WORKSHEET WEEK 01: ASYMPTOTIC BOUNDS

1.1 Introduction

Goal: The focus of this course is efficiency – creating algorithms that can work on large input data and handle complex structures sufficiently fast.

Why use Big-O Notation? It is convenient to measure speed of algorithms – for example, to find the best algorithms for a given problem. Or to find out which problems are easy (have fast algorithms) and which ones are hard (have only slow or unfeasible algorithms).

- Measuring the speed should not depend on the speed of the computing hardware do not care about constant factors.
- Measuring the speed should not depend on how fast it works on very short inputs. (One can "cheat" for short inputs just remember a large lookup table containing values for inputs of length $n < n_0$ with precomputed correct answers. Clearly, this does not tell us anything about the performance of this algorithm for arbitrary inputs.)
- Measuring the speed should be conceptually easy, it should not take into account insignificant optimizations or count too many extra factors.

Example: Energy needed to lift a stone of mass m to the height h is mgh. (Is this the best-case estimate? The worst-case estimate? The exact value?)

1.1.1 Running Time as a Complexity Measure

The Worst Running Time function: Given an algorithm, denote by T(n) the number of elementary steps that are needed to complete the algorithm for any input of length n. (It can be called the *upper bound* of the running time.)

Discussions on the Worst-Case Running Time:

- Is T(n) the upper bound also for inputs shorter than n?
- Is T(n) a non-decreasing function (i.e. do longer inputs always imply a longer running time)?
- What counts as an elementary step? (Any CPU instruction? One line in a pseudocode? One comparison in a sorting algorithm?)
- Let T(n) be a numeric algorithm receiving single natural numbers as input. Does the worst running time function change, if the input numbers are provided in binary (instead of decimal) notation?
- What is the worst running time to multiply two square matrices of size n × n? What is the size of input in this case?

Sometimes it is common to have n as some important parameter of the input data (not necessarily the exact size of its encoding). For matrix tasks – the size of the matrices n. For graph problems – the number of vertices n and the number of edges m.

1.1.2 Definitions

Definition of Big-O: Let $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{0+}$ be a function from natural numbers (non-negative integers) to non-negative real numbers. Then O(g) is the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{0+}$ such that there exist real constants c > 0 and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$0 \le f(n) \le c \cdot g(n)$$
 for all $n \ge n_0$.

Definition of Big-Omega: Let $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{0+}$ be a function from natural numbers to non-negative real numbers. Then $\Omega(g(n))$ is the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that there exist real constants c > 0 and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ (n \geq n_0 \to f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n))$.

Definition of Big Theta: Let $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{0+}$ be a function from natural numbers to non-negative real numbers. Then $\Theta(g)$ is the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that there exist positive constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \ (n \ge n_0 \to 0 \le c_1 \cdot g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2 \cdot g(n)).$$

Informally, the following terms are also usable:

- If $f(n) \in O(g(n))$, then g(n) is called asymptotic upper bound of f(n).
- If $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$, then g(n) is called asymptotic lower bound of f(n).
- If $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$, then g(n) is called asymptotic growth order of f(n).

All these concepts (Big-O, Big-Omega, Big-Theta) are related to calculus (real analysis); it is functional behavior as $n \to \infty$. Predicting the speed of an algorithm for short input lengths n, the dependence on n is typically quite complex (and we cannot ignore "lower order" terms). As n becomes very large, only the "dominant parts" in the expression f(n) matter.

1.2 Properties of Big-O, Big-Omega, Big-Theta

Big-O and Limit of the Ratio: If the following limit exists and is finite:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = C < +\infty,$$

then f(n) is in O(g(n)).

Big-O is transitive: If $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ and $g(n) \in O(h(n))$, then $f(n) \in O(h(n))$.

Sum of two functions: If $f(n) \in O(h(n))$ and $g(n) \in O(h(n))$, then f(n) + g(n) = O(h(n)).

All polynomials: Any k-th degree polynomial $P(n) = a_k n^k + a_{k-1} n^{k-1} + \ldots + a_1 n + a_0$ is in $O(n^k)$.

Logarithms of any base: If a, b > 1 are any real numbers, then $\log_a n = O(\log_b n)$. Typically use just one base (usually, it is base 2 or base e of the natural logarithm, if you prefer that), and write just $O(\log n)$ without specifying base at all.

The last result directly follows from the formula to change the base of a logarithm: $\forall a,b,m>1$ $\left(\log_a b=\frac{\log_m b}{\log_m a}\right)$.

1.3 Problems

Problem 1: Show using the above definition of O(g) the following facts:

```
(A) f(n) = 13n + 7 is in O(n). (Formally, f \in O(g), where g(n) = n.)
```

(B)
$$f(n) = 3n^2 - 100n + 6$$
 is in $O(n^2)$.

