# Econometrics Qualifying Exam May 2022

## Instructions

This is a two-hour exam, but you have three hours to complete it. Answer all questions. You may answer out of order if you clearly label which question you are answering. For question 3, the associated Stata output is appended at the end of the exam. For all questions, rigorously show/justify all work (rule of thumb is to make sure enough is shown so that we can see how you got your answer). There are 100 points possible. Good luck!

## 1. Raising Tuition

How do increases in tuition affect undergraduate university enrollment? To answer this question, you have collected data on the total undergraduate enrollment of i = 1, ..., n four-year universities across t = 1, ..., T years, denoted by  $y_{it}$ , which you regress on the average cost of attending university i in year t, denoted by  $x_{it}$ . The cost variable includes costs of tuition, housing, and food, but does *not* include financial aid. Specifically, you estimate

$$y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{it} + e_{it}$$

where  $e_{it} = y_{it} - \mathbf{E}[y_{it}|x_{i1}, ..., x_{iT}].$ 

- [a] Under what set of circumstances does an omitted variable bias arise?
- [b] Identify two sources of omitted variable bias in the model above, *other* than the omission of financial aid. Many answers may be correct. Your answers will be evaluated based on your explanations.

For the rest of the question, suppose that you introduce control variables to address concerns about omitted variable bias.

- [c] Suppose you observe a variable  $z_{it}$  measuring the percentage of undergraduate students receiving financial aid, and the mean across i and t, given by  $\bar{z}$ , is 40%. You add the term  $\beta_2(z_{it} \bar{z})x_{it}$  to the regression. Carefully interpret  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  in this regression. What do you expect of the sign on  $\beta_2$ ?
- [d] Public universities like ours charge two tuition rates. The in-state tuition rate is substantially lower than the out-of-state tuition rate. The out-of-state market is more competitive. The largest universities in the country are public universities. Give a reason why including an additive dummy/indicator/binary variable i.e.,  $+\beta_3 D_{it}$  for the type of university (public or private) would *not* be adequate to capture this distinction.

## 2. Nonlinearity

Consider two iid sequences of mean-zero random variables  $(x_i)$  and  $(y_i)$  such that

$$\mathbf{E}[y_i|x_i] = \beta_1 x_i - \exp(\beta_2) x_i^2$$

for i = 1, ..., n.

- [a] Why might you estimate  $-\exp(\beta_2)x_i^2$  instead of simply  $\beta_3x_i^2$  with  $\beta_3 = -\exp(\beta_2)$ ?
- [b] Show that the ordinary least squares estimator of the vector  $\beta = (\beta_1, -\exp(\beta_2))$  is consistent. If you do not remember all of the formulas you need for a proof, then explain in words for partial credit.
- [c] Can you estimate  $\beta_2$  consistently using the consistent estimator in part [c]? Explain.
- [d] Suppose you are only interested in estimating  $\beta_1$ , so you estimate a model with only  $\beta_1 x_i$  and you omit  $-\exp(\beta_2)x_i^2$ . Is it possible for the ordinary least squares estimator to be consistent? Either show that consistency is impossible regardless of the properties of  $(x_i)$  and  $(y_i)$  or else provide a counterexample in which OLS is consistent.

### 3. Treatment Effect of Job Training Program

The following questions pertain to the Stata output generated from a subset of the observational data in Dhejia and Wahba (1999) on a job training program in the United States in the late 1970s. The outcome is *unem78*, a binary indicator if the man was unemployed in 1978 – after the training program took place in 1977. The key explanatory variable is *train*, indicating whether the man participated in the job training program.

