



Instructor with Comments Report

16 students responded out of the total enrolled 27

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 101 305

								Other Users of This Item*						
								University Wide			School/College			
Responses from your Students**								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	
5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median								
1	Overall, this was an excellent course.	8	5	1	2	0	0	4.50	3.83	4.19	4.60	3.63	4.00	4.38
2	Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	13	3	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.00	4.50	4.80	3.85	4.28	4.72
3	I learned a great deal from this course.	7	8	1	0	0	0	4.38	3.94	4.28	4.67	3.75	4.06	4.50
4	I had a strong desire to take this course.	6	5	3	2	0	0	4.10	3.50	4.06	4.50	3.56	3.93	4.23
120	I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	8	7	1	0	0	0	4.50	3.98	4.25	4.63			
121	I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	7	7	2	0	0	0	4.36	3.90	4.14	4.50			
143	I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	4	6	4	1	1	0	3.83	3.67	4.05	4.50			
185	The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	10	1	0	1	0	4	4.90	4.17	4.50	4.75			
199	The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	13	3	0	0	0	0	4.88	3.96	4.38	4.75			
200	The instructor handled questions well.	12	4	0	0	0	0	4.83	3.95	4.36	4.75			
206	The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	10	5	1	0	0	0	4.70	4.42	4.78	4.92			
209	The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	10	5	0	1	0	0	4.70	3.80	4.32	4.70			
210	The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	10	5	1	0	0	0	4.70	3.80	4.24	4.67			
215	The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	11	5	0	0	0	0	4.77	4.30	4.70	4.88			
217	The instructor treated students with respect.	13	3	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.50	4.73	4.88			
219	The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	12	4	0	0	0	0	4.83	4.33	4.68	4.85			
223	Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	8	8	0	0	0	0	4.50	4.27	4.61	4.81			
230	The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	12	4	0	0	0	0	4.83	4.25	4.63	4.83			
231	The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	6	8	1	1	0	0	4.25	4.00	4.30	4.62			
241	The instructor set high standards for students.	7	6	3	0	0	0	4.33	4.12	4.46	4.73			
327	Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	3	4	4	3	0	2	3.50	3.50	3.95	4.25			
330	Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	5	8	1	0	0	2	4.25	4.00	4.27	4.64			
340	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	6	3	3	1	2	1	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.34			
350	Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	6	6	2	1	0	1	4.25	3.75	4.11	4.50			
356	Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	7	5	2	1	0	1	4.40	4.00	4.25	4.60			
360	Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	6	6	2	1	0	1	4.25	3.79	4.08	4.40			
364	The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	8	7	1	0	0	0	4.50	3.75	4.09	4.42			
366	The grading system was clearly explained.	6	4	4	1	0	1	4.13	4.00	4.29	4.64			
370	I attended class regularly.	12	3	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.64	4.82	4.91			
371	I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	7	4	5	0	0	0	4.25	3.94	4.14	4.40			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
NA



Office of Evaluations & Examinations
University of Michigan
Report ID: MSR04734 2010-12-03 - 2010-12-14
Instructor with Comments Report

Fall 2010 Final

16 students responded out of the total enrolled 27

Instructor: Kapustin, Max
ECON 101 305

Student 2
NA

Student 3
NA

Student 4
NA

Student 5
NA

Student 6
NA

Student 7
Max Kapustin was a really good teacher and he made the students feel very comfortable. It was a great learning environment.

Student 8
NA

Student 9
Let's be clear: Max saved all of us in his discussion. Almost everyone in the class acknowledged that the lectures helped little and we knew that the discussion was where we were going to get most of our learning done. Max was very helpful with the limited amount of time he had to teach. He wasn't the greatest teacher I've ever had, or even my greatest GSI this semester, but I'm grateful that he salvaged at least a little bit of learning for me in Econ101. (Not to say he's a bad instructor at all. Quite the opposite. I just wanted to note that my judgment may be a little shaky because he pretty much seems like Adam Smith or something compared to what we see in lecture.)

Student 10
NA

Student 11
If it wasn't for the discussion section of this class and my GSI, I would have done very poorly. The discussion section was extremely valuable in the learning process of this class. In fact, I would say it was just about the only valuable thing about this class. My GSI was an incredible teacher. He was able to explain concepts much more clearly than the professor, and the instruction he gave was much more valuable. Attending his office hours and discussion sections were what allowed me to do relatively well in this class.

Student 12
amazing

Student 13
GSI seemed to do best he could with what tools he had.

