

15-312 Assignment 1

Andrew Carnegie
(andrew)

October 18, 2017

Type	$\tau ::=$		
	nat	nat	naturals
	unit	unit	unit
	bool	bool	boolean
	prod ($\tau_1; \tau_2$)	$\tau_1 \times \tau_2$	product
	arr ($\tau_1; \tau_2$)	$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	function
	list (τ)	τ list	list
Exp	$e ::=$		
	x	x	variable
	nat [n]	\bar{n}	number
	unit	()	unit
	T	T	true
	F	F	false
	if ($x; e_1; e_2$)	if x then e_1 else e_2	if
	lam ($x : \tau.e$)	$\lambda x : \tau.e$	abstraction
	ap ($f; x$)	$f(x)$	application
	tpl ($x_1; x_2$)	$\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$	pair
	case ($x_1, x_2.e_1$)	case $p \{ (x_1; x_2) \hookrightarrow e_1 \}$	match pair
	nil	\square	nil
	cons ($x_1; x_2$)	$x_1 :: x_2$	cons
	case { l }($e_1; x, xs.e_2$)	case $l \{ \mathbf{nil} \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid \mathbf{cons}(x; xs) \hookrightarrow e_2 \}$	match list
	let ($e_1; x : \tau.e_2$)	let $x = e_1$ in e_2	let
Val	$v ::=$		
	val (n)	n	numeric value
	val (T)	T	true value
	val (F)	F	false value
	val (Null)	Null	null value
	val (cl ($V; x.e$))	($V, x.e$)	function value
	val (l)	l	loc value
	val (pair ($v_1; v_2$))	$\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$	pair value
State	$s ::=$		
	alive	alive	live value
	dead	dead	dead value
Loc	$l ::=$		
	loc (l)	l	location
Var	$l ::=$		
	var (x)	x	variable

1 Garbage collection semantics

Model dynamics using judgement of the form:

$$\boxed{V, H, R, F \vdash e \Downarrow v, H', F'}$$

Where $V : \text{Var} \rightarrow \text{Val} \times \text{State}$, $H : \text{Loc} \rightarrow \text{Val}$, $R \subseteq \text{Loc}$, and $F \subseteq \text{Loc}$. This can be read as: under stack V , heap H , roots R , freelist F , the expression e evaluates to v , and engenders a new heap H' and freelist F' .

Note that the stack maps each variable to a value v *and* a state s . If s is alive, then v can still be used, while **dead** indicates that v is already used and cannot be used again. We write $\overline{V} = \{x \in V \mid V(x) = (-, \mathbf{alive})\}$ for the variables in V that are alive.

Roots represents the set of locations required to compute the continuation *excluding* the current expression. We can think of roots as the heap allocations necessary to compute the context with a hole that will be filled by the current expression.

Below defines the size of reachable values and space for roots:

