

# **Engaging, Large-Scale Functional Programming Education in Physical and Virtual Space**

---

Kevin Kappelmann, Jonas Rädle, Lukas Stevens

March 16, 2022

Technical University of Munich

## Supported by

- Lecturer: Tobias Nipkow
- Manuel Eberl
- 2019: 13 student assistants
- 2020: 22 student assistants

## Challenges


---

# Soaring Enrolments


1. Number of Computer Science students exploded

# Soaring Enrolments

Example: Computer Science at TU Munich




Number of CS students  
(132% increase)



Number of CS academic staff  
(31% increase)

# Soaring Enrolments

Example: Computer Science at TU Munich



Number of CS students  
(132% increase)



Number of CS academic staff  
(31% increase)

1000+ students per course are the new normal

# The Pandemic

---

2. Radical transition to online classes


# The Pandemic

How can we go from here...



# The Pandemic

to here...



# The Pandemic


without ending up here?



# Usefulness of Functional Programming

3. Students question the usefulness of functional languages beyond academia

# Usefulness of Functional Programming



[xkcd.com/1312](http://xkcd.com/1312)

[xkcd.com/1270](http://xkcd.com/1270)

# There is hope!

- We managed to cope with all these challenges

# There is hope!

- We managed to cope with all these challenges
- We share our insights, tools, and exercises for other educators

You can find our resources on:

[hub.com/kappelmann/engaging-large-scale-functional-programming](https://hub.com/kappelmann/engaging-large-scale-functional-programming)

# There is hope!

- We managed to cope with all these challenges
- We share our insights, tools, and exercises for other educators

You can find our resources on:

[hub.com/kappelmann/engaging-large-scale-functional-programming](https://hub.com/kappelmann/engaging-large-scale-functional-programming)

Note: We used Haskell, but most ideas apply to any functional programming course

## **Practical Part**

---

## **Practical Part**

---

### **Engagement Mechanisms**

## Grade Bonus

---

Incentives do work!

## Grade Bonus

Incentives do work!

- Bonus of one grade step on final exam if certain goals are achieved

## Grade Bonus

### Incentives do work!

- Bonus of one grade step on final exam if certain goals are achieved
- Offer multiple ways to obtain points: homework exercises, participation in workshops, programming contests,...

## Grade Bonus

### Incentives do work!

- Bonus of one grade step on final exam if certain goals are achieved
- Offer multiple ways to obtain points: homework exercises, participation in workshops, programming contests,...
- Was once abolished...

## Grade Bonus

### Incentives do work!

- Bonus of one grade step on final exam if certain goals are achieved
- Offer multiple ways to obtain points: homework exercises, participation in workshops, programming contests,...
- Was once abolished...

Result: number of homework submissions severely decreased

## Instant Feedback

---

Feedback must come fast!

## Instant Feedback

Feedback must come fast!

- Asynchronous Q&A forum

## Instant Feedback

Feedback must come fast!

- Asynchronous Q&A forum
- Automated testing and feedback

## Instant Feedback

Feedback must come fast!

- Asynchronous Q&A forum
- Automated testing and feedback
  - *ArTEMiS* runs tests, manages scores, offers exam mode,...

# Instant Feedback

Feedback must come fast!

- Asynchronous Q&A forum
- Automated testing and feedback
  - *ArTEMiS* runs tests, manages scores, offers exam mode,...
  - *Tasty* combines QuickCheck, SmallCheck, and HUnit tests

## Feedback must come fast!

- Asynchronous Q&A forum
- Automated testing and feedback
  - *ArTEMiS* runs tests, manages scores, offers exam mode,...
  - *Tasty* combines QuickCheck, SmallCheck, and HUnit tests
  - *Check Your Proof* for automated proof checking

## Feedback must come fast!

- Asynchronous Q&A forum
- Automated testing and feedback
  - *ArTEMiS* runs tests, manages scores, offers exam mode,...
  - *Tasty* combines QuickCheck, SmallCheck, and HUnit tests
  - *Check Your Proof* for automated proof checking
- Manual reviews turned out to be inefficient...

