1 Introduction

1.1 Input and conventions

TODO: rewrite in nice paragraph

Input:

- $m \in \mathbb{N}$: Number of homogeneous servers

- $T \in \mathbb{N}$: Number of time steps

- $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$: Power up costs

- $\lambda_0, \ldots, \lambda_T \in [0, m]$: Arrival rates

Notations:

- Let $\lambda_{i,t}$ be the assigned arrival rate at time t for server i

- Let x_t be the number of active servers at time t

- Let $\mathcal{X} := (x_0, \ldots, x_T)$ be the sequence of active servers

- If $A = (a_0, \ldots, a_n)$ is a tuple with $n + 1$ entries, we write $A(i)$ for the i-th component of A with $0 \leq i \leq n$

Requirements:

- Convex cost function f

- Power down costs are w.l.o.g. equal to 0

- $\forall t \in \{0, \ldots, T\} : \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i,t} = \lambda_t$

- $\lambda_0 = \lambda_T = 0$

- $\mathcal{X}(0) = \mathcal{X}(T) = 0$, i.e. all servers are powered down at $t = 0$ and $t = T$

1.2 Preliminaries

Lemma 1.1. *Given a convex cost function f, x active servers and an arrival rate λ, the best method is to assign each server a load of λ/x.*

Proof. $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \mu_i \in [0, 1] : \sum_{i=1}^{x} \mu_i = 1 :$

$$f\left(\frac{\lambda}{x}\right) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{x} \frac{\mu_i \lambda}{x}\right) \overset{\text{Jensen's inequality}}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^{x} \frac{1}{x} f(\mu_i \lambda)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x f\left(\frac{\lambda}{x}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{x} f(\mu_i \lambda)$$

$\square$

Lemma 1.1 tells us, that having x_t active servers, it is always the best method to equally share λ_t on all x_t active servers. This allows us to uniquely identify an optimal schedule by the sequence of numbers of active servers $\mathcal{X}$.

The costs of a schedule are then given by:

$$costs(\mathcal{X}) := \sum_{t=0}^{T} \underbrace{\beta \max\{0, x_t - x_{t-1}\}}_{\text{power up costs}} + x_t f(\lambda_t/t)$$

We call a schedule $\mathcal{X}$ **feasible** if $\forall t \in \{0, \ldots, T\} : x_t \geq \lambda_t$. In particular, every optimal schedule is feasible.

2 Optimal scheduling for m homogeneous servers

TODO: introduction text

2.1 Graph for an optimal schedule

We construct a directed acyclic graph as follows:

$\forall t \in [T-1]$ and $i, j \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ we add vertices (t, i) modelling the number of active servers at time t. Furthermore, we add vertices $(0, 0)$ and $(T, 0)$ for our initial and final state respectively. In order to warrant that there are at least $\lceil \lambda_t \rceil$ active servers $\forall t \in [T-1]$, we define an auxiliary function which calculates the costs for handling an arrival rate λ with x active servers:

$$c(x, \lambda) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ x f(\lambda/x), & \text{if } x \neq 0 \wedge \lambda \leq x \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Then, $\forall t \in [T-2]$ and $i, j \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ we add edges from (t, i) to $(t+1, j)$ with weight

$$d(i, j, \lambda_{t+1}) := \beta \max\{0, j - i\} + c(j, \lambda_{t+1}) \tag{2}$$

Finally, for $0 \leq i \leq m$ we add edges from $(0, 0)$ to $(1, i)$ with weight $d(0, i, \lambda_1)$ and from $(T-1, i)$ to $(T, 0)$ with weight $d(i, 0, \lambda_T) = 0$.

Figure 1: Graph for optimal schedule algorithm.

Note: All edges from (t, i) to $(t+1, j)$ have weight $d(i, j, \lambda_{t+1})$

Proposition 2.1. *Any given optimal schedule $\mathcal{X}$ corresponds to a shortest path P from $(0,0)$ to $(T,0)$ with $costs(\mathcal{X}) = costs(P)$ and vice versa.*

Proof.

"$\Rightarrow$": We construct a feasible path in our graph from $\mathcal{X}$ as follows:

$\forall t \in [T]$ set $e_t := \Big(\big(t-1, \mathcal{X}(t-1)\big), \big(t, \mathcal{X}(t)\big) \Big)$. Then set $P := (e_1, \dots, e_T)$.

As each edge e_t in our graph has weight $d\big(\mathcal{X}(t-1), \mathcal{X}(t), \lambda_t\big)$ and hence corresponds to the costs of switching from $\mathcal{X}(t-1)$ to $\mathcal{X}(t)$ servers and processing λ_t with $\mathcal{X}(t)$ active servers, it directly follows that P is a shortest path of the graph with $costs(P) = costs(\mathcal{X})$.

"$\Leftarrow$": Let $P = \big((0,0) = v_0, \dots, v_T = (T,0)\big)$ with $v_t \in \big\{(t,i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m\big\}$ be a shortest path of the graph.

