

LECTURE 17

Recap: Before we were doing the normal model.

We got our data X_n which looked normal

so we assumed $F = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\theta)^2} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+ \right\}$ attempted to estimate our parameters θ, σ^2 . We then got our

data is univariate, i.e.

$\{49.7, 50.1, \text{etc}\}$

conjugate family: Normal InvGamma.

We had 2 goals

- 1) INFERENCE (i.e. figure out posterior)
- 2) Predict $X^* | X$

We then looked at bivariate data

meaning we had $\{ \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle, \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle x_n, y_n \rangle \}$

We assumed $F = \{ \beta_0 + \beta_1 x \mid \beta_0, \beta_1 \in \mathbb{R} \}$ & our parameters were $\sigma^2, \beta_0, \beta_1$. We then predict $y^* | y, x, x^*$ where

$$y^* | y, x, x^* = \int_{\vec{\theta}} P(y^* | x^*, x, y, \vec{\theta}) P(\vec{\theta} | x, y) d\vec{\theta}.$$

Now our "data" is y here.

$$\text{Let } \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}, \vec{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Before we had

$$y_1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \epsilon_1$$

$$y_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_2 + \epsilon_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_n = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_n + \epsilon_n$$

In vector format,

$$\vec{y} = \beta_0 \vec{1} + \beta_1 \vec{x} + \vec{\epsilon}$$

If we define

$$X := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$X\vec{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

so

$$\vec{y} = X\vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon}$$

Let us guess

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

using the least squares penalty.

We do this by minimizing

$$\sum \epsilon_i^2 = \text{minimizing } \vec{\epsilon}^T \vec{\epsilon}$$

Which is done by solving $\nabla(\vec{\epsilon}^T \vec{\epsilon}) = 0$.

Solving this equation yields

$$\vec{b} = \vec{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{y}$$

Rewriting the last equation is

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \end{bmatrix} = b = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

and we let

$$B = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

Note b depends on the realization Y ,

whereas the r.v. B depends on the r.v. Y

We call b the least squared estimates &
we call B the least squared estimator

Recall univariate regression: $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ ← 1 regressor, x

Now $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \beta_p x_{pi} + \varepsilon_i$ ← p regressors

So blood pressure depends on weight, age, glucose, etc

Define $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{21} & \dots & x_{p1} \\ 1 & x_{12} & x_{22} & \dots & x_{p2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{1n} & x_{2n} & \dots & x_{pn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_p \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \varepsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$

$\vec{y} = X \vec{\beta} + \vec{\varepsilon}$

Now you want to minimize ε so you solve $\nabla(\varepsilon^T \varepsilon) = 0$.
Doing so yields

$$b = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y \quad \& \quad B = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

which is THE SAME SOLUTION AS BEFORE. So the solution in the univariate case equals the solution in the multivariate case!

Note in the multivariate case $b = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_p \end{bmatrix}$ where b_i approximates β_i

Moving on, let us again consider our OLS assumptions.

Assumptions: $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$

This implies

$$Y_1 | \vec{X}_1 \sim N(\vec{X}_1^T \vec{\beta}, \sigma^2)$$

$$Y_2 | \vec{X}_2 \sim N(\vec{X}_2^T \vec{\beta}, \sigma^2)$$

\vdots

$$Y_n | \vec{X}_n \sim N(\vec{X}_n^T \vec{\beta}, \sigma^2)$$

} independent
but NOT
identically
distributed.

We will now take a big leap... we are going stick all these normal r.v.'s into 1 single r.v.

● $Y|X \sim N_n(\bar{x}, \sigma^2 I_n)$ which is called a multivariate normal r.v.

★ All we are doing is sticking a bunch of r.v.'s into a vector. ★

As an example let $S = \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix}$ where S_i is a univariate r.v.

Define $E[S] = \begin{bmatrix} E(S_1) \\ E(S_2) \end{bmatrix}$, define $E(cS) = cE(S)$,

define $E[\bar{a}^T S] = E[\bar{a}^T \begin{bmatrix} S_1 \\ S_2 \end{bmatrix}] = \bar{a}^T E[S]$

$\text{Var}[S_i] = E[S_i - E[S_i]]^2$

$\text{Var}[S] := \begin{bmatrix} \text{var}[S_1] & \text{cov}(S_1, S_2) \\ \text{cov}(S_1, S_2) & \text{var}[S_2] \end{bmatrix}$ where $\text{cov}(S_1, S_2) = E[S_1 - \mu_1][S_2 - \mu_2]$

For simplicity we write

$\text{Var}[S] = \begin{bmatrix} \{\text{cov}[S_i, S_j]\} \end{bmatrix}$

● $\text{Cov}(S_i, S_i) = S_i$

If S_i & S_j are independent then $\text{Cov}[S_i, S_j] = 0$

If S_i are all independent then

$\text{Var}[S] = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$ if identical variance then $\sigma^2 I_n = \text{Var}[S]$

Now,

$Y|X \sim N_n(\bar{x}, \sigma^2 I_n) = \text{MVN}(\bar{\mu}, \Sigma)$

$:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n |\Sigma|}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2} (x - \bar{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \bar{\mu})}$

If $\Sigma = \sigma^2 I$ then $N_n(\mu, \sigma^2 I) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \bar{\mu})^T (x - \bar{\mu})}$

If $\dim[\mu] = 1$ then you get back the univariate normal.

Now if $X \sim N_n(\mu, \Sigma)$ what is the distribution of AX
for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ constants.

Recall for a r.v. X , $M_X(t) := E(e^{t^T X})$

Now If X is a vector r.v., $M_{\vec{X}}(\vec{t}) = E(e^{\vec{t}^T \vec{X}})$
and $M_X(t) = M_Y(t) \iff X \stackrel{d}{=} Y$

For $X \sim N_n(\mu, \Sigma)$, $M_X(t) = e^{t^T \mu + \frac{1}{2} t^T \Sigma t}$

It follows $AX \sim N_n(A\mu, A\Sigma A^T)$. Also,

① $E[AX] = A E[X]$

② $\text{Var}[AX] = A \Sigma A^T$

How does this help us? Why would we need this? We will use this

Recall $B = \underbrace{(X^T X)^{-1} X^T}_{AY} Y \leftarrow \text{really this is } B|X$

So $B|X = AY = A \cdot Y|X$

Now $Y|X \sim N_n(X\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 I_n)$ so

$$\begin{aligned} B|X = AY &= (A X \vec{\beta}, A \sigma^2 I_n A^T) \\ &= \left((X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \vec{\beta}, (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \sigma^2 I_n (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \right) \\ &= N_n(\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$