Similar to Romanian. Cluj-Napoca — Romania, 3-14 July 2018

Let  $f: \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \to \{2, 3, \ldots\}$  be a function such that  $f(m+n) \mid f(m) + f(n)$  for all pairs m, n of positive integers. Prove that there exists a positive integer c > 1 which divides all values of f.

**Solution 1.** For every positive integer m, define  $S_m = \{n : m \mid f(n)\}.$ 

Lemma. If the set  $S_m$  is infinite, then  $S_m = \{d, 2d, 3d, \ldots\} = d \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  for some positive integer d. *Proof.* Let  $d = \min S_m$ ; the definition of  $S_m$  yields  $m \mid f(d)$ .

Whenever  $n \in S_m$  and n > d, we have  $m \mid f(n) \mid f(n-d) + f(d)$ , so  $m \mid f(n-d)$  and therefore  $n-d \in S_m$ . Let  $r \leq d$  be the least positive integer with  $n \equiv r \pmod{d}$ ; repeating the same step, we can see that  $n-d, n-2d, \ldots, r \in S_m$ . By the minimality of d, this shows r = d and therefore  $d \mid n$ .

Starting from an arbitrarily large element of  $S_m$ , the process above reaches all multiples of d; so they all are elements of  $S_m$ .

The solution for the problem will be split into two cases.

Case 1: The function f is bounded.

Call a prime p frequent if the set  $S_p$  is infinite, i.e., if p divides f(n) for infinitely many positive integers n; otherwise call p sporadic. Since the function f is bounded, there are only a finite number of primes that divide at least one f(n); so altogether there are finitely many numbers n such that f(n) has a sporadic prime divisor. Let N be a positive integer, greater than all those numbers n.

Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  be the frequent primes. By the lemma we have  $S_{p_i} = d_i \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  for some  $d_i$ . Consider the number

$$n = Nd_1d_2\cdots d_k + 1.$$

Due to n > N, all prime divisors of f(n) are frequent primes. Let  $p_i$  be any frequent prime divisor of f(n). Then  $n \in S_{p_i}$ , and therefore  $d_i \mid n$ . But  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d_i}$ , which means  $d_i = 1$ . Hence  $S_{p_i} = 1 \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and therefore  $p_i$  is a common divisor of all values f(n).

Case 2: f is unbounded.

We prove that f(1) divides all f(n).

Let a = f(1). Since  $1 \in S_a$ , by the lemma it suffices to prove that  $S_a$  is an infinite set.

Call a positive integer p a peak if  $f(p) > \max(f(1), \ldots, f(p-1))$ . Since f is not bounded, there are infinitely many peaks. Let  $1 = p_1 < p_2 < \dots$  be the sequence of all peaks, and let  $h_k = f(p_k)$ . Notice that for any peak  $p_i$  and for any  $k < p_i$ , we have  $f(p_i) \mid f(k) + f(p_i - k) < p_i$  $2f(p_i)$ , hence

$$f(k) + f(p_i - k) = f(p_i) = h_i.$$
 (1)

By the pigeonhole principle, among the numbers  $h_1, h_2, \ldots$  there are infinitely many that are congruent modulo a. Let  $k_0 < k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  be an infinite sequence of positive integers such that  $h_{k_0} \equiv h_{k_1} \equiv \dots \pmod{a}$ . Notice that

$$f(p_{k_i} - p_{k_0}) = f(p_{k_i}) - f(p_{k_0}) = h_{k_i} - h_{k_0} \equiv 0 \pmod{a},$$

so  $p_{k_i} - p_{k_0} \in S_a$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$  This provides infinitely many elements in  $S_a$ . Hence,  $S_a$  is an infinite set, and therefore f(1) = a divides f(n) for every n.

**Comment.** As an extension of the solution above, it can be proven that if f is not bounded then f(n) = an with a = f(1).

Take an arbitrary positive integer n; we will show that f(n+1) = f(n) + a. Then it follows by induction that f(n) = an.

