

①

Wednesday September 16th 2020

Lecture 6

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times k}$ All constant

$$E[A\vec{x}] = \begin{matrix} (L \times k)(k \times 1) \\ \parallel \\ L \times 1 \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} E[a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1k}x_k] \\ E[a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2k}x_k] \\ \vdots \\ E[a_{L1}x_1 + a_{L2}x_2 + \dots + a_{Lk}x_k] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E[\vec{a}_1, \vec{x}] \\ E[\vec{a}_2, \vec{x}] \\ \vdots \\ E[\vec{a}_L, \vec{x}] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1, \vec{\mu} \\ \vec{a}_2, \vec{\mu} \\ \vdots \\ \vec{a}_L, \vec{\mu} \end{bmatrix} = A\vec{\mu}$$

$\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^k$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\vec{a}^T \vec{x}] &= \text{Var}[\underbrace{a_1 x_1}_{y_1} + \dots + \underbrace{a_k x_k}_{y_k}] = \text{Var}[y_1 + \dots + y_k] = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k \text{Cov}[y_i, y_j] \\ &\text{Scalar} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_i a_j \text{Cov}[x_i, x_j] = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_i a_j \Sigma_{ij} = \vec{a}^T \Sigma \vec{a} = \text{Var}[\vec{x}] \end{aligned}$$

Let $V \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$, $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^k$

$$\vec{a}^T V \vec{a} = \vec{a} \cdot (V \vec{a}) = \vec{a} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_1 v_{11} + \dots + a_k v_{1k} \\ a_1 v_{21} + \dots + a_k v_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ a_1 v_{k1} + \dots + a_k v_{kk} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_1 v_{11} + \dots + a_1 a_k v_{1k} \\ a_2 a_1 v_{21} + \dots + a_2 a_k v_{2k} \\ \vdots \\ a_k a_1 v_{k1} + \dots + a_k a_k v_{kk} \end{bmatrix}$$

Quadratic forms with V being the "determining matrix"

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k a_i a_j v_{ij}$$

the return

Application in Finance. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k be financial assets (e.g. stocks). So let w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k be the portions allocated to each of these assets. Let $\vec{\mu} = E[\vec{x}]$, $\Sigma = \text{Var}[\vec{x}]$

$$F = \vec{w}^T \vec{x} \quad \text{a r.v. modeling your portfolio}$$

$$\mu_F = E[F] = \vec{w}^T \vec{\mu}, \quad \text{Var}[F] = \vec{w}^T \Sigma \vec{w}$$

It's possible to pick w -vector to optimize the portfolio by minimizing the variance of returns, $\text{Var}[F]$, conditional on μ_F . This is called "Markowitz optimal portfolio theory".

9

min var[F] subject to μ_F being constant and $\vec{w}^T \vec{1} = 1$.

$$\vec{X} \sim \text{multin}_k(n, \vec{p}). \quad E[\vec{X}] = \begin{bmatrix} E[X_1] \\ E[X_2] \\ \vdots \\ E[X_k] \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} np_1 \\ np_2 \\ \vdots \\ np_k \end{bmatrix} = n\vec{p}$$

$$X_j \sim \text{bin}(n, p_j)$$

$$\text{Var}[\vec{X}] = \begin{bmatrix} np_1(1-p_1) & & & \\ & np_2(1-p_2) & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & np_k(1-p_k) \end{bmatrix}$$

σ_{ij}

$i \neq j$

$$\sigma_{ij} < 0$$

$$\text{Cov}[X_i, X_j] = E[X_i X_j] - E[X_i] E[X_j] = \sum_{i \in R} \sum_{j \in R} X_i X_j p_{i,j} (X_i, X_j) - n^2 p_i p_j$$

\vec{X}
11

$i = \text{Apple}, j = \text{Banana}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_i \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_i) \\ X_j \sim \text{Bin}(n, p_j) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X_i = X_{i1} + X_{i2} + \dots + X_{ni} \quad \text{where } X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ni} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p_i)$$

$$X_j = X_{j1} + X_{j2} + \dots + X_{nj} \quad \text{where } X_{j1}, \dots, X_{nj} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p_j)$$

