

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

We would like to thank you for your consideration and helpful comments on our work. We are delighted that our manuscript was deemed fit for publication in your journal. We would again like to thank all three reviewers for their comments and suggestions, our paper has benefited from them. Please find below a point by point response to the latest comments and our corresponding actions if applicable. We hope that our proposed changes will sufficiently address all of your concerns.

Reviewer 2

Reviewer comment: Thanks for addressing my comments. Your research was very interesting.

Response from authors: Thank you very much.

Reviewer 3

Reviewer comment: Good responses to revision requests. Well done.

Response from authors: Thank you very much.

Guest Editor

Guest Editor comments:

1. Page 4, line 20: 'An SOM' should be 'A SOM'

Response from authors: This has been corrected.

2. All numerical and graphical results in the article should be reproducible. Please be prepared to upload data and source code used to generate the results. A github repository has been established. The editors need your github username to add the corresponding author to the repository as a collaborator. Please send the username to martinez.wendy@bls.gov. You can go to github.com and sign up for a free account, if you do not have one.

Response from authors: The source code has been uploaded to the Github repository as directed by Dr. Martinez. Our manuscript now mentions the Github repository, right before the References section.

Editor-in-chief

Editor-in-chief comments: Realizing that several of the authors for this special issue (SI) may not be familiar with our journal, let me provide an overview of editorial requirements that may have to be addressed in your article. This is a general overview. Some of these comments may not be applicable to your

manuscript. Please check the list below and revise your article as needed.

Response from authors: Thank you for your thoughtful overview and comments.

Please find our response for each point made.

1. Authors: Include full first names, affiliations, e-mail & phone numbers for all authors.

Response from authors: All of the above have been added for each author.

2. Keywords: About 3 to 6. But do not repeat keywords in the same form / exact word order as they appear in the title. Using the full term in the title and an abbreviation as a keyword is fine.

Response from authors: We have corrected the keywords that repeated phrases in the title.

3. At the end of the Introduction, provide an outlook on the following sections, e.g., “In Section 2, We finish with a conclusion in Section n.”

Response from authors: The last paragraph of the Introduction section provides an overview of the paper.

4. Also mention and briefly introduce your supporting computer code somewhere in your article (section, subsection, appendix, etc.) and list the URL where this can be found on github.

Response from authors: We have added a Supplementary Materials section, which provides the GitHub url for the source code.

5. Avoid footnotes and try to place them into the main text. A URL easily can be placed in (parentheses).

Response from authors: There are no footnotes in the paper, as far as we can see.

6. Citations should be in the Author (Year) / (Author, Year) form, but not by [number].

Response from authors: Our references follow this format.

7. Abbreviations should be introduced as “full word (Abbrev)” the first time they are used in the main text. This is the case even for “common” abbreviations such as cdf, pdf, or NASA. Abbreviations (other than scientific standardized abbreviations) are not normed. I usually point out that IT stands for Instructional Technology in the field of education, instead of Information Technology as almost everyone else would expect.

Response from authors: All abbreviations in the paper have been introduced as directed.

8. Figures & Tables should be placed in the main text and not at the end of the manuscript. Place figure captions below the figures and table captions above the tables. Captions should be self-explanatory so that the main components of the figures & tables could be understood without reading the main text. Explain all graphical components (colors, line styles, symbols) in a legend or the figure caption, but not in the main text.

Response from authors: We have put the figures and the tables in the main text. Figure captions have been placed at the top of the figures.

9. Figures: Make sure that your figures remain meaningful when printed in grayscale. Red & dark blue often are not distinguishable from black when printed in grayscale. Maximize the size of your figures and minimize empty space. Use the full text width for your figures when needed. Use fonts that are large enough to read when printed in the final journal format.

Response from authors: All figures were redone making sure they are meaningful in grayscale and in readable font size.

10. References:

- Update references obtained from arXiv and those listed as “under review” as they may have been published by now.
- Do not use “et al.” in your reference list. Instead, list all co-authors, even if 10 or 15.
- Use proper capitalization of journal names, abbreviations (in particular R, ASA) names of places or people, etc.
- Do not forget the middle initials of authors and editors.
- No need for full first names (just use the initial); also no need for the publisher of journals.
- List publisher & cities of publication for books and editors for article collections.
- Include page numbers for printed documents and date of last access for online documents.
- Include the URL for uncommon sources, e.g., technical reports, white books, etc.
- Do not include a URL (e.g., for JSTOR) if you used this as the source where you obtained an article - just the usual bibliographic information is enough.
- Only use a DOI for preprints or online-only articles. Do not include it once an article has been published in print.
- When you cite an R package, also cite R itself. (Make sure to cite an R package and list the reference; do not just mention it in the main text without an entry under the references.)
- Wikipedia is not an acceptable reference. At best, use it to look up references, and then cite the original reference(s).
- When you use LaTeX, make sure that the names of Government agencies appear correctly and not only as an abbreviation. Placing the Full Name in a pair of brackets may help when you use bibtex.

Response from authors: We have incorporated all of the above suggestions where applicable.