since we are interested in the number of heap cells, all constants are assumed to be nonnegative. The second let rule expresses the fact that since stack types don't reference heap cells, any heap cells used in the evaluation of e_1 can be deallocated, as there are no longer references to them in v_1 .

$$\frac{n \in \mathbb{Z}}{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} n : \text{nat}} \text{(L:ConstI)} \qquad \overline{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} () : \text{unit}} \text{(L:ConstU)} \qquad \overline{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} n : \text{nat}} \text{(L:ConstT)} \qquad \overline{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} n : \text{nat}} \text{(L:ConstF)} \qquad \overline{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} n : \text{bool}} \text{(L:ConstF)} \qquad \overline{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} n : \text{bool}} \text{(L:ConstF)} \qquad \overline{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} n : \text{bool}} \text{(L:ConstF)} \qquad \overline{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} n : \text{bool}} \qquad \overline{\Sigma; \Sigma; \Sigma; \lambda \mid \frac{q}{q'} n : \lambda \mid \frac{q}{q'} \mid \frac{q}{q'}$$

Now if we take $\dagger: L^p(A) \mapsto L(A)$ as the map that erases resource annotations, we obtain a simpler typing judgement $\Sigma^{\dagger}: \Gamma^{\dagger} \vdash e : B^{\dagger}$.

7 TYPE RULES FOR SHARING

$$L^{p}(A) - n = L^{\max(p-n,0)}(A-n)$$

 $A_1 \times A_2 - n = A_1 - n \times A_2 - n$
 $A - n = A$

$$\frac{A \ \ \land \ A_1, A_2, 1 \qquad \Sigma; \Gamma, x_1 : A_1, x_2 : A_2 \left| \frac{q}{q'} e : B \right|}{\Sigma; \Gamma, x : A \left| \frac{q}{q'} \text{ share } x \text{ as } x_1, x_2 \text{ in } e : B}$$
(M:Share)

$$\frac{\Sigma; \Gamma_{1} \mid \frac{q}{p} e_{1} : A}{\Sigma; \Gamma_{1} \mid \frac{\mathsf{cf}}{q} e_{1} : A'} \frac{\Sigma; \Gamma_{2}, x : (A'-1) \mid \frac{p}{q'} e_{2} : B}{\Sigma; \Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2} \mid \frac{q}{q'} \mathsf{let}(e_{1}; x : \tau.e_{2}) : B} (\mathsf{M}:\mathsf{Let})$$

Where $A
ightharpoonup A_1, A_2, n$ is the sharing relation defined as:

$$L^p(A) \curlyvee L^q(A_1), L^r(A_2), n$$
 if $p = q + r + n$ and $A \curlyvee A_1, A_2, n$
$$A \curlyvee A_1, A_2$$
 if $\operatorname{stack}(A), \operatorname{stack}(A_1), \operatorname{stack}(A_2)$ and $A \equiv A_1 \equiv A_2$

8 SOUNDNESS FOR LINEAR GC

We simplify the soundness proof of the type system for the general metric to one with monotonic resource. (No function types for now)

Definition 8.1 (Well-formed computation). When considering the input mode arguments of a evaluation judgment $V, H, R, F \vdash e \Downarrow v, H', F'$, we say the 5-tuple (V, H, R, F, e) is a *well-formed computation* given the following:

- (1) dom(V) = FV(e)
- (2) $no_alias(V, H)$, and
- (3) disjoint($\{R, F, locs_{V,H}(e)\}$)

And we write wfc(V, H, R, F, e) to denote this fact.

Lemma 1.1. If
$$\Sigma$$
; $\Gamma \left| \frac{q}{q'} e : B$, then Σ^{\dagger} ; $\Gamma^{\dagger} \vdash e : B^{\dagger}$.

LEMMA 1.2. If Σ ; $\Gamma \left| \frac{q}{q'} e \right| \in B$, then $set(FV^*(e))$ and $dom(\Gamma) = FV(e)$.

PROOF. Induction on the typing judgement.

LEMMA 1.3. Let $H \vDash v \mapsto a : A$. For all sets of locations R, if $reach_H(v) \subseteq R$ and stable(R, H, H'), then $H' \vDash v \mapsto a : A$ and $reach_H(v) = reach_{H'}(v)$.

Proof. Induction on the structure of v.

COROLLARY 1.3.1. Let $H \vDash V : \Gamma$. For all sets of locations R, if $\bigcup_{x \in V} reach_H(V(x)) \subseteq R$ and stable(R, H, H'), then $H' \vDash V : \Gamma$

PROOF. Follows from Lemma 1.3.

LEMMA 1.4. Let $H \vDash v \mapsto a : A$. If $\operatorname{stack}(A)$, then $\Phi_H(v : A) = 0$.

PROOF. Induction on $H \vDash v \mapsto a : A$.

Lemma 1.5 (Heap conservation). Let wfc(V,H,R,F,e), V,H,R,F $\vdash e \Downarrow v,H',F'$, and $g=\gcd(H',R,F')$. Then $\|V\|_H+|F|\leq \|v\|_{H'}+|F'\cup g|$.

Proof. Induction on evaluation.