- [a] Consider the regression of unem78 on train, age, educ, black, hisp, and married. What is the estimated effect of participating in the job training on the unemployment probability? Participation in the job training program is voluntary (not randomly assigned). Explain why this will cause endogeneity in this setting. What direction is the OLS estimate biased?
- [b] Consider the proxy variables re75 and unem75. State the two conditions needed for a proxy variable to be a valid proxy. Do you believe these two variables could be valid proxies? Explain. What is the estimated effect of participating in the job training on the unemployment probability when using these proxy variables?
- [c] Now consider the maximum likelihood estimate of the probit model including unem78 on train, age, educ, black, hisp, married, re75, and unem75 as covariates. What is the estimated average treatment effect of participating in the job training? Compare the ATE estimates and standard errors to what you find in part [b].
- [d] For the estimated model in part [c], what are the average treatment effects for individuals who are unemployed in 1975 vs the average treatment effects for individuals who are employed in 1975? Are they very similar? Very different? Explain by writing out the formula for the two average treatment effects.
- [e] Now consider the probit model that also includes interactive effects between train and unem75. What are the average treatment effects for individuals who are unemployed in 1975 vs the average treatment effects for individuals who are employed in 1975? Compare to what you found in part [d] and explain.

## References

Dehejia, R.H. and Wahba, S.. "Causal Effects in Nonexperimental Studies: Reevaluating the Evaluation of Training Programs." Journal of the American Statistical Association 94, 1053-1062.

.....

name: <unnamed>

log: C:\Users\alyss\Dropbox\Teaching\Qualifying Exams\Spring2022\jtrain.log

log type: text

opened on: 19 May 2022, 10:59:42

#### . describe

Contains data from JTRAIN3.dta

obs: 806 vars: 10

19 May 2022 10:43

variable name	storage type	display format	value label	variable label
train age educ black hisp married re75 unem75 re78 unem78	byte byte byte byte byte float byte float byte	%9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g %9.0g		=1 if in job training in years, 1977 years of schooling =1 if black =1 if Hispanic =1 if married '75 earnings, \$1000s '82 =1 if unem. all of '75 '78 earnings, \$1000s '82 =1 if unem. all of '78

Sorted by:

Note: Dataset has changed since last saved.

#### . summarize

Variable	0bs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
train	806	.2233251	.4167331	0	1
age	806	32.48511	11.22372	17	55
educ	806	10.86725	3.0012	0	17
black	806	.4627792	.4989223	0	1
hisp	806	.0545906	.2273204	0	1
married	806	.6488834	.4776157	0	1
re75	806	3.088299	3.492438	0	9.93987
unem75	806	.4466501	.4974543	0	1
re78	806	7.988779	10.30933	0	121.174
unem78	806	.3238213	.4682233	0	1

<sup>. //</sup> Part (a)

<sup>.</sup> reg unem78 train age educ black hisp married, vce(robust)

F(6, 799)	=	27.26
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.1552
Root MSF	=	. 43198

unem78	   Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
train	.0613226	.0452368	1.36	0.176	0274744	.1501196
age	.017233	.0015084	11.42	0.000	.0142721	.020194
educ	.0160402	.0061042	2.63	0.009	.0040581	.0280223
black	0867674	.0349235	-2.48	0.013	1553201	0182148
hisp	1729479	.057347	-3.02	0.003	2855164	0603794
married	0805822	.0375103	-2.15	0.032	1542125	0069518
_cons	3221199	.1029239	-3.13	0.002	524153	1200868

. // Part (b)

. ivregress 2sls unem78 (train = re75 unem75) age educ black hisp married, vce(robus

Instrumental	variables	(2SLS)	regression	Number of obs	=	806
				Wald chi2(6)	=	177.47

Wald chi2(6) = 177.47 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000 R-squared = .

