

Math 343 / 643 Spring 2025

Midterm Examination Two

Professor Adam Kapelner

April 10, 2025

Full Name _____

Code of Academic Integrity

Since the college is an academic community, its fundamental purpose is the pursuit of knowledge. Essential to the success of this educational mission is a commitment to the principles of academic integrity. Every member of the college community is responsible for upholding the highest standards of honesty at all times. Students, as members of the community, are also responsible for adhering to the principles and spirit of the following Code of Academic Integrity.

Activities that have the effect or intention of interfering with education, pursuit of knowledge, or fair evaluation of a student's performance are prohibited. Examples of such activities include but are not limited to the following definitions:

Cheating Using or attempting to use unauthorized assistance, material, or study aids in examinations or other academic work or preventing, or attempting to prevent, another from using authorized assistance, material, or study aids. Example: using an unauthorized cheat sheet in a quiz or exam, altering a graded exam and resubmitting it for a better grade, etc.

I acknowledge and agree to uphold this Code of Academic Integrity.

signature

date

Instructions

This exam is 75 minutes (variable time per question) and closed-book. You are allowed **one** page (front and back) of a “cheat sheet”, blank scrap paper (provided by the proctor) and a graphing calculator (which is not your smartphone). Please read the questions carefully. Within each problem, I recommend considering the questions that are easy first and then circling back to evaluate the harder ones. No food is allowed, only drinks.

Problem 1 Consider the following full-rank design matrix:

$$\mathbf{X} := [\mathbf{1}_n \mid \mathbf{x}_{\cdot 1} \mid \dots \mid \mathbf{x}_{\cdot p}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1\cdot} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{n\cdot} \end{bmatrix}$$

with column indices $0, 1, \dots, p$ and row indices $1, 2, \dots, n$. And let \mathbf{H} be the orthogonal projection matrix onto the column space of \mathbf{X} . We assume also a continuous (real-valued) response model which is linear in these measurements, i.e. $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$. For the error term, we assume the “core assumption”,

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}_n(\mathbf{0}_n, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \quad \text{where } \sigma^2 > 0.$$

Consider the following estimator for $\boldsymbol{\beta}$: $\mathbf{B} := (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$ and let $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} := \mathbf{X}\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{E} := \mathbf{Y} - \hat{\mathbf{Y}}$.

(a) [5 pt / 5 pts] Circle all of the following which are non-degenerate random variables.

$$n, \quad p, \quad \mathbf{X}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{\cdot 1}, \quad \mathbf{x}_{n\cdot}, \quad \mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{Y}, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta}, \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \sigma^2, \quad \mathbf{I}_n, \quad \mathbf{B}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{Y}}, \quad \mathbf{E}$$

(b) [3 pt / 8 pts] Of the random variables in the previous question, which two are independent of each other? No need to prove this.

(c) [5 pt / 13 pts] Derive the distribution of \mathbf{B} with only what is in the problem header, the fact about multivariate normal distributions from 340 and linear algebra manipulations. Show each step.

- (d) [6 pt / 19 pts] Prove misspecification error vanishes as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Problem 2 Consider the Boston Housing Data which has $n = 506$ and response `medv` with $\bar{y} = 22.53$ and $s_y = 9.20$. We consider modeling `medv` using OLS on `zn + rm + nox + dis + lstat`, all continuous (non-categorical) features. Below is the $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ where \mathbf{X} is the design matrix:

	(Intercept)	zn	rm	nox	dis	lstat
(Intercept)	0.58000	4.4e-04	-5.1e-02	-2.7e-01	-1.8e-02	-3.0e-03
zn	0.00044	6.9e-06	-4.5e-05	-7.1e-05	-5.1e-05	-2.0e-07
rm	-0.05100	-4.5e-05	6.9e-03	7.5e-04	6.3e-04	4.4e-04
nox	-0.27000	-7.1e-05	7.5e-04	4.2e-01	1.5e-02	-1.9e-03
dis	-0.01800	-5.1e-05	6.3e-04	1.5e-02	1.5e-03	4.3e-05
lstat	-0.00300	-2.0e-07	4.4e-04	-1.9e-03	4.3e-05	8.9e-05