Case 1: E:Var

$$\begin{split} V &= [x \mapsto v] \qquad \qquad \text{(since } dom(V) = FV(e) = \{x\}) \\ \|V\|_H &= \|v\|_H \qquad \qquad \text{(def of } \|\cdot\|_H) \\ \|V\|_H + |F| &\leq \|v\|_{H'} + |F \cup g| \end{split}$$

Case 2: E:Const* Due to similarity, we show only for E:ConstI

$$\begin{split} V &= \emptyset & \text{(since } dom(V) = FV(e) = \emptyset) \\ \|V\|_H &= \|v\|_H & \text{(def of } \|\cdot\|_H) \\ \|V\|_H + |F| &\leq \|v\|_{H'} + |F \cup g| \end{split}$$

Case 4: E:App

Case 5: E:CondT Similar to E:MatNil Case 6: E:CondF Similar to E:CondT

Case 7: E:Let

Let
$$g' = \gcd(H_2, R, F_2)$$

$$\|V_2'\|_{H_1} + |F_1 \cup g| \le \|v_2\|_{H_2} + |F \cup g'|$$
 (IH on second premise)
$$\|V_2'\|_{H_1} = \|V_2\|_{H_1} + \|v_1\|_{H_1} \quad \text{(definition of semantic size)}$$

$$= \|V_2\|_H + \|v_1\|_{H_1} \quad \text{(main lemma)}$$

$$\|V_2\|_H + \|v_1\|_{H_1} + |F_1 \cup g| \le \|v_2\|_{H_2} + |F \cup g'|$$

$$\|V_1\|_H + \|V_2\|_H + \|v_1\|_{H_1} + |F| + |F| \cup g| \le \|v_1\|_{H_1} + \|v_2\|_{H_2} + |F_1 \cup g| + |F|$$

$$\|V\|_H + |F| \le \|v_2\|_{H_2} + |F \cup g'|$$

(IH on first premise)

Case 8: E:Pair Similar to E:Var

 $||V_1||_H + |F| \le ||v_1||_{H_1} + |F_1 \cup g|$

Case 9: E:MatP Similar to E:MatCons

Case 10: E:Nil Similar to E:Const*

Case 11: E:Cons

П

П

$$\begin{split} V &= [x_1 \mapsto v_1, x_2 \mapsto v_2] \text{ (since } dom(V) = FV(e) = \{x_1, x_2\}) \\ \|V\|_H &= \|v_1\|_H + \|v_2\|_H & \text{(def of } \|\cdot\|_H) \\ \|l\|_{H'} &= 1 + \|H'(l)\|_{H'} = 1 + \|v\|_{H''} = 1 + \|v_1\|_{H''} + \|v_2\|_{H''} \\ & \text{(def of semantic size)} \\ &= 1 + \|v_1\|_H + \|v_1\|_H \\ &= 1 + \|V\|_H \\ L \sqcup \{l\} \subseteq g & (R \cap F = \emptyset \text{ and } L \sqcup \{l\} \subseteq H'') \\ |g| \geq |L \sqcup \{l\}| = size(v) + 1 \\ |F' \cup g| \geq |F| \\ \|V\|_H + |F| \leq \|v\|_{H'} + |F \cup g| \end{split}$$

Case 12: E:MatNil

Case 13: E:MatCons

Let
$$g' = \gcd(H', R, F')$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|V''\|_H + |F \cup g| \le |F' \cup g'| \quad \text{(IH (wfc from main lemma))} \\ & \|V''\|_H = \|V'[x_h \mapsto v_h, x_t \mapsto v_t]\|_H \\ & = \|V'\|_H + \|v_h\|_H + \|v_t\|_H \\ & = \|V'\|_H + \|l\|_H - 1 \\ & = \|V\|_H - 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\|V\|_H - 1 + |F \cup g| \le |F' \cup g'| \qquad (F \cap g = \emptyset)$$

$$\|v\|_H + |F| \le |F' \cup g'| \qquad (|g| \ge 1 \text{ from main lemma)}$$

Case 13: E:Drop

Let
$$g' = gc(H', R, F')$$

$$||V'||_{H} + |F \cup g| \le ||v||_{H'} + |F' \cup g'| \qquad (IH)$$

$$HV = ||+||_{V'}||$$

$$v' ||V||_{H} - ||+||_{v'}|F \cup reach_{H}(v')| \le ||v||_{H'} + |F' \cup g'|$$