$$locs_{V,H}(e) = \bigcup_{x \in FV(e)} \{l \in H \mid \exists l' \in root(x). H \models p : l' \rightsquigarrow l\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} size(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) &= size(v_1) + size(v_2) \\ size(-) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} copy(H, L, \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) &= \\ &\text{let } L_1 \subseteq L \text{ with } |L_1| = size(v_1) \text{ in} \\ &\text{let } H_1, - = copy(H, L_1, v_1) \text{ in} \\ ©(H_1, L \setminus L_1, v_2) \\ copy(H, l, v) &= H[l \mapsto v], l \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{x \in \text{dom}(V)}{V, H, R, F \vdash x \Downarrow V(x), H, F}^{(S_1)} \quad \frac{}{V, H, R, F \vdash \bar{n} \Downarrow \text{val}(n), H, F}^{(S_2)} \\
\\
\frac{}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{T} \Downarrow \text{val}(\mathbf{T}), H, F}^{(S_3)} \quad \frac{}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{F} \Downarrow \text{val}(\mathbf{F}), H, F}^{(S_4)} \\
\\
\frac{}{V, H, R, F \vdash () \Downarrow \text{val}(\mathbf{Null}), H, F}^{(S_5)} \\
\\
\frac{V(x) = \mathbf{T} \quad g = \{l \in H \mid l \notin F \cup R \cup \text{locs}_{V,H}(e_1)\} \quad V, H, R, F \cup g \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v, H', F'}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{if}(x; e_1; e_2) \Downarrow v, H', F'}^{(S_6)} \\
\\
\frac{V(x) = \mathbf{F} \quad g = \{l \in H \mid l \notin F \cup R \cup \text{locs}_{V,H}(e_2)\} \quad V, H, R, F \cup g \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v, H', F'}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{if}(x; e_1; e_2) \Downarrow v, H', F'}^{(S_7)} \\
\\
\frac{l \in F \quad F' = F \setminus \{l\} \quad H' = H[l \mapsto (V, x.e)]}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{lam}(x : \tau.e) \Downarrow l, H', F'}^{(S_8)} \\
\\
\frac{V(f) = (V_1, x.e) \quad V(x) = v_1 \quad V_1[x \mapsto v_1], H, R \vdash e \Downarrow v, H', F'}{V, H, R, F \vdash f(x) \Downarrow v, H', F'}^{(S_9)} \\
\\
\frac{V(x_1) = v_1 \quad V(x_2) = v_2}{V, H, R, F \vdash \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle, H, F}^{(S_{10})} \\
\\
\frac{g = \{l \in H \mid l \notin F \cup R \cup \text{locs}_{V,H}(e)\} \quad V(x) = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \quad V[x_1 \mapsto v_1, x_2 \mapsto v_2], H, R, F \cup g \vdash e \Downarrow v, H', F'}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{case } x \{ (x_1; x_2) \hookrightarrow e \} \Downarrow v, H', F'}^{(S_{11})} \\
\\
\frac{}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{nil} \Downarrow \text{val}(\mathbf{Null}), H, F}^{(S_{12})} \\
\\
\frac{v = \langle V(x_1), V(x_2) \rangle \quad L \subseteq F \quad |L| = \text{size}_H(v) \quad F' = F \setminus L \quad H', l = \text{copy}(H, L, v)}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{cons}(x_1; x_2) \Downarrow l, H', F'}^{(S_{13})} \\
\\
\frac{V(x) = \mathbf{Null} \quad g = \{l \in H \mid l \notin F \cup R \cup \text{locs}_{V',H}(e_1)\} \quad V, H, R, F \cup g \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v, H', F'}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{case } x \{ \mathbf{nil} \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid \mathbf{cons}(x_h; x_t) \hookrightarrow e_2 \} \Downarrow v, H', F'}^{(S_{14})} \\
\\
\frac{H(l) = \langle v_h, v_t \rangle \quad V' = V\{x \mapsto (l, \mathbf{dead})\} \quad V'' = V'[x_h \mapsto (v_h, \mathbf{alive}), x_t \mapsto (v_t, \mathbf{alive})] \quad g = \{l \in H \mid l \notin F \cup R \cup \text{locs}_{V'',H}(e_2)\} \quad V'', H, R, F \cup g \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v, H', F'}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{case } x \{ \mathbf{nil} \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid \mathbf{cons}(x_h; x_t) \hookrightarrow e_2 \} \Downarrow v, H', F'}^{(S_{15})} \\
\\
\frac{R' = R \cup \text{locs}_{V,H}(\mathbf{lam}(x : \tau.e_2)) \quad V, H, R', F \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, H_1, F_1 \quad V' = V[x \mapsto v_1] \quad R'' = R \cup \text{locs}_{V',H_1}(e_2) \quad g = \{l \in H_1 \mid l \notin R'' \cup F_1\} \quad V', H_1, R, F_1 \cup g \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, H_2, F_2}{V, H, R, F \vdash \mathbf{let}(e_1; x : \tau.e_2) \Downarrow v_2, H_2, F_2}^{(S_{16})}
\end{array}$$

2 Operation semantics

In order to prove the soundness of the type system, we also define a simplified operational semantics that does not account for garbage collection.

$$\boxed{V, H \vdash e \Downarrow v, H'}$$

This can be read as: under stack V , heap H the expression e evaluates to v , and engenders a new heap H'

