

N7. Let $n \geq 2018$ be an integer, and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n$ be pairwise distinct positive integers not exceeding $5n$. Suppose that the sequence

$$\frac{a_1}{b_1}, \frac{a_2}{b_2}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{b_n} \quad (1)$$

forms an arithmetic progression. Prove that the terms of the sequence are equal.

Solution. Suppose that (1) is an arithmetic progression with nonzero difference. Let the difference be $\Delta = \frac{c}{d}$, where $d > 0$ and c, d are coprime.

We will show that too many denominators b_i should be divisible by d . To this end, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and any prime divisor p of d , say that *the index i is p -wrong*, if $v_p(b_i) < v_p(d)$. ($v_p(x)$ stands for the exponent of p in the prime factorisation of x .)

Claim 1. For any prime p , all p -wrong indices are congruent modulo p . In other words, the p -wrong indices (if they exist) are included in an arithmetic progression with difference p .

Proof. Let $\alpha = v_p(d)$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose that i and j are p -wrong indices (i.e., none of b_i and b_j is divisible by p^α) such that $i \not\equiv j \pmod{p}$. Then the least common denominator of $\frac{a_i}{b_i}$ and $\frac{a_j}{b_j}$ is not divisible by p^α . But this is impossible because in their difference, $(i - j)\Delta = \frac{(i-j)c}{d}$, the numerator is coprime to p , but p^α divides the denominator d . \square

Claim 2. d has no prime divisors greater than 5.

Proof. Suppose that $p \geq 7$ is a prime divisor of d . Among the indices $1, 2, \dots, n$, at most $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor < \frac{n}{p} + 1$ are p -wrong, so p divides at least $\frac{p-1}{p}n - 1$ of b_1, \dots, b_n . Since these denominators are distinct,

$$5n \geq \max\{b_i : p \mid b_i\} \geq \left(\frac{p-1}{p}n - 1\right)p = (p-1)(n-1) - 1 \geq 6(n-1) - 1 > 5n,$$

a contradiction. \square

Claim 3. For every $0 \leq k \leq n - 30$, among the denominators $b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \dots, b_{k+30}$, at least $\varphi(30) = 8$ are divisible by d .

Proof. By Claim 1, the 2-wrong, 3-wrong and 5-wrong indices can be covered by three arithmetic progressions with differences 2, 3 and 5. By a simple inclusion-exclusion, $(2-1) \cdot (3-1) \cdot (5-1) = 8$ indices are not covered; by Claim 2, we have $d \mid b_i$ for every uncovered index i . \square

Claim 4. $|\Delta| < \frac{20}{n-2}$ and $d > \frac{n-2}{20}$.

Proof. From the sequence (1), remove all fractions with $b_n < \frac{n}{2}$. There remain at least $\frac{n}{2}$ fractions, and they cannot exceed $\frac{5n}{n/2} = 10$. So we have at least $\frac{n}{2}$ elements of the arithmetic progression (1) in the interval $(0, 10]$, hence the difference must be below $\frac{10}{n/2-1} = \frac{20}{n-2}$.

The second inequality follows from $\frac{1}{d} \leq \frac{|c|}{d} = |\Delta|$. \square

Now we have everything to get the final contradiction. By Claim 3, we have $d \mid b_i$ for at least $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{30} \right\rfloor \cdot 8$ indices i . By Claim 4, we have $d \geq \frac{n-2}{20}$. Therefore,

$$5n \geq \max\{b_i : d \mid b_i\} \geq \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{30} \right\rfloor \cdot 8\right) \cdot d > \left(\frac{n}{30} - 1\right) \cdot 8 \cdot \frac{n-2}{20} > 5n.$$

Comment 1. It is possible that all terms in (1) are equal, for example with $a_i = 2i - 1$ and $b_i = 4i - 2$ we have $\frac{a_i}{b_i} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Comment 2. The bound $5n$ in the statement is far from sharp; the solution above can be modified to work for $9n$. For large n , the bound $5n$ can be replaced by $n^{\frac{3}{2}-\varepsilon}$.