$$||V||_{H} - ||+||_{v'}|F| + |reach_{H}(v')| \le ||v||_{H'} + |F' \cup g'|$$

$\ V\ _H + F \le \ v\ _{H'} + F' \cup g' $		$\Sigma; \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \vdash let(e_1; x : \tau.e_2) : B$ $\Sigma; \Gamma_1 \vdash e_1 : A$	(case) (ad.)	
Case 13: E:ShareCopy			no_alias (V, H) , disjoint $(\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\}$	
			C.D.E and Lemma 1.2)	
$e = \text{shareCopy } x \text{ as } x_1, x_2 \text{ in } e$	(case)	By IH, we have invariant on J_1	1.2)	
Let $g' = gc(H', R, F')$		NTS (1) - (3) to instantiate invariant of	on I	
$ V'[x_1 \mapsto v', x_2 \mapsto v''] _{H'} + F \setminus L$ (III) well forms	$ \leq v _{H''} + F' \cup g' $	(1) $dom(V_1) = FV(e_1)$	$(\text{def of } V_1)$	
(IH, well-formedness from main lemma) $ \ V'[x_1 \mapsto v', x_2 \mapsto v'']\ _{H'} + F \setminus L = \ V\ _H + \ v''\ _{H'} + F - I $		(a) II (77 77)	$ias(V,H) \text{ and } V_1 \subseteq V)$	
(stability lemma for copy)	(3) $\operatorname{disjoint}(R', F, locs_{V, H}(e_1))$		
$= V _H + L + F - L $	(lemma about copy)	$F \cap R' = \emptyset$		
$= \ V\ _H + F $		$(F \cap locs_{V,H}(e) = \emptyset \text{ and } locs_{V_2,H}(1 \text{am}(x : \tau.e_2)) \subseteq locs_{V,H}(e))$		
		$FV(e_1) \cap FV(\operatorname{lam}(x : \tau.e_2)) = \emptyset$	(Lemma 1.2)	
		$locs_{V,H}(e_1) \cap locs_{V_2,H}(lam(x:\tau.e_2))$		
LEMMA 1.6. Let Σ ; $\Gamma \left \frac{q}{q'} \right e : B$ and	!V. H. R. F ⊢ e v. H'. F'.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\operatorname{oint}(\{R, locs_{V, H}(e)\}))$	
Then $ V _H - v _{H'} + q \ge q'$.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	$F \cap locs_{V,H}(e_1) = \emptyset$	(Sp.)	
	1 . 1	Thus we have $disjoint(R', F, locs_{V, H})$	(e_1)	
LEMMA 1.7 (MAIN LEMMA). For all $V, H, R, F + e \Downarrow v, H', F'$ and Σ ; Γ		By IH,		
following:	To D. Then given the	(1) $\operatorname{set}(\operatorname{reach}_{H_1}(v_1))$		
$(1) \ dom(V) = FV(e)$		(2) $\operatorname{disjoint}(\{R', F_1, reach_{H_1}(v_1)\})$		
(2) $no_alias(V, H)$, and		(3) $\operatorname{stable}(R', H, H_1)$		
(3) disjoint($\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\}$)		$V_2', H_1, R, F_1 \cup g \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, H_2, F_2$	(ad.)	
We have the follwoing:		$\Sigma; \Gamma_2, x: A \vdash e_2: B$	(ad.)	
(1) $\operatorname{set}(\operatorname{reach}_{H'}(v))$ (2) $\operatorname{disjoint}(\{R, F', \operatorname{reach}_{H'}(v)\}),$	and	$H_1 \vDash V_2' : (\Gamma_2, x : A)$	(???)	
(3) stable(R, H, H')	ana	By IH, we have invariant on J_2		
	1 1	NTS (1) - (3) to instantiate invariant of	on J_2	
PROOF. Nested induction on the of the typing judgement.	evaluation judgement and	$(1) dom(V_2') = FV(e_2)$	(def of V_2')	
the typing judgement.		(2) no_alias (V_2', H_1)	. 2	
Case 1: E:Var		Let $x_1, x_2 \in V2', x_1 \neq x_2$ be arb.		
Suppose $H \vDash V : \Gamma, dom(V) = FV(e)$, no alias (V, H) , disjoint $(\{R, F, A\})$			
$\operatorname{set}(\operatorname{\it reach}_H(v))$	$(\text{no_alias}(V, H))$	$reach_H(V_2'(x_1)) \subseteq R'$		
	$signification (\{R, F, locs_{V,H}(e)\}))$		$cs_{V_2',H}(lam(x: au.e_2)))$	
$no_alias(V, H)$	(Sp.)	$reach_H(V_2'(x_2)) \subseteq R'$	2	
stable(R, H, H')	(H = H')	$(reach_H(V_2'(x_2)) \subseteq lo$	$cs_{V_2',H}(lam(x: au.e_2)))$	
Case 2: E:Const* Due to simi E:ConstI	,		$P(x_1, reach_H(V_2'(x_2)) = reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x_2))$ $P(x_1, H_1)$ and Lemma 1.3)	
Suppose $H \vDash V : \Gamma, dom(V) = FV(e)$, no_alias (V,H) , disjoint $(\{R,F\})$	$reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x_1)) = reach_H(V(x_1)),$ $reach_H(V(x_1)),$ $(stable(R', H_1))$	$reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x_2)) = reach_H(V(x_2))$, H_1) and Lemma 1.3)	
$set(\mathit{reaach}_H(v))$	$(reach_H(v) = \emptyset)$	no_alias (V_2', H_1)	$(no_alias(V, H))$	
$disjoint(\{R, F, \emptyset\})$	(disjoint(R, F))	case: $x_1 = x, x_2 \neq x$	(110_u11u3(* ,11))	
$no_alias(V, H)$	(Sp.)	$reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x_1)) = reach_{H_1}(v_1)$	(def of V_2')	
stable(R, H, H')	(H = H')	$reach_{H_1}(V_2(x_1)) = reach_{H_1}(O_1)$ $reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x_2)) \subseteq R'$	(same as above)	
Case 4: E:App		$set(reach_{H_1}(v_2(x_2))) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ $set(reach_{H_1}(v_1))$	(IH 1.1)	
Case 5: E:CondT Similar to E:MatNil Case 6: E:CondF Similar to E:CondT		•		
		$reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x_2)) = reach_H(V(x_2))$	(same as above)	
Case 7: E:Let		$\operatorname{set}(\operatorname{reach}_{H_1}(V_2'(x_2)))$	$(no_alias(V,H))$	
$V, H, R, F \vdash let(e_1; x : \tau.e_2) \Downarrow v_2$	H_2, F_2 (case)	$reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x_1)) \cap reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x_2))$		
$V, H, R', F \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1, H_1, F_1 \tag{ad.}$		$(disjoint(\{R', reach_{H_1}(v_1)\}))$		

```
Thus we have no_alias(V_2', H_1)
                                                                                            Case 8: E:Pair Similar to E:Var
                                                                                            Case 9: E:MatP Similar to E:MatCons
(3) disjoint(\{R, F_1 \cup g, locs_{V_2', H_1}(e_2)\})
                                                                                            Case 10: E:Nil Similar to E:Const*
R \cap F_1 = \emptyset
                          (disjoint({R', F_1}) \text{ from } 1.2 \text{ and } R \subseteq R')
