```
10/07/2020
Lecture 10
T_{k} \sim Erlong(k, \lambda), N \sim Poisson(\lambda)
X_{1}, X_{2}, \dots \text{ id } Exp(\lambda)
P(T_{k>1})
= 1 - F_{T_{k}}(1) = Q(k, \lambda) \qquad F_{N}(x) = Q(x+1, \lambda)
=> 1-f_(1) = f_(k-1) " Poisson Process"
  N;= # events by t=1

Ni= # events by t=1

Nexperiments = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 < 1 | U[X_1 + X_2 + X_3]

U[X_1 + X_2 < 1] U[X_1 < 1] U[X_1 > 1]

U[X_1 + X_2 < 1] U[X_1 < 1] U[X_1 > 1]

U[X_1 + X_2 < 1] U[X_1 < 1] U[X_1 > 1]
  1- Fig(1) FN(4)
    P(T=>1) = P(N < 4)
 T~ Filong (k, ) = 1 e t 1 1 +20 "
= 1 x - 2 t k-1 1 t>0
      KEIN, ZE (O, X)
T \sim \text{Neg Bin}(k, p) = {k+t+1 \choose k-1} (1-p)^t p^k \mathbb{I}_{t \in \mathbb{N}_o}
                                                                      " Extented
                    = [(K+t) (1-p)t pk ]teNo
     KGN, PE (0,1)
```

What if  $k \in (0, \infty)$ ? Is the top PDF legal and the bottom PMF legal? YES Jo 2 κ = - λt k-1 dt = 1 and & [(κ+1) (1-p) p = 1 which means ... these are rus. X ~ Gamma (a, B) := B1 tx-1 e-Bt 1 +20 X- ExtNegBin (k,p) Transformations for Discrete ru's X~ Bern (p), Y= X+3~ [3 10p 1-P]  $p^{x}(1-p)^{1-x} \mathbf{1}_{x \in \Sigma_{0}, 1\overline{J}} = p^{y-3} (1-p) \mathbf{1}_{y-1}$ How do l express the transformed PMF using the original PMF? Df 4= g(x) ~ Py(y) = Px(g'(y)) g'(4) = x is only the formula for g invertible

lf g non-invertible  $X \sim U(E1, 2, -.., 10] = 10 T_{XCE1, 2, -.., 10]$ 

Y= MIN [X,3] ~ 2 wp 1/0 3 wp P(x=3)+P(x=h)+ + P(x=10)= 3/0  $P_{y}(y) = \sum_{\{x:g(x)=y\}} P_{x}(x) \stackrel{\text{if } g \text{ invertible for Supp}[x]}{\sum_{\{x:x=g^{\dagger}(y)\}}} P_{x}(x)$ = Px (g'(y)) X~Bin(n,p), Y=X2~Py(4)=Px(y-1(y))=Px(1y) x = Jy = g'(y) $= \binom{n}{lu} p^{ly} (1-p)^{n-ly} \cdot \underbrace{1}_{ly} \in \{0,1,-p\}$ Transformations for continuous ru's Y=g(x), X is continuous for invertible g,  $f_{\chi}(y) = f_{\chi}(g^{\dagger}(y))$  incorrect. X~U(0,1) = 1 xGEO,17, Y= 2X~ fy(4) = fx(4/2) =  $f_{x}(x)$   $\int_{y_{2}} f_{y}(y) = \int_{y_{1}(y)} f_{y}(y) = 2 - 0 = 2$ X PILEGAL

Where d we go wrong? The above formula was derived using probabilities. Densities are not probabilities! But. CDF's are probabilities! Strategy: let's derive the CDF of Y using the CDF of X. And then, like when we did convolutions take the derivative to get the density for Y. Fy141 = P(4 < y) = P(g(x) < y) = P(X < g'(y)) = Fx (g'(y))  $[F_{\chi}(y)] = \frac{d}{dy} [F_{\chi}(g^{-1}(y)) = F_{\chi}(g^{-1}(y)).$  $x > g^{-1}(x_{\lambda})$ E P(x > g'(y))=1-Fx(g'(y)) = Fx (g'(y)) (-d [g'(y)]

d [g'(y)] <0. = fx(g'(y)) | dy [g'(y)] |
dy [g'(y)] ≤0. => fy(y) = fx(g'(y)) | d/dy [g'(y)] for all g invertible Let's derive some more rules! The most common invertible function is \_ ... the straight line! Y=aX+c1 =>  $x = g^{-1}(y) = y - c$   $| d [g^{-1}(y)] = 1$ s.t. a, cGR ty(y) = fx (4-9a) 1 " shift and scale If c=0 just a scale \_ . Y=ax fy(y) = fx (y/a) 1 if a=1 just a shift y= X+c fxx fy(4) = fx (y-c) X ~ Exp(y) = ye\_yx 1 Y~ XIC = 7e-x(y-c) 1 4>c X~Exp(1)=e-x1