

## Discrete Sample Quiz 3

**Question 1.** *Definition.* A Boolean formula is a *Conjunctive Normal Form (CNF)*, if it is a conjunction of one or more clauses, where a clause is a disjunction of literals. Each literal is either a variable  $(u, v, \dots)$  or its negation  $(\neg u, \neg v, \dots)$ .

Find the CNF computing the following truth table for Boolean expression  $E(a, b, c)$ :

$a$	$b$	$c$	$E(a, b, c)$
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	T

**Question 2.** The following CNF is given:

$$E = (a \vee \neg c) \wedge (b \vee \neg b).$$

Find 2 Boolean expressions equivalent to  $E$ :

- (A)  $a \rightarrow \neg b$ ,
- (B)  $a \rightarrow c$ ,
- (C)  $\neg c \rightarrow \neg a$ ,
- (D)  $(a \wedge c) \rightarrow b$ ,
- (E)  $c \rightarrow a$ ,
- (F)  $a \rightarrow (c \rightarrow b)$ ,
- (G)  $\neg a \rightarrow \neg c$ .

**Question 3.** We have six statements about Python programs  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  that convert inputs  $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  into results  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . A Python program may either loop indefinitely or halt (i.e. it eventually stops).

- Some programs return the correct result for all possible inputs and they never loop indefinitely.
- For any program one can find another program such that it returns the same result for the same inputs as the first one (or loops indefinitely, **iff** the first program does the same).
- There is a program that only loops indefinitely for at most finitely many inputs (or maybe none at all), but for all other inputs it produces the correct result.
- There is at least one Python program that always halts, and for sufficiently large inputs it produces the correct result, but it may err for some small-size inputs.
- For a program to produce a correct result for some input  $i$  it is strictly necessary to halt.

- A Python program always produces exactly one result for the given input provided that it halts.

We use these 3 predicates:

$A(p_1, i_2, r_3)$  is true iff Python program  $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}$  receives input  $i_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and outputs result  $r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

$H(p_1, i_2)$  is true iff program  $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}$  receives input  $i_2$  and halts (i.e. does not loop indefinitely).

$C(i_1, r_2)$  is true iff for input  $i_1$  the correct result is  $r_2$ .

*Note.* Write your answer as a comma-separated list: For example, F, E, D, C, B, A tells that the 1st statement is (F), the 2nd one is (E), ..., the last one is (A).

- (A)  $\forall p \in \mathcal{P} \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$   
 $(A(p, i, r) \wedge C(i, r) \rightarrow H(p, i)).$
- (B)  $\forall p_1 \in \mathcal{P} \exists p_2 \in \mathcal{P} \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$   
 $((\neg H(p_1, i) \wedge \neg H(p_2, i)) \vee (A(p_1, i, r) \leftrightarrow A(p_2, i, r)))$
- (C)  $\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$   
 $((i \leq N \wedge \neg H(p, i)) \vee (A(p, i, r) \wedge C(i, r))).$
- (D)  $\forall p \in \mathcal{P} \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$   
 $(H(p, i) \wedge A(p, i, r_1) \wedge A(p, i, r_2) \rightarrow r_1 = r_2).$
- (E)  $\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \exists N \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$   
 $(H(p, i) \wedge (A(p, i, r) \wedge (i > N) \rightarrow C(i, r))).$
- (F)  $\exists p \in \mathcal{P} \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall r \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$   
 $(H(p, i) \wedge (A(p, i, r) \rightarrow C(i, r))).$

**Question 4.** There is a set of 4 students  $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$  and a set of 2 chairs  $C = \{c_1, c_2\}$ . Find, how many such functions  $f : S \rightarrow C$  exist, how many of them are injective, surjective and bijective.

*Note.* Your answer should be a comma-separated list of 4 numbers (and 3 commas): total, injective, surjective, bijective.

**Question 5.** There is a predicate  $S(x, y, z)$  defined for triplets of positive integers,  $S : \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \{T, F\}$ .  $S(x, y, z)$  is true iff  $x \cdot y = z$ .

Express these statements about positive integers using only  $S(x, y, z)$ , Boolean operations and quantifiers.

*Note.* Please use only the predicate  $S(\dots)$  in your answers, avoid any other predicates or relations (such as equality, divisibility, etc.).

- (A)  $x/y = z$ ,
- (B)  $x = 1$ ,
- (C)  $x = y$ ,
- (D)  $x$  is divisible by  $y$  (i.e.  $y \mid x$ ).
- (E)  $x$  has odd number of positive divisors.
- (F)  $x$  is not a prime.
- (G)  $x$  is a prime.

