

lec 10 MATH 341/641

2-population:

$$X_{1,1}, \dots, X_{1,n_1} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bern}(\theta_1)$$

$$X_{2,1}, \dots, X_{2,n_2} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bern}(\theta_2)$$

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = \bar{X}_1, \hat{\theta}_2 = \bar{X}_2$$

$$\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - (\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\theta_1(1-\theta_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\theta_2(1-\theta_2)}{n_2}}} \rightarrow N(0,1) \text{ by CLT}$$

↓ Focusing the test

$$\hat{CI}_{\theta_1 - \theta_2, 1-\alpha} = \left[ \bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \pm Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\theta_1(1-\theta_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\theta_2(1-\theta_2)}{n_2}} \right]$$

Unknown! so  
you cannot  
compute this CI!

Btw I can prove that

$$\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}} \sqrt{\hat{\theta}_3(1-\hat{\theta}_3)}} \text{ has better power than}$$

$$\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_1(1-\bar{X}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\bar{X}_2(1-\bar{X}_2)}{n_2}}}$$

but it has a closer size to  $\alpha$ .

why? less variance  $\Rightarrow \hat{Z}$  closer to  $N(0,1)$  than this!

$$\bar{X}_1 \xrightarrow{\text{by CLT}} N \Rightarrow \bar{X}_1(1-\bar{X}_1) \xrightarrow{\text{by CLT}} \theta_1(1-\theta_1)$$

$$\bar{X}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{by CLT}} N \Rightarrow \bar{X}_2(1-\bar{X}_2) \xrightarrow{\text{by CLT}} \theta_2(1-\theta_2)$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{n_1} \bar{X}_1(1-\bar{X}_1)}_a \underbrace{+ \frac{1}{n_2} \bar{X}_2(1-\bar{X}_2)}_b \xrightarrow{\text{by CLT}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{n_1} \theta_1(1-\theta_1)}_a \underbrace{+ \frac{1}{n_2} \theta_2(1-\theta_2)}_b$$

by Slutsky's (B)

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} \bar{X}_1(1-\bar{X}_1) + \frac{1}{n_2} \bar{X}_2(1-\bar{X}_2)} \xrightarrow{\text{by CLT}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} \theta_1(1-\theta_1) + \frac{1}{n_2} \theta_2(1-\theta_2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_1(1-\bar{X}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\bar{X}_2(1-\bar{X}_2)}{n_2}}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\theta_1(1-\theta_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\theta_2(1-\theta_2)}{n_2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_1(1-\bar{X}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\bar{X}_2(1-\bar{X}_2)}{n_2}}} \cdot \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\theta_1(1-\theta_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\theta_2(1-\theta_2)}{n_2}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

↓ infer test

A  $\xrightarrow{\text{by CLT}}$  1

B  $\xrightarrow{\text{by CLT}}$   $N(0,1)$

$$\hat{CI}_{\theta_1 - \theta_2, 1-\alpha} = \left[ \bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \pm z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{X}_1(1-\bar{X}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\bar{X}_2(1-\bar{X}_2)}{n_2}} \right]$$

Obp:  $X_1, \dots, X_n \overset{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$

both unknown! But only  $\theta$  is inferential target

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

rather testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$   
 for building a CI is possible as  $\sigma$  is unknown!

In MATH 340, we should:

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

which means both are possible!  
 due to the fact that  $S \rightarrow \sigma$

$$RET = \left[ \theta_0 \pm z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

doubly approximately!

$$CI_{\theta, 1-\alpha} = \left[ \bar{X} \pm z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

doubly approximately!

This is actually more powerful than you realize.

Obp:  $X_1, \dots, X_n \overset{iid}{\sim} \theta = E(X) < \infty, \sigma^2 < \infty$

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

this is called  
 "the Wild Test"  
 after Abraham Wald. WW2 story.

These RET regions and CI's work for any iid Obp with finite variance & crazy power!

DBP:  $X_{1,1}, \dots, X_{1,n_1} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim}$  with mean  $\theta_1$ , variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , both unknown  
 $X_{2,1}, \dots, X_{2,n_2} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim}$  with mean  $\theta_2$ , variance  $\sigma_2^2$ , both unknown  
 But only  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  are the inferential targets

let  $\bar{\theta}_1 = \bar{X}_1, \bar{\theta}_2 = \bar{X}_2$

$$\frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - (\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \text{ by CLT}$$

(no this problem in 390)

If  $H_0: \theta_1 = \theta_2$  or  $\theta_1 \leq \theta_2$  or  $\theta_1 \geq \theta_2$  then...

$$\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \text{ but } \sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2 \text{ unknown!}$$

$$\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}} = \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}{n_1 + n_2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}}}_{A \xrightarrow{p} 1} \underbrace{\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}}_{B \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

Alt: 
$$\frac{(\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2) - (\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$$

∴ Infer test

$$\hat{CI}_{\theta_1 - \theta_2, 1-\alpha} = \left[ \bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 \pm z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}} \right]$$

