MATH 343 / 643 Homework #3

Professor Adam Kapelner

Due 11:59PM May 19, 2025

(this document last updated 10:24pm on Thursday 3^{rd} April, 2025)

Instructions and Philosophy

The path to success in this class is to do many problems. Unlike other courses, exclusively doing reading(s) will not help. Coming to lecture is akin to watching workout videos; thinking about and solving problems on your own is the actual "working out." Feel free to "work out" with others; I want you to work on this in groups.

Reading is still *required*. For this homework set, read as much as you can online about the topics we covered.

The problems below are color coded: green problems are considered *easy* and marked "[easy]"; yellow problems are considered *intermediate* and marked "[harder]", red problems are considered *difficult* and marked "[difficult]" and purple problems are extra credit. The *easy* problems are intended to be "giveaways" if you went to class. Do as much as you can of the others; I expect you to at least attempt the *difficult* problems.

This homework is worth 100 points but the point distribution will not be determined until after the due date. See syllabus for the policy on late homework.

Up to 7 points are given as a bonus if the homework is typed using LATEX. Links to instaling LATEX and program for compiling LATEX is found on the syllabus. You are encouraged to use overleaf.com. If you are handing in homework this way, read the comments in the code; there are two lines to comment out and you should replace my name with yours and write your section. The easiest way to use overleaf is to copy the raw text from hwxx.tex and preamble.tex into two new overleaf tex files with the same name. If you are asked to make drawings, you can take a picture of your handwritten drawing and insert them as figures or leave space using the "\vspace" command and draw them in after printing or attach them stapled.

The document is available with spaces for you to write your answers. If not using IATEX, print this document and write in your answers. I do not accept homeworks which are *not* on this printout. Keep this first page printed for your records.

ME:				

Problem 1

Consider the Poisson linear regression model with one feature, time:

$$Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n \mid t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n \stackrel{ind}{\sim} \text{Poisson} (\beta_0 + \beta_1 t_i)$$

and consider a Bayesian approach to inference.

- (a) [easy] What is the parameter space for the two parameters of interest?
- (b) [easy] Assume a flat prior $f(\beta_0, \beta_1) \propto 1$. Find the kernel of the posterior distribution $f(\beta_0, \beta_1 | y_1, \dots, y_n, t_1, \dots, t_n)$.

(c) [easy] Find the log of the kernel of the posterior distribution.

(d) [easy] Find the kernel of the conditional distribution $f(\beta_0 | y_1, \ldots, y_n, t_1, \ldots, t_n, \beta_1)$. Is it a brand name rv?

(e) [easy] Find the kernel of the conditional distribution $f(\beta_1 | y_1, \ldots, y_n, t_1, \ldots, t_n, \beta_0)$. Is it a brand name rv?

(f) [harder] Given your answer in (a), the Supp $[\beta_0]$, provide a proposal distribution for the conditional distribution of β_0 :

$$q(\beta_0 \mid y_1, \dots, y_n, t_1, \dots, t_n, \beta_1, \phi) =$$

(g) [harder] Given your answer in (a), the Supp $[\beta_1]$, provide a proposal distribution for the conditional distribution of β_1 :

$$q(\beta_1 \mid y_1, \dots, y_n, t_1, \dots, t_n, \beta_0, \phi) =$$

Problem 2

This question is about hazard rates and Cox proportion hazard models

- (a) [easy] What is the definition of the hazard rate h(t)?
- (b) [easy] If $X \sim U(0, 1)$, derive the hazard rate h(t).

- (c) [easy] Give an example of a real-world phenomenon T whose h(t) is a bathtub shape.
- (d) [easy] Prove that $S(t) = e^{-\int_0^t h(u)du}$.

(e) [difficult] Explain why the assumption that $h(t) = h_0(t)e^{\beta_1x_1+\beta_2x_2+...+\beta_px_p}$ is called the "proportional hazard model".

(f) [easy] Under the proportional hazard model, find the likelihood $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, h_0; \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y})$.

(g) [easy] Now let $h_i := h_0(y_i)$ and $H_i := \int_0^{y_i} h_0(u) du$. Find $\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, h_1, \dots, h_n, H_1, \dots, H_n; \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y})$.