The RMSE for this regression is 5.289 and here are the slope estimates:

(Intercept)	zn	rm	nox	dis	lstat
16.14	0.06	4.44	-15.20	-1.44	-0.66

Assume the “core assumption” (see Problem 1 for its definition) except in (e,f,l,m) which make explicit a new assumption.

- (a) [2 pt / 21 pts] Consider creating a $\hat{CI}_{\beta_{\text{nox}}, 95\%}$, the confidence interval for the true slope parameter of the variable `nox`. Which degrees of freedom value would you use to lookup the appropriate t value’s quantile?

- (b) [5 pt / 26 pts] Compute $\hat{CI}_{\beta_{\text{nox}}, 95\%}$ to the nearest two digits. Regardless of the truly appropriate t value, use 1.96 as the t value.
- (c) [1 pt / 27 pts] The confidence interval in the previous question is... circle one:
exact / approximate
- (d) [1 pt / 28 pts] Based on your confidence interval from the previous question, the null hypothesis that $\beta_{\text{nox}} = 0$ would be ... circle one:
rejected / retained
- (e) [5 pt / 33 pts] Assume the errors are independent, mean centered and homoskedastic but now assume they are *not* normally distributed. Create a $\hat{CI}_{\beta, 95\%}$ for the variable **nox** to the nearest two digits.
- (f) [1 pt / 34 pts] The confidence interval in the previous question is... circle one:
exact / approximate
- (g) [5 pt / 39 pts] Justify and record your decision for the test of $H_0 : \beta_{\text{rm}} = 3$, a test on the slope parameter for the variable **rm**. Regardless of the truly appropriate t value, use 1.96 as the t value.

- (h) [5 pt / 44 pts] Compute R_{adj}^2 to the nearest two digits using the following calculations:

$$s_e := \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{df_{\text{error}}}} \Rightarrow SSE = df_{\text{error}} \cdot s_e^2 = 500 \cdot 5.289^2 = 13986.76$$

$$SST := \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 = (n - 1) \cdot s_y^2 = 505 \cdot 9.20^2 = 42743.2$$

Below is the first six rows and six columns of the \mathbf{H} matrix. There are rownames and colnames displayed to help with finding entries (e.g., $\mathbf{H}_{2,4} = 0.0076$).

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	0.0053	0.0020	0.0035	0.0039	0.0024	0.0036
2	0.0020	0.0058	0.0065	0.0076	0.0076	0.0072
3	0.0035	0.0065	0.0100	0.0110	0.0110	0.0085
4	0.0039	0.0076	0.0110	0.0130	0.0130	0.0110
5	0.0024	0.0076	0.0110	0.0130	0.0140	0.0110
6	0.0036	0.0072	0.0085	0.0110	0.0110	0.0110

- (i) [5 pt / 49 pts] Estimate the probability the residual for the fourth observation in the boston housing dataset will be greater than 5 as best as you can.

- (j) [6 pt / 55 pts] The predicted value for the first observation is $\hat{y}_1 = 29.15$. Find a $\hat{CI}_{y_1, 95\%}$ where y_1 is the response value for a new census tract with the same measurements as \mathbf{x}_1 . to the nearest two digits. Regardless of the truly appropriate t value, use 1.96 as the t value.

We now model `medv` using `rm + lstat` via an OLS. The RMSE for this regression is 5.540 and here are the slope estimates:

(Intercept)	rm	lstat
-1.36	5.09	-0.64

- (k) [7 pt / 62 pts] Calculate the F-statistic for $H_0 : \beta_{\text{zn}} = \beta_{\text{nox}} = \beta_{\text{dis}} = 0$ to the nearest two digits.