Also  $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2$ . Can we use  $\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}} \rightarrow N(0,1)$ ? Yes... [4b]  
 Why use  $S_n^2$  over  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$ ? Because it's unbiased!  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2$   
 $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

$$E[S^2] = \frac{1}{n-1} E\left[\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left[\sum x_i^2 - 2x_i\bar{x} + \bar{x}^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left[\sum x_i^2 - 2n\bar{x}^2 + n\bar{x}^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left[\sum x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (n E[x_i^2] - n E[\bar{x}^2])$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (n (\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - n (\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2))$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (n\sigma^2 + n\mu^2 - \sigma^2 - n\mu^2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (n-1)\sigma^2 = \sigma^2$$

Note:  $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

Bessel's correction

then transform a biased

Estimator to an unbiased estimator. This is known as  
 A "finite-sample bias correction"

Which is better?  $\hat{\sigma}_n^2$  or  $S_n^2$ ? It has MSE's difference for different DGP's! But...

$S_n^2$  is a generally preferred default estimator. Also: the difference is  
 slight regardless unless  $n$  is very small.

Let's return to the "core MLE thm":

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \sim N\left(\theta, \frac{I(\theta)^{-1}}{n}\right).$$

We will also make use of the fact which we will not prove generally:

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \xrightarrow{p} \theta.$$

[5]

Recall Taylor Series formula for  $h(y)$  "central at"  $a$ :

$$h(y) = h(a) + (y-a)h'(a) + (y-a)^2 \frac{h''(a)}{2} + \dots$$

letting  $h = l'$ , the derivative of the log-likelihood (the score function)

$$y = \hat{\theta}_{MLE}$$

$a = \theta$ , we obtain:

$$l'(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}; X_1, \dots, X_n) = l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) + (\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) + \frac{(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta)^2}{2} l'''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) + \dots$$

Assuming technical conditions on p516 of C&B and large  $n$ ,  
we use the first order approx:

$$l'(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}; X_1, \dots, X_n) = l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) + (\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

Recall how to solve for MLE. Find  $l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$  and solve for  $\theta$   
 $\Rightarrow$  rhs is zero

$$0 = l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) + (\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta = - \frac{l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)}{l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)}$$



$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta = \frac{\frac{1}{n} l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)}{-\frac{1}{n} l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta}{\sqrt{\frac{I(\theta)^{-1}}{n}}} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)}{-\frac{1}{n} l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) \sqrt{\frac{I(\theta)^{-1}}{n}}} \cdot \frac{I(\theta)}{I(\theta)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta}{\sqrt{\frac{I(\theta)^{-1}}{n}}} = \underbrace{\frac{I(\theta)}{-\frac{1}{n} l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)}}_A \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\frac{1}{n} l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n)}{\sqrt{\frac{I(\theta)}{n}}}}_B \xrightarrow{WTS} N(0,1)$$

If  $A \xrightarrow{p} 1$ ,  $B \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) \Rightarrow AB \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1)$   
by Slutsky's Thm. And we're done!

First prove  $A \xrightarrow{p} 1$

Recall definition of score function

$$l'(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n l'(\theta; X_i) \Rightarrow l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n l''(\theta; X_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{n} l''(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n l''(\theta; X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{p} E(Y) = I(\theta)$$

$$\text{let } Y_i := -l''(\theta; X_i), E(Y_i) = \dots = I(\theta) \text{ by LLN}$$



$$A = \frac{I(\theta)}{L}$$

$L \mapsto I(\theta)$  a continuous function in  $x$

$$\text{let } g(x) = \left( \frac{I(\theta)}{x} \right), \quad A = g(L)$$

by CMT  $g(L) \mapsto g(I(\theta)) \Rightarrow A \mapsto \frac{I(\theta)}{I(\theta)} = 1 \quad \checkmark$

Proof that  $B \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$

$$\frac{1}{n} \ell'(\theta; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell'(\theta; x_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum w_i = \bar{w}$$

let  $w_i = \ell'(\theta; x_i)$

we prove this using the CRLB derivation

$$E[w_i] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d}{d\theta} [\ln(f(x_i; \theta))] f(x_i; \theta) dx_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\frac{d}{d\theta} [f(x_i; \theta)]}{f(x_i; \theta)} f(x_i; \theta) dx_i = \frac{d}{d\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x_i; \theta) dx_i = \frac{d}{d\theta} (1) = 0$$

$$\text{Var}[w_i] = E[\ell'(\theta; x_i)^2] - E[\ell'(\theta; x_i)]^2 = I(\theta) \Rightarrow \text{Var}[\bar{w}] = \frac{I(\theta)}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow B = \frac{\bar{w}}{\sqrt{\frac{I(\theta)}{n}}} = \frac{\bar{w} - E[\bar{w}]}{SE[\bar{w}]} \xrightarrow[\text{by CLT}]{d} N(0, 1) \quad \checkmark$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta}{\sqrt{\frac{I(\theta)}{n}}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$