(h) [easy] Now assume (1) all y_i 's are uniquely-valued and (2) $H_i \approx h_1 + \ldots + h_i$ and find \hat{h}_i^{MLE} .

(i) [easy] [MA] Find $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{MLE}$.

Problem 3

This question is about basic causality, structural equation models and their visual representation as directed acyclic graphs (DAGs).

- (a) [easy] We run a OLS to fit $\hat{y} = b_0 + b_1 x$ and find there is a statistically significant rejection of H_0 : $\beta_1 = 0$. If this test was decided correctly, what do we call the relationship between x and y? (The answer is one word).
- (b) [easy] If this test was decided incorrectly, what do we call the relationship between x and y? (The answer is two words).
- (c) [easy] Draw an example DAG where x causes y.
- (d) [easy] Draw an example DAG where x is correlated to y but is not causal.

- (e) [easy] Draw an example DAG that can result in a spurious correlation of x and y.
- (f) [easy] Draw an example DAG where x causes y but its effect is fully blocked by z.
- (g) [easy] Draw an example DAG where x causes y but its effect is partially blocked by z.

(h) [easy] Draw an example DAG that results in a Berkson's paradox between x and y_1 . Denote the collider variable as y_2 .

(i) [easy] Draw an example DAG that results in a Simpson's paradox between x and y. Denote the confounding variable as u.

(j) [easy] In the previous Simpson's paradox DAG, provide an example structural equation for y and provide an example structural equation for x.

(k) [easy] Consider observed covariates x_1, x_2, x_3 and phenomenon y. Draw a realistic DAG for this setting.

Problem 4

This question is about causal and correlational interpretations for generalized linear models.

- (a) [easy] We run the following model on the $\mathtt{diamonds}$ dataset where y is the price of the diamond
 - > diamonds = ggplot2::diamonds
 - > diamonds\$cut = factor(diamonds\$cut, ordered = FALSE)
 - > diamonds\$color = factor(diamonds\$color, ordered = FALSE)
 - > diamonds\$clarity = factor(diamonds\$clarity, ordered = FALSE)
 - > summary(lm(price ~ ., diamonds))

```
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                     5.352 8.76e-08 ***
(Intercept)
              2184.477
                           408.197
carat
             11256.978
                            48.628 231.494
                                            < 2e-16 ***
cutGood
               579.751
                            33.592
                                   17.259
                                            < 2e-16 ***
cutVery Good
               726.783
                            32.241
                                    22.542
                                           < 2e-16 ***
                                            < 2e-16 ***
cutPremium
               762.144
                            32.228
                                    23.649
cutIdeal
               832.912
                            33.407
                                    24.932
                                           < 2e-16 ***
                            17.893 -11.687
                                            < 2e-16 ***
colorE
              -209.118
colorF
              -272.854
                            18.093 -15.081
                                            < 2e-16 ***
colorG
              -482.039
                            17.716 -27.209 < 2e-16 ***
colorH
              -980.267
                            18.836 -52.043 < 2e-16 ***
                                           < 2e-16 ***
colorI
             -1466.244
                            21.162 -69.286
                            26.131 -90.674 < 2e-16 ***
colorJ
             -2369.398
              2702.586
                                    61.677
                                            < 2e-16 ***
claritySI2
                            43.818
claritySI1
                                    84.005
                                            < 2e-16 ***
              3665.472
                            43.634
clarityVS2
                                    97.306
                                           < 2e-16 ***
              4267.224
                            43.853
clarityVS1
              4578.398
                            44.546 102.779
                                            < 2e-16 ***
clarityVVS2
              4950.814
                            45.855 107.967
                                            < 2e-16 ***
                            47.160 106.187 < 2e-16 ***
clarityVVS1
              5007.759
                                            < 2e-16 ***
clarityIF
              5345.102
                            51.024 104.757
                                            < 2e-16 ***
depth
               -63.806
                             4.535 -14.071
table
                                   -9.092 < 2e-16 ***
               -26.474
                             2.912
             -1008.261
                            32.898 -30.648
                                            < 2e-16 ***
Х
                 9.609
                            19.333
                                     0.497
                                              0.619
У
Z
               -50.119
                            33.486
                                   -1.497
                                              0.134
```

What is the interpretation of the b for carat (the unit of this feature is "carats")?

