$$\begin{array}{c} \text{$\langle x | conclusion } (0,1] = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} \\ \text{$E[x] = \int_{X} x \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} \, dx = \infty$ the expectation doesn't exist} \\ \text{$M_{x}(e) = \int_{e} e^{ex} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} \, dx$ does not exist} \\ \text{$\phi_{x}(e) = \int_{e} e^{iex} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} \, dx$ = ... = $e^{-|e|}$, $\phi_{x}'(e) = -\frac{t}{|e|} e^{-|e|}$, $\phi_{x}'(e)$ dne} \\ \text{$E(x) = \int_{e} e^{ex} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} \, dx$ = ... = $e^{-|e|}$, $\phi_{x}'(e) = -\frac{t}{|e|} e^{-|e|}$, $\phi_{x}'(e)$ dne} \\ \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{iex} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} \, dx$ = ... = $e^{-|e|}$, $\phi_{x}'(e) = -\frac{t}{|e|} e^{-|e|}$, $\phi_{x}'(e)$ dne} \\ \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^{-ix}) \, dx$ } \text{$\langle x | = \int_{e} e^{-ix} (e^$$

tangent is in ble between and pi/2.

(x) =
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (g^{-1}(x)) \left| \frac{1}{2x} \left[g^{-1}(x) \right] \right| = \frac{1}{17} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left[\frac{1}{2x} \left[\frac{1}{x^{2}+1} \right] \right] = Cond_{\mathbb{R}} (g^{-1}(x))$$

Let $X_{1,...}, X_{n} \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{=} N(u, \sigma^{2}) \Rightarrow \frac{X_{1-n}}{\sigma} = Z_{1} \sim N(e, 1)$

same

tangent is invertible between -pi/2 and pi/2.

$$f(x) = f_0(s^{-1}(x)) \left[\frac{1}{dx} \left[s^{-1}(x) \right] \right] = \frac{1}{N} \iint_{\text{pratrox}} e\left[\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right] \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} = \left(\frac{1}{n + 1} \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) \right)$$
Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.}}{\sim} N(n, n, n, s^2)$ $\Rightarrow \frac{X_1 - n}{\sigma} = Z_1 \sim N(e, 1)$ sample variance
$$T_n \sim N(n, n, n, s^2), \quad \overline{X}_n \sim N(n, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}), \quad S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \underbrace{S(x_1 - \overline{X})^2}_{2} \sim f_2^2 \cdot g^2 = \overline{S}_n^2 \cdot g^2 = \overline{S}_$$

 $\sum_{i} (X_{i} - x_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i} ((X_{i} - \overline{X}) + (\overline{X} - x_{i}))^{2} = \sum_{i} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2} + \sum_{i} (\overline{X} - \overline{X})(\overline{X} - x_{i})^{2} + \sum_{i} (\overline{X} -$

In order for this "maybe" to be true, we need independence of those two terms i.e we need S^2 and Xbar to be independent.

 $=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\frac{\chi_{i-M}}{\sigma} \right)^{2} = \frac{\sum (\chi_{i-M})^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \times \frac{\chi_{i-M}}{\chi_{i+M}} + \frac{\chi_{i-M}}{\chi_{i+M}}$

this scalar is called a "quadratic form"

rank/Bi)=] 5 rank/bi] = n

=> { rack[Po] = | + n-| = n v

 $=\frac{\sum X_i - nM}{6n} = \frac{\overline{X} - M}{6}$

rv model.

Fisher proved this without Cochran's thm in 1925 and Geary proved in 1936 that this decomposition is exclusive to the iid normal

 $\Rightarrow Z_{1,...,Z_{h}} \stackrel{id}{\sim} N(0,1)$ $\overrightarrow{Z}^{\dagger}\overrightarrow{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^{h} Z_{i}^{2} \sim \chi_{h}^{2}$

 $\frac{2\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{1}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} = \frac{h-1}{\sigma^{2}} \int_{0}^{2} + \left(\frac{x_{-}}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \wedge x_{n}^{2}$ $\frac{2\left(\frac{x_{1}-x_{1}}{\sigma^{2}}\right)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} + \left(\frac{x_{-}}{\sigma}\right)^{2} \wedge x_{n}^{2}$ $\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}} \wedge x_{n}^{2}$ $\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}} \wedge x_{n}^{2}$

We need Cochran's Theorem to prove this.

 \vec{z} = \vec{z} T \vec{z} ~ χ'

Consider \vec{Z}^{T} $\begin{bmatrix} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\ \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \end{bmatrix} \vec{Z} = Z_{1}^{T} \sim \chi_{1}^{T}$

 $\mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{J}_{i} \right) + \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{J}_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{i}$

 $\vec{Z}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} o_{i_{0}} & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ o_{i_{0}} & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \vec{Z} = Z_{i_{0}}^{2} \sim \chi^{2}$

ZTZ=ZT(B,+B,+...+B,)Z=ZTB,Z+ZTB,Z+...+ZTB,Z ~X,

Cochran's Thm: If $B_1 + B_2 + ... + B_k = I$, k <= n and the sum of their ranks is n then you have two powerful results:

(a) $\vec{z}^{\intercal} \vec{B}_{j} \vec{z} \sim \chi^{2}_{\text{rank}[\vec{B}_{i}]}$ and (b) $\vec{z}^{\intercal} \vec{B}_{j} \vec{z}$ is inducted $\vec{z} \vec{B}_{j} \vec{z} \vec{\forall}_{j_{i} \neq j_{2}}$

Thm from 231 class: if A is symmetric and idempotent (i.e. AA = A) then rank[A] = tr[A] = sum of A's diagonal entries.

(I-+3)(I-+3) = II -+3II-+3II-+13I

What does this have to do with our goal? Well, it's the same thing:

 $\sum_{i} \left(Z_{i} - \overline{Z} \right)^{2} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{X_{i} - M}{\sigma} - \frac{\overline{X} - M}{\sigma} \right)^{2} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{X_{i} - \overline{X}}{\sigma} \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}$

Conjecture: each of these quadratic forms is indpendent.

 $=2(X_i-\overline{X})^2+\eta(\overline{X}-\mu)^2$

et's derive the Cauchy distribution like the physicis

$$\frac{(e^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2}{R} = \frac{1}{R} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{$$

- Let's derive the Cauchy distribution like the physicists found it.

derive the Cauchy distribution like the physicists for
$$Ceiling$$
 $Ceiling$ $Ceiling$