Assume we can interchange differentiation and integration
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ \int_{\lambda_{p_{i}}(x_{i})} \int_{\lambda_{p_{i}}(x_{i})} \hat{\sigma} \left[ \int_{\lambda_{p$$

 $E[\hat{\theta} s] = E\left[\hat{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ f(X_1, ..., X_n; \theta) \right] \right]$ 

Let's go prove some of our estimators are UMVUE's. 
$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iii}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(\mathfrak{F})$$
,  $\hat{\mathcal{O}} = \overline{X}$ , and isolated a variance the CRLB variance?? If so, it's the UMVUE. We need a more useful expression for  $I(\theta)$ . Before...

$$I(\mathfrak{F}) := \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{F}; X) \right] = \frac{(H^{(X)})}{2\pi} = \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{F}; X) \right]$$

 $=\int \cdots \int \hat{\sigma} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \sigma \left[ \int \langle X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}; \sigma \rangle \right]}{\int \langle X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}; \sigma \rangle} \int \langle X_{1}, \dots, X_{n}; \sigma \rangle dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n}$   $\int \langle X_{n} | X_{n} \rangle dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n}$ 

et's go prove some of our estimators are UMVUE's.

$$(X_1,...,X_n) \stackrel{\text{iii}}{\sim} (\text{Bern}(\mathcal{D}), \hat{\mathcal{O}} = \overline{X}, \text{ unbinal}, \text{ Var}[\overline{X}] = 0$$

is that variance the CRLB variance?? If so, it's the UMVU

We need a more useful expression for  $I(\theta)$ . Before...

 $I(\theta) := E_X[L'(\theta;X)] = \frac{(H^{(w)})}{X} = \frac{E_X[L'(\theta;X)]}{X}$ 

that variance the CRLB variance?? If so, it's the UMVUE to need a more useful expression for 
$$I(\theta)$$
. Before...

$$I(\theta) := \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ L'(\theta; x) \right] = \dots = \mathbb{E}_{x} \left[ -L'(\theta; x) \right]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x) = \rho(x; \theta) = \frac{\partial^{x} (1 - \theta)^{1 - x}}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x) = x \ln(\theta) + (1 - x) \ln(1 - \theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (x) = \frac{x}{\theta} - \frac{1 - x}{(1 - \theta)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} + \frac{1 - \mathbb{E}_{x}}{(1 - \theta)^{2}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} + \frac{1 - \mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} + \frac{\mathbb{E}_{x}}{\theta} = \frac{$$

$$\mathcal{L}'(\mathcal{D}; \times) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} - \frac{1-X}{1-\mathcal{D}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}''(\mathcal{D}; \times) = -\frac{X}{\mathcal{D}^2} - \frac{1-X}{(1-\mathcal{D})^2}$$

$$= \frac{E[X]}{\mathcal{D}^2} + \frac{1-E[X]}{(1-\mathcal{D})^2} = \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}^2} + \frac{1-\mathcal{D}}{(1-\mathcal{D})^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{1}{1-\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1-\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}^2} + \frac{1-\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}^2} = \frac{1-\mathcal{$$

$$X_{1}...,X_{n} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\theta_{1},\theta_{2}), \quad \hat{\theta}_{1} = \hat{\theta} = \overline{X} \quad \text{Is it the UMVUE?}$$

$$V_{1}[\overline{X}] = \frac{\theta_{2}}{n} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{T(\theta_{1})^{-1}}{n} \quad Y \in S!$$

$$L(\theta_{1};X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\theta_{1}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_{2}} \left(X^{2} - 2X\theta_{1} + \theta_{1}^{2}\right)$$

$$L(\theta_{1};X) = -\frac{1}{2} l_{n} (2\pi\theta_{2}) - \frac{1}{2\theta_{2}} \left(X^{2} - 2X\theta_{1} + \theta_{1}^{2}\right)$$

$$V_{2}[X] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\theta_{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta_{2}} \left(X^{2} - 2X\theta_{1} + \theta_{1}^{2}\right)$$