(b) [difficult] What is the interpretation of the b for colorH?

(c) [easy] We run the following model on the Pima.tr2 dataset where y is 1 if the subject had diabetes or 0 if not.

```
> summary(glm(type ~ ., MASS::Pima.tr2, family = "binomial"))
```

```
Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                         1.770386
                                   -5.520 3.38e-08 ***
(Intercept) -9.773062
             0.103183
                         0.064694
                                    1.595 0.11073
npreg
glu
             0.032117
                         0.006787
                                    4.732 2.22e-06 ***
bp
            -0.004768
                         0.018541
                                   -0.257
                                            0.79707
                         0.022500
skin
            -0.001917
                                   -0.085
                                           0.93211
                         0.042827
                                    1.953
bmi
             0.083624
                                            0.05087
ped
             1.820410
                         0.665514
                                    2.735
                                            0.00623 **
             0.041184
                         0.022091
                                    1.864
                                           0.06228 .
age
```

What is the interpretation of the b for glu (the unit of this feature is mg/dL)?

(d) [easy] We run the following model on the phillippines household dataset where y is the number of people living in a household.

```
> mod = glm(total ~ ., philippines_housing, family = "poisson")
> summary(mod)
```

```
Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept)
                                                         19.685
                                                                 < 2e-16 ***
                                   1.4371630 0.0730093
locationDavaoRegion
                                  -0.0119160
                                              0.0538557
                                                         -0.221
                                                                 0.82489
locationIlocosRegion
                                   0.0542539
                                              0.0526903
                                                          1.030 0.30316
locationMetroManila
                                   0.0718559 0.0472055
                                                          1.522
                                                                 0.12796
                                                          3.133
locationVisayas
                                   0.1314435
                                              0.0419543
                                                                 0.00173 **
age
                                  -0.0046366
                                              0.0009408
                                                         -4.928 8.29e-07 ***
roofPredominantly Strong Material 0.0396653
                                              0.0435640
                                                          0.911 0.36256
```

What is the interpretation of the b for age (the unit of this feature is years)?

- (e) [easy] We run the following Weibull regression model on the lung dataset where y is survival of the patient.
 - > lung = na.omit(survival::lung)
 > lung\$status = lung\$status 1 #needs to be 0=alive, 1=dead
 - > surv_obj = Surv(lung\$time, lung\$status)
 - > mod = survreg(surv_obj ~ inst + sex + ph.ecog + ph.karno + wt.loss, lung)
 - > summary(mod)

```
Value Std. Error
                                     Z
                                             р
(Intercept)
             7.13673
                         0.74732
                                  9.55 < 2e-16
inst
             0.02042
                         0.00877
                                  2.33
                                        0.0199
             0.39717
                         0.13852 2.87
sex
                                        0.0041
ph.ecog
            -0.69588
                         0.15463 -4.50 6.8e-06
ph.karno
            -0.01558
                         0.00749 -2.08 0.0376
wt.loss
             0.00977
                         0.00525 1.86 0.0626
            -0.36704
                         0.07272 -5.05 4.5e-07
Log(scale)
```

What is the interpretation of the b for wt.loss (the unit of this feature is lbs)?

- (f) [easy] We now run the following Cox proportional hazard model on the lung dataset where y is survival of the patient.
 - > mod = coxph(surv_obj ~ inst + sex + ph.ecog + ph.karno + wt.loss, lung)
 > summary(mod)

```
coef exp(coef) se(coef)
                                            z \Pr(>|z|)
inst
         -0.030042 0.970404 0.012931 -2.323
                                               0.02016 *
         -0.571959 0.564419
                             0.198865 -2.876
                                               0.00403 **
sex
                   2.699926
          0.993224
                              0.232115
                                       4.279 1.88e-05 ***
ph.ecog
ph.karno 0.021492 1.021725
                             0.011222 1.915
                                               0.05547 .
                   0.985309
                             0.007664 -1.931
wt.loss
         -0.014800
                                               0.05348 .
```

What is the interpretation of the b for wt.loss (the unit of this feature is lbs)?

