

EEE4113F Literature Review



Prepared by:

Karan Abraham

Lundi Mabasa

Mpilo Nxasana

Michael Van Staden

Prepared for:

EEE4113F

Department of Electrical Engineering

University of Cape Town

March 17, 2024

Declaration

1. I know that plagiarism is wrong. Plagiarism is to use another's work and pretend that it is one's own.
2. I have used the IEEE convention for citation and referencing. Each contribution to, and quotation in, this report from the work(s) of other people has been attributed, and has been cited and referenced.
3. This report is my own work.
4. I have not allowed, and will not allow, anyone to copy my work with the intention of passing it off as their own work or part thereof.



March 17, 2024

Karan Abraham

Date



March 17, 2024

Lundi Mabasa

Date



March 17, 2024

Mpilo Nxasana

Date



March 17, 2024

Michael Van Staden

Date

Contents

List of Figures	1
1 Problem Statement	2
2 Literature Review	3
2.1 Current Weighing Methods	3
2.1.1 Spring Scales	3
2.1.2 Electronic Scales	3
2.1.3 Perching Scales	3
2.2 Data Transmission and User Interface	4
Bibliography	6

List of Figures

2.1 Overall Performance of each Protocol [1] 4

Chapter 1

Problem Statement

Insert cool quote here

—*Us*

Sally, a researcher at the FitzPatrick Institute, needs a way to weigh the red-winged starlings without startling them because interestingly they record their weight manually, using a kitchen scale.

Chapter 2

Literature Review

2.1 Current Weighing Methods

This section explores and evaluates the different weighing methods used in ornithological research today with a focus on smaller birds (those weighing less than 100g).

2.1.1 Spring Scales

Spring scales measure weight based on the extension of a spring when a force (the weight of the bird) is applied. Their main advantage, as described by Manolis [2], is that they are “relatively inexpensive and sufficiently portable to suffice for short-term field project[s]”. However, within the same study, the scale was only accurate to within 0,5g and when smaller birds can weigh less than 50g, spring scales may lack the precision for such research applications. Readings can also be influenced by factors such as calibration drift.

2.1.2 Electronic Scales

Electronic scales utilize load cells or strain gauges to convert the weight of the bird into an electronic signal, which can then be displayed on a digital screen. These scales offer precise measurements and are commonly used in both laboratory and field settings. This is shown in Carpenter et al. [3] paper where they were able to improve the precision of their measurements from 0,05g to 0,01g by simply replacing their spring scales with electronic ones. Another advantage over spring scales is that they do not have to be recalibrated after moving [3] and they tend to come with features such as taring functions to account for container weight or bird movements.

2.1.3 Perching Scales

Perching scales integrate a weighing platform into an artificial perch or nest. In Poole and Shoukimas’ [4] study, birds landing on perch would deflect a transducer (a metal beam with 4 strain gauges bonded to it), thus generating an electronic signal. Reid et al. [5] used artificial nests rigged with a load cell in much the same way. In both studies, these electronic signals would then be recorded via some kind of electronic storage medium. This meant the birds could be weighed remotely, which minimizes stress and reduces the risk of injury, making perching scales particularly useful for long-term monitoring studies or behavioural observations. However, for such long-term studies, researchers would need to

keep track of a large number of birds, which would also result in a large amount of data that needs to be stored.

Manolis [2] provides a solution to these issues by urging other researchers to make use of telemetric equipment. One such technology is Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) which enables researchers to track individual birds and record their weight automatically. Wang et al. [6] made use of RFID by attaching two transponders to each bird, which would be detected by antennas placed under the perches. When a tagged bird interacts with the RFID reader, its unique identifier and weight are recorded electronically. This makes the data much easier to organise. It also reduces the volume of data as the weight is only taken when the birds are on top of the perch, allowing researchers to collect data on a larger scale.

2.2 Data Transmission and User Interface

The client requires the weight data to be retrieved remotely. We need to figure out two things: where do we want the data to be sent, and how do we want it to be sent. This section investigates different options for transmitting data from a microprocessor. In a comparative performance study by Eridani et al., three protocols were compared: Bluetooth, Wi-Fi direct, and ESP-NOW (‘a new protocol that allows multiple devices to communicate with each other without the use of Wi-Fi, with low power consumption’ [1]). 5 metrics were used in the tests: maximum range, transmission speed, latency, power usage, and signal resistance to obstructions [1]. A brief summary of the performance of each protocol is shown below in Figure 2.1.