                                                                                            Case 11: E:Cons
R \cap (F_1 \cup g) = \emptyset
                                                                  (\text{def of } q)
                                                                                          V, H, R, F \vdash e \parallel l, H'', F'
NTS (F_1 \cup g) \cap locs_{V_2', H_1}(e_2) = \emptyset
                                                                                         Suppose H \models V : \Gamma, dom(V) = FV(e), no\_alias(V, H), disjoint(\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\})
Let l \in locs_{V_2', H_1}(e_2) be arb.
                                                                                         NTS (1) - (3) holds after evaluation
l \in reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) for some x' \in V_2'
                                                                                         (1) set(reach_{H''}(l))
case: x' \neq x
                                                                                         stable(\{locs_{V,H}(e)\}, H, H'')
   reach_H(V_2(x')) = reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x'))
                                                          (same as above)
                                                                                          (disjoint({F, locs_{V, H}(e)})) and copy only updates l \in L \subseteq F)
   reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) \subseteq R'
                                                                 (\text{def of } R')
                                                                                         reach_H(V(x_i)) = reach_{H''}(V(x_i))
                                                                                                       (reach_H(V(x_i)) \subseteq locs_{V,H}(e) \text{ and } 1.3 \text{ for } i = 1, 2)
   reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) \cap F_1 = \emptyset
                                        (disjoint({R', F_1}) from 1.2)
                                                                                         reach_{H''}(l) = \{l\} \cup reach_{H''}(V(x_1)) \cup reach_{H''}(V(x_2))
case: x' = x
                                                                                                                                                    (def of reach_H)
   reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) = reach_{H_1}(v_1)
                                                                 (\text{def of } V_2')
                                                                                         set(reach_{H''}(l))
                                                                                                                          (l \notin locs_{V,H}(e) \text{ and no\_alias}(V,H))
   reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) \cap F_1 = \emptyset
                                                                                          (2) disjoint(\{R, F', reach_{H''}(l)\})
                             (disjoint({F_1, reach_{H_1}(v_1)}) \text{ from } 1.2)
                                                                                         R \cap F' = \emptyset
                                                                                                                                  (F' \subseteq F \text{ and disjoint}(\{R, F\}))
reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) \subseteq locs_{V_2',H_1}(e_2)
                                                          (\text{def of } locs_{V,H})
                                                                                         R \cap reach_{H''}(l) = \emptyset
                                                                                                                     (l \in F \text{ and disjoint}(\{R, locs_{V,H}(e)\}))
reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) \cap g = \emptyset
                                                                  (\text{def of } q)
                                                                                          F' \cap reach_{H''}(l) = \emptyset \ (F' \subseteq F \text{ and disjoint}(\{F, locs_{V, H}(e)\}))
Thus reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) \cap (F_1 \cup g) = \emptyset
                                                                                         Thus we have (2) disjoint(\{R, F', reach_{H''}(l)\})
NTS R \cap locs_{V_2', H_1}(e_2) = \emptyset
                                                                                          (3) stable(R, H, H'')
Let l \in locs_{V_2', H_1}(e_2) be arb.
                                                                                                     (since copy only updates l \in L \subseteq F and F \cap R = \emptyset)
l \in reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) for some x' \in V_2'
case: x' \neq x
                                                                                            Case 12: E:MatNil
   reach_H(V_2(x')) = reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x'))
                                                          (same as above)
                                                                                       Suppose H \models V : \Gamma, dom(V) = FV(e), no\_alias(V, H), disjoint(\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\})
   l \in locs_{V,H}(e)
                                                          (\text{def of } locs_{V H})
