

$$\begin{aligned}
 \textcircled{1} \quad P(N_1=k_1, N_2=k_2, \dots, N_n=k_n \mid N_I=k) &= \frac{P(N_1=k_1, N_2=k_2, \dots, N_n=k_n, N_I=k)}{P(N_I=k)} \\
 &= \frac{P(N_1=k_1, N_2=k_2, \dots, N_n=k_n)}{P(N_I=k)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{P(N_I=k)} \prod_{i=1}^n P(N_i=k_i) \quad (\text{independence}) \\
 &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{(c_i \lambda)^{k_i} e^{-c_i \lambda}}{k_i!}}{(c \lambda)^k e^{-c \lambda}} \quad (\text{if } N_i \sim P(c_i \lambda)) \\
 &= \frac{k!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_n!} \left(\frac{c_1}{c}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{c_2}{c}\right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\frac{c_n}{c}\right)^{k_n} \\
 &= \binom{k}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \left(\frac{c_1}{c}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{c_2}{c}\right)^{k_2} \dots \left(\frac{c_n}{c}\right)^{k_n}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\textcircled{+}$  proof of multinomial distri.

\* Multinomial Distribution (Throw an  $n$ -sided die  $k$  times, where side  $i$  comes up with probability  $p_i = c_i/c$ .  $\textcircled{+}$  denotes the probability that side  $i$  comes up  $k_i$  times  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ .)

$\textcircled{2}$  Given  $X \sim U(0,1)$  and  $Y \sim U(0,1)$ ;  $X \perp Y$ .

The joint density of  $X$  and  $Y$  is

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1, \\ 0, & \text{o/w.} \end{cases}$$

Take  $U = XY$ ,  $V = X$

$$h_1(x,y) = xy, \quad h_2(x,y) = x$$

$$h_1^{-1}(u,v) = v, \quad h_2^{-1}(u,v) = u