Power in the 1-sample z-test. DGP:  $\times_{y...} \times_{h} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^{2})$  where sigsq is known and sample size n.

Level  $\times_{x} H_{0}: \theta = \theta_{0} H_{1}: \theta = \theta_{1} H_{1} \theta_{0} = \theta_{1} H_{$ 

$$= |-\overline{\pm} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}(\theta_{1}-\theta_{0}) + 2_{1-\alpha}\right)$$

$$= |-\overline{\pm} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{6}(\theta_{1}-\theta_{0}) + 2_{1-\alpha$$

 $\mathcal{O} = E[X], \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}} = \frac{1}{r_1} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{r} X_i}_{X_i}$   $\mathcal{O}^n = E[X - \mathcal{O}]^n, \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}}^n = \frac{1}{r_1} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{r} (X_i - \mathcal{O})^n}_{x_i} \quad \text{but we don't know } \theta!!!$   $\text{try again:} \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}}^n = \frac{1}{r_1} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{r} (X_i - \overline{X})^n}_{x_i} \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}} = \overline{X} \quad \text{seems reasonate}$ 

We assumed sigsq to be known. Is that realistic?? No! What do we do? Sigsq is called a "nuisance parameter" i.e. it's not our arget for inference (which is  $\theta$ ) but we have to still estimate it or infer  $\theta$ . How can we estimate sigsq?

Is it unbiased? Let's assume 
$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} reach \theta$$
, where  $G$ 

$$\begin{bmatrix} G^2 \end{bmatrix} = F \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n} & \frac{2}{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^n \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F[(X_i - \overline{X})^n] = F[$$

 $= E[X_{1}^{2}] - 2 E[X_{1}(X_{1}+...+X_{n})] + E[X^{2}]$   $= E[X_{1}^{2}] - \frac{2}{n}(E[X_{1}^{2}] + E[X_{1}X_{n}]_{t-1} + E[X_{1}X_{n}]) + E[X^{2}]$   $= (\delta^{2} + \delta^{2}) - \frac{2}{n}(\delta^{2} + \delta^{2} + \delta^{2} + ... + \delta^{2}) + \frac{\delta^{2}}{n} + \delta^{2}$   $= (\delta^{2} + \delta^{2}) - \frac{2}{n}(\delta^{2} + \delta^{2} + \delta^{2} + ... + \delta^{2}) + \frac{\delta^{2}}{n} + \delta^{2}$ 

 $6^{2} + 6^{2} - 2 \frac{6^{2}}{5} - 26^{2} + \frac{6^{2}}{5} + 6^{2} = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{5} \right) 6^{2}$ 

An estimator is asymptotically unbiased if 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F[\hat{Q}_n] = 0$$

Can I "fix" this reasonable estimator? Yes!

Bessel's Correction

Let  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \hat{Q}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$  sample variance

 $\frac{h-1}{h} \sigma^2 < \sigma^2$  i.e. it's biased downward (towards zero)

 $E[S^2] = E\begin{bmatrix} \frac{h}{h-1} & \hat{O}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{h}{h-1} E[\hat{O}^2] = \frac{h}{h-1} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{O}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{h}{h-1}$ 

Yes! This is what we do. However, it is biased...

Recall: 
$$\overline{X} = \hat{O} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}, (\frac{G}{\sqrt{L_h}})^2) \iff \frac{\hat{O} - O}{\sqrt{L_h}} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{O}, 1)$$

This is called the standard T-distribution also called "Student's T distribution" with "n-1 degrees of freedom".

Back to the main story... our DGP is iid normal with both mean and variance unknown. The variance is a nuisance parameter.

Heights of male students: 67, 75, 64, 66, 74, 72, 67, 69, 70", n=9, xbar = 69.33, s=3.74We want to test if the class's male students are "different" than the American male population whose mean is 70".

He: 0 + 70, Ho: 0=70, x = 5%.

We'll do this test on the standardized scale

This test will be called the 1-sample t-test. Let's do our first t-test.

5+1. B D Ho

3.74

4 = 1.54

Standardiz

S = 3.74

i.e. the value of Student's T distribution with 8 degrees of freedom such that 
$$P(T_{\theta} < t_{\theta_{A} \uparrow 7, 97}) = 97.5\%$$

Midterm I

Midterm II

Let's consider sampling from two possibly different populations

69.33-70

where 6, 62 are Khown

DGP: X11, X12, ... X14, ~ N(0, 62) X21, ... X24 ~ A

two-sided, two-sample test 
$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_2 < \theta_1 - \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \underset{4:}{\text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$}} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$} & \text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$} & \text{$ \lor s. } & \text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_2 < \theta)$} & \text{$ \vdash \theta_2 > \theta_2 < \theta)$} & \text{$ \vdash \theta_1 > \theta_$$

right-sided, two-sample test

 $N_1$