## Answers

**Question 1.** Answer:

$$(\neg a \vee \neg b \vee c) \wedge (\neg a \vee b \vee \neg c) \wedge (\neg a \vee b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee \neg b \vee c) \wedge (a \vee b \vee \neg c)$$

Every value F in the truth table produces one clause in this expression. For example  $E(T, T, F) = F$  is addressed by a disjunction  $(\neg a \vee \neg b \vee c)$ .

If we combine red and blue clauses, we can rewrite this into a more compact expression, which is also CNF. Note, that this is not the only way to rewrite:

$$(\neg b \vee c) \wedge (\neg a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee b \vee \neg c).$$

**Question 2.** Answer: (E), (G).

$(a \vee \neg c) \wedge (b \vee \neg b) = (a \vee \neg c) \wedge T = (a \vee \neg c)$ . This is same as  $c \rightarrow a$  or the contrapositive variant of the same implication:  $\neg a \rightarrow \neg c$ .

**Question 3.** Answer:

1	2	3	4	5	6
(F)	(B)	(C)	(E)	(A)	(D)

In (B) we should write: the second program loops indefinitely **iff** the first program does so. If we write **if** instead, it would mean only one-way conditional, which does not mean equivalence between the programs  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , but something more complicated.

In (B) you could write  $(H(p_1, i) \leftrightarrow H(p_2, i))$  instead of  $(\neg H(p_1, i) \wedge \neg H(p_2, i))$  or even omit any reference to  $H(\dots)$  predicates. The notation  $(H(p_1, i) \leftrightarrow H(p_2, i))$  stresses the fact that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  behave in the same way. But in fact the equivalence  $(A(p_1, i, r) \leftrightarrow A(p_2, i, r))$  implicitly states the fact that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are defined on the same inputs.

Please note that (B) should **NOT** have quantifier  $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  (instead of  $\forall r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ), because we need equivalence for all positive integers  $r$ . If we only care about the actual result of  $p$  on input  $i$ , then it is possible to “cheat” the logic formula: pick result  $r$  which is impossible for both  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ ; then  $A(p_1, i, r)$  and  $A(p_2, i, r)$  would both be false (and thus equivalent).

In (C) one cannot replace  $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $\forall r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  (as in an earlier draft of this test), because it does not make sense to ask that a program  $p$  on input  $i$  produces **each** positive integer result; and all results turn out to be correct. (Such a formula may have some useful meaning if predicates  $A, H, C$  have different interpretations, but for Python programs this is technically correct, but has

an absurd meaning: that a given program produces any result whatsoever.)

In (E) it is absolutely necessary to write a quantifier  $\forall r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , because leaving  $r$  as a free variable (without a quantifier) would mean that the Python program always produces the same result (the value of  $r$ ). It is not

**Question 4.** Answer: 16, 0, 14, 0

Please note that there can be no injections (and therefore also bijections): students will always collide – run to the same chair, since there are fewer chairs than students.

The total number of functions is  $2^4 = 16$ . Each student can choose between 2 chairs, so it is  $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 16$ . Moreover, there are only 2 “constant” functions (where all four students go to  $c_1$  or to  $c_2$ ). They are not surjective, because some chairs stay unoccupied in this case. All the other  $16 - 2 = 14$  functions from  $S$  (4 elements) to  $C$  (2 elements) are surjections.

**Question 5.** Here we write all 7 statements with predicate  $S(x, y, z)$  and quantifiers.

(A)  $S(z, y, x)$  (or, equivalently,  $S(y, z, x)$ ).

(B)  $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}^+, S(x, y, y)$ .

Use the property:  $x = 1$  can multiply with an  $y$ , and does not increase/decrease.

You could also write simply  $S(x, x, x)$ . Indeed,  $x$  is the only positive integer such that  $x \cdot x = x$ . (Allowing  $x = 0$  would spoil this, because  $0^2 = 0$ .)

(C)  $\exists z \in \mathbb{Z}^+, S(x, z, y) \wedge S(z, z, z)$ .

So,  $x = y$  iff there is a  $z = 1$  such that  $x \cdot z = y$ .

(D)  $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}^+, S(y, d, x)$ .

(E)  $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}^+, S(y, y, x)$ .

This means that  $y^2 = x$  for some  $y$ ; hence  $x$  is a full square and should have odd number of divisors.

(F)  $\exists y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \exists z \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (S(y, z, x) \wedge \neg S(y, y, y) \wedge \neg S(z, z, z))$ .

This means that a non-prime  $x$  can be expressed as a product of two positive integers  $y, z$ , where neither of them is 1.

(G)  $\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (\neg S(y, z, x) \vee S(y, y, y) \vee S(z, z, z))$ .

We apply negation to the previous quantifier formula; all  $\exists$  quantifiers turn into  $\forall$ ; formulas are changed according to De Morgan’s laws.