$$V_{3}[X] = -\frac{1}{2} l_{n} (2\pi\theta_{2}) - \frac{X^{2}}{2\theta_{2}} + \frac{X\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}} - \frac{\theta_{1}^{2}}{2\theta_{2}}$$

$$L(\theta_{1};X) = \frac{X}{\theta_{1}} - \frac{\theta_{1}}{\theta_{2}}, \quad L'(\theta_{1};X) = -\frac{1}{\theta_{2}}$$

$$T(\theta_{1}) = E[-L'(\theta_{1};X)] = E[-\frac{1}{2}] = \frac{1}{2} \implies T(\theta_{1})^{-1} = E$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}, \times) = \frac{\times}{\mathcal{O}_{1}} - \frac{\partial}{\mathcal{O}_{2}}, \quad \mathcal{L}'(\mathcal{O}, \times) = -\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}_{2}}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{O}, \times) = \mathcal{E}_{1} - \mathcal{L}'(\mathcal{O}, \times) = \mathcal{E}_{2} - \mathcal{E}_{2} - \mathcal{E}_{2} = \mathcal{E}_{2} =$$

If you don't know  $\sigma$ , you need to estimate it. You can use S, the sample std deviation for example:

Is the following true? - N(0,1) SÈ [6]

For iid normal DGP, we know that

What kind of estimators for the parameters should we employ inside the estimator for the standard error? Beautiful theory follows if you employ "consistent estimators".

Def: an estimator is consistent if 
$$\mathring{\mathcal{D}} \stackrel{\rho}{\rightarrow} \theta$$
. This means it "converges in probability" to  $\theta$ . This convergence is not in this class (it's in 368). Convergence in probability means you can estimate  $\theta$  with an degree of precision you wish given sufficient n. This usually implies asymptotic unbiasedness.

Thm 5.5.4 p222 Casella and Berger. Let  $\mathring{A}$  be a rv indexed by n and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\mathring{A} \stackrel{\rho}{\rightarrow} c$  then  $h(\mathring{A}) \stackrel{\rho}{\rightarrow} h(c)$  for any continuous function h.

If ô 40 assuming the SEhat function is continuous Thm 5.5.17 (Slutsky's thm) p239-240 Casella & Berger. Let  $\hat{A}$ ,  $\hat{B}$  be rv's indexed by n. If  $\hat{A} \stackrel{f}{\rightarrow} c$  and  $\hat{B} \stackrel{J}{\rightarrow} B$  (a distribution),

then 
$$\hat{A}\hat{B} \to cB$$

$$\hat{O} = 0$$

$$\hat{S} = \hat{O} = 0$$
As long as the estimates employed internally in SEhat are consistent.

Here's the monster thm (we will prove parts of it). Under some technical conditions...

are asymptotically normal where

$$SE[\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{n_{LE}}] = \int \underbrace{\mathcal{I}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{n_{LE}})}_{n_{l}} \quad \text{which means it has the CRL} \\ \text{for variance} \\ \\ \widehat{SE}[\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{n_{LE}}] = \int \underbrace{\mathcal{I}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{n_{LE}})}_{n_{l}} \quad \text{which means it has the CRL} \\ \text{for variance} \\ \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{n_{LE}} = \int \underbrace{\mathcal{I}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{n_{LE}})}_{n_{l}} \quad \text{which means as n gets} \\ \text{is "asymptically efficient" which means as n gets} \\ \text{larger, it provides the smallest possible variance.} \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{n_{l}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{O}}_{n_{l}} \quad \text{does not.} \\ \\ \text{We will prove} \\ \text{* the asymptotic normality result and} \\ \text{* the asymptotic efficiency result} \\ \\ \text{This means we want to show:} \\ \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{n_{l}} = \underbrace{\mathcal{O}}_{n_{l}} \quad \text{which means it has the CRL} \\ \text{is an es for } I(\theta)$$