Root MSE = .59373

unem78	   Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
train	1.304458	.1744243	7.48	0.000	.9625926	1.646323
age	.0234272	.0020744	11.29	0.000	.0193615	.0274929
educ	.0217214	.0076952	2.82	0.005	.0066392	.0368037
black	3556239	.0601565	-5.91	0.000	4735285	2377193
hisp	3684556	.0955703	-3.86	0.000	5557699	1811413
married	.3637192	.0884255	4.11	0.000	.1904084	.53703
_cons	-1.015907	.1557649	-6.52	0.000	-1.321201	7106138

Instrumented: train

Instruments: age educ black hisp married re75 unem75

. regress unem78 train age educ black hisp married re75 unem75, vce(robust)

Number of obs = 806F(8, 797) = 48.36Prob > F = 0.0000Linear regression

unem78	   Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
train	1274731	.0493552	-2.58	0.010	2243547	0305915
age	.0102473	.0016449	6.23	0.000	.0070184	.0134761
educ	.0039438	.005904	0.67	0.504	0076454	.0155329
black	0447396	.0328418	-1.36	0.173	1092062	.019727
hisp	1305906	.0551496	-2.37	0.018	2388462	0223349
married	0593282	.0375249	-1.58	0.114	1329876	.0143311
re75	0253681	.0064781	-3.92	0.000	0380843	012652
unem75	.2171584	.0517864	4.19	0.000	.1155046	.3188122
_cons	.0242295	.1064316	0.23	0.820	1846899	.2331489

. // Part (c)

. probit unem78 i.train age educ i.black i.hisp i.married re75 i.unem75

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -507.55141
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -388.11949
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -386.6129
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -386.6086
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -386.6086

unem78	Coef.	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
1.train   age   educ   1.black   1.hisp   1.married   re75   1.unem75	3650487 .0353563 .0130961 1403094 6457841 2622174 1040066 .5547259	.1662081 .0057181 .0192422 .1324467 .2735679 .1370278 .0277702 .1748952	-2.20 6.18 0.68 -1.06 -2.36 -1.91 -3.75 3.17	0.028 0.000 0.496 0.289 0.018 0.056 0.000 0.002	6908105 .0241491 024618 3999002 -1.181967 530787 1584352 .2119377 -2.226251	0392869 .0465634 .0508102 .1192815 1096008 .0063521 0495781 .8975141

. margins, dydx(\*)

Average marginal effects Number of obs = 806

Model VCE : OIM

Expression : Pr(unem78), predict()

dy/dx w.r.t. : 1.train age educ 1.black 1.hisp 1.married re75 1.unem75

	   dy/dx	Delta-method Std. Err.	d z	P> z	[95% Conf.	. Interval]
1.train	0961086	.0423562	-2 <b>.</b> 27	0.023	1791252	0130919
age	.0095304	.0014285	6.67	0.000	.0067307	.0123301
educ	.0035301	.0051787	0.68	0.495	00662	.0136802
<pre>1.black</pre>	0381743	.0362787	-1.05	0.293	1092792	.0329306
1.hisp	1536766	.054956	-2.80	0.005	2613884	0459649
<ol> <li>married</li> </ol>	0700292	.036039	-1.94	0.052	1406643	.000606
re75	0280354	.0073375	-3.82	0.000	0424166	0136542
1.unem75	.16539	.0558689	2.96	0.003	.055889	.2748909

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.

. margins, dydx(\*) atmeans

Conditional marginal effects Number of obs = 806

Model VCE : OIM

Expression : Pr(unem78), predict()

dy/dx w.r.t. : 1.train age educ 1.black 1.hisp 1.married re75 1.unem75

at : 0.train = .7766749 (mean)

1.train = .2233251 (mean)
age = 32.48511 (mean)
educ = 10.86725 (mean)
0.black = .5372208 (mean)
1.black = .4627792 (mean)
0.hisp = .9454094 (mean)
1.hisp = .0545906 (mean)
0.married = .3511166 (mean)
1.married = .648834 (mean)
re75 = 3.088299 (mean)
0.unem75 = .5533499 (mean)
1.unem75 = .4466501 (mean)