3 Type rules

The type system takes into account of garbaged collected cells by returning potential locally in a match construct. Since we are interested in the number of heap cells, all constants are assumed to be nonnegative.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{n \in \mathbb{Z}}{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} n : \text{nat}} (\text{L:ConstI}) \quad \frac{}{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} () : \text{unit}} (\text{L:ConstU}) \quad \frac{}{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} \text{T} : \text{bool}} (\text{L:ConstT}) \\
\\
\frac{}{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} \text{F} : \text{bool}} (\text{L:ConstF}) \quad \frac{}{\Sigma; x : B \mid \frac{q}{q} x : B} (\text{L:Var}) \\
\\
\frac{\Sigma; \Gamma \mid \frac{q}{q'} e_t : B \quad \Sigma; \Gamma \mid \frac{q}{q'} e_f : B}{\Sigma; \Gamma, x : \text{bool} \mid \frac{q}{q'} \text{if } x \text{ then } e_t \text{ else } e_f : B} (\text{L:Cond}) \\
\\
\frac{}{\Sigma; x_1 : A_1, x_2 : A_2 \mid \frac{q}{q} \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle : A_1 \times A_2} (\text{L:Pair}) \\
\\
\frac{\Sigma; \Gamma, x_1 : A_1, x_2 : A_2 \mid \frac{q}{q'} e : B}{\Sigma; \Gamma, x : (A_1, A_2) \mid \frac{q}{q'} \text{case } x \{ (x_1; x_2) \hookrightarrow e \} : B} (\text{L:MatP}) \quad \frac{}{\Sigma; \emptyset \mid \frac{q}{q} \text{nil} : L^p(A)} (\text{L:Nil}) \\
\\
\frac{}{\Sigma; \Gamma, x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A) \mid \frac{q+p+1}{q} \text{cons}(x_h; x_t) : L^p(A)} (\text{L:Cons}) \\
\\
\frac{\Sigma; \Gamma \mid \frac{q}{q'} e_1 : B \quad \Sigma; \Gamma, x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A) \mid \frac{q+p+1}{q'} e_2 : B}{\Sigma; \Gamma, x : L^p(A) \mid \frac{q}{q'} \text{case } x \{ \text{nil} \hookrightarrow e_1 \mid \text{cons}(x_h; x_t) \hookrightarrow e_2 \} : B} (\text{L:MatL}) \\
\\
\frac{\Sigma; \Gamma_1 \mid \frac{q}{p} e_1 : A \quad \Sigma; \Gamma_2, x : A \mid \frac{p}{q'} e_2 : B}{\Sigma; \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \mid \frac{q}{q'} \text{let}(e_1; x : \tau.e_2) : B} (\text{L:Let})
\end{array}$$

Now if we take $\dagger : L^p(A) \mapsto L(A)$ as the map that erases resource annotations, we obtain a simpler typing judgement $\boxed{\Sigma^\dagger; \Gamma^\dagger \vdash e : B^\dagger}$.

4 Paths and aliasing

In order to prove soundness of the type system, we need some auxiliary judgements to defining properties of a heap. Below we define $root : Val \rightarrow \{\{Loc\}\}$ that maps stack values to the root *multiset*, the multiset of locations that's already on the stack.

$$\begin{aligned} root(\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle) &= root(v_1) \uplus root(v_2) \\ root(l) &= \{l\} \\ root(-) &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

For a multiset S , we write $\mu : S \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^+$ for the multiplicity function of S , which maps each element to the count of its occurrence. If $\forall s \in S. \mu(s) = 1$, then S is a property set, and we denote it by $set(S)$.

Next, we define the judgements $\boxed{H \models p : l \rightsquigarrow l'}$ for path formation and $\boxed{H \models p = p' : l \rightsquigarrow l'}$ for path equality. A path can be thought of as a sequence of locations that is traversable by following pointers in the heap.

$$\boxed{H \models p : l \rightsquigarrow l'}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{l \in H}{H \models id_l : l \rightsquigarrow l} (Id) \quad & \frac{H(l) = v \quad l' \in root(v) \quad l' \in H}{H \models (l, l') : l \rightsquigarrow l'} (Edge) \\ \frac{H \models p : l \rightsquigarrow l' \quad H \models q : l' \rightsquigarrow l''}{H \models q \circ p : l \rightsquigarrow l''} (Comp) \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{H \models p = p' : l \rightsquigarrow l'}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H \models p : l \rightsquigarrow l'}{H \models p \circ id_l \equiv p : l \rightsquigarrow l'} (LeftID) \quad & \frac{H \models p : l \rightsquigarrow l'}{H \models id_{l'} \circ p \equiv p : l \rightsquigarrow l'} (RightID) \\ \frac{H(l) = v \quad l' \in root(v) \quad l' \in H \quad H \models p \equiv q : l' \rightsquigarrow l''}{H \models p \circ (l, l') \equiv q \circ (l, l') : l \rightsquigarrow l''} (Eq) \end{aligned}$$

Note that it is *not* the case that $id_l \equiv (l, l) : l \rightsquigarrow l$, since the former is an actual identity, while the latter is an infinite loop in the heap: $H(l) = l$.