                                                                                       \Sigma; \Gamma' \vdash e_1 : B
                                                                                                                                                                   (ad.)
   l \notin R
                                (disjoint({R, locs_{V, H}(e)}) from 0.3)
                                                                                       V, H, R, F \cup g \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v, H', F'
                                                                                                                                                                   (ad.)
case: x' = x
                                                                                       H \vDash V' : \Gamma'
                                                                                                                                                      (def of W.D.E)
                                                                 (def of V_2')
   reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) = reach_{H_1}(v_1)
                                                                                       By IH, we have invariant on J_1
   reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) \cap R = \emptyset
                                                                                       NTS (1) - (3) to instantiate invariant on J_1
             (disjoint(\{R', reach_{H_1}(v_1)\}) \text{ from } 1.2 \text{ and } R \subseteq R')
                                                                                       (1) dom(V') = FV(e_1)
Thus reach_{H_1}(V_2'(x')) \cap R = \emptyset
                                                                                                                                                           (\text{def of } V')
Hence we have (3) disjoint(R, F_1 \cup g, locs_{V'_0, H_1}(e_2))
                                                                                       (2) no alias(V', H)
                                                                                                                                   (no\_alias(V, H) \text{ and } V' \subseteq V)
                                                                                       (3) \operatorname{disjoint}(\{R, F, locs_{V', H}(e_1)\})
By instantiating the invariant on J_2, we have
                                                                                          (disjoint({R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)})) and locs_{V', H}(e_1) \subseteq locs_{V, H}(e))
(1) set(reach_{H_2}(v_2))
                                                                                       Instantiating invariant on J_1,
(2) disjoint(\{R, F_2, reach_{H_2}(v_2)\})
                                                                                       (1) set(reach_{H'}(v))
(3) stable(R, H_1, H_2)
                                                                                       (2) \operatorname{disjoint}(\{R, F_1, reach_{H'}(v)\})
Lastly, showing (1) - (3) holds for the original case J_0:
                                                                                       (3) stable(R, H, H')
(1) set(reach_{H_2}(v_2))
                                                                    (By 2.1)
                                                                                            Case 13: E:MatCons
(2) disjoint(\{R, F_2, reach_{H_2}(v_2)\})
                                                                    (By 2.2)
(3) stable(R, H_1, H_2)
                                                                                            V(x) = l
                                                                                                                                                                   (ad.)
Let l \in R be arb.
                                                                                            H(l) = \langle v_h, v_t \rangle
                                                                                                                                                                   (ad.)
H(l) = H_1(l)
                                           (stable(R', H, H_1) \text{ from } 1.3)
                                                                                            \Gamma = \Gamma', x : L(A)
                                                                                                                                                                   (ad.)
H_1(l) = H_2(l)
                                          (stable(R, H_1, H_2) \text{ from } 2.3)
                                                                                            \Sigma; \Gamma', x_h : A, x_t : L(A) \vdash e_2 : B
                                                                                                                                                                   (ad.)
H(l) = H_2(l)
                                                                                            V'', H, R, F \cup g \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, H_2, F'
                                                                                                                                                                   (ad.)
Hence stable(R, H, H_2)
                                                                                            Suppose H \models V : \Gamma, dom(V) = FV(e), no\_alias(V, H), disjoint({F, R, locs_{V, H}(e)})
```

