Lecture 19

$$X \sim \text{Cauchy (0,1)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2 + 1}$$

$$E[x] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} dx = \infty \rightarrow doesn'+ exist$$

$$M_X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{tx} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^2+1} dx \rightarrow doem't$$

$$\phi_{x}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{itx} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{x^{2}+1} dx = \dots = e^{-1t}$$

$$\phi_{x'}(t) = -\frac{t}{|t|} e^{-1t}, \quad \phi_{x'}(x) = PNE$$

Let's derive the Cauchy distribution like the physicists found it:

X=9(0), $\theta=9^{-1}\cos=\arctan(20)$, tangent is invertible btw - = and =

* Let
$$\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}^2) \Rightarrow \frac{\chi_1 - \mathcal{U}}{\mathcal{O}} = Z_{\lambda} \sim N(\mathcal{O}_1)$$

Then $N(NU, N\mathcal{O}^2), \overline{\chi}_n \sim N(\mathcal{U}, \frac{\mathcal{O}^2}{n}), \stackrel{\text{def}}{\sim} Z_{\lambda} \sim N(\mathcal{O}_1)$

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2 N \int_{S_i}^2 (S^2) = ?$$
To sample variance

$$\overrightarrow{Z}^{\top}\overrightarrow{Z} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{\lambda}^{2} \sim \chi_{n}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\chi_{\lambda} - \mathcal{M}}{\varphi} \right)^{2} = \frac{\sum (\chi_{\lambda} - \mathcal{M})^{2}}{\varphi^{2}}$$

$$(\chi_{\lambda}-M)^{2} = ((\chi_{\lambda}-\overline{\chi})+(\overline{\chi}-M))^{2}$$

$$= \Sigma(\chi_{\lambda}-\overline{\chi})^{2} + \Sigma 2(\chi_{\lambda}-\overline{\chi})(\overline{\chi}-M) + \Sigma(\overline{\chi}-M)^{2}$$

$$= \Sigma(\chi_{\lambda}-\overline{\chi})^{2} + N(\overline{\chi}-M)^{2}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Sigma(\chi_{\lambda}-M)^{2}} = \frac{N-1}{6^{2}} S^{2} + (\frac{\overline{\chi}-M}{6})^{2} N \gamma_{n}^{2} - \frac{N}{6^{2}}$$

In order for this "maybe" to be true, we need independence of those two terms i.e. we need S^2 and \overline{x} to be independent.

We need Cochnan's Theorem to prove this.

Consider
$$\overline{Z}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{Z} = Z_{1}^{2} \sim \mathcal{H}^{2}$$
 Consider $\overrightarrow{Z}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{Z} = Z_{1}^{2} \sim \mathcal{H}^{2}$ Rank $CB_{1}J = CONS_{1}$

Consider
$$\overrightarrow{Z}^{T}\begin{bmatrix}0.&0\\0&0\end{bmatrix}\overrightarrow{Z}=Z_{\lambda}^{2} \wedge Y_{\lambda}^{2}$$

$$Rank \ \Box B_{\lambda}\Box = I$$

$$\Sigma \ rank \ \Box B_{\lambda}\Box = I$$

each of these guadratic forms is independent.

* Cochram's Theorem: If B1+B2+...+BK=I, K EN and the sum of their ranks is N, then you have two powerful results: (a) = TB; = N 1/2 rank [B] and の対 Biz is indep of 対Bs2支, VSa+S2

let デ=n-dim column vector of all ones = ニーナナ = ニャナナ > I want to use cochramis Theorem on the nZ2=nZz=ガサマナーサイマラーサマナナナラ=マナ(カラ)之

let Jn = 777, which is an nxn matrix of all ones

$$\overline{z}^{\mathsf{T}}\overline{z} = \Sigma(\overline{z_i} - \overline{z})^2 + N(\overline{z}^2) = \overline{z}^{\mathsf{T}}B_1\overline{z} + \overline{z}^{\mathsf{T}}B_2\overline{z}$$

above expression. So I need to make sure BI+B2 = I and RANK [B,]+rank [BE)=1

Rank [B2] = rank [+ Ju] = rank [J] = I BANK [BI] = XANK[I-43] =>

$$CI = \frac{1}{10} + 2I + \frac{1}{10} = II - \frac{1}{10$$

MEI- HJJ= n-1= MAK [B]

→ YANK [BI] = N-1 → EYANK [BS]= 1+N-1=N

ZTBIZ= Z(Zi-Z)2~ 12n-1 indep of + ZTB12 = 1122~ X

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{Z_1}} = \frac{Z_1 + \dots + Z_n}{n} \frac{X_1 - M}{\varphi} + \dots + \frac{X_n - M}{\varphi}$$

$$= \frac{\sum X_2 - NM}{\varphi_n} = \frac{\overline{X} - M}{\varphi}$$

$$\sum (Z_{\lambda} - \overline{Z})^{2} = \sum \left(\frac{X_{\lambda} - M}{\rho} - \frac{\overline{X} - M}{\rho}\right)^{2} = \sum \left(\frac{X_{\lambda} - \overline{X}}{\rho}\right)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho 2} \sum \left(\frac{X_{\lambda} - \overline{X}}{\rho}\right)^{2} = \frac{M - 1}{\rho 2} S^{2}$$

$$N\overline{z}^{2} = N\left(\frac{\overline{x}-u}{\overline{\rho}}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{x}-u)}{\overline{\rho}}\right)^{2} = \left(\frac{\overline{x}-u}{\overline{\rho}}\right)^{2}$$

$$\frac{N-1}{p_2}S^2 + \left(\frac{\overline{X}-M}{p_2}\right)^2 \sim \gamma_n^2$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}} \sim \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}}$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}} \sim \gamma_n^2$$

$$\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}} \sim \gamma_n^2$$

Ficher proved HITS WIO COCHAMIS Theorem in 1925 and Geom proved in 1936 that this decomposition is exclusive to the fid normal ny model $\frac{\overline{X}-M}{\frac{N}{2}}NN(0,0), \frac{\overline{X}-M}{\frac{N}{2}}N$?