-----

	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf.	Interval]
1.train	1144115	.0483507	-2.37	0.018	2091771	0196458
age	.0118555	.0019086	6.21	0.000	.0081147	.0155963
educ	.0043913	.0064526	0.68	0.496	0082555	.0170381
<pre>1.black</pre>	0468777	.044066	-1.06	0.287	1332454	.03949

```
re75 | -.034875 .0092079 -3.79 0.000 -.0529221 -.0168278
             .1875445 .0593636 3.16 0.002
   1.unem75
                                              .071194 .3038949
______
Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.
. margins, atmeans
                                       Number of obs =
Adjusted predictions
                                                             806
Model VCE : OIM
Expression : Pr(unem78), predict()
          : 0.train = .7766749 (mean)
1.train = .2233251 (mean)
                       = .2233251 (mean)
= 32.48511 (mean)
            age
            educ = 10.86725 (mean)
0.black = .5372208 (mean)
1.black = .4627792 (mean)
0.hisp = .9454094 (mean)
1.hisp = .0545906 (mean)
            0.married = .3511166 (mean)

1.married = .6488834 (mean)

re75 = 3.088299 (mean)
            0.unem75 = .5533499 (mean)
                       =
            1.unem75
                            .4466501 (mean)
               Delta-method
             Margin Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
  _cons | .2777679 .0181565 15.30 0.000 .2421819 .313354
. // Part (d)
. margins, dydx(train) at(unem75 = (0 1))
Average marginal effects
                                       Number of obs =
                                                             806
Model VCE : OIM
Expression : Pr(unem78), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t. : 1.train
1._at : unem75 =
                                   0
2._at : unem75 =
                                   1
```

```
Delta-method
                  dy/dx Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
0.train | (base outcome)
1.train
        _at |
         1 |
             -.0905206 .0387715
                                  -2.33 0.020 -.1665115
                                                              -.0145298
         2 -.1176718 .0529663 -2.22
                                           0.026 -.2214837
                                                              -.0138598
Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.
. // Part (e)
. probit unem78 i.train#i.unem75 age educ i.black i.hisp i.married re75 i.unem75
Iteration 0:
             log\ likelihood = -507.55141
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -380.98751
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -379.42299
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -379.41727
                                           Number of obs = 000

- chi2(9) = 256.27

= 0.0000

- 2525
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -379.41727
Probit regression
Log likelihood = -379.41727
                                           Pseudo R2
                                                                 0.2525
                                           P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]
     unem78 l
                Coef. Std. Err.
                                   Z
train#unem75 |
       10
               .253371 .2259572 1.12
                                           0.262
                                                  -.189497
                                                               .6962389
       1 1 | -.7657804 .1987921 -3.85
                                           0.000 -1.155406
                                                               -.376155
             .0336294 .0058061 5.79
                                                   .0222497 .0450091
                                           0.000
        age
             .0071471 .0195483
                                           0.715
                                                  -.0311668
                                                              .0454611
       educ
                                   0.37
  1.black | -.1156055 .1337079 -0.86
1.hisp | -.6497728 .2746377 -2.37
1.married | -.3171294 .1390778 -2.28
                                           0.387 -.3776682 .1464572
                                           0.018 -1.188053 -.1114928
0.023 -.5897169 -.0445419
       re75 | -.0704559 .0291213
                                   -2.42
                                           0.016 -.1275325 -.0133792
   1.unem75
             .9686407 .2078686
                                   4.66 0.000
                                                   .5612258
                                                              1.376056
      _cons | -1.592083 .4000805 -3.98
                                           0.000 -2.376226 -.8079397
```

Average marginal effects Model VCE : OIM

Number of obs =

806

<sup>.</sup> margins, dydx(train) at(unem75 = (0 1))

Expression : Pr(unem78), predict()

dy/dx w.r.t. : 1.train

1.\_at : unem75 = 0

2.\_at : unem75 = 1

Note: dy/dx for factor levels is the discrete change from the base level.

. log close

name: <unnamed>

log: C:\Users\alyss\Dropbox\Teaching\Qualifying Exams\Spring2022\jtrain.log

log type: text

closed on: 19 May 2022, 10:59:44