

Lecture - 06

09/16/2020

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times K}$ matrix of constant

\vec{a}_i row i of matrix A

$$E[A\vec{x}] = \begin{bmatrix} E[a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1k}x_k] \\ E[a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2k}x_k] \\ \vdots \\ E[a_{L1}x_1 + a_{L2}x_2 + \dots + a_{Lk}x_k] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E[\vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{x}] \\ E[\vec{a}_2 \cdot \vec{x}] \\ \vdots \\ E[\vec{a}_L \cdot \vec{x}] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{\mu} \\ \vec{a}_2 \cdot \vec{\mu} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{a}_L \cdot \vec{\mu} \end{bmatrix} = A \vec{\mu}$$

$$\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^k$$

scalar

$$\text{Var}[\vec{a}^T \vec{X}] = \text{Var}[\underbrace{y_1}_{a_1 x_1} + \dots + \underbrace{y_k}_{a_k x_k}] = \text{Var}[y_1 + \dots + y_k]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \text{Cov}[y_i, y_j] = \sum_i \sum_j \text{Cov}[a_i x_i, a_j x_j]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_i a_j b_{ij} = \vec{a}^T \underbrace{\sum \vec{a}}_{(1 \times k)(k \times k)(k \times 1)} \vec{a} \quad \text{this is called a "quadratic form"}$$

Let $V \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$

Let $V \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$

$$\vec{a}^T V \vec{a} = \vec{a} \cdot (V \vec{a}) = \vec{a} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_1 v_{11} + \dots + a_k v_{1k} \\ a_1 v_{21} + \dots + a_k v_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ a_1 v_{k1} + \dots + a_k v_{kk} \end{bmatrix} = a_1 (a_1 v_{11} + \dots + a_k v_{1k}) + a_2 (a_1 v_{21} + \dots + a_k v_{2k}) + \dots + a_k (a_1 v_{k1} + \dots + a_k v_{kk})$$

Quadratic forms with V being the determining matrix

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_i a_j V_{ij}$$

Application in finance. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k be financial assets (e.g. stocks). So let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k be the proportion allocated to each of these assets. Let $\vec{\mu} = E[\vec{X}]$, $\Sigma = \text{Var}[\vec{X}]$

$F = \vec{w}^T \vec{X}$ a rv modeling your portfolio.

$$\mu_F = E[F] = \vec{w}^T \vec{\mu}, \quad \text{Var}[F] = \vec{w}^T \Sigma \vec{w}$$

It's possible to pick w -vector to optimize the portfolio by minimizing the variance of returns, $\text{Var}[F]$, conditional on μ_F . This is called "Markowitz optimal portfolio theory".

$\min \text{Var}[F]$ subject to μ_F being constant and $\vec{w}^T \vec{1} = 1$.

$$\vec{X} \sim \text{multin}_k(n, \vec{p}) \quad E[\vec{X}] = \begin{bmatrix} E[X_1] \\ E[X_2] \\ \vdots \\ E[X_k] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} np_1 \\ np_2 \\ \vdots \\ np_k \end{bmatrix} = n\vec{p}$$

$$X_j \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_j)$$

$$\text{Var}[\vec{X}] = \begin{bmatrix} np_1(1-p_1) & & & \\ & np_2(1-p_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & np_k(1-p_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

δ_{ij} (for $i \neq j$)

$$\begin{aligned} i \neq j \\ \text{Cov}[X_i, X_j] &= E[X_i X_j] - E[X_i] E[X_j] \\ &= \sum_{x_i \in R} \sum_{x_j \in R} x_i x_j P_{x_i, x_j} - n^2 p_i p_j \end{aligned}$$

both complicated so it's a pain.

\vec{X}

$i = \text{Apples} \quad j = \text{Orange}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{i1} \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_i) \\ \vdots \\ X_{ij} \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_j) \end{bmatrix} \quad X_i = X_{i1} + X_{i2} + \dots + X_{in_i} \text{ where } X_{i1}, \dots, X_{in_i} \stackrel{\text{w.d.}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p_i)$$

$$X_j = X_{j1} + X_{j2} + \dots + X_{jn_j} \text{ where } X_{j1}, \dots, X_{jn_j} \stackrel{\text{w.d.}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p_j)$$

We've expressed the multinomial rv with $n \times k$ Bernoulli's

$$\vec{X} = \vec{X}_1 + \vec{X}_2 + \dots + \vec{X}_n \text{ where } \vec{X}_1, \dots, \vec{X}_n \stackrel{\text{w.d.}}{\sim} \text{Multinomial}(1, \vec{p})$$

$$\text{Cov}[X_i, X_j] = \text{Cov}[X_{i1} + \dots + X_{in_i}, X_{j1} + \dots + X_{jn_j}] = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \text{Cov}[X_{li}, X_{mj}]$$

A lot of these covariances are zero due to independence. Which ones? If l is different than m , the covariance is zero.

$$= \sum_{l=1}^n \text{Cov}[X_{li}, X_{lj}] = \sum_{l=1}^n \left(E[X_{li} X_{lj}] - E[X_{li}] E[X_{lj}] \right) = \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\underbrace{E[X_{li} X_{lj}]}_{= -n p_i p_j} - n p_i p_j \right)$$

the only term that's non-zero is \dots

$$= \sum_{X_{li} \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{X_{lj} \in \{0,1\}} X_{li} X_{lj} P_{X_{li} X_{lj}} (X_{li}, X_{lj}) \stackrel{!}{=}$$

$$P_{X_{li}, X_{lj}}(1,1) = 0$$

↑ you can't get an apple AND a banana on one grab.

Midterm II

Uniform discrete

$$X \sim U([0, 1, 2, 3]) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{wp } 1/4 \\ 1 & \text{wp } 1/4 \\ 2 & \text{wp } 1/4 \\ 3 & \text{wp } 1/4 \end{cases}$$

$P(x) \rightarrow$

Generally, $X \sim U(A)$

$$\text{Supp}[X] = A, \quad A \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } |A| < \infty \text{ \& } A \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{Supp}[X] = [0, 1, 2, 3]$$

Create a new rv $Y = -X = g(X)$, a very simple function

$$\text{Supp}[Y] = [-3, -2, -1, 0]$$

$$P(Y) = \begin{cases} -3 & \text{wp } 1/4 \\ -2 & \text{wp } 1/4 \\ -1 & \text{wp } 1/4 \\ 0 & \text{wp } 1/4 \end{cases}$$

Generally, for discrete rv X , is there a pattern?

$$P_Y(y) \stackrel{!}{=} P(Y=y) = P(-X=y) = P(X=-y) = P_X(-y)$$

$$\text{Supp}[Y] = [2: P_Y(2) > 0] = [2: P_X(-2) > 0]$$

$$= [-2: P_X(2) > 0] = -[2: P_X(2) > 0] = -\text{Supp}[X]$$

$$X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \mathbb{1}_{x \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}}$$

In class we should: $T = X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(2\lambda)$

II condition
↓

difference

Let $D = X_1 - X_2 = \underbrace{X_1}_X + \underbrace{-X_2}_Y = X + Y$,

$$Y \sim P_Y(y) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{-y}}{(-y)!} \mathbb{I}_{y \in \{\dots, -2, -1, 0\}}$$

$$P_D(d) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp}[X]} P_X^{\text{old}}(x) P_Y^{\text{old}}(d-x) \mathbb{I}_{d-x \in \text{supp}[Y]}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp}[D] &= \text{Supp}[X] + \text{Supp}[Y] \\ &= \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\} = \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

all integers.