8

 $H \models V(x) : L(A)$

(def of W.D.E)

```
H^{\prime\prime} \vDash v_h : A, H^{\prime\prime} \vDash v_t : L(A)
                                                                           (ad.)
                                                                                              (F \cup g) \cap locs_{V'',H}(e_2) = \emptyset
     H \vDash v_h : A, \ H \vDash v_t : L(A)
                                                                          (???)
                                                                                              Thus disjoint(\{R, F \cup g, locs_{V'', H}(e_2)\})
     H \vDash V'' : \Gamma', x_h : A, x_t : L(A)
                                                              (def of W.D.E)
                                                                                              Instantiating invariant on J_1,
                                                                                              (1) set(reach_{H'}(v))
     By IH, we have invariant on J_1
    NTS (1) - (3) to instantiate invariant on J_1
                                                                                                   disjoint(\{R, F', reach_{H'}(v)\})
     (1) dom(V'') = FV(e_2)
                                                                  (def of V'')
                                                                                                    stable(R, H, H')
     (2) no_alias(V'', H)
    Let x_1, x_2 \in V'', x_1 \neq x_2, r_{x_1} = reach_H(V''(x_1)), r_{x_2} = reach_H(V''(x_2)) as 13: E:Drop
     case: x_1 \notin \{x_h, x_t\}, x_2 \notin \{x_h, x_t\}
                                                                                         e = drop(x; e')
                                                                                                                                                                  (case)
        (1),(2) from no alias(V,H)
                                                                                         V', H, R, F \cup q \vdash e' \downarrow v, H', F'(\mathcal{J}_1)
                                                                                                                                                                    (ad.)
     case: x_1 = x_h, x_2 \notin \{x_h, x_t\}
                                                                                         \Gamma = \Gamma', x : A
                                                                                                                                                                  (case)
        set(r_{x_1})
                                                                                        \Sigma; \Gamma' \mid \frac{q}{q'} e' : B
                  ( since set(reach_H(V(x))) from no_alias(V, H))
                                                   (since no_alias(V, H))
        set(r_{x_2})
                                                                                         Suppose dom(V) = FV(e), no_alias(V, H), disjoint(\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\})
        x_2 \in FV(e)
                                                                  (\text{def of } FV)
                                                                                         By IH, we have invariant on \mathcal{J}_1
        reach_H(V(x)) \cap r_{x_2} = \emptyset
                                                                                         NTS (1) - (3) for \mathcal{J}_1
                                     (def of reach and no_alias(V, H))
                                                                                         (1) dom(V') = FV(e')
                                                                                                                               (dom(V) = FV(e) \text{ and def of } FV)
        hence r_{x_1} \cap r_{x_2} = \emptyset
                                                                                                                                    (no alias(V, H) and V' \subseteq V)
                                                                                         (2) no_alias(V', H)
     case: x_1 = x_h, x_2 = x_t
                                                                                         (3) disjoint(\{R, F \cup g, locs_{V', H}(e')\})
        set(r_{x_1}) since set(reach_H(V(x))) from no_alias(V, H)
                                                                                         g = reach_H(v')
                                                                                                                                                                  (case)
        set(r_{x_2}) since set(reach_H(V(x))) from no_alias(V, H)
                                                                                                                                                     (\text{def of } locs_{V,H})
                                                                                         g \subseteq locs_{V,H}(e)
        r_{x_1} \cap r_{x_2} = \emptyset
                                                       (set(reach_H(V(x))))
                                                                                         R \cap (F \cup g) = \emptyset
     case: otherwise
                                                                                                             (disjoint({R, F})) and disjoint({R, locs_{V, H}(e)}))
        similar to the above
                                                                                         R \cap locs_{V',H}(e') = \emptyset
    Thus we have no alias(V'', H)
                                                                                                 (disjoint(\{R,locs_{V,H}(e)\})) and locs_{V',H}(e) \subseteq locs_{V,H}(e))
     (3) \operatorname{disjoint}(\{R, F \cup g, locs_{V'', H}(e_2)\})
                                                                                         F \cap locs_{V'} H(e') = \emptyset
                                                                                                  (\mathsf{disjoint}(\{\mathsf{F},\mathsf{locs}_{V,H}(e)\}) \text{ and } \mathit{locs}_{V',H}(e) \subseteq \mathit{locs}_{V,H}(e))
     (F \cup g) \cap R = \emptyset
                                    ( since F \cap R = \emptyset and by def of g)
    NTS R \cap locs_{V'',H}(e_2) = \emptyset
                                                                                                                                                    (no\_alias(V, H))
                                                                                         g \cap locs_{V',H}(e') = \emptyset
    Let l' \in locs_{V'', H}(e_2) be arb.
                                                                                         Instantiating invariant on \mathcal{J}_1,
     case: l' \in reach_H(V''(x')) for some x' \in FV(e_2) where x' \notin \{x_h(x_t)\} set\{x_h(x_t)\} set\{x_h(x_t)\}
        x' \in V
                                                                  (def of V'')
                                                                                         (2) \{R, F', reach_{H'}(v)\}
                                                                                         (3) stable(R, H, H')
        l' \in reach_H(V(x'))
        x' \in FV(e)
                                                                  (\text{def of } FV)
        l' \in locs_{V,H}(e)
                                                            (\text{def of } locs_{V,H})
                                                                                              Case 13: E:Share
        l' \notin R
                                           (disjoint({R, F, locs_{V,H}(e)}))
                                                                                         e = \text{shareCopy } x \text{ as } x_1, x_2 \text{ in } e'
                                                                                                                                                                  (case)
    case: l' \in reach_H(V''(x_h))
                                                                                         Suppose H \vDash V : \Gamma, dom(V) = FV(e), no\_alias(V, H), disjoint(\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\})
tom l' \in reach_H(v_h)
                                                                                                                                                          (def. of wtf)
        l' \in reach_H(V(x))
                                                               (def of reach)
                                                                                         Let V_2 = V'[x_1 mapstov', x_2 mapstov'']
        l' \in locs_{V,H}(e)
                                                                                         We show the subsequent computation is also well-formed to invocate the IH:
                                                            (\text{def of } locs_{V,H})
                                                                                                                               (dom(V) = FV(e) \text{ and def of } FV)
        l' \notin R
                                                                                         (1) dom(V_2) = FV(e')
                                   (since disjoint(\{F, R, locs_{V,H}(e)\}))
                                                                                         (2) no_alias(V'[x_1 \mapsto v', x_2 \mapsto v''], H)
     case: l' \in reach_H(V''(x_t))
                                                                                                                                                    (no\_alias(V, H))
        similar to above
                                                                                            Let x' \mapsto v''' \in V'[x_1 \mapsto v'].STS reach_{H'}(v''') \cap reach_{H'}(v'') = \emptyset
    Hence R \cap locs_{V''} H(e_2) = \emptyset
                                                                                            reach_{H'}(v'') \subseteq L \subseteq F
                                                                                                                                               (lemma about copy)
                                                         (Similar to above)
     F \cap locs_{V'',H}(e_2) = \emptyset
                                                                                            reach_{H'}(v''') \subseteq locs_{V'[x_1 \mapsto v'], H'}(e') \subseteq locs_{V, H}(e)
                                                                    (\text{def. of } q)
     g \cap locs_{V'',H}(e_2) = \emptyset
                                                                                                                                       (stability lemma for copy)
```

(3) $\operatorname{disjoint}(\{R, F \setminus L, locs_{V_2, H'}(e')\})$

By IH:

(2) $\{R, F', reach_{H''}(v)\}$

(3) stable(R, H', H'')

STS stable(R, H, H'), which follows from $L \cap R = \emptyset$ and stability for $\operatorname{qoph}_{\vdash} e \Downarrow v_2, H_2$

$$|F| - |F'| \le \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q')$$

$$(1) \quad \operatorname{set}(reach_{H''}(v))$$

 $|F \cup g| - |F'| \le \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q')$

Case 6: E:CondF Similar to E:CondT

 $V, H, R, F \cup g \vdash \text{(wfc(V,H'I, R', F, e))}$

Case 7: E:Let

$$V, H \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, H_1 \tag{ad.}$$

$$\Sigma; \Gamma_1 \mid \frac{q}{p} e_1 : A$$
 (ad.)

