

$$\vec{Y} = X\vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon}$$

homoskedastic  
means  
same  
variance

$$n \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = n \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} X \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + n \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$p$        $1$

Standard Assumption about error

$$\vec{\epsilon} \sim N_n(\vec{0}, \sigma^2 I)$$

Idempotent, Normal, Homoscedastic  
↑ "same variance"

$\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2$  are unknown parameters

$$\Rightarrow \vec{Z} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \vec{\epsilon} \sim N_n(\vec{0}, I)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{\epsilon}^T \vec{\epsilon} \sim \chi_n^2$$

with 30 minutes of matrix algebra  
we can find that the multivariate least squares  
estimate for  $\vec{\beta}$  is

$\hat{\beta}$  for  
estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X\vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon}) \\ &= (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \vec{\beta} + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{\epsilon} \\ &= \vec{\beta} + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{\epsilon} \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{\beta} = N_p(\vec{\beta}, ((X^T X)^T (\sigma^2 I) ((X^T X)^{-1} X^T)^T) \\ = N_p(\vec{\beta}, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\hat{\vec{\beta}}) = \vec{\beta} \quad \text{so } \hat{\vec{\beta}} \text{ is unbiased estimator}$$

margining

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_k \sim N(\beta_k, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}_{kk})$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\hat{\beta}_k - \beta}{\sigma \sqrt{(X^T X)^{-1}_{kk}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

Student said

$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$   
is approx.  
same as

but we don't know  $\sigma$ , need to estimate it  
Students problem:  $\sigma$  is unknown,  
use estimate instead

$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}}$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{E}^T \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{E}^T P \vec{E} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{E}^T (I - P) \vec{E}$$

where

$$P := X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$$

$$\text{rank}[P] = p$$

← orthogonal projection  
matrix onto  $p$  dim.  
(take  $n$ -dimensional input  
makes it into  $p$ -dimensional)

$I - P$  is an orthogonal projection matrix  
onto the remaining  $n - p$  dimensions

$$\text{rank}[I - P] = n - p$$

$$\text{need } PP = P: \quad PP = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$$

$$\text{need } PP = P \quad \checkmark \quad P \text{ is idempotent}$$

$$(I - P)(I - P) = (I - P): \quad (I - P)(I - P) = II - PI - IP + PP \\ = I - P - P + P$$

$$(I - P)(I - P) = I - P \quad \checkmark \quad I - P \text{ is idempotent}$$

so Cochran's Theorem applies...

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{E}^T \vec{E} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{E}^T \underset{\chi_p^2}{P} \vec{E} + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{E}^T \underset{\chi_{n-p}^2}{(I-P)} \vec{E}$$

and are indep. by  
Cochran's Theorem  
so can do:

$$\chi_p^2 + \chi_{n-p}^2 \sim \chi_n^2$$

$$\vec{E}^T P \vec{E} = \vec{E}^T P P \vec{E} = (\vec{E}^T P) P \vec{E}$$

$$\vec{E}^T (I-P) \vec{E} = \vec{E}^T (I-P) (I-P) \vec{E} = ((I-P) \vec{E})^T ((I-P) \vec{E})$$

$$\begin{aligned} P \vec{E} &= P(\vec{Y} - X\vec{\beta}) = P\vec{Y} - PX\vec{\beta} \\ &= \underbrace{X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{Y}}_{X \hat{\vec{\beta}}} - \underbrace{X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{\beta}}_X \\ &= X \hat{\vec{\beta}} - X \vec{\beta} \\ &= X(\hat{\vec{\beta}} - \vec{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } \vec{E}^T P \vec{E} &= \vec{E}^T P P \vec{E} = (\vec{E}^T P) P \vec{E} \\ &= (\hat{\vec{\beta}} - \vec{\beta})^T X^T X (\hat{\vec{\beta}} - \vec{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{Y} - X \hat{\vec{\beta}} \sim \vec{Y} - X \vec{\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{PE} &= P(\vec{Y} - X\vec{\beta}) = P\vec{Y} - PX\vec{\beta} \\ &= \underbrace{X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T \vec{Y}}_{\vec{\beta}} - \underbrace{X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T X}_{I} \vec{\beta}\end{aligned}$$

$$P\vec{E} = X(\vec{\beta} - \vec{\beta})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{E}^T P \vec{E} &= \vec{E}^T P P \vec{E} = (P \vec{E})^T P \vec{E} \quad \begin{array}{l} P \text{ is idempotent} \\ P = PP \end{array} \\ &= (\vec{\beta} - \vec{\beta})^T X^T X (\vec{\beta} - \vec{\beta})\end{aligned}$$