(C)
$$f(n) = 3n^2 - 100n + 6$$
 is in $O(n^3)$.

(D)
$$f(n) = 3n^2 - 100n + 6$$
 is **not** in $O(n)$.

Problem 2: Let us have a zero-based dictionary D with n items from D[0] to D[n-1].

```
LINEARSEARCH(D, w)
```

- 1. **for** i **in** RANGE(0, n):
- 2. **if** w == D[i]:
- 3. **return** FOUND w at location i
- 4. **return** NOT FOUND

Problem 3: What is the worst running time to find, if the given input m is a prime number? (Primality testing is done by dividing the input with all numbers $2, 3, \ldots, \lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor$ until m is found to be divisible by some number.)

Problem 4: Answer the following Yes/No questions:

- (A) For any g(n), is the set of functions $\Theta(g(n))$ the intersection of O(g(n)) and $\Omega(g(n))$?
- **(B)** Does every function f(n) belong to the set Omega(1)?
- (C) Let f(n), g(n) be two functions from natural numbers to non-negative real numbers. Is it true that we have either f(n) in O(g(n)) or g(n) in f(n) (or both)?
- (D) Does the definition of f(n) in O(g(n)) make sense, if f(n) and g(n) can take negative values?
- **(E)**
- Let f(n) be a function from natural numbers to non-negative real numbers. Do we always have that f(n) is in O(f(n)), and f(n) is in O(f(n)) and f(n) is in O(f(n))? (In other words, is being in Big-O, in Big-Omega and in Big-Theta a reflexive relation?)
- **(F)**
- Let f(n), g(n), h(n) be functions from natural numbers to non-negative real numbers. It is known that f(n) is in O(g(n)) and also g(n) is in h(n). Can we always imply that f(n) is in O(h(n)). (In other words, is being in Big-O, in Big-Omega and in Big-Theta a transitive relation?)
- (H)

(I)

Let f(n), g(n) be functions from natural numbers to non-negative real numbers. It is known that f(n) is in $\Theta(g(n))$. Can we always imply that g(n) is in $\Theta(f(n))$? (In other words, is being in Big-Theta an equivalence relation?)

A function f(n) is defined for natural arguments and takes natural values. It is known that f(n) is in O(1). Is it true that f(n) is a constant function: f(n) = C for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.3. Problems 3

Problem 5: Given a sequence a_i ($i=0,\ldots,n-1$) we call its element a_i a *peak* iff it is a local maximum (at least as big as any of its neighbors):

$$a_i \geq a_{i-1}$$
 and $a_i \geq a_{i+1}$

(In case if i = 0 or i = n - 1, one of these neighbors does not exist; and in such cases we only compare a_i with neighbors that do exist.)

- (A) Suggest an algorithm to find some peak in the given array $A[0], \ldots, A[n-1]$ and find its worst-case running time.
- (B) Suggest an algorithm that is faster than linear time to find peaks in an array. Namely, its worst-case running time should satisfy the limit:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{T(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Problem 6: Order these functions in increasing order regarding Big-O complexity (f_i is considered "not larger" than f_j iff $f_i \in O(f_j)$.

- $f_1(n) = n^{0.9999} \log_2 n$
- $f_2(n) = 10000n$
- $f_3(n) = 1.0001^n$
- $f_4(n) = n^2$

Problem 7: Order these functions in increasing order regarding Big-O complexity:

- $f_1(n) = 2^{2^{10000}}$
- $f_2(n) = 2^{10000n}$
- $f_3(n) = \binom{n}{2} = C_n^2$
- $f_4(n) = \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$
- $f_5(n) = \binom{n}{n-2}$
- $f_6(n) = n!$
- $f_7(n) = n\sqrt{n}$

Problem 8: Order these functions in increasing order regarding Big-O complexity:

- $f_1(n) = n^{\sqrt{n}}$
- $f_2(n) = 2^n$
- $f_3(n) = n^{10} \cdot 2^{n/2}$
- $\bullet \sum_{i=1}^{n} (i+1).$

Problem 9: A black box \mathcal{B} receives two numbers $k_1, k_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ as inputs and returns a value $v = \mathcal{B}(k_1, k_2)$. What is the worst-case time complexity to find the maximum possible value $v = \mathcal{B}(k_1, k_2)$ for any two inputs.

What if the black box receives permutations of n elements as its inputs?

Problem 10: Prove or disprove the following statement: If f(n) is in O(g(n)) and also g(n) is in O(f(n)), then f(n) is also in O(g(n)) (and g(n) is in O(f(n))). (You can assume that f(n) and g(n) always take positive values.)

1.3. Problems 4