Next, we define the predicates $forest(H)$ and no_alias :

$forest(H)$: $\forall l, l_1, l_2 \in H$, if $H \models p : l_1 \rightsquigarrow l$ and $H \models q : l_2 \rightsquigarrow l$, then $l_1 = l_2$ and $H \models p \equiv q : l_1 \rightsquigarrow l$.

$no_alias(V)$: $\forall x, y \in \bar{V}$, $x \neq y$. Let $r_x = root(\bar{V}(x))$, $r_y = root(\bar{V}(y))$. Then:

- (1) $set(r_x), set(r_y)$
- (2) $r_x \cap r_y = \emptyset$

If the induced graph of heap H is a forest, then it is a disjoint union of directed trees, and there is at most one path from one location in H to another following the pointers.

5 Soundness for heap allocation

We simplify the soundness proof of the type system for the general metric to one with monotonic resource. (No function types for now)

Lemma 1.1. *If $\Sigma; \Gamma \mid_{q'}^q e : B$, then $\Sigma^\dagger; \Gamma^\dagger \mid_{q'}^q e : B^\dagger$.*

Lemma 1.2. *For all stacks V and heaps H , if $\text{no_alias}(V)$, $\text{forest}(H)$, $\Sigma^\dagger; \Gamma^\dagger \mid_{q'}^q e : B^\dagger$, $F \cap R = \emptyset$, $H \models V : \Gamma$, and $V, H, R, F \vdash e \Downarrow v, H', F'$, then $F' \cap R = \emptyset$ and $\text{forest}(H')$.*

Task 1.3 (Soundness). *let $H \models V : \Gamma$, $\Sigma; \Gamma \mid_{q'}^q e : B$, and $V, H \vdash e \Downarrow v, H'$. Then $\forall C \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ and $\forall F \subseteq \text{Loc}$ with $|F| \geq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q + C$, there exists $F' \subseteq \text{Loc}$ s.t. if $\text{no_alias}(V)H$, $R \cap \text{locs}_{V,H}(e) = \emptyset$, and $F \cap \text{locs}_{V,H}(e) = \emptyset$, then*

1. $V, H, R, F \vdash e \Downarrow v, H', F'$
2. $|F'| \geq \Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q' + C$

Proof. Induction on the evaluation judgement.

Case 1: E:Var

$$\begin{aligned}
V, H, R, F \vdash x \Downarrow V(x), H, F & \quad (\text{admissibility}) \\
\Sigma; x : B \mid_q^q x : B & \quad (\text{admissibility}) \\
|F| - |F'| & \quad (1) \\
= |F| - |F| & \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
= 0 & \quad (2) \\
\Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') & \quad (3) \\
= \Phi_{V,H}(x : B) + q - (\Phi_H(V(x) : B) + q) & \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
= \Phi_H(V(x) : B) + q - (\Phi_H(V(x) : B) + q) & \quad (\text{def. of } \Phi_{V,H}) \\
= 0 & \quad (4) \\
|F| - |F'| \leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') & \quad ((3),(5))
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: E:Const* Due to similarity, we show only for E:ConstI

$$\begin{aligned}
|F| - |F'| &= |F| - |F| & (\text{ad.}) \\
= 0 & \\
\Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') &= \Phi_{V,H}(\emptyset) + q - (\Phi_H(v : \text{int}) + q) & (\text{ad.}) \\
= 0 & & (\text{def of } \Phi_{V,H}) \\
|F| - |F'| &\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q')
\end{aligned}$$

Case 4: E:App

Case 5: E:CondT

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma &= \Gamma', x : \mathbf{bool} && (\text{ad.}) \\
H &\models V : \Gamma' && (\text{def of W.F.E}) \\
\Sigma; \Gamma' &\Big|_{q'}^q e_t : B && (\text{ad.}) \\
V, H, R, F \cup g &\vdash e_t \Downarrow v, H', F' && (\text{ad.}) \\
|F \cup g| - |F'| &\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') && (\text{IH}) \\
|F| - |F'| &\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q')
\end{aligned}$$