Below is $(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \hat{\mathbf{D}} \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1}$, a matrix where \mathbf{X} is the design matrix and $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$ is the diagonal matrix with the residuals squared along its diagonal.

	(Intercept)	rm	lstat
(Intercept)	29.20	-4.14	-0.26
rm	-4.14	0.59	0.03
lstat	-0.26	0.03	0.00

- (l) [5 pt / 67 pts] Assume the errors are independent, mean centered but neither homoskedastic nor normally distributed. Create a $\hat{CI}_{\beta_{\text{rm}}, 95\%}$, the confidence interval for the true slope parameter of the variable **rm** to the nearest two digits.

- (m) [1 pt / 68 pts] The confidence interval in the previous question is... circle one:
 exact / approximate

Problem 3 Consider a subset of the vocab data in the `carData` package. The response is a person's score on a vocabulary test. This score ranges in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 10\}$ and features: **gender** (categorical: male/female), **nativeBorn** (categorical: yes/no), **age** (continuous: measured in years) and **educ** (continuous: measured in years). We will use a negative binomial glm with the standard exponential link-to-linear function for its mean. Below is the output:

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	0.7761238	0.0165403	46.923	< 2e-16 ***
gendermale	-0.0267524	0.0049960	-5.355	8.57e-08 ***
nativeBornyes	0.1603976	0.0094713	16.935	< 2e-16 ***
age	0.0021438	0.0001438	14.907	< 2e-16 ***
educ	0.0582323	0.0008373	69.548	< 2e-16 ***
Theta: 172454				
Std. Err.: 143423				
2 x log-likelihood: -115304.3				

- (a) [5 pt / 73 pts] Is there any reason why we should not model this response metric using the negative binomial model with mean log-linear in the covariates?

Despite what you wrote in (a), we will ignore any concerns about the appropriateness of this model going forward.

- (b) [5 pt / 78 pts] Considering all other covariate values the same, what would be the predicted *percent* difference in mean score of a male versus a female to the nearest two digits?

- (c) [6 pt / 84 pts] Compute $\hat{CI}_{\beta_{\text{educ}}, 95\%}$, the confidence interval for the slope parameter within the link function for the variable `educ` to the nearest four digits.

- (d) [1 pt / 85 pts] The confidence interval in the previous question is... circle one:
exact / approximate

- (e) [6 pt / 91 pts] Predict the vocabulary score of a female, foreign-born, age 25 with 17yr of education. Round the score to the nearest whole number.

We now run the same model but this time omitting features `gender` and `nativeBorn`. Below is the output

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(Intercept)	0.9130409	0.0139108	65.64	<2e-16 ***
age	0.0022436	0.0001438	15.61	<2e-16 ***
educ	0.0578375	0.0008323	69.49	<2e-16 ***
	Theta:	173175		
	Std. Err.:	146404		
2 x log-likelihood:		-115635.4		

Here are some values of the inverse CDF of the χ^2_{df} distribution:

df	Probability less than the critical value				
	0.90	0.95	0.975	0.99	0.999
1	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635	10.828
2	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210	13.816
3	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.345	16.266
4	7.779	9.488	11.143	13.277	18.467
5	9.236	11.070	12.833	15.086	20.515
6	10.645	12.592	14.449	16.812	22.458
7	12.017	14.067	16.013	18.475	24.322

- (f) [2 pt / 93 pts] For the test of $H_0 : \beta_{\text{gender}} = \beta_{\text{nativeBorn}} = 0$ at $\alpha = 1\%$, would would be the critical value of the likelihood ratio test that the test statistic is compared to?
- (g) [7 pt / 100 pts] Run the test of $H_0 : \beta_{\text{gender}} = \beta_{\text{nativeBorn}} = 0$ at $\alpha = 1\%$ and record your decision and write one sentence that interprets the result of the decision.