$\vec{Y}$ 's  
predicted  
by model

$$\hat{\vec{Y}} = X \hat{\vec{\beta}}$$

$$\vec{E} = \vec{Y} - \hat{\vec{Y}}$$

↑ residuals

$$\begin{aligned}(I - P)\vec{E} &= (I - P)(\vec{Y} - X\vec{\beta}) = \\ &= I\vec{Y} - P\vec{Y} - IX\vec{\beta} + PX\vec{\beta} \\ &= \vec{Y} - P\vec{Y} - X\vec{\beta} + X\vec{\beta} \quad \leftarrow P\vec{Y} = \hat{\vec{\beta}} \\ &= \vec{Y} - X\hat{\vec{\beta}} \\ &= \vec{E} \leftarrow \text{residuals (measure difference between predicted and actual } \vec{Y})\end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{Y} - X\hat{\vec{\beta}} \approx \vec{Y} - X$$

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{E}^T (I - P) \vec{E} &= \vec{E}^T (I - P) (I - P) \vec{E} \quad \leftarrow I - P \text{ is idempotent} \\ &= ((I - P)\vec{E})^T (I - P) \vec{E} \\ &= \vec{E}^T \vec{E} = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 \quad \text{if } \vec{E} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \\ &= SSE \text{ (sum of squared error)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\vec{E} = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$

SSE indep.

$$(\hat{\vec{\beta}} - \vec{\beta})^T X^T X (\hat{\vec{\beta}} - \vec{\beta})$$

⇒ RMSE  
and  $\hat{\vec{\beta}}$   
are indep.

MSE is  
unbiased  
estimator  
for  $\sigma^2$

(RMSE for  $\sigma$ )

$$E\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} SSE\right] = n - p \quad E\left[\frac{SSE}{n - p}\right] = \sigma^2$$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{SSE}{n - p}\right] = 1$$

$$MSE = \frac{SSE}{n - p}$$

↑ mean squared error  $\sqrt{MSE} = RMSE$

$$MSE \approx \sigma^2$$

margining  $\rightarrow \hat{\beta}_k \sim N(\beta_k, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}_{kk})$  /  $MSE \approx \sigma^2$

SSE indep  
of  
 $(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^T X^T X (\hat{\beta} - \beta)$

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_k - \beta_k}{\sigma \sqrt{(X^T X)^{-1}_{kk}}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$RMSE \approx \sqrt{MSE} \approx \sigma$   
"root mean squared error"

$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}, RMSE$   
are indep.

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_k - \beta_k}{RMSE \sqrt{(X^T X)^{-1}_{kk}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{MSE (X^T X)^{-1}_{kk} \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2}}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\hat{\beta}_k - \beta_k}{\sigma \sqrt{(X^T X)^{-1}_{kk}}}}{\sqrt{\frac{MSE}{\sigma^2} \cdot \frac{n-p}{n-p}}} = \frac{\frac{\hat{\beta}_k - \beta_k}{E \sqrt{(X^T X)^{-1}_{kk}}}}{\sqrt{\frac{SSE/\sigma^2}{n-p}}} \} Z \sqrt{\frac{\chi^2_{n-p}}{n-p}}$$

$$\sim T_{n-p}$$

null hyp.

← justification  
for using T-test  
for linear regression

$H_0: \beta_k = \text{value}$  (if T statistic is too big,  
reject this)

$$\frac{(\hat{\vec{\beta}} - \vec{\beta})^T X^T X (\hat{\vec{\beta}} - \vec{\beta})}{p}$$

$$SSE / n - p$$

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{E}^T P \vec{E} / p}{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \vec{E}^T (I - P) \vec{E} / n - p} \sim F_{p, n - p}$$

$$H_0: \vec{\beta} = \text{value}$$

Tells you how "good" the linear regression is

F test does all  $\vec{\beta}$ s at once, not just one value, unlike T-test

(combined T is  $F^2$ )

This is justification for the omnibus F-test for linear regression (F test)