 $H \vDash V_1 : \Gamma_1$ (def of W.D.E)

TASK 1.8 (SOUNDNESS). let $H \models V : \Gamma, \Sigma; \Gamma \mid \frac{q}{q'} e : B$, and $V, H \models e \Downarrow v, H'$. Then $\forall C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and $\forall F, R \subseteq \mathsf{Loc}$, if we have the following (existence lemma):

- (1) dom(V) = FV(e)
- (2) $no_alias(V, H)$
- (3) $disjoint({R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)})$, and
- (4) $|F| \ge \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q + C$

then there exists $F' \subseteq Loc s.t.$

- (1) $V, H, R, F \vdash e \Downarrow v, H', F'$
- (2) $|F'| \ge \Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q' + C$

PROOF. Nested induction on the evaluation judgement and the typing judgement.

Case 1: E:Var

$$V, H, R, F + x \parallel V(x), H, F$$
 (admissibility)

$$\Sigma; x : B \mid \frac{q}{q} x : B$$
 (admissibility)

$$|F| - |F'| \tag{1}$$

$$= |F| - |F| \tag{ad.}$$

$$=0 (2)$$

$$\Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q') \tag{3}$$

$$= \Phi_{V,H}(x:B) + q - (\Phi_H(V(x):B) + q)$$
 (ad.)

$$=\Phi_H(V(x):B) + q - (\Phi_H(V(x):B) + q)$$
 (def. of $\Phi_{V,H}$)

$$=0 (4)$$

$$|F| - |F'| \le \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q')$$
 ((3),(5))

Case 2: E:Const* Due to similarity, we show only for E:ConstI

$$|F| - |F'| = |F| - |F|$$
 (ad.)

$$\Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(\upsilon:B) + q') = \Phi_{V,H}(\emptyset) + q - (\Phi_{H}(\upsilon:int) + q)$$
 (ad.)

$$= 0$$
 (def of $\Phi_{V,H}$)

$$|F| - |F'| \le \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q')$$

Case 4: E:App

Case 5: E:CondT

$$\Gamma = \Gamma', x : bool$$
 (ad.)

$$H \vDash V : \Gamma'$$
 (def of W.F.E)

$$\Sigma; \Gamma' \left| \frac{q}{q'} e_t : B \right|$$
 (ad.)

Suppose dom(V) = FV(e), no_alias(V, H), disjoint $(\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\})$, and $|F| \ge 1$

NTF F' s.t. 1. $V, H, R, F \vdash e \Downarrow v_2, H_2, F'$ and

Let $C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, $F, R \subseteq \text{Loc}$ be arb.

$$2.|F'| \ge \Phi_{H_2}(v_2:B) + q' + C$$

Let $R' = R \cup locs_{V,H}(1am(x : \tau.e_2))$

 $disjoint(\{R', F, locs_{V,H}(e_1)\})$

(Similar to case in Lemma 1.7)

(ad.)

(IH)

(case)

Instantiate IH with $C = C + \Phi_{V_2, H}(\Gamma_2)$, F = F, R = R', we get existence lemma NTS (1) - (4) to instantiate existence lemma on J_1

- (1) $dom(V_1) = FV(e_1)$
- (2) $no_alias(V_1, H)$
- (3) $\operatorname{disjoint}(\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\})$

((1) - (3) all verbatim as in Lemma 1.7)

(4) $|F| \ge \Phi_{V_1, H}(\Gamma_1) + q + C + \Phi_{V, H}(\Gamma_2)$

$$(|F| \ge \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q + C \text{ and } \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) \ge \Phi_{V_1,H}(\Gamma_1) + \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma_2))$$

Instantiating existence lemma on J_1 , we get F'' s.t.

$$1.V, H, R', F \vdash e_1 \parallel v_1, H_1, F''$$
 and

$$2.|F''| \ge \Phi_{H_1}(v_1:A) + p + C + \Phi_{V_2,H_1}(\Gamma_2)$$

For the second premise:

$$\Sigma; \Gamma_2, x : A \Big| \frac{p}{q'} e_2 : B \tag{ad.}$$

$$H_1 \vDash v_1 : A \text{ and}$$
 (Theorem 3.3.4)
 $H_1 \vDash V : \Gamma_2$ (???)

$$H_1 \vDash V' : \Gamma_2, x : A$$
 (def of \vDash)

$$V', H_1 \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, H_2 \tag{ad.}$$

Let $g = \{l \in H_1 \mid l \notin F_1 \cup R \cup locs_{V', H_1}(e_2)\}$

Instantiate IH with C = C, $F = F'' \cup g$, R = R, we get existence lemma on J_2 : NTS (1) - (4) to instantiate existence lemma on J_1

- (1) $dom(V_2') = FV(e_2)$
- (2) no_alias (V_2', H_1)
- (3) $\operatorname{disjoint}(\{R, F'' \cup g, locs_{V'_2, H_1}(e_2)\})$

((1) - (3) all verbatim as in Lemma 1.7)

(4)
$$|F'' \cup g| \ge \Phi_{V_2, H_1}(\Gamma_2, x : (A-1)) + p + C$$

STS
$$|F'' \cup g| \ge \Phi_{V_2, H_1}(\Gamma_2) + \Phi_{H_1}(v_1 : (A-1)) + p + C$$

$$|F^{\prime\prime} \cup g| \geq \|V_1\|_H + |F| - \|v_1\|_{H_1} \quad \text{(conservation lemma)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \geq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q + C + \|V_t\|_{H^r} - \|v_t\|_{H^r} \\ & (F) \geq \Phi_H(v) + q + C \\ & (F) \geq \Phi_H(v) + q + C \\ & (F) \geq \Phi_H(v) + q + C \\ & (F) \leq \Phi_H(v) + q + C \\ & (F) \leq \Phi_H(v) + q + C \\ & (F) \leq \Phi_H(v) + q + C \\ & (F) \leq \Phi_H(v) + q + C \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q + Q \\ & (G) = Q + Q \\ &$$