We've expressed the multinomial RV with $n \times k$ Bernoulli's

$$\vec{X} = \vec{X}_1 + \vec{X}_2 + \dots + \vec{X}_n \quad \text{where } \vec{X}_1, \dots, \vec{X}_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{multin}_k(1, \vec{p})$$

Now we can express the Covariance

$$\text{Cov}[X_i, X_j] = \text{Cov}[X_{i1} + \dots + X_{ni}, X_{j1} + \dots + X_{nj}] = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{m=1}^n \text{Cov}[X_{li}, X_{mj}]$$

A lot of these Covariance are zero due to independence. Which ones?
So, if l is different than m , the Covariance is zero

$$= \sum_{l=1}^n \text{Cov}[X_{li}, X_{lj}]$$

(3)

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (E[X_i, X_j] - E[X_i]E[X_j]) = -n p_i p_j$$

the only term that's nonzero is...

$$\sum_{X_i \in \{0,1\}, X_j \in \{0,1\}} X_i X_j P_{X_i, X_j}(X_i, X_j) = P_{X_i, X_j}(1,1) = 0$$

↑ you can't get an apple and a banana on one fruit.

End (Midterm I Material)

(Midterm II) $X \sim U(\{0, 1, 2, 3\}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{w.p. } 1/4 \\ 1 & \text{w.p. } 1/4 \\ 2 & \text{w.p. } 1/4 \\ 3 & \text{w.p. } 1/4 \end{cases}$

Generally, $X \sim U(A)$

$\text{Supp}[X] = A, A \subset \mathbb{R}$ set $|A| < \infty$ and $A \neq \emptyset$

(Midterm 2)

Create a new r.v. $Y = -X = g(X)$, a very simple function.

$$\text{Supp}[Y] = \{-3, -2, -1, 0\} \quad P(Y) = \begin{cases} -3 & \text{w.p. } 1/4 \\ -2 & \text{w.p. } 1/4 \\ -1 & \text{w.p. } 1/4 \\ 0 & \text{w.p. } 1/4 \end{cases}$$

Generally, for discrete r.v. X , is there a pattern?

~~$\text{Supp}[X]$~~ $P_Y(y) := P(Y=y) = P(-X=y) = P(X=-y) = P_X(-y)$

$$\text{Supp}[Y] := \{z : P_Y(z) > 0\} = \{z : P_X(-z) > 0\} = \{-z : P_X(z) > 0\}$$

$$= -\{z : P_X(z) > 0\} =: \text{Supp}[X]$$

$X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda) := \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!} \mathbb{1}_{x \in \{0,1,2,\dots\}}$ In class we show:

difference of two r.v. $T = X_1 + X_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(2\lambda)$

Let $D = X_1 - X_2 = \frac{X_1}{X} + \frac{(-X_2)}{Y} = X + Y, Y \sim P_Y(y) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{-y}}{(-y)!} \mathbb{1}_{y \in \{-1,-2,-3,\dots\}}$

$$P_D(d) = \sum_{x \in \text{Supp}[X]} P_X^{\text{old}}(x) P_Y^{\text{old}}(d-x) \mathbb{1}_{d-x \in \text{Supp}[Y]}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Supp}[D] &= \text{Supp}[X] + \text{Supp}[Y] \\ &= \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\} \\ &= \mathbb{Z} \text{ (all integers).} \end{aligned}$$

(4)

If x_1, \dots, x_k are independent, what is the vector matrix?

$$\Sigma = \text{diag} \{ \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_k^2 \} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \sigma_k^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Rules about vector r.v. expectations

$$E[\bar{a}x, \bar{c}] = \begin{bmatrix} a_1\mu_1 + c_1 \\ a_2\mu_2 + c_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_k\mu_k + c_k \end{bmatrix} = \bar{a}\vec{\mu} + \bar{c}$$

$$E[\bar{a}^T x] = E[a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_k x_k] = a_1 \mu_1 + \dots + a_k \mu_k = \bar{a}^T \vec{\mu}$$