Case 6: E:CondF Similar to E:CondT

Case 7: E:Let

$$\begin{aligned}
V, H, R', F &\vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, H_1, F_1 && (\text{ad.}) \\
\Sigma; \Gamma_1 &\Big|_p^q e_1 : A && (\text{ad.}) \\
H &\models V : \Gamma_1 && (\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma) \\
|F| - |F_1| &\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma_1) + q - (\Phi_{H_1}(v_1 : A) + p) && (\text{IH}) \\
V', H_1, R, F_1 \cup g &\vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, H_2, F_2 && (\text{ad.}) \\
\Sigma; \Gamma_2, x : A &\Big|_{q'}^p e_2 : B && (\text{ad.}) \\
H_1 &\models v_1 : A \text{ and} && (\text{Theorem 3.3.4}) \\
H_1 &\models V : \Gamma_2 && (???) \\
H_1 &\models V' : \Gamma_2, x : A && (\text{def of } \models) \\
|F_1 \cup g| - |F_2| &\leq \Phi_{V',H_1}(\Gamma_2, x : A) + p - (\Phi_{H_2}(v_2 : B) + q') && (\text{IH}) \\
|F_1| - |F_2| &\leq \Phi_{V',H_1}(\Gamma_2, x : A) + p - (\Phi_{H_2}(v_2 : B) + q') \\
\text{summing the inequalities:} \\
|F| - |F_1| + |F_1| - |F_2| &\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma_1) + q - (\Phi_{H_1}(v_1 : A) + p) + \Phi_{V',H_1}(\Gamma_2, x : A) + p - (\Phi_{H_2}(v_2 : B) + q') \\
|F| - |F_2| &\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma_1) + q - \Phi_{H_1}(v_1 : A) + \Phi_{V',H_1}(\Gamma_2, x : A) - (\Phi_{H_2}(v_2 : B) + q') \\
&= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma_1) + \Phi_{V',H_1}(\Gamma_2) + q - \Phi_{H_1}(v_1 : A) + \Phi_{V',H_1}(x : A) - (\Phi_{H_2}(v_2 : B) + q') \\
&\hspace{15em} (\text{def of } \Phi_{V,H}) \\
nn \quad &= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma_1) + \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma_2) + q - \Phi_{H_1}(v_1 : A) + \Phi_{V',H_1}(x : A) - (\Phi_{H_2}(v_2 : B) + q') \\
&\hspace{15em} (\text{Lemma 4.3.3}) \\
&= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - \Phi_{H_1}(v_1 : A) + \Phi_{H_1}(v_1 : A) - (\Phi_{H_2}(v_2 : B) + q') \\
&\hspace{15em} (\text{def of } \Phi_{V,H}) \\
&= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H_2}(v_2 : B) + q')
\end{aligned}$$

Case 8: E:Pair Similar to E:Const*

Case 9: E:MatP Similar to E:MatCons

Case 10: E:Nil Similar to E:Const*

Case 11: E:Cons

$$\begin{aligned}
& |F| - |F'| \\
&= |F| - |F \setminus \{l\}| \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
&= 1 \\
&\Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') \\
&= \Phi_{V,H}(x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A)) + q + p + 1 - (\Phi_{H'}(v : L^p(A)) + q) \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
&= \Phi_{V,H}(x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A)) + p + 1 - \Phi_{H'}(v : L^p(A)) \\
&= \Phi_H(V(x_h) : A) + \Phi_H(V(x_t) : L^p(A)) + p + 1 - \Phi_{H'}(v : L^p(A)) \quad (\text{def of } \Phi_{V,H}) \\
&= \Phi_H(v_h : A) + \Phi_H(v_t : L^p(A)) + p + 1 - \Phi_{H'}(v : L^p(A)) \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
&= \Phi_H(v_h : A) + \Phi_H(v_t : L^p(A)) + p + 1 - (p + \Phi_{H'}(v_h : A) + \Phi_{H'}(v_t : L^p(A))) \\
&\quad (\text{Lemma 4.1.1}) \\
&= \Phi_H(v_h : A) + \Phi_H(v_t : L^p(A)) + p + 1 - (p + \Phi_H(v_h : A) + \Phi_H(v_t : L^p(A))) \\
&\quad (\text{Lemma 4.3.3}) \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|F| - |F'| \leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q')$$

