Kevin Kappelmann

Technical University of Munich

May 19, 2017

■ What is the most exact science of humanity?

- What is the most exact science of humanity?
  - Well, obviously it is maths, right?

- What is the most exact science of humanity?
  - Well, obviously it is maths, right?
- I shall convince you with rigorous proofs!

- What is the most exact science of humanity?
  - Well, obviously it is maths, right?
- I shall convince you with rigorous proofs!
  - What is a proof?

- What is the most exact science of humanity?
  - Well, obviously it is maths, right?
- I shall convince you with rigorous proofs!
  - What is a proof?
  - What is logical?

- What is the most exact science of humanity?
  - Well, obviously it is maths, right?
- I shall convince you with rigorous proofs!
  - What is a proof?
  - What is logical?
- Can we trust each other?

- What is the most exact science of humanity?
  - Well, obviously it is maths, right?
- I shall convince you with rigorous proofs!
  - What is a proof?
  - What is logical?
- Can we trust each other?
- Can we trust a computer?

■ When do I accept a proof?

- When do I accept a proof?
  - Do I need to understand every isolated step?

- When do I accept a proof?
  - Do I need to understand every isolated step?
  - Do I need to understand its holistic idea?

- When do I accept a proof?
  - Do I need to understand every isolated step?
  - Do I need to understand its holistic idea?
- Computer-generated proofs are barely verifiable by hand.

- When do I accept a proof?
  - Do I need to understand every isolated step?
  - Do I need to understand its holistic idea?
- Computer-generated proofs are barely verifiable by hand.
  - ⇒ Controversies

- When do I accept a proof?
  - Do I need to understand every isolated step?
  - Do I need to understand its holistic idea?
- Computer-generated proofs are barely verifiable by hand.
  - ⇒ Controversies
- But it is not the first controversy in mathematical history...

### Overview

- 1 History of Mathematics
- 2 Foundational Crisis
  - Causes
  - Logicism
  - Formalism
  - Intuitionism
  - Peak and End
- 3 Aftermath and Prospects

$$1+1=2$$

■ How did it begin?

- How did it begin?
  - One apple and another apple are two apples.

- How did it begin?
  - One apple and another apple are two apples.
  - One banana and another banana are two bananas.

- How did it begin?
  - One apple and another apple are two apples.
  - One banana and another banana are two bananas.
  - ⇒ One and one is two!

### 1+1=2

- How did it begin?
  - One apple and another apple are two apples.
  - One banana and another banana are two bananas.
  - ⇒ One and one is two!
- Maths is about abstraction.

## 1+1=2

- How did it begin?
  - One apple and another apple are two apples.
  - One banana and another banana are two bananas.
  - ⇒ One and one is two!
- Maths is about abstraction.
- Numbers were the first abstraction.

■ What also seems quite intuitive?

- What also seems quite intuitive?
  - Drawing shapes

- What also seems quite intuitive?
  - Drawing shapes
- Arithmetic and geometry were the first branches of mathematics.

- What also seems quite intuitive?
  - Drawing shapes
- Arithmetic and geometry were the first branches of mathematics.
- First complex mathematics around 2400 BC in Egypt and Babylonia

- What also seems quite intuitive?
  - Drawing shapes
- Arithmetic and geometry were the first branches of mathematics.
- First complex mathematics around 2400 BC in Egypt and Babylonia
- Maths served as an applied tool.

- What also seems quite intuitive?
  - Drawing shapes
- Arithmetic and geometry were the first branches of mathematics.
- First complex mathematics around 2400 BC in Egypt and Babylonia
- Maths served as an applied tool.
  - No proofs, but heuristics and pictures

Ancient Greeks developed the notion of a proof in 600 BC.

- Ancient Greeks developed the notion of a proof in 600 BC.
- The Pythagoreans discovered irrational numbers.

- Ancient Greeks developed the notion of a proof in 600 BC.
- The Pythagoreans discovered irrational numbers.
  - ⇒ First controversy of mathematics

- Ancient Greeks developed the notion of a proof in 600 BC.
- The Pythagoreans discovered irrational numbers.
  - ⇒ First controversy of mathematics
- Euclid's Elements around 300 BC

- Ancient Greeks developed the notion of a proof in 600 BC.
- The Pythagoreans discovered irrational numbers.
  - ⇒ First controversy of mathematics
- Euclid's Elements around 300 BC
  - Axiomatic, deductive treatment of mathematics similar to modern systems

- Ancient Greeks developed the notion of a proof in 600 BC.
- The Pythagoreans discovered irrational numbers.
  - ⇒ First controversy of mathematics
- Euclid's Elements around 300 BC
  - Axiomatic, deductive treatment of mathematics similar to modern systems
  - A work of timeless certainty...

- Ancient Greeks developed the notion of a proof in 600 BC.
- The Pythagoreans discovered irrational numbers.
  - ⇒ First controversy of mathematics
- Euclid's Elements around 300 BC
  - Axiomatic, deductive treatment of mathematics similar to modern systems
  - A work of timeless certainty...
    - ...at least so had been thought

"Let the following be postulated:

1 To draw a straight line from any point to any point.

- 1 To draw a straight line from any point to any point.
- 2 To produce [extend] a finite straight line continuously in a straight line.

- 1 To draw a straight line from any point to any point.
- 2 To produce [extend] a finite straight line continuously in a straight line.
- 3 To describe a circle with any centre and distance [radius].

- To draw a straight line from any point to any point.
- 2 To produce [extend] a finite straight line continuously in a straight line.
- 3 To describe a circle with any centre and distance [radius].
- 4 That all right angles are equal to one another.

- To draw a straight line from any point to any point.
- 2 To produce [extend] a finite straight line continuously in a straight line.
- To describe a circle with any centre and distance [radius].
- That all right angles are equal to one another.
- 5 That, if a straight line falling on two straight lines make the interior angles on the same side less than two right angles, the two straight lines, if produced indefinitely, meet on that side on which are the angles less than the two right angles."

## I Think I Broke Math

Foundational Crisis 00000

Logicism

Formalism

Kevin Kappelmann