

Lecture 20 Math 310 5/4/16

1

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} e N(\theta_0, \sigma_0^2) + (1-e) N(\theta_1, \sigma_1^2) = \sum_{m=1}^M e_m N(\theta_m, \sigma_m^2)$$

Goal: estimate all $e, \theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \theta_1, \sigma_1^2$

MLE approach: no closed form solution

grid search: will be too approx

multivariate N-R: too difficult

$$P(X | \theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \theta_1, \sigma_1^2, e) = \prod_{i=1}^n e \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}(x_i - \theta_0)^2} + (1-e) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}(x_i - \theta_1)^2}$$

What if we know...

then X_1 belongs to $N(\theta_1, \sigma_1^2)$, X_2 belongs to $N(\theta_0, \sigma_0^2)$, etc...
this is called a "latent variable"

let $I_i := \mathbb{I}$ the i th observation belongs to $N(\theta_0, \sigma_0^2)$

$I_1, \dots, I_n \overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(e)$ (no reason to think otherwise) $\Rightarrow I | e \sim \text{Bin}(n, e)$

$$P(X, I | \theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \theta_1, \sigma_1^2, e) = P(X | \theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \theta_1, \sigma_1^2, I, e) P(I | \theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \theta_1, \sigma_1^2, e)$$

$$= P(X | \theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \theta_1, \sigma_1^2, I) P(I | e)$$

once you know I ...
handed for e

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}(x_i - \theta_0)^2} \right)^{I_i} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}(x_i - \theta_1)^2} \right)^{1-I_i} e^{I_i} (1-e)^{1-I_i}$$

Is this mathematically valid?

prob does make

$$P(X|\theta) = \int_{\text{supp}(I)} P(X, I|\theta) dI = \int P(X|\theta, I) P(I|\theta) dI$$

... but does this work?

$$P(X|\theta, \dots, \epsilon) = \int_{\text{supp}(I_1)} \dots \int_{\text{supp}(I_n)} \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i|I_i, \theta) dI_1 \dots dI_n$$

I_i is discrete so...

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{I_i \in \{0,1\}} \dots \sum_{I_n \in \{0,1\}} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (X_i - \theta_0)^2} \right)^{I_i} \left((1-e)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (X_i - \theta_1)^2} \right)^{1-I_i}$$

each term gets its own sum, and then all are integrated together

$$= \sum_{I_1 \in \{0,1\}} \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (X_1 - \theta_0)^2} \right)^{I_1} \left((1-e)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (X_1 - \theta_1)^2} \right)^{1-I_1} \dots \sum_{I_n \in \{0,1\}} \dots$$

$$= \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (X_1 - \theta_0)^2} + (1-e)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (X_1 - \theta_1)^2} \right) \dots (- \dots -)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (X_i - \theta_0)^2} + (1-e)^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (X_i - \theta_1)^2} = \text{our original likelihood function}$$

so... $P(X|\theta) = \int P(X|\theta, I) P(I|\theta) dI$

is called "data augmentation"

↑ ↑
pseudo-data "addition"

he "argues" the same. But who cares! This is why...

$$\nabla \ln L(\theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \sigma_1^2, p | X) = 0 \quad \text{not possible... but if we add in } I_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \ln \prod_{i=1}^n \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \ln \prod_{i=1}^n e^{I_i} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} \right)^{I_i} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} (X_i - \theta_0)^2 I_i} (1-p)^{1-I_i} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_1^2}} \right)^{1-I_i} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} (X_i - \theta_1)^2 (1-I_i)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \ln e^{\sum I_i} \left(\downarrow \right)^{\sum I_i} e^{-\sum \dots} (1-p)^{\sum (1-I_i)} \left(\downarrow \right)^{\sum (1-I_i)} e^{-\sum \dots}$$

(ln(2π) + ln(σ²))

$$\Rightarrow \nabla \sum I_i \ln p = \frac{1}{2} \sum I_i \ln(2\pi\sigma_0^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum (X_i - \theta_0)^2 I_i$$

$$+ (\sum (1-I_i) \ln(1-p) - \frac{1}{2} (\sum (1-I_i) \ln(2\pi\sigma_1^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2} \sum (X_i - \theta_1)^2 (1-I_i))$$

