

# Lec 9 MATH 343

## Inference for linear regressions

$D = (X, \vec{y})$ ,  $X$  has an intercept col = 1 so  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p+1)}$ ,  $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$\mathcal{H} = \{ \vec{w} \vec{x} : \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1} \}$  Assume A: minimize SSE returns  $g(\vec{x}) = \vec{x} \vec{b}$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{b} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{y}, \quad \hat{\vec{y}} = X \vec{b} = H \vec{y}, \quad \text{Also: } \vec{e} := \vec{y} - \hat{\vec{y}} \Rightarrow \vec{y} = X \vec{b} + \vec{e}$$

Is  $g(\vec{x}) = h^*(\vec{x})$ ? No... there is estimation error.

In this paper, we assume goal is to find  $h^*(\vec{x})$ , not  $f(\vec{x})$ .

Recall  $h^*(\vec{x}) = \vec{x} \vec{\beta} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_p x_p$ ,  $\dim(\vec{\beta}) = p+1$ , thus the entries of  $\vec{\beta}$  are the parameters of interest.

Thus  $\vec{b}$  is a point estimate for  $\vec{\beta}$ . How do we get frequentist CI's, hypothesis tests, Bayesian CI's, Bayesian tests?

We need to assume r.v.'s structure!

$$\vec{y}_i = h^*(\vec{x}_i) + \epsilon_i \Rightarrow y_i = \vec{x}_i \vec{\beta} + \epsilon_i \Rightarrow \vec{y} = X \vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon}$$

$\uparrow$   
= misspecification error + ignorance error  
for the  $i$ th subject

Consider  $\epsilon_i = u_1 + u_2 + \dots$ , the sum of many, many unknown noises, likely independent of each other.  
If so,  $\epsilon_i$  is likely a realization from a normal dist! (Assum #1)  
Which mean and variance? Since it's an error dist, mean 0! (Assum #2)  
Let variance be  $\sigma_i^2$ . Let's assume for now, for all  $i$   
that this variance is shared across all units i.e.  $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2 \forall i$   
Homoskedasticity assumption (Assum #3).

2

Assuming these three  $\Rightarrow \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$   $\epsilon_i$  vs  $\epsilon_i$

$\uparrow$  rv.                       $\uparrow$  random  
 $\Rightarrow \vec{\epsilon} \sim N_n(\vec{0}_n, \sigma^2 I_n) \Rightarrow \vec{Y} = X\vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon} \Rightarrow \vec{Y} \sim N_n(X\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 I_n)$

$\uparrow$   
 the response is now a rv.  
 since  $\vec{\epsilon}$  is a rv.

What about  $X$ ? For the purpose of this class, let  $X$  be a fixed set of data. Otherwise things get really complicated!

$\vec{\beta}$  is the fixed parameters. So we assumed randomness in  $\vec{\epsilon}$ , we assumed no randomness in  $X$  and  $\vec{\beta}$  are the fixed parameters thus  $\vec{Y}$  is random only through  $\vec{\epsilon}$ .

Under this setup,  $\vec{b}$  is a point estimate for  $\vec{\beta}$ .

$$\vec{b} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{y} \Rightarrow \vec{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{y} \quad \text{the estimator for } \beta$$

$$\sigma^2_{\text{mse}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum \epsilon_i^2 \Rightarrow \sigma^2_{\text{mse}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum E_i^2 \quad \text{the estimator for } \sigma^2$$

where  $E_i$  are the residuals where  $\epsilon_i$  are the e.i.s.

We need the distribution of  $\vec{\beta}$  if we're going to have inference for  $\beta$ .

Recall  $\vec{Z} \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ ,  $\vec{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \Rightarrow \vec{\mu} + A\vec{Z} \sim N_m(\vec{\mu}, \sigma^2 A A^T)$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\beta} &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{y} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X\vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon}) = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \vec{\beta} + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{\epsilon} \\ &= \vec{\beta} + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{\epsilon} \sim N_{p+1}(\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X^T X)^{-1} X^T) \\ &= N_{p+1}(\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X (X^T X)^{-1}) = N_{p+1}(\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 ((X^T X)^T)^{-1}) = N_{p+1}(\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_j \sim N(\beta_j, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}_{jj}) \quad \text{the diagonal's jth entry}$$

exact

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\beta_j - \hat{\beta}_j}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}_{jj}}} \sim N(0, 1) \quad \text{which allows for hypothesis testing and CI's if } \sigma^2 \text{ known.}$$

But what if we don't know  $\sigma^2$ ? This was Student's problem!

Remember how we derived the Student's T-distribution?

he used Cochran's theorem

Let

$$\vec{Z} \sim N_n(\vec{0}_n, I_n)$$

$$\text{let } \vec{\tilde{Z}} = \sigma \vec{Z} = (\sigma I_n) \vec{Z} \sim N_n(\vec{0}_n, (\sigma I_n) I_n (\sigma I_n)^T) = N_n(\vec{0}_n, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{Z} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \vec{\tilde{Z}}$$

$$\vec{Z}^T \vec{Z} \sim \chi_n^2, \quad \vec{Z}^T \vec{\tilde{Z}} = \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \vec{\tilde{Z}}\right)^T \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \vec{\tilde{Z}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T \vec{\tilde{Z}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T \left( (H) + (I-H) \right) \vec{\tilde{Z}} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T H \vec{\tilde{Z}}}_{B_1} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T (I-H) \vec{\tilde{Z}}}_{B_2} = \vec{Z}^T H \vec{Z} + \vec{Z}^T (I-H) \vec{Z}$$

$$\text{we know } B_1 + B_2 = I_n$$

$$\text{rank}(B_1) = \text{rank}(H) = \dim[\text{colsp}(X)] = p+1$$

$$\text{rank}(B_2) = \text{rank}(I-H) = \dim[\text{colsp}(X_\perp)] = n-(p+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{rank}(B_1) + \text{rank}(B_2) = n$$

Cochran's Theorem

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T H \vec{\tilde{Z}} \sim \chi_{p+1}^2 \quad \text{indep. of} \quad \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T (I-H) \vec{\tilde{Z}} \sim \chi_{n-(p+1)}^2$$

How does this help?

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T (I-H) \vec{\tilde{Z}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T (I-H) (I-H) \vec{\tilde{Z}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\tilde{Z}}^T (I-H)^T (I-H) \vec{\tilde{Z}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} ((I-H) \vec{\tilde{Z}})^T (I-H) \vec{\tilde{Z}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|(I-H) \vec{\tilde{Z}}\|^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|(I-H)(\vec{Y} - X\vec{\beta})\|^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\| \underbrace{(I-H)\vec{Y}}_{\vec{Y} - \vec{\hat{Y}}} - \underbrace{(I-H)X\vec{\beta}}_{=\vec{0}_n} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|\vec{E}\|^2 \sim \chi_{n-(p+1)}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \|\vec{E}\|^2\right] = n-(p+1) \quad \text{expectation of } \chi_k^2 \text{ r.v.}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{\|\vec{E}\|^2}{n-(p+1)}\right] = \sigma^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{If } MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-(p+1)}, \text{ then MSE is an unbiased estimator for } \sigma^2$$

$$\text{let } RMSE = \sqrt{MSE}$$