Case 12: E:MatNil Similar to E:Cond*

Case 13: E:MatCons

$$\begin{aligned}
V(x) &= (l, \text{alive}) \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
H(l) &= \langle v_h, v_t \rangle \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
\Gamma &= \Gamma', x : L^p(A) \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
\Sigma; \Gamma', x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A) &\vdash \frac{q+p+1}{q'} e_2 : B \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
V'', H, R, F \cup g &\vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, H_2, F' \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
H &\models V(x) : L^p(A) \quad (\text{def of W.D.E}) \\
H'' &\models v_h : A, H'' \models v_t : L^p(A) \quad (\text{ad.}) \\
H &\models v_h : A, H \models v_t : L^p(A) \quad (???) \\
H &\models V'' : \Gamma', x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A) \quad (\text{def of W.D.E}) \\
\text{Suppose } \text{no_alias}(V)H, R \cap \text{locs}_{V,H}(e) &= \emptyset, \text{ and } F \cap \text{locs}_{V,H}(e) = \emptyset \\
\text{NTS } |F| - |F'| &\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') \text{ and } \text{no_alias}(V)H' \\
\text{WTS } \text{no_alias}(V'')H \\
\text{let } l \in H \text{ arbitrary, } y, z \in \overline{V}'' \text{ arbitrary, } r_y &= \text{root}(\overline{V}''(y)), r_z = \text{root}(\overline{V}''(z)) \\
\text{case: } y \notin \{x_h, x_t\}, z \notin \{x_h, x_t\} \\
y, z \in \overline{V} &\quad (\text{def of } V'') \\
(1) - (3) \text{ holds} &\quad (\text{Sp.}) \\
\text{case: } y = x_h, z \notin \{x_h, x_t\}
\end{aligned}$$

$\text{set}(\text{root}(\langle v_h, v_t \rangle))$ (Sp.)
 $\text{set}(\text{root}(v_h))$ (def of set)
 $\text{set}(r_y)$ (def of V'')
 $z \in \overline{V}$ (def of V'')
 $\text{set}(r_z)$ (Sp.)
 hence we have (1)
 Suppose $l' \in r_y \cap r_z$
 $l' \in H$ ($H \models V'' : \Gamma', x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A)$)
 $H \models \text{id}_{l'} : l' \rightsquigarrow l'$ (Id)
 $H \models (l, l') : l \rightsquigarrow l'$ (Edge)
 $H \models \text{id}_{l'} \equiv (l, l') : l' \rightsquigarrow l'$ ($\text{linear}_H(r_x, r_z)$)
 contradiction, hence $r_y \cap r_z = \emptyset$, (hence we have (2))
 let $l' \in H$ arbitrary, $l_1, l_2 \in r_y$ (arbitrary)
 suppose $H \models p : l_1 \rightsquigarrow l', H \models q : l_2 \rightsquigarrow l'$
 $H \models (l, l_1) : l \rightsquigarrow l_1$ and $H \models (l, l_2) : l \rightsquigarrow l_2$ (Edge)
 $H \models p \circ (l, l_1) : l \rightsquigarrow l'$ and $H \models q \circ (l, l_2) : l \rightsquigarrow l'$ (Comp)
 $H \models p \circ (l, l_1) \equiv q \circ (l, l_2) : l \rightsquigarrow l'$ ($\text{linear}_H(r_x, r_x)$)
 $H \models p \equiv q : l_1 \rightsquigarrow l'$ (inversion on Eq)
 hence we have $\text{linear}_H(r_y, r_y)$
 $\text{linear}_H(r_z, r_z)$ (Sp.)
 let $l' \in H$ arbitrary, $l_1 \in r_y, l_2 \in r_z$ (arbitrary)
 suppose $H \models p : l_1 \rightsquigarrow l', H \models q : l_2 \rightsquigarrow l'$
 $H \models (l, l_1) : l \rightsquigarrow l_1$ (Edge)
 $H \models p \circ (l, l_1) : l \rightsquigarrow l'$ (Comp)
 $l = l_2$ ($\text{linear}_H(r_x, r_z)$)
 contradiction since $r_x \cap r_z = \emptyset$
 hence we have $\text{linear}_H(r_y, r_z)$
 hence we have (3)
case: $y = x_t, z \notin \{x_h, x_t\}$
case: $y \neq \{x_h, x_t\}, z = x_h$
case: $y \neq \{x_h, x_t\}, z = x_t$
 all symmetric to previous case
case: $y = x_h, z = x_t$
 we get (1) the same way as the previous case
 $\text{set}(\text{root}(\langle v_h, v_t \rangle))$ ((1))
 $\text{set}(\text{root}(v_h) \uplus \text{root}(v_t))$ (def of root)
 $\text{root}(v_h) \cap \text{root}(v_t) = \emptyset$ (def of set)

