+x(t):= E[eit x] for any vector rx x of dim. n. Consider:  $\phi_{\vec{x}}([t]) = E[e^{i[t \circ \dots \circ ]\vec{x}}] = E[e^{it \times i}] = \phi_{x_i}(t)$  $ARIPS \times (x)$ would need to solve:  $f_{X_1}(x) = \int ... \int f_{X_1, X_2, ..., X_n}(x, u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-1}) du_{n-1}$ Sbut instead. eg XNN( (, x, x) , X, ~fx (x) = ?  $\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{bmatrix} t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = e^{i[t \cdot 0... \cdot 0]} \hat{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}[t \cdot 0... \cdot 0] \left\{\begin{bmatrix} t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right\} = e^{it \cdot n_1 - \frac{1}{2}[t \cdot 0... \cdot 0]} \left\{\begin{bmatrix} t \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$  $= e^{it} + \frac{t^2 \sigma_1^2}{7} = \phi_{X, t}(t) = 0 \times (-\infty, \sigma^2)$ Were essentially done with distribution Theory. Rest of course will be different. Assume X is a rv with nonnepatine support 1.e. Supp[X] = 0 and has finite expectation. Let a >0, a constant. Consider the following function:  $g(x) = a I_{x \ge a}$ 1s al x=a < x? (Yes!) Two cases: · if x<a all = a·o = o≤x becomes Supp[x]≥o if x za a I x za a · 1 = a < x true by case assumption. => all < X Let's take the expectation of both sides: E a I XZa S E [X] ⇒ a E[1 xza] ≤ p => a P(xza) ≤ p = Bern (P(XZa)) => P(XZa) < 1 this is called "Markov's Inequality" and it's very

For example: 
$$X \sim E \times p(1) = e^{-x}$$
  $\Rightarrow P(x \ge a) = 1 - F(a) = e^{-a}$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1 - F(a) = e^{-a}$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1 - F(a) = e^{-a}$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1 - F(a) = e^{-a}$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1 - F(a) = e^{-a}$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1 - F(a) = e^{-a}$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1 - F(a) = e^{-a}$   
 $p(x \ge a) = 1 - F(a) = e^{-a}$ 

$$= (a) = (a) = e$$

Markov Bound is very crude.

a	P(XZa)	Markov Bound	Chebyshev bd.	Chernoff Bd.
2	0.1353	0.5		0.73571
5	P0000	0.2	0.0635	0.09158
10	0.00004	0.\	6.01Z3	6.00123

We will now prove many prollaries of the Markov Inequality:

let  $b=a\mu$   $P(x=b) \leq \frac{\pi}{b} \Rightarrow P(x=a\mu) \leq \frac{1}{a}$ 

Let h be a monotonically increasing function, 
$$Y = h(x)$$

$$P(Y = h(a)) \leq \frac{E[Y]}{h(a)} \Rightarrow P(h(x) = h(a)) \leq \frac{E[h(x)]}{h(a)} \Rightarrow P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{E[h(x)]}{h(a)}$$

Let X be continues in addition to nonnegative let a = Quantile [x,p] = Fx cp)

$$P(X \ge F_{\times}^{-1}(p)) \le \frac{r}{F_{\times}^{-1}(p)} \Rightarrow 1 - F_{\times}(F_{\times}^{-1}(p)) \le \frac{r}{F_{\times}^{-1}(p)}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1-p \leq \frac{r}{F_{x}(p)} \Rightarrow \frac{F_{x}(p)}{F_{x}(p)} \leq \frac{r}{1-p}$$

$$Q[x,p]$$

$$e.g \text{ Med[x]} \leq z_{p}$$

• Let X be any VV => |X| is a nonnegative VV. P(|x|≥a) < E[|x|]

• Let X be any N with finite variance, or Let Y= (x-n)2 ⇒ Y ≥0  $P(Y=b) \leq \frac{E[Y]}{b} \Rightarrow P((x-n)^2 \geq b) \leq \frac{E[(x-n)^2]}{b} \Leftrightarrow \text{Definition}$   $\Rightarrow P((x-n)^2 \geq b) \leq \frac{1}{b} \Rightarrow P((x-n)^2 \geq a^2) \leq \frac{1}{a^2}$ => P(|x-p| = a) < 02 This is called Chebyshev's Inequality Let's manipulate this to get it into a more "non friendly" form.

Assume X is nonnegative:  $P(|X-r|) \ge a = P(X-r \ge a \cup -(X-r) \ge a) = P(X-r \ge a) + P(-(X-r) \ge a)$  $= P(X \ge n + a) + P(X \le n - a) \stackrel{a \ge r}{=} P(X \ge n + a) + P(X \le n = gatine \#)$  $= \rho(x \ge b) \le \frac{b^2}{(b-\mu)^2}$ • Let X be an  $\Gamma V$ . Let  $Y = e^{tX} \Rightarrow Y$  is a nonnegative  $\Gamma V$  for all t.  $P(Y \ge b) \le E[Y] \Rightarrow P(e^{tX} \ge b) \le E[e^{tX}] \Rightarrow P(e^{tX} \ge b) \le E[e^{tX}] \Rightarrow P(e^{tX} \ge b) \le P$  $\Rightarrow P(t \times z + a) \leq e^{-ta} M_{x}(t)$ If these inequalities are valid for all t, why not choose the "best" t to get the "Sharpest" (lowest) bound?  $P(X \ge a) \le \min \{e^{-ta} m_X(t)\}$   $P(X \le a) \le \min \{e^{-ta} m_X(t)\}$  t < 0This is called Chernoft's lueguality. Let's calculate it for XIExp(X). Warning: H's a lot of work. We first need to find the mpf for the exponential rv:

Let's calculate it for  $X \sim Exp(\lambda)$ . Warning: H's a lot of work. We first need to find the myf for the exponential  $r \sim V$ :  $M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}] = \int_0^\infty e^{tx} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{(t-\lambda)x} dx = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{(t-\lambda)x} dx$   $= \frac{\lambda}{t-\lambda} \left[ (t-\lambda)x \right]_0^\infty = \frac{\lambda}{t-\lambda} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \alpha - 1 & \text{if } t > \lambda \\ 0 - 1 & \text{if } t \leq \lambda \end{array} \right. = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t} \begin{array}{c} \text{only for } t \in X \text{ only for } t \leq \lambda \text{ o$ 

 $\times \sim E \times p(1) \Rightarrow M_{\chi}(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$  for t < 1

P(x>a) < min { e-ta 1 } for t<1

$$\Rightarrow P(X>a) \leq \min_{t \in \{0,1\}} \begin{cases} e^{-ta} & \frac{1}{1-t} \end{cases} = e^{-a} = \frac{ae}{1-(1-\frac{1}{a})a} = \frac{e^{-a}e}{\frac{1}{a}} = \frac{ae}{e^{a}}$$

$$h'(t) = \dots = e^{-ta} (ta-a+1) \quad \text{set} \quad \Rightarrow \quad t = \frac{a-1}{a} = 1-\frac{1}{a}$$

But Chernoff bd. is sometimes weless. Why? Because it requires the mgf. To get the mgf, you need to know the PDF or PMF. IF I know the PDF or PMF. IF I know the PDF or PMF, then I know analytically or can numerically compute the CDF which means I know the tail exactly or within small numerical error! So it really is only useful if you're in a situation where you only have the Mbf and not the PDF/PMF.