We can construct an optimal schedule from P by setting $\mathcal{X} := \big(v_0(1), \dots, v_T(1)\big)$

By definition (1) it is guaranteed that P only traverses edges such that there are enough active servers $\forall t \in [T]$. Therefore, the created schedule is feasible. It's optimality directly follows from the definition of the edges' weights and so does the equality $costs(\mathcal{X}) = costs(P)$.

$\square$

3

2.2 A minimum cost algorithm

Algorithm 1 Calculate costs for m homogeneous servers

Require: Convex cost function f, $\lambda_0 = \lambda_T = 0$, $\forall t \in [T-1] : \lambda_t \in [0, m]$

1: **function** SCHEDULE$(m, T, \beta, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{T-1})$
2:     **if** $T < 2$ **then return**
3:     **let** $p[2 \ldots T-1, m]$ and $M[1 \ldots T-1, m]$ **be** new arrays
4:     **for** $j \leftarrow 0$ to m **do**
5:         $M[1, j] \leftarrow d(0, j, \lambda_1)$
6:     **for** $t \leftarrow 1$ to $T-2$ **do**
7:         **for** $j \leftarrow 0$ to m **do**
8:             $opt \leftarrow \infty$
9:             **for** $i \leftarrow 0$ to m **do**
10:                 $M[t+1, j] \leftarrow M[t, i] + d(i, j, \lambda_{t+1})$
11:                 **if** $M[t+1, j] < opt$ **then**
12:                     $opt \leftarrow M[t+1, j]$
13:                     $p[t+1, j] \leftarrow i$
14:             $M[t+1, j] \leftarrow opt$
15:     **return** p and M

Algorithm 2 Extract schedule for n homogeneous servers

1: **function** EXTRACT(m, p, M, T)
2:     **let** $x[0 \ldots T]$ **be** a new array
3:     $x[0] \leftarrow x[T] \leftarrow 0$
4:     **if** $T < 2$ **then return** x ▷ Trivial solution
5:     $x[T-1] \leftarrow \arg\min_{0 \le i \le m}\{M[T-1, i]\}$
6:     **for** $t \leftarrow T-2$ to 1 **do**
7:         $x[t] \leftarrow p[t+1, x[t+1]]$
8:     **return** x

2.2.1 Runtime analysis

Schedule: Loop 5,8 and 10 run $m+1$ times, loop 7 runs $T-2$ times
Extract: Loop 5 runs $T-2$ times, argmin 4 takes time $m+1$.
For $T, n \to \infty$ it holds:

$$\mathcal{O}(m + 1 + (T-2)(m+1)^2 + T - 2 + m + 1) = \mathcal{O}(2m + T + (T-2)(m+1)^2) = \mathcal{O}(Tm^2) \quad (3)$$

As we need $\log_2(m)$ bits to encode m, the algorithm is exponential in the number of servers.

2.2.2 A memory optimized algorithm

TODO: use only array with size 2m

3 A 4-approximation algorithm for monotonically increasing convex f

We consider a modification of the problem discussed in chapter 2. Assuming that f is convex and monotonically increasing, we can modify our algorithm to obtain a polynomial time 4-approximation algorithm.

3.1 Graph for a 4-optimal schedule

We modify our graph from chapter 2.1 to the reduce the number of vertices. For this, we stop adding m vertices for each timestep but using vertices that approximate the number of active servers. First, let $b := \lceil \log_2(m) \rceil$. We add vertices $(t, 0)$ and $(t, 2^i), \forall t \in [T-1], 0 \leq i \leq b$. All edges and weights are added analogous to chapter 2.1.


Figure 2: Graph for 2-approximation algorithm

Proposition 3.1.

1. *Any given optimal schedule $\mathcal{X}$ can be transformed to a 4-optimal schedule $\mathcal{X}'$ which corresponds to a path P from $(0,0)$ to $(T,0)$ with $costs(\mathcal{X}') = costs(P)$.*

2. *Any shortest path P from $(0,0)$ to $(T,0)$ corresponds to a 4-optimal schedule $\mathcal{X}'$ with $costs(P) = costs(\mathcal{X}')$.*

Proof.

1. Assume we have an optimal schedule identified by $\mathcal{X} = (x_0, \ldots, x_T)$. Let $(0 = t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_n = 0)$ be the sequence of times with $t_i < t_{i+i}, \forall 0 \leq i < n$ where $x_{t_i} = 2^{k_i}, k_i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall 0 < i < n$ For $0 < t < T$ we inductively set:

2. From (1) we obtain that we can construct a 4-optimal path P' from any optimal schedule. Now, let P be a shortest path. We have $costs(P) \leq costs(P')$ and since every feasible path in P corresponds to an feasible schedule $\mathcal{X}$ with $costs(P) = costs(\mathcal{X})$, P must also be at least 4-optimal.

□