Problem 5

This problem is about controlling values of variables to allow for causal inference.

(a) [easy] Redraw the "master decision tree" of what to do in every situation beginning with the root node of "Can we assume a DAG?"

(b)	[easy] Explain why controlling / manipulating the values of x allows for causal inference of x on y .
(c)	[harder] Explain why a typical observational study cannot allow for causal inference of x on y .
(d)	[easy] Give an example case (different from the one we spoke about in class) where controlling $/$ manipulating the values of x is impossible.
(e)	[easy] Give an example case (different from the one we spoke about in class) where controlling $/$ manipulating the values of x is unethical.
(f)	[easy] Give an example case (different from the one we spoke about in class) where controlling $/$ manipulating the values of x is impractical $/$ unaffordable.

(g) [difficult] Assume in the diamonds dataset that the variable cut was manipulated by the experimenter prior to assessing the price y. This isn't absurd since raw diamonds can be cut differently but their color and clarity cannot be altered. Using the linear regression output from the previous problem, what is the interpretation of the b for cutldeal. The reference category for this variable is Fair.

Problem 6

This problem is about randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Let n denote the number of subjects, let w denote the variable of interest which you seek causal inference for its effect. Here we assume w is a binary allocation / assignment vector of the specific manipulation w_i for each subject (thus the experiment has "two arms" which is sometimes called a "treatment-control experiment" or "pill-placebo trial" or an "AB test". Let y denote the measurements of the phenomenon of interest for each subject and let $x_{\cdot 1}, \ldots, x_{\cdot p}$ denote the p baseline covariate measurements for each subject.

- (a) [easy] How many possible allocations are there in this experiment?
- (b) [easy] What are the three advantages of randomizing \boldsymbol{w} ? We spoke about two main advantages and one minor advantage.

(c) [easy] In Fisher's Randomization test, what is the null hypothesis? Explain what this really means.

(d) [easy] Explain step-by-step how to run Fisher's Randomization test.

Assume now that Let $\mathbf{Y} = \beta_0 \mathbf{1}_n + \beta_T \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ where $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$ mean zero and have homoskedastic variance σ^2 .

(e) [easy] What this the parameter of interest in causal inference? What is its name?

(f) [easy] Assume we employ OLS to estimate β_T . We proved previously that OLS estimators of unbiased for any error distribution with mean zero. Find the MSE $[B_T]$.

(g) [easy] Prove that the optimal \boldsymbol{w} has equal allocation.

(h) [easy] Explain how to run an experiment using the completely randomized design.

Assume now that Let $\mathbf{Y} = \beta_0 \mathbf{1}_n + \beta_T \mathbf{w} + \beta_1 \mathbf{x}_{\cdot 1} + \ldots + \beta_p \mathbf{x}_{\cdot p} + \mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ where $\mathcal{E}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$ mean zero and have homoskedastic variance σ^2 .

(i) [difficult] Prove that B_T is unbiased over the distribution of \mathcal{E} and \mathbf{W} .

(j) [easy] What is the purpose using a restricted design? That is, using a set of allocations that is a subset of the full set of the completely randomized design.

(k) [harder] Explain how to run an experiment using Fisher's blocking design where you block on $x_{.1}$, a factor with three levels and $x_{.2}$, a factor with two levels.

(l) [easy] What are the two main disadvantages to using Fisher's blocking design?

(m) [easy] Explain how to run an experiment using Student's rerandomization design where you let the imbalance metric be

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{|\bar{x}_{j_T} - \bar{x}_{j_C}|}{s_{x_{j_T}}^2 / (n/2) + s_{x_{j_C}}^2 / (n/2)}$$

(n) [easy] Explain how to run an experiment using the pairwise matching design.

(o) [easy] Does the pairwise matching design provide better imbalance on the observed covariates than the rerandomization design? Y/N