Take a peak p such that p > n+2 and h = f(p) > f(n) + 2a. By (1) we have f(p-1) = f(p) - f(1) = h - a and f(n+1) = f(p) - f(p-n-1) = h - f(p-n-1). From  $h - a = f(p-1) \mid f(n) + f(p-n-1) < f(n) + h < 2(h-a)$  we get f(n) + f(p-n-1) = h - a. Then

$$f(n+1) - f(n) = (h - f(p-n-1)) - (h - a - f(p-n-1)) = a.$$

On the other hand, there exists a wide family of bounded functions satisfying the required properties. Here we present a few examples:

$$f(n) = c; \quad f(n) = \begin{cases} 2c & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ c & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \quad f(n) = \begin{cases} 2018c & \text{if } n \leq 2018 \\ c & \text{if } n > 2018. \end{cases}$$

**Solution 2.** Let  $d_n = \gcd(f(n), f(1))$ . From  $d_{n+1} \mid f(1)$  and  $d_{n+1} \mid f(n+1) \mid f(n) + f(1)$ , we can see that  $d_{n+1} \mid f(n)$ ; then  $d_{n+1} \mid \gcd(f(n), f(1)) = d_n$ . So the sequence  $d_1, d_2, \ldots$  is nonincreasing in the sense that every element is a divisor of the previous elements. Let  $d = \min(d_1, d_2, \ldots) = \gcd(d_1, d_2, \ldots) = \gcd(f(1), f(2), \ldots)$ ; we have to prove  $d \ge 2$ .

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that the statement is wrong, so d = 1; that means there is some index  $n_0$  such that  $d_n = 1$  for every  $n \ge n_0$ , i.e., f(n) is coprime with f(1).

Claim 1. If  $2^k \ge n_0$  then  $f(2^k) \le 2^k$ .

*Proof.* By the condition,  $f(2n) \mid 2f(n)$ ; a trivial induction yields  $f(2^k) \mid 2^k f(1)$ . If  $2^k \ge n_0$  then  $f(2^k)$  is coprime with f(1), so  $f(2^k)$  is a divisor of  $2^k$ .

Claim 2. There is a constant C such that f(n) < n + C for every n.

*Proof.* Take the first power of 2 which is greater than or equal to  $n_0$ : let  $K = 2^k \ge n_0$ . By Claim 1, we have  $f(K) \le K$ . Notice that  $f(n+K) \mid f(n) + f(K)$  implies  $f(n+K) \le f(n) + f(K) \le f(n) + K$ . If n = tK + r for some  $t \ge 0$  and  $1 \le r \le K$ , then we conclude

$$f(n) \leq K + f(n-K) \leq 2K + f(n-2K) \leq \ldots \leq tK + f(r) < n + \max(f(1), f(2), \ldots, f(K)),$$
  
so the claim is true with  $C = \max(f(1), \ldots, f(K)).$ 

Claim 3. If  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  are coprime then  $\gcd(f(a), f(b)) \mid f(1)$ . In particular, if  $a, b \ge n_0$  are coprime then f(a) and f(b) are coprime.

*Proof.* Let  $d = \gcd(f(a), f(b))$ . We can replicate Euclid's algorithm. Formally, apply induction on a + b. If a = 1 or b = 1 then we already have  $d \mid f(1)$ .

Without loss of generality, suppose 1 < a < b. Then  $d \mid f(a)$  and  $d \mid f(b) \mid f(a) + f(b-a)$ , so  $d \mid f(b-a)$ . Therefore d divides  $\gcd(f(a), f(b-a))$  which is a divisor of f(1) by the induction hypothesis.

Let  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$  be the sequence of all prime numbers; for every k, let  $q_k$  be the lowest power of  $p_k$  with  $q_k \ge n_0$ . (Notice that there are only finitely many positive integers with  $q_k \ne p_k$ .)

Take a positive integer N, and consider the numbers

$$f(1), f(q_1), f(q_2), \ldots, f(q_N).$$

Here we have N+1 numbers, each being greater than 1, and they are pairwise coprime by Claim 3. Therefore, they have at least N+1 different prime divisors in total, and their greatest prime divisor is at least  $p_{N+1}$ . Hence,  $\max(f(1), f(q_1), \ldots, f(q_N)) \ge p_{N+1}$ .

Choose N such that  $\max(q_1, \ldots, q_N) = p_N$  (this is achieved if N is sufficiently large), and  $p_{N+1} - p_N > C$  (that is possible, because there are arbitrarily long gaps between the primes). Then we establish a contradiction

 $p_{N+1} \leq \max(f(1), f(q_1), \dots, f(q_N)) < \max(1 + C, q_1 + C, \dots, q_N + C) = p_N + C < p_{N+1}$  which proves the statement.