Student 14
NA

Student 15
Max is the bomb.

Student 16



Instructor with Comments Report

16 students responded out of the total enrolled 27

Instructor: Kapustin, Max

ECON 101 305

I learned most of the material from my GSI, The professor went slow through the material, and never seemed quite focused on exactly what was needed.

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2010 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

20 students responded out of the total enrolled 31

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 101 309

								Other Users of This Item*						
								University Wide			School/College			
Responses from your Students**								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	
		5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median						
1	Overall, this was an excellent course.	10	3	4	2	1	0	4.50	3.83	4.19	4.60	3.63	4.00	4.38
2	Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	15	4	0	0	1	0	4.83	4.00	4.50	4.80	3.85	4.28	4.72
3	I learned a great deal from this course.	12	4	3	0	1	0	4.67	3.94	4.28	4.67	3.75	4.06	4.50
4	I had a strong desire to take this course.	8	6	2	2	2	0	4.17	3.50	4.06	4.50	3.56	3.93	4.23
120	I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	10	6	2	1	1	0	4.50	3.98	4.25	4.63			
121	I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	11	6	2	0	1	0	4.59	3.90	4.14	4.50			
143	I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	7	3	4	4	2	0	3.50	3.67	4.05	4.50			
185	The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	14	5	0	0	1	0	4.79	4.17	4.50	4.75			
199	The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	16	3	0	0	1	0	4.88	3.96	4.38	4.75			
200	The instructor handled questions well.	16	3	0	0	1	0	4.88	3.95	4.36	4.75			
206	The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	13	5	1	0	1	0	4.73	4.42	4.78	4.92			
209	The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	14	5	0	0	1	0	4.79	3.80	4.32	4.70			
210	The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	15	4	0	0	1	0	4.83	3.80	4.24	4.67			
215	The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	14	4	0	0	1	0	4.82	4.30	4.70	4.88			
217	The instructor treated students with respect.	16	3	0	0	1	0	4.88	4.50	4.73	4.88			
219	The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	14	5	0	0	1	0	4.79	4.33	4.68	4.85			
223	Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	12	5	2	0	1	0	4.67	4.27	4.61	4.81			
230	The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	15	4	0	0	1	0	4.83	4.25	4.63	4.83			
231	The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	9	7	2	1	1	0	4.36	4.00	4.30	4.62			
241	The instructor set high standards for students.	12	5	1	1	1	0	4.67	4.12	4.46	4.73			
327	Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	6	1	4	6	3	0	2.75	3.50	3.95	4.25			
330	Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	10	6	3	0	1	0	4.50	4.00	4.27	4.64			
340	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	8	2	0	7	3	0	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.34			
350	Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	12	3	2	1	1	1	4.71	3.75	4.11	4.50			
356	Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	9	6	2	2	1	0	4.33	4.00	4.25	4.60			
360	Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	10	3	1	5	1	0	4.50	3.79	4.08	4.40			
364	The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	12	5	1	1	1	0	4.67	3.75	4.09	4.42			
366	The grading system was clearly explained.	8	6	4	0	2	0	4.17	4.00	4.29	4.64			
370	I attended class regularly.	14	4	0	1	1	0	4.79	4.64	4.82	4.91			
371	I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	9	6	2	2	1	0	4.33	3.94	4.14	4.40			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
NA



Office of Evaluations & Examinations
University of Michigan
Report ID: MSR04734 2010-12-03 - 2010-12-14
Instructor with Comments Report

Fall 2010 Final

20 students responded out of the total enrolled 31

Instructor: Kapustin, Max
ECON 101 309

Student 2
NA

Student 3
NA

Student 4
NA

Student 5
goos

Student 6
NA

Student 7
Max was an excellent GSI. Discussions were generally straightforward reviews of material that greatly aided my understanding of the topics.

Student 8
I thought that the course was very well formatted.

Student 9
NA

Student 10
Pretty good.

Student 11
NA

Student 12
Discussion helped me a lot. Max was great at explaining things so that we all understood them and always answered our questions thoroughly. I often went to office hours or asked him questions after class. He was great.

Student 13
NA

Student 14
NA

Student 15
NA

Student 16
A lot of kids had difficulty with Professor Proulx's lectures and methods of explaining and Max was always able and willing to help these students. Max explained the important concepts in terms much more relevant to a college kid.

Student 17



Instructor with Comments Report

20 students responded out of the total enrolled 31

Instructor: Kapustin,Max

ECON 101 309

Student 18

Max did a great job of explaining concepts in more understandable ways than the Professor. I felt as though I learned so much more in discussion than lecture. Any questions I had during lecture were always answered in discussion. Overall, I really lucked out in getting this GSI.

Student 19

NA

Student 20

NA

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2010 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

11 students responded out of the total enrolled 34

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 203

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	3	6	0	1	0	1	4.17	3.90	4.25	4.67	3.63	4.00	4.29
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	5	5	0	0	0	1	4.50	4.08	4.56	4.83	3.93	4.30	4.68
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	4	5	0	1	0	1	4.30	4.00	4.30	4.67	3.79	4.00	4.31
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	4	5	0	2	0	0	4.20	3.67	4.10	4.59	3.50	3.90	4.17
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	3	6	1	1	0	0	4.08	4.00	4.21	4.61			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	4	5	1	1	0	0	4.20	3.96	4.20	4.50			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	4	5	0	2	0	0	4.20	3.70	4.06	4.60			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	5	4	0	0	0	2	4.60	4.14	4.50	4.75			
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	4	6	0	0	0	1	4.33	4.00	4.48	4.77			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	4	5	0	0	0	2	4.40	4.00	4.50	4.78			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	4	5	1	0	0	1	4.30	4.38	4.80	4.93			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	4	5	1	0	0	1	4.30	4.00	4.32	4.70			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	5	5	0	0	0	1	4.50	3.93	4.33	4.70			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	5	5	0	0	0	1	4.50	4.25	4.67	4.88			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	5	5	0	0	0	1	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	5	4	0	0	0	2	4.60	4.32	4.67	4.83			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	4	6	1	0	0	0	4.25	4.20	4.56	4.80			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	4	6	0	0	0	1	4.33	4.25	4.64	4.84			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	3	8	0	0	0	0	4.19	4.00	4.30	4.62			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	5	3	1	0	0	2	4.60	4.15	4.50	4.75			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	2	7	0	0	0	2	4.14	3.50	3.92	4.23			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	3	6	0	0	0	2	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.64			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	3	6	1	0	0	1	4.17	3.50	4.00	4.38			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	3	4	0	0	0	4	4.38	4.00	4.28	4.58			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	4	6	0	0	0	1	4.33	4.00	4.25	4.56			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	3	7	0	0	0	1	4.21	3.83	4.10	4.44			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	3	5	1	1	0	1	4.10	3.80	4.05	4.38			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	3	7	1	0	0	0	4.14	4.00	4.25	4.58			
370 I attended class regularly.	3	6	0	1	1	0	4.08	4.63	4.80	4.90			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	4	5	0	1	1	0	4.20	3.93	4.13	4.42			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
good



Instructor with Comments Report

11 students responded out of the total enrolled 34

Instructor: Kapustin, Max

ECON 102 203

Student 2

NA

Student 3

Max was clear and helpful in discussion

Student 4

NA

Student 5

Max is cool

Student 6

NA

Student 7

NA

Student 8

The instruction was great, sometimes irrelevant information was talked a little bit too much on, however it was a great class and very informative.

Max Kapustin went above and beyond what he needed to in order for his students to succeed. He spent at least 10 extra hours a week meeting with students and helping them with issues. He is amazing, and I hope that he continues to teach as his contribution is greatly appreciated. He cleared up any foggy areas, an extremely necessary asset of my Economics 102 course.

Student 9

NA

Student 10

Excellent. Max explained very clearly concepts that might not have been well explored in lecture.

Student 11

Max seemed like a great discussion leader, but because I did not attend regularly, I am unable to provide a clearer comment.

* The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2011 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

11 students responded out of the total enrolled 33

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 209

								Other Users of This Item*					
								University Wide			School/College		
Responses from your Students**								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median							
1	Overall, this was an excellent course.	5	5	1	0	0	4.40	3.90	4.25	4.67	3.63	4.00	4.29
2	Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	6	5	0	0	0	4.58	4.08	4.56	4.83	3.93	4.30	4.68
3	I learned a great deal from this course.	9	2	0	0	0	4.89	4.00	4.30	4.67	3.79	4.00	4.31
4	I had a strong desire to take this course.	3	2	6	0	0	3.42	3.67	4.10	4.59	3.50	3.90	4.17
120	I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	5	6	0	0	0	4.42	4.00	4.21	4.61			
121	I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	4	7	0	0	0	4.29	3.96	4.20	4.50			
143	I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	2	7	2	0	0	4.00	3.70	4.06	4.60			
185	The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	5	4	0	0	0	2	4.60	4.14	4.50	4.75		
199	The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	7	4	0	0	0	0	4.71	4.00	4.48	4.77		
200	The instructor handled questions well.	6	5	0	0	0	0	4.58	4.00	4.50	4.78		
206	The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	3	5	2	1	0	0	4.00	4.38	4.80	4.93		
209	The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	6	5	0	0	0	0	4.58	4.00	4.32	4.70		
210	The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	5	5	0	0	0	0	4.50	3.93	4.33	4.70		
215	The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	4	6	1	0	0	0	4.25	4.25	4.67	4.88		
217	The instructor treated students with respect.	6	4	0	0	0	0	4.67	4.50	4.75	4.90		
219	The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	6	4	1	0	0	0	4.58	4.32	4.67	4.83		
223	Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	5	6	0	0	0	0	4.42	4.20	4.56	4.80		
230	The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	8	3	0	0	0	0	4.81	4.25	4.64	4.84		
231	The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	5	6	0	0	0	0	4.42	4.00	4.30	4.62		
241	The instructor set high standards for students.	5	4	2	0	0	0	4.38	4.15	4.50	4.75		
327	Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	2	5	1	2	0	1	3.90	3.50	3.92	4.23		
330	Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	3	7	0	0	0	1	4.21	4.00	4.25	4.64		
340	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	3	5	2	0	0	1	4.10	3.50	4.00	4.38		
350	Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	2	6	1	0	0	2	4.08	4.00	4.28	4.58		
356	Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	3	5	1	0	0	2	4.20	4.00	4.25	4.56		
360	Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	3	4	2	0	0	2	4.13	3.83	4.10	4.44		
364	The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	1	7	1	1	0	1	3.93	3.80	4.05	4.38		
366	The grading system was clearly explained.	1	8	0	1	0	0	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.58		
370	I attended class regularly.	7	3	1	0	0	0	4.71	4.63	4.80	4.90		
371	I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	3	8	0	0	0	0	4.19	3.93	4.13	4.42		

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
NA



Office of Evaluations & Examinations
University of Michigan
Report ID: MSR04734 2011-04-08 - 2011-04-20
Instructor with Comments Report

Winter 2011 Final

11 students responded out of the total enrolled 33

Instructor: Kapustin,Max

ECON 102 209

Student 2

NA

Student 3

NA

Student 4

NA

Student 5

NA

Student 6

Max was very knowledgeable and would go out of his way to help students who struggled. He always presented the material in a very clear and concise manner. He is a great person and an excellent teacher.

Student 7

good

Student 8

NA

Student 9

NA

Student 10

NA

Student 11

NA

* The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2011 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 107

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	9	3	5	0	0	0	4.56	3.90	4.25	4.70	3.75	4.05	4.36
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	15	2	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.11	4.59	4.85	3.90	4.30	4.72
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	9	6	2	0	0	0	4.56	4.00	4.33	4.69	3.84	4.13	4.43
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	5	7	4	1	0	0	4.00	3.60	4.08	4.58	3.67	3.99	4.25
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	7	10	0	0	0	0	4.35	4.00	4.31	4.67			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	5	11	1	0	0	0	4.18	3.97	4.18	4.50			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	3	9	2	3	0	0	3.89	3.67	4.06	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	11	4	1	0	0	1	4.77	4.25	4.63	4.80			
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	16	1	0	0	0	0	4.97	4.00	4.50	4.78			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.00	4.50	4.77			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	11	3	3	0	0	0	4.73	4.50	4.81	4.94			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	11	6	0	0	0	0	4.73	3.94	4.39	4.73			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	15	2	0	0	0	0	4.93	3.83	4.25	4.70			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	14	2	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.38	4.75	4.89			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	15	2	0	0	0	0	4.93	4.50	4.79	4.90			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	14	2	1	0	0	0	4.89	4.40	4.71	4.88			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	7	10	0	0	0	0	4.35	4.30	4.67	4.83			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	14	3	0	0	0	0	4.89	4.30	4.67	4.86			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	7	8	1	1	0	0	4.31	4.00	4.33	4.67			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	8	7	2	0	0	0	4.43	4.17	4.50	4.75			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	2	6	5	2	0	2	3.58	3.65	4.00	4.30			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	2	9	2	1	1	2	3.89	4.00	4.25	4.58			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	3	10	2	1	0	1	4.00	3.43	4.00	4.33			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	2	7	2	2	2	2	3.71	3.71	4.14	4.63			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	2	7	4	1	0	2	3.79	4.07	4.31	4.64			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	1	7	6	1	0	2	3.57	3.87	4.10	4.50			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	4	11	1	1	0	0	4.09	3.86	4.09	4.50			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	3	10	2	0	1	0	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.64			
370 I attended class regularly.	12	4	1	0	0	0	4.79	4.67	4.83	4.92			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	3	7	5	2	0	0	3.71	3.92	4.17	4.50			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1

Max was an excellent GSI. He explained things clearly and thoroughly, and always helped clarify any questions we had from lecture.



Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 107

Student 2
NA

Student 3
one of the best instructors i've had at this school

Student 4
NA

Student 5
NA

Student 6
Without Max, this class would have been impossible.

Student 7
Max was a fantastic GSI and I would have been completely lost if he hadn't broken down Miles's sometimes rambling lectures. He made everything easy to understand by making handouts and being available in office hours. We need more GSI's like Max Kapustin.

Student 8
The instruction by Max Kapustin was incredible. I would have failed the class by just going to lectures (because they were so terrible).

Student 9
Max is a fantastic instructor. I found Professor Kimball to be very unclear and hard to follow but I credit much of my grade that I currently have directly to Max's teaching.

Student 10
One of the most helpful discussion sections I have had at the university. Max made me want to come to his section. He is a great GSI.

Student 11
Max was a great GSI, he explains the material very clearly and probably saved my grade this semester. I'm very glad I ended up in his section. He created extra study materials and was always well prepared for class

Student 12
Max did an amazing job covering up for all the faults that Miles had. Max was able to explain the material and connect it to previous concepts. Max was by far the most positive element of this course.

Student 13
NA

Student 14
Very good. Explained things in a very colloquial and understandable manner.

Student 15
My ratings here are w/ respect to the discussion section specifically. Max was great in every way and instrumental in allowing me to make sense of what Professor Kimball did not get across in his lectures(which was quite a bit). I can't say enough about him, I think our entire class was in agreement that we would have been better off with him teaching the lecture as well. Zero complaints/criticisms.

Student 16
Max was the best GSI i have had thus far in college. without max, i don't think anyone would know anything about economics.



University of Michigan
Office of the Registrar - Evaluations
ro.umich.edu/evals/

Fall 2011 Final

17 students responded out of the total enrolled 33

Instructor with Comments Report

2011-12-02 - 2011-12-14 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max

ECON 102 107

Student 17

NA

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2011 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

2012-04-06 - 2012-04-18 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 209

		Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
		5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
									75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1	Overall, this was an excellent course.	6	4	0	0	0	0	4.67	3.92	4.27	4.70	3.67	4.06	4.40
2	Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.13	4.60	4.85	4.00	4.38	4.71
3	I learned a great deal from this course.	8	2	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.00	4.33	4.70	3.80	4.13	4.43
4	I had a strong desire to take this course.	5	3	2	0	0	0	4.50	3.63	4.13	4.60	3.60	4.00	4.25
120	I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	7	2	1	0	0	0	4.79	4.00	4.30	4.64			
121	I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	8	1	0	1	0	0	4.88	3.94	4.19	4.50			
143	I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	7	0	3	0	0	0	4.79	3.75	4.06	4.50			
185	The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	5	3	2	0	0	0	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.79			
199	The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.00	4.50	4.79			
200	The instructor handled questions well.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.00	4.50	4.79			
206	The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.50	4.81	4.94			
209	The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	8	1	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.00	4.40	4.71			
210	The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	8	2	0	0	0	0	4.88	3.88	4.39	4.75			
215	The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	8	2	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.25	4.70	4.89			
217	The instructor treated students with respect.	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.86	4.50	4.78	4.92			
219	The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	8	2	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.33	4.69	4.86			
223	Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	8	1	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.25	4.60	4.80			
230	The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	7	3	0	0	0	0	4.79	4.30	4.67	4.86			
231	The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	8	1	0	1	0	0	4.88	4.00	4.33	4.64			
241	The instructor set high standards for students.	6	4	0	0	0	0	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.75			
327	Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	6	0	2	1	0	1	4.75	3.50	3.89	4.27			
330	Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	5	4	1	0	0	0	4.50	4.00	4.17	4.50			
340	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	4	2	3	1	0	0	4.00	3.38	4.00	4.43			
350	Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	6	1	0	2	0	1	4.75	3.92	4.25	4.63			
356	Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	5	4	0	0	1	0	4.50	4.00	4.30	4.67			
360	Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	4	3	1	1	1	0	4.17	3.75	4.06	4.50			
364	The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	5	3	0	2	0	0	4.50	3.75	4.06	4.42			
366	The grading system was clearly explained.	5	5	0	0	0	0	4.50	4.00	4.33	4.67			
370	I attended class regularly.	8	0	2	0	0	0	4.88	4.62	4.80	4.90			
371	I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	5	4	1	0	0	0	4.50	3.90	4.17	4.50			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
NA



Instructor with Comments Report

2012-04-06 - 2012-04-18 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 209

Student 2

Superior. Epitome of what a class at U of M should be, both the Lecture and the Discussion. Very knowledgeable and helpful GSI who thoroughly understood and explained material and most importantly, knew how to explain complex topics with ease

Student 3

Alright lecture. I did learn a great amount of information and concepts. My GSI Max Kapustin was awesome. He was extremely helpful and a fantastic teacher. I think he was better than the professor, to be honest. He was always willing to help me out and go above and beyond to make sure I understood the material as much as possible. On one of my article response homework problems, he and I investigated the legitimacy of claims made in an Op-Ed piece in the Wall Street Journal and came to some really interesting conclusions. He is a huge reason why I was able to do well in this course.

Student 4

NA

Student 5

NA

Student 6

NA

Student 7

Max was a great GSI. He addressed material covered in lecture and worked to ensure we understood the material that was covered. He spoke at a pace that was lucid and feasible for students to understand.

Student 8

NA

Student 9

I really enjoyed learning from Max. He did a great job of boiling down what Adam was teaching to it's bare bones materials to help his students understand it. Also has a nice beard. No homo.

Student 10

NA

* The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2012 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 109

		Responses from your Students**						Other Users of This Item*						
								University Wide			School/College			
		5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1	Overall, this was an excellent course.	12	6	0	0	1	0	4.71	3.95	4.33	4.72	3.83	4.10	4.50
2	Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	20	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.15	4.61	4.85	4.00	4.50	4.72
3	I learned a great deal from this course.	13	6	0	0	0	0	4.77	4.00	4.40	4.75	3.88	4.17	4.50
4	I had a strong desire to take this course.	9	5	3	0	1	1	4.50	3.64	4.17	4.63	3.67	4.00	4.33
120	I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	12	7	0	0	0	0	4.71	4.00	4.30	4.67			
121	I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	12	7	0	0	0	0	4.71	3.96	4.22	4.57			
143	I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	9	6	1	3	0	0	4.42	3.75	4.17	4.62			
185	The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	17	1	0	0	0	2	4.97	4.25	4.56	4.79			
199	The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	18	2	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.00	4.50	4.79			
200	The instructor handled questions well.	18	2	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.00	4.50	4.80			
206	The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	17	3	0	0	0	0	4.91	4.32	4.71	4.90			
209	The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	18	2	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.00	4.42	4.71			
210	The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	17	3	0	0	0	0	4.91	3.95	4.50	4.75			
215	The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	15	4	1	0	0	0	4.83	4.27	4.68	4.88			
217	The instructor treated students with respect.	18	2	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.56	4.79	4.90			
219	The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	17	2	0	0	0	1	4.94	4.50	4.73	4.88			
223	Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	14	3	1	0	0	1	4.86	4.25	4.61	4.80			
230	The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	18	2	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.33	4.69	4.86			
231	The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	11	7	0	0	0	0	4.68	4.05	4.38	4.67			
241	The instructor set high standards for students.	16	3	1	0	0	0	4.88	4.20	4.54	4.77			
327	Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	4	3	6	3	1	1	3.25	3.54	4.00	4.33			
330	Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	9	8	1	0	0	1	4.50	4.00	4.33	4.70			
340	The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	10	5	3	0	0	1	4.60	3.33	4.00	4.50			
350	Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	7	7	3	1	0	1	4.21	4.00	4.25	4.60			
356	Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	8	9	0	1	0	1	4.39	4.10	4.38	4.67			
360	Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	4	8	3	3	0	1	3.88	3.87	4.14	4.50			
364	The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	5	5	4	4	0	1	3.70	3.80	4.08	4.45			
366	The grading system was clearly explained.	10	8	0	1	0	0	4.55	4.00	4.39	4.67			
370	I attended class regularly.	11	7	1	0	0	0	4.64	4.67	4.82	4.92			
371	I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	9	6	1	2	0	0	4.50	4.00	4.20	4.50			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
discussion professor was great, lecture was boring

Date Printed: 12/13/2014 18:50:51 PM



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 109

Student 2

NA

Student 3

Max was incredibly helpful. Taught me more in 1 discussion a week than I did during lecture. Was very clear when explained concepts

Student 4

NA

Student 5

Although this has been a difficult course... max was amazing! he was so helpful and made the course enjoyable and clear.

Student 6

NA

Student 7

You were an amazing GSI! You did a great job with presenting the material and had the perfect balance of examples and content. I was able to fully understand class concepts after going to lecture. Thank you!

Student 8

Max reinforced lectures with his discussion classes; he would review the main concepts of the chapter, and used practice problems from other resources. Unfortunately we couldn't review all of the chapters covered in final exam during the final discussion class, because we had to finish covering 15 and 16, not Max's fault!., but still a pity!

Student 9

Max was the most helpful GSI I've had so far at Michigan. His discussion section ever week cleared up most confusing topics, and his review sessions during exam weeks were tremendously helpful.

Student 10

Max is a wonderful GSI. He is very knowledgeable and is able to present the information in an intriguing manner.

Student 11

NA

Student 12

Although the instructor (Dudley) for the overall class was a poor lecturer, the GSI Max Kapustin was top notch. Out of all the GSI's I have had at the University, Kapustin has been the best by far. He has a firm understanding of what is being taught, does a fantastic job of going over the material in discussion, and answers any questions students might have in an expert fashion. I greatly detested ECON 102, however Kapustin's excellent teaching methods carried me through the course and helped me understand the material much better. I would not change anything about this GSI's teaching method as I believe there is very little that could improve the quality of his instruction. It is a tragedy that there are not more GSIs at the University who are as skilled at teaching as Kapustin.

Student 13

Max did a great job breaking down what we learned in lecture and explaining complicated things in more detail. He is honestly the best GSI I have ever had. I learned so much from him. He always offers a ton of extra office hours right before exams. He is the best!

Student 14

Max was really helpful and a great GSI. He was always willing to meet and help out students. He was always prepared for class and really knowledgeable about the topic.

Student 15

Max did good job with discussion and I could actually understand what he was saying which is a plus



Instructor with Comments Report

2014-11-26 - 2014-12-11 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max

ECON 102 109

Student 16

Really was a great supplement to Lecture. Very helpful in further understanding concepts. I usually do not single out my GSI, but Max Kapustin was easily the best GSI that i have ever had at this University, He was very open to help any question i had, and really made lecture worthwhile. Whoever is reading this, I know Max is a PhD student, and I would do anything to keep him within the University, as he would be a really great asset to the Economics department.

Student 17

Most beneficial discussion section I have been a part of

Student 18

Max was the best GSI I have had at Umich so far. He was very good at communicating material clearly. He has a natural talent for teaching. It was obvious that he prepped materials to be taught before discussion. He made himself approachable and available. Very, very excellent GSI.

Student 19

NA

Student 20

Max was awesome.

* The quartiles are calculated from Fall 2014 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.



Instructor with Comments Report

2015-04-10 - 2015-04-24 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 109

	Responses from your Students**							Other Users of This Item*					
	5 SA	4 A	3 N	2 D	1 SD	NA	Your Median	University Wide			School/College		
								75% Above	50% Above	25% Above	75% Above	50% Above	25% Above
1 Overall, this was an excellent course.	4	2	2	1	0	0	4.25	3.90	4.30	4.70	3.75	4.10	4.50
2 Overall, the instructor was an excellent teacher.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.13	4.59	4.85	4.00	4.43	4.75
3 I learned a great deal from this course.	4	5	0	0	0	0	4.40	4.00	4.38	4.75	3.83	4.13	4.50
4 I had a strong desire to take this course.	3	2	2	1	1	0	3.75	3.55	4.13	4.63	3.50	3.90	4.25
120 I learned a good deal of factual material in this course.	4	4	1	0	0	0	4.38	3.92	4.28	4.64			
121 I gained a good understanding of concepts/principles in this field.	5	4	0	0	0	0	4.60	4.00	4.25	4.56			
143 I was stimulated to discuss related topics outside of class.	4	1	3	0	0	1	4.50	3.50	4.08	4.50			
185 The instructor was sensitive to multicultural issues in the classroom.	7	2	1	0	0	0	4.79	4.15	4.50	4.75			
199 The instructor explained material clearly and understandably.	10	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.10	4.50	4.81			
200 The instructor handled questions well.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.13	4.58	4.81			
206 The instructor seemed to enjoy teaching.	7	2	0	1	0	0	4.79	4.35	4.71	4.90			
209 The instructor was not confused by unexpected questions.	8	2	0	0	0	0	4.88	4.00	4.38	4.70			
210 The instructor was skillful in observing student reactions.	7	2	1	0	0	0	4.79	4.00	4.48	4.75			
215 The instructor maintained an atmosphere of good feeling in class.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.25	4.70	4.88			
217 The instructor treated students with respect.	10	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.56	4.79	4.92			
219 The instructor was willing to meet and help students outside class.	9	1	0	0	0	0	4.94	4.38	4.72	4.88			
223 Students in this course were free to disagree and ask questions.	5	4	0	0	0	0	4.60	4.24	4.61	4.82			
230 The instructor seemed well prepared for each class.	10	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	4.36	4.71	4.88			
231 The objectives of the course were clearly explained.	5	4	0	0	0	0	4.60	4.00	4.33	4.65			
241 The instructor set high standards for students.	6	4	0	0	0	0	4.67	4.25	4.57	4.79			
327 Reading assignments were interesting and stimulating.	4	2	2	1	0	0	4.25	3.50	4.00	4.35			
330 Reading assignments were relevant to what was presented in class.	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.75	4.00	4.38	4.71			
340 The textbook made a valuable contribution to the course.	5	4	0	0	0	0	4.60	3.36	4.00	4.50			
350 Slides/overhead transparencies were a valuable part of this course.	2	3	0	1	0	3	4.17	3.90	4.25	4.63			
356 Examinations covered the important aspects of the course.	3	3	0	1	1	1	4.17	4.06	4.37	4.70			
360 Exams were reasonable in length and difficulty.	3	2	1	0	2	1	4.00	3.80	4.13	4.50			
364 The test items were adequately explained after a test was given.	3	2	1	3	0	0	3.75	3.75	4.07	4.44			
366 The grading system was clearly explained.	4	4	0	1	0	0	4.38	4.00	4.37	4.69			
370 I attended class regularly.	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.86	4.60	4.81	4.91			
371 I utilized all the learning opportunities provided in this course.	4	4	1	0	0	0	4.38	3.90	4.17	4.50			

Written Comments

900 Comment on the quality of instruction in this course.

Student 1
NA



Instructor with Comments Report

2015-04-10 - 2015-04-24 Report ID: MSR04734

Instructor: Kapustin,Max
ECON 102 109

Student 2

NA

Student 3

The instruction was fantastic. As a senior, I've had many discussions over the course of my time here and this section was very well done. I found discussion to be much more useful than lecture. I although I attended all but two discussions, I really liked the ability to email the homework. It definitely gave flexibility. I think it also improved the quality of the discussion because only those who wanted to be there were present. I think the pace of the discussion was perfect for most people in the class, but I thought it could be a little faster. Overall, this discussion was great.

Student 4

NA

Student 5

The homework problems were often much easier than the actual exam, and I felt myself in need of much more review questions for the exam. Max was an amazing GSI, he explained things clearly. Discussion always moved very fast, and I sometimes felt a little lost, but the notes made more sense after reviewing them on my own.

Student 6

I think more time to do the in class problems would have been useful. i felt rushed and even tho i need the explanation, doing it yourself is always a better way to learn

Student 7

Max is a great GSI, it sucks that he has to teach with this prof. The exams are way too hard. Max did his best, but the teaching and the exams don't line up.

Student 8

Overall a great class. Max is probably the best GSI I have ever had! He always gave really good explanations in discussion, was willing to meet with us outside of class to answer questions, and made discussion interesting by making jokes here and there.

Student 9

NA

Student 10

One of the greatest teachers I've ever had. I learned a great deal in discussion.

* The quartiles are calculated from Winter 2015 data. The university-wide quartiles are based on all UM classes in which an item was used. The school/college quartiles in this report are based on lower division classes with an enrollment of 16 to 74 students in Division of Social Sciences in the College of LS&A.

** SA - Strongly Agree, A - Agree, N - Neutral, D - Disagree, SD - Strongly Disagree, NA - Not Applicable.