$$r_y \cap r_z = \emptyset \quad (\text{def of } r_y, r_z)$$

we get (3) the same way as the previous case

hence we have $\text{no_alias}(V'')H$

let $l' \in \text{locs}_{V'',H}(e_2)$ arbitrary

$$\exists! x' \in \bar{V}''. \exists! l'' \in \text{root}(\bar{V}''(x')). H \models p : l'' \rightsquigarrow l' \quad (\text{def of } \text{locs}_{V,H})$$

case: $x' \notin \{x_h, x_t\}$

$$x \in \bar{V} \quad (\text{def of } V'')$$

$$l' \in \text{locs}_{V,H}(e) \quad (\text{def of } \text{locs}_{V,H})$$

case: $x' = x_h$

$$H \models (l, l'') : l \rightsquigarrow l'' \quad (\text{Edge})$$

$$H \models p \circ (l, l'') : l \rightsquigarrow l' \quad (\text{Comp})$$

$$l' \in \text{locs}_{V,H}(e) \quad (\text{def of } \text{locs}_{V,H})$$

thus we have $\text{locs}_{V''H}(e_2) \subseteq \text{locs}_{V,H}(e)$

$$F \cap \text{locs}_{V'',H}(e_2) = \emptyset \quad (\text{Sp.})$$

$$g \cap \text{locs}_{V'',H}(e_2) = \emptyset \quad (\text{def. of } g)$$

$$(F \cup g) \cap \text{locs}_{V'',H}(e_2) = \emptyset$$

$$|F \cup g| - |F'| \leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma', x_h : A, x_t : L^p(A)) + q + p + 1 - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') \quad (\text{IH})$$

$$= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma') + \Phi_H(v_h : A) + \Phi_H(v_t : L^p(A)) + p + q + 1 - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') \quad (\text{def of } \Phi_{V,H})$$

$$= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma') + \Phi_H(\langle v_h, v_t \rangle^L : L^p(A)) + q + 1 - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') \quad (\text{Lemma 4.1.1})$$

$$= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma', z : L^p(A)) + q + 1 - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') \quad (\text{def of } \Phi_{V,H})$$

$$= \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q + 1 - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') \quad (\text{Lemma 4.1.1})$$

suppose $l \in \text{locs}_{V',H}(e_2)$

$$\exists x' \in FV(e_2) \cap \bar{V}'', l' \in \text{root}(\bar{V}''(x')). x \neq x', H \models p : l' \rightsquigarrow l \quad (\text{def. of } \text{locs}_{V,H})$$

case: $x' \notin \{x_h, x_t\}$

contradiction $\text{byno_alias}(V)H$

case: $x' = x_h$

$$H \models p \circ (l, l') : l \rightsquigarrow l$$

$$H \models id_l : l \rightsquigarrow l$$

contradiction since $\text{linear}_H(r_x, r_x)$

hence we have $l \notin \text{locs}_{V'',H}(e_2)$

$$l \in g \quad (\text{def of } g)$$

$$|g| \geq 1$$

$$|F \cup g| - |F'|$$

$$= |F| + |g| - |F'| \quad (F, g \text{ disjoint})$$

Hence,

$$|F| + |g| - |F'| \leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q + 1 - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q')$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|F| - |F'| &\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q + 1 - |g| - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q') \\
&\leq \Phi_{V,H}(\Gamma) + q - (\Phi_{H'}(v : B) + q')
\end{aligned}
\tag{|g| \geq 1}$$

□