Instantiate IH with C = C, $F = F'' \cup g$, R = R, we get existence lemma20 $|F'|_{\mathbb{R}} \ge \Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q' + C$

Let
$$q = \{l \in H \mid l \notin F \cup R \cup locs_{V'', H}(e_2)\}$$

We want to q nonempty, in particular, that $l \in q$

$$l \notin F \cup R$$
 (disjoint({R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)}))

AFSOC $l \in locs_{V'', H}(e_2)$

Then
$$l \in reach_H(\overline{V}''(x'))$$
 for some $x' \neq x$

$$x' \in \{x_h, x_t\}$$

(since
$$reach_H(\overline{V}(x')) \cap reach_H(\overline{V}(x)) = \emptyset$$
 from no_alias(V, H))

WLOG let
$$x' = x_h$$

But then $\mu_{reach_H(\overline{V}(x))}(l) \geq 2$ and ${\rm set}(reach_(\overline{V}(x)))$ doesn't hold $l \notin locs_{V'',H}(e_2)$

Hence $l \in q$

(similar to case in Lemma 1.2)

By IH with C' = C, $F'' = F \cup g$ and the above conditions, we have: $F^{(3)}$ s.t.

$$1.V^{\prime\prime}, H, R, F \cup g \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v, H^\prime, F^{(3)}$$

$$2.|F^{(3)}| \geq \Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q' + C$$

Where we also verify the precondition that

$$|F^{\prime\prime}| \geq \Phi_{V^{\prime\prime},H}(\Gamma^\prime,x_h:A,x_t:L^p(A)) + q + p + 1 + C^\prime:$$

$$|F''| = |F \cup g|$$

$$= |F| + |g|$$
 (F and g disjoint)

$$\geq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q + C + |g|$$
 (Sp.)

$$= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma', x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A)) + p + q + C + |g|$$

$$= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma', x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A)) + p + q + C + 1$$

(q nonempty)

Now take $F' = F^{(3)}$

$$V, H, R, F \vdash e \Downarrow v, H', F'$$
 (E:MatCons)

$$|F'| \ge \Phi_{H'}(v:B) + q' + C$$
 (From the IH)

Case 13: E:Share

$$V, H \vdash e \Downarrow v, H''$$
 (case)

$$V'[x_1 \mapsto v', x_2 \mapsto v''], H' \vdash e' \downarrow v, H''$$
 (ad)

$$\Sigma; \Gamma, x : A \Big| \frac{q}{q'} e : B$$
 (case)

$$A \Upsilon A_1, A_2, 1$$
 (ad.)

$$\Sigma; \Gamma, x_1 : A_1, x_2 : A_2 \left| \frac{q}{q'} e : B \right|$$
 (ad.)

Let $C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, $F, R \subseteq \text{Loc}$ be arb.

Suppose no_alias(V, H), disjoint($\{R, F, locs_{V, H}(e)\}$), and $|F| \ge \Phi_{V, H}(\Gamma, x : A) + q + C$ NTF F'' s.t.

$$1.V, H, R, F \vdash e \parallel v, H'', F''$$
 and

$$2.|F''| \ge \Phi_{H''}(v:B) + q' + C$$

We need to show the freelist is sufficient for the subsequent computation to invoke the IH:

Instantiate with $C, F \setminus L$, and R

STS
$$|F \setminus L| \ge \Phi_{V_2, H'}(\Gamma, x_1 : A_1, x_2 : A_2) + q + C$$

 $\iff |F| - |L| \ge \Phi_{V_2, H'}(\Gamma) + \Phi_{V_2, H'}(x_1 : A_1) + \Phi_{V_2, H'}(x_2 : A_2) + q + C$

$$\iff |F| \ge \Phi_{V_2,H'}(\Gamma) + \Phi_{V_2,H'}(x_1:A_1) + \Phi_{V_2,H'}(x_2:A_2) + \|v'\|_H + q + C$$

$$\iff |F| \ge \Phi_{V_2,H'}(\Gamma) + \Phi_{V,H}(x:A) + q + C$$
(definition of sharing relation)
$$\iff |F| \ge \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma,x:A) + q + C$$

(stability of copying)

done from assumption

By IH, we get F'' fulfilling the previous two points for the case.

COPY-FREE GARBAGE COLLECTION SEMANTICS

Next, we have no_alias(V'', H) and disjoint($\{R, F \cup g, locs_{V'', H}(e_2)\}$) Consider the GC semantics (from now on copy semantics) above, with the share rule replaced with the following:

s.t.
$$V = V'[x \mapsto v']$$

$$\frac{V'[x_1 \mapsto v', x_2 \mapsto v'], H', R, F \vdash e \Downarrow v, H'', F'}{V, H, R, F \vdash \text{share } x \text{ as } x_1, x_2 \text{ in } e \Downarrow v, H'', F'}(S_{22})$$

Call this new semantics free semantics for copy-free. It is easy to see that any terminating computaion in copy has a corresponding one in free that can be instantiated with an equal or smaller freelist. This is expressed as the following

LEMMA 1.9. Let $V, H, R, F \vdash^{copy} v, H', F'$. Then there exists an F'' s.t. $V, H, R, F \vdash^{\text{free}} v, H', F''$ and $|F''| \ge |F'|$.