Figure 2.1: Overall Performance of each Protocol [1]

ESP-NOW performs best in range and latency; Bluetooth in power usage; and Wi-Fi in transmission speed. In our context, power usage would be most important. Bluetooth seems to provide sufficient range and speed.

The problem with this; however, is that connecting the system to the user’s phone requires effort and perhaps expertise that the user may not have. In this case, connecting the system to the internet may be a better option (if internet connection is available, i.e. if eduroam is in range).

Budoyo and Andriana used the internet when designing a prototype of a digital scale to measure the weight of onions. [7]. They interfaced the microcontroller (an ATmega2560) to the internet using an ESP8266 Wi-Fi module. The weight data is sent to a website where it is stored in a database.

2.3 Challenges and Considerations

While modern weighing methods offer significant advantages in terms of accuracy, convenience, and animal welfare, researchers must consider several factors when selecting the most appropriate technique for their study.

2.3.1 Size and Species

The size and behaviour of the target bird species may influence the suitability of different weighing methods. Some birds may become skittish around researchers which would make measurements unreliable. In Manolis’ case [2], they had to use binoculars to take readings of the scale from afar; an inconvenience that is entirely removed from the solutions presented by Poole Shoukimas [4] and Reid et al. [5]. Smaller birds may require scales with higher precision, while larger species may benefit from perching scale systems capable of handling multiple individuals simultaneously.

2.3.2 Environmental Conditions

Field studies often expose equipment to challenging environmental conditions. For example, Manolis [2] had to keep swaying to a minimum to get accurate readings, hence spring scales would not be suitable in windy conditions. Rain can seriously damage electronic components so it was important for Reid et al. [5] to house the amplifier unit in “a small water-resistant case with a sealed connection to the data logger cable”. Researchers must choose weighing methods that are robust and reliable under these circumstances, with weather-resistant features where necessary.

2.3.3 Ethical Considerations

Ethical guidelines emphasize the importance of minimizing stress and harm to the animals being studied. This does not necessarily mean all researchers must prioritize the use of non-invasive weighing techniques such as perch scale, as these have their considerations. The impact of RFID tags on the birds like those used by Wang et al. [6] must be taken into account. There are also methods of making more traditional weighing techniques less invasive, such as Manolis [5] using sunflower seeds to entice the birds to land on the scale. No one weighing technique is superior to another in this regard. It is care that researchers implore in their methodology that will decide if the birds make it to the end of the study unharmed.

Bibliography

- [1] D. Eridani, A. F. Rochim, and F. N. Cesara, “Comparative performance study of esp-now, wi-fi, bluetooth protocols based on range, transmission speed, latency, energy usage and barrier resistance,” in *2021 international seminar on application for technology of information and communication (iSemantic)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 322–328.
- [2] T. Manoils and A. Manolis, “A simple technique for weighing birds at feeders without recapture,” *North American Bird Bander*, vol. 6, no. 3, p. 4, 2024.
- [3] F. L. Carpenter, D. C. Paton, and M. A. Hixon, “Weight gain and adjustment of feeding territory size in migrant hummingbirds,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 80, no. 23, pp. 7259–7263, 1983.
- [4] A. Poole, “A scale for weighing birds at habitual perches,” *Journal of Field Ornithology*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 409–414, 1982.
- [5] K. Reid, G. M. Liddle, P. A. Prince, and J. P. Croxall, “Measurement of chick provisioning in antarctic prions pachyptila desolata using an automated weighing system,” *Journal of Avian Biology*, pp. 127–134, 1999.
- [6] K. Wang, K. Liu, H. Xin, L. Chai, Y. Wang, T. Fei, J. Oliveira, J. Pan, and Y. Ying, “An rfid-based automated individual perching monitoring system for group-housed poultry,” *Transactions of the ASABE*, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 695–704, 2019.
- [7] Y. Budoyo and A. D. Andriana, “The digital weight scale of iot system using load cell sensor in ud. pangrukti tani,” *Jurnal Ilmiah Komputer dan Informatika (KOMPUTA)*.