## Feedback must come fast!

- Asynchronous Q&A forum
- Automated testing and feedback
  - *ArTEMiS* runs tests, manages scores, offers exam mode,...
  - *Tasty* combines QuickCheck, SmallCheck, and HUnit tests
  - *Check Your Proof* for automated proof checking
- Manual reviews turned out to be inefficient...
  - *HLint* offers feedback more directly

## Feedback must come fast!

- Asynchronous Q&A forum
- Automated testing and feedback
  - *ArTEMiS* runs tests, manages scores, offers exam mode,...
  - *Tasty* combines QuickCheck, SmallCheck, and HUnit tests
  - *Check Your Proof* for automated proof checking
- Manual reviews turned out to be inefficient...
  - *HLint* offers feedback more directly
  - Student assistants create engaging exercises instead

## Workshops With Industry Partners

---

Functional programming is practical!

## Workshops With Industry Partners

Functional programming is practical!

- In 2020, we hosted 3 workshops with industry partners

## Workshops With Industry Partners

Functional programming is practical!

- In 2020, we hosted 3 workshops with industry partners
  1. Design patterns for functional programs
  2. Networking and advanced IO
  3. User interfaces and functional reactive programming

## Workshops With Industry Partners

Functional programming is practical!

- In 2020, we hosted 3 workshops with industry partners
  1. Design patterns for functional programs
  2. Networking and advanced IO
  3. User interfaces and functional reactive programming
- Great success: 120 registrations for 105 spots

## Workshops With Industry Partners

### Functional programming is practical!

- In 2020, we hosted 3 workshops with industry partners
  1. Design patterns for functional programs
  2. Networking and advanced IO
  3. User interfaces and functional reactive programming
- Great success: 120 registrations for 105 spots
- In some cases, workshop extended for multiple hours

## Workshops With Industry Partners

### Functional programming is practical!

- In 2020, we hosted 3 workshops with industry partners
  1. Design patterns for functional programs
  2. Networking and advanced IO
  3. User interfaces and functional reactive programming
- Great success: 120 registrations for 105 spots
- In some cases, workshop extended for multiple hours
- Little organisational work

# Social Interactions

---

The social environment matters!

# Social Interactions

The social environment matters!

- Online courses are isolating...

# Social Interactions

The social environment matters!

- Online courses are isolating...  
so let us foster social interaction:

# Social Interactions

The social environment matters!

- Online courses are isolating...  
so let us foster social interaction:
  - Pair-programming in tutorials
  - ACM-ICPC-like programming contest
  - Get-together hangout sessions
  - Award ceremonies

## Competitions

---

Offer challenges to go beyond the syllabus!

# Competitions

Offer challenges to go beyond the syllabus!

- Diverse, weekly competition exercises

# Competitions

## Code Golf

```
numberToEo = unwords <<< catMaybes <<< reverse <<< flip lookup `zipWith` table <<< chunksOf 3
    <<< pack <<< reverse

topCycle = concatMap snd . ap zip (iterate . fmap nub . concatMap . dominators <*> copeland)

traceFractran rs n = n : fromMaybe [] (traceFractran rs <$> numerator <$>
    (liftM2 eqInteger truncate numerator) `find` map (fromIntegral n *) rs)

bernoulli = genericIndex $ map head $ iterate ((*) `zipWith` enumFrom 1 <<< zipWith subtract
    $ recip `map` enumFrom 1
```

# Competitions

## Optimization


Rank	Name	Time [seconds]
1.	MC Jr	0.02
2.	Florian Hübler	0.13
2.	Luis Bahners	0.19
4.	Tobias Markus	0.35
4.	Robert Imschweiler	0.37
6.	Julian Pritzi	0.76