Let $n_1 = \sum I_i$, $n_0 = \sum (1-I_i)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p} [\dots] = \frac{n_1}{p} - \frac{n_0}{1-p} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{n_1}{p} = \frac{n_0}{1-p} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{p} - 1 = \frac{n_0}{n_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{p} = \frac{n}{n_1} \Rightarrow \hat{p}_{MLE} = \frac{n_1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum I_i \quad \text{obviously... just } \bar{x}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} [\dots] = -\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} \sum (X_i - \theta_0)^2 I_i = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} [\sum X_i^2 I_i - 2\theta_0 \sum X_i I_i + \theta_0^2 \sum I_i] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -2 \sum X_i I_i + 2\theta_0 n_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_0 = \frac{\sum X_i I_i}{n_1} \quad \& \quad \hat{\theta}_1 = \frac{\sum X_i (1-I_i)}{n_0}$$

MLE obviously just \bar{x}

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma_0^2} [\dots] = -\frac{n_1}{2\sigma_0^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma_0^2)^2} \sum (X_i - \hat{\theta}_0)^2 I_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_{0,MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n_1} \sum (X_i - \hat{\theta}_0)^2 I_i \quad \& \quad \hat{\sigma}_{1,MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n_0} \sum (X_i - \hat{\theta}_1)^2 (1-I_i)$$

But this doesn't help us since we don't know I_1, \dots, I_n !

What if we can observe them? How would I estimate I_1 ?

$I_1 = \mathbb{1}_{X_1 \text{ belongs to } N(\theta_0, \sigma_0^2)}$

$$\text{let } \hat{I}_1 = E(I_1 | \theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \theta_1, \sigma_1^2, p) = \frac{p P(X_1 | \theta_0, \sigma_0^2)}{p P(X_1 | \theta_0, \sigma_0^2) + (1-p) P(X_1 | \theta_1, \sigma_1^2)}$$

$$= \frac{p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}(X_1 - \theta_0)^2}}{p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2}(X_1 - \theta_0)^2} + (1-p) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma_1^2}(X_1 - \theta_1)^2}}$$

$$\text{let } \hat{I}_2 = P(I_2 = 1 | \dots) = \dots$$

$$\hat{I}_n = P(I_n = 1 | \dots) = \dots$$

We can do a quick calculation for each I_1, \dots, I_n

But this doesn't help us since we don't know $\theta_0, \sigma_0^2, \theta_1, \sigma_1^2$!

What if we guess $\theta_{0,0} = 10, \sigma_{0,0}^2 = 1, \theta_{1,0} = 15, \sigma_{1,0}^2 = 1, p = 30\%$

or reasonable ^{starting} guesses looking at the data

then use these guesses to estimate the original data $\hat{I}_1, \dots, \hat{I}_n$

then calculate the MLE's. Repeat. Stop at a certain tolerance (ϵ).

Step 1: guess values for the parameters.

Step 2: Input the original data using expectation (the E-step)

Step 3: Compute the MLE's for the params using the input original data (the M-step)

Step 4: Repeat 2 & 3 until $|\theta_{t+1} - \theta_t| < \epsilon$ (pre-specified).

Math 2A1 exercise

$X = \mathbb{1}_{\omega \in A}$

$E(X) = P = P(\omega \in A)$

$X = \mathbb{1}_{\text{Trump will be president}}$

$E(X) = P(\checkmark)$

It's NEW!

5

1977 Rappaport, Land, Riebler

But 1974 Rolf Sjulberg found it from

1977 - gemminal residual

Wu, 1983: proved convergence for a wide range of parametric models

Newton Raphson: useful for solving $f(\theta) = c$. We used it for finding $\hat{\theta}_{MAP} = \arg\max \{k(\theta|x)\}$ by setting $k'(\theta|x) = 0$ when it was not solvable in closed form.

E-M: useful for cases where MLE's break down due to not in closed form but if you know some limits then it would be easy.

Can this help with our semi-conjugate model?

$$x_1, \dots, x_n \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2), \quad \theta \sim N(\mu_0, \tau^2), \quad \sigma^2 \sim \text{InverseGamma}\left(\frac{\nu_0}{2}, \frac{\nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{2}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(\theta, \sigma^2 | X) &\propto P(X | \theta, \sigma^2) P(\theta) P(\sigma^2) \\ &\propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x_i - \theta)^2} \right) \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2\tau^2}(\theta - \mu_0)^2} \right) \left((\sigma^2)^{-\frac{\nu_0}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{\nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{2\sigma^2}} \right) \\ &\propto (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\sum x_i^2 + \nu_0 \sigma_0^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{\theta \sum x_i}{\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{n\theta^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{\theta^2}{2\tau^2}} e^{\frac{\theta \mu_0}{\tau^2}} \end{aligned}$$