A discrete random variable (rv) X has probability mass function (PMF) given by pix)

p(x) = P(X=x) and the v. V/X ~ p(x) where x is the "realized value" X, x

The cumulative distribution function (CDF) is

and complementary CDF or "survival function" is S(x) = P(X > x) = 1- F(x)

This ru has "support" given by Supp [X]:= {X:p(X)>0, X \in IR}

|Supplex3| < | N/ countably infinite at most countably
il. Finite or at most countably
infinite. # elts in a set

Sets this side are called "discrete" sets.

The support and the PMF are related by the following ideality:

Fail into pieces and regning as $\sum p(x) = 1$ XE Sugp[x]

The most "fundamental" IV is the Bernouli: X Rem $(p) := p^{\times} (1-p)^{1-x}$ with $\sup [x] = \{0,1\}$ P(x)

P(x)

P(x) = $p^{7} (1-p)^{6}$ what showed this be? Juposs-the.

Should be 0 but it is not going happen. Let's define the "indicata function" P (x) 1A = { of Ac > X ~ Bem (p) == px(1-p) 1-x 1x0{0,1} P(x)= | this ideality is) for all real ths. What of P=1:=1×(1-1)1-X X Bern (1) = 1 × 0 1-X 1 X & {0,13. P(1)= 1'0" |= 1 plus in anyeles -> back to 0.

P(7) = 17(1-1)1-7 = 0. = {1.w.prob. 1 × ~ (1) = {1 w. pol) Xn Deg (c) := 1 X=c X ~ Bern(0) = Deg(0) = {0 on.p1 This is carted a "degenerate" r.v. X~ Deg(1) = {1 w.p. 1 It contradicted itself. broken down, burred

The convention in this class is that parameter values (P is the parameter of the Bernoulli) that yield clegenerate vv's are not part of the legal "parameter spare". PE (0) 1) anything betw 0 and 1 but not including 0 and 1.

parameter space of the Bernoulli

If we have more than one VV X1, X2, -- Xn we can group them together in a column vector. $X := [X_1 X_2 - X_n]$ and then define the "joint mass function" (JMF) as $P_{\chi}(\chi) = P_{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n}(\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n) \text{ valid for } \chi \in IR$ and $\sum p(Z) = \int S_{rr} A$ the entire space. If X,, X2, -, Xn are inelependent, then the IMF \ - n i- P_{Xi} (Xi) Multiplication Rule "

If X, X, -, Xn are identically distributed, If X, = X2 = - = Xn, this means "equal in distribution" meaning that their PMF's are the same. However, this offers no simplification of the JMF unless - $\forall_{x} P_{x_{1}}(x) = P_{x_{2}}(x) = ... = P_{x_{n}}(x).$ X1, X2, -Xn vid (independent and identically distributed). =) $P \neq (\neq)$:

= $\frac{1}{11} P(xi)$. use the multiplication rule. i=1Let X_1 , X_2 and Bern(P), let $T_2 = f(X_1, X_2) = X_1 + X_2^2$.

PMI the first. Put the second $P_{T_2}(t)$ Denoted $P_T(t) = P_{X_1}(x) * P_{X_2}(X)$ Denoted PT(+) = Px, (x) * Px2 (x) Venoteex $P_{T_2}(+) = P_{X_1}(x) * f_{X_2}(x)$ Convolution Operation.

Supp $[T_z] = \{0, 1, 2\}$ can the 3, reg. fractional. PX, X2 (X1, X2) & JMF.

P2. (prob & 0 & 0 happen at the same fine DP(1-P) (10 101) P - 0 4 (1-p) p. (~ @4 0 --) Prob don't chape ye they're ind events. J (1-p) 2. (u () \$ ().