# Competitions

## Strategy

### 🕹 Tobias Markus vs. Severin Schmidmeier

🏆 Winner: 🟢 Severin Schmidmeier




#### 📊 Stats

🔴 Statistic	🔴 Tobias Markus	🟢 Severin Schmidmeier
Moves made	49	49
Orbs captured	40	89
Capture/loss ratio	0.4494	2.2250

# Competitions

Creativity



# Competitions

## Creativity

```
module Exercise_13 where

import Data.Bool (bool)
import Data.Maybe (fromMaybe)
import Data.List (stripPrefix, isPrefixOf, findIndex, genericIndex)
import Data.Char (ord)
import Data.Word (Word8)
import qualified Data.ByteString as B
import Transform

animate :: [(String, Transform -> Transform)] -> String -> [String]
animate a s = map svg $ scanl (flip applyAnim) (parseInput s) $ map (:) [] a

paint :: String -> String
paint = svg . parseInput
```

# Competitions

Offer challenges to go beyond the syllabus!

- Diverse, weekly competition exercises
- Awards for top 30 students

## Competitions

Offer challenges to go beyond the syllabus!

- Diverse, weekly competition exercises
- Awards for top 30 students
- Works extremely well to motivate talented students...

## Competitions

Offer challenges to go beyond the syllabus!

- Diverse, weekly competition exercises
- Awards for top 30 students
- Works extremely well to motivate talented students...  
*but it is very time-consuming.*

## IO Mocking

---

# Motivation

---

- Homework submissions are (primarily) tested with QuickCheck

# Motivation

- Homework submissions are (primarily) tested with QuickCheck
- I/O is an important part of the syllabus

# Motivation

- Homework submissions are (primarily) tested with QuickCheck
- I/O is an important part of the syllabus

# Motivation

- Homework submissions are (primarily) tested with QuickCheck
- I/O is an important part of the syllabus

So how do we test I/O in Haskell?

## The Standard Way

```
copyFile :: FilePath -> FilePath -> IO ()  
copyFile = _
```

## The Standard Way

```
copyFile :: MonadFileSystem m =>
            FilePath -> FilePath -> m ()  
copyFile = _
```

## The Standard Way

```
import qualified Prelude
import Prelude hiding (readFile, writeFile)

class Monad m => MonadFileSystem m where
    readFile :: FilePath -> m String
    writeFile :: FilePath -> String -> m ()

copyFile :: MonadFileSystem m =>
            FilePath -> FilePath -> m ()
copyFile = _
```

## The Standard Way

```
import qualified Prelude
import Prelude hiding (readFile, writeFile)

class Monad m => MonadFileSystem m where
    readFile :: FilePath -> m String
    writeFile :: FilePath -> String -> m ()

copyFile :: MonadFileSystem m =>
            FilePath -> FilePath -> m ()
copyFile source target = do
    content <- readFile source
    writeFile target content
```

## Multiple Instantiations

```
instance MonadFileSystem IO where
    readFile = Prelude.readFile
    writeFile = Prelude.writeFile
```

## Multiple Instantiations

```
instance MonadFileSystem IO where
    readFile = Prelude.readFile
    writeFile = Prelude.writeFile

data MockFileSystem =
    MockFileSystem (Map FilePath String)
instance MonadFileSystem (State MockFileSystem) where
    readFile = _
    writeFile = _
```

# The Problem

What is the problem with

```
copyFile :: MonadFileSystem m =>
            FilePath -> FilePath -> m ()
copyFile = _
```

# The Problem

What is the problem with

```
copyFile :: MonadFileSystem m =>  
          FilePath -> FilePath -> m ()  
copyFile = _
```

Lack of transparency!

# The Solution

---

Delay mocking to the compilation stage

# The Solution

Delay mocking to the compilation stage

by replacing the *IO* module with a mixin.

## The Mixin

```
data RealWord = RealWord {  
    workDir :: FilePath,  
    files :: Map File Text,  
    handles :: Map Handle HandleData,  
    user :: IO (),  
    ...  
}
```

## The Mixin

```
data RealWord = RealWord {
    workDir :: FilePath,
    files :: Map File Text,
    handles :: Map Handle HandleData,
    user :: IO (),
    ...
}

newtype IO a = IO { unwrapIO ::  
    ExceptT IOException (PauseT (State RealWorld)) a }
```

# The Pause Monad

```
class Monad m => MonadPause m where
    pause :: m ()
    stepPauseT :: m a -> m (Either (m a) a)
```

# An Example Interaction

```
main = do
  x <- getLine
  putStrLn $ "Hello " ++ x
```

```
user s = do
  hPutStrLn stdin s
  output <- hGetLine stdout
  when (_)
```

## **Check Your Proof**

---

## CYP In A Nutshell

---

- Operates on a strict, untyped subset of Haskell

## CYP In A Nutshell

---

- Operates on a strict, untyped subset of Haskell
- Automatically checks simple inductive proofs

## CYP In A Nutshell

- Operates on a strict, untyped subset of Haskell
- Automatically checks simple inductive proofs
- Provides instant feedback

## CYP In A Nutshell

- Operates on a strict, untyped subset of Haskell
- Automatically checks simple inductive proofs
- Provides instant feedback
- Integrates with Tasty

## Background Theory

```
data List a = [] | a : List a
```

```
[] ++ ys = ys
```

```
(x : xs) ++ ys = x : (xs ++ ys)
```

```
goal xs ++ (ys ++ zs) .=. (xs ++ ys) ++ zs
```

## The [ ] Case

**Lemma:**  $xs \text{ ++ } (ys \text{ ++ } zs) \text{ .=} (xs \text{ ++ } ys) \text{ ++ } zs$

**Proof** by induction on List xs

**Case [ ]**

To show:  $[] \text{ ++ } (ys \text{ ++ } zs) \text{ .=} ([] \text{ ++ } ys) \text{ ++ } zs$

**Proof**

$$[] \text{ ++ } (ys \text{ ++ } zs)$$

(by def  $\text{++}$ )  $\text{.=} ys \text{ ++ } zs$

(by def  $\text{++}$ )  $\text{.=} ([] \text{ ++ } ys) \text{ ++ } zs$

**QED**

# The Cons Case

**Case**  $x : xs$

**To show:**  $(x : xs) ++ (ys ++ zs)$

$$\therefore= ((x : xs) ++ ys) ++ zs$$

*IH:*  $xs ++ (ys ++ zs) \therefore= (xs ++ ys) ++ zs$

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned} & (x : xs) ++ (ys ++ zs) \\ (\text{by def } ++) \quad & \therefore= x : (xs ++ (ys ++ zs)) \\ (\text{by IH}) \quad & \therefore= x : ((xs ++ ys) ++ zs) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x : xs) ++ ys) ++ zs \\ (\text{by def } ++) \quad & \therefore= (x : (xs ++ ys)) ++ zs \\ (\text{by def } ++) \quad & \therefore= x : ((xs ++ ys) ++ zs) \end{aligned}$$

**QED**

**QED**

## Our Experience With CYP

- Student feedback 18 positive, 3 negative

## Our Experience With CYP

- Student feedback 18 positive, 3 negative
- Main criticism: lack of documentation

## Our Experience With CYP

- Student feedback 18 positive, 3 negative
- Main criticism: lack of documentation
- Mostly well-structured inductive proofs in the exam

# Find more in our repository!

- IO mocking framework
- ACM-ICPC-like programming contest framework
- A music synthesiser
- More engagement mechanisms and insights, our technical setup,...

*[thub.com/kappelmann/engaging-large-scale-functional-programmi](#)*

## **Future Work**

---

# Future Work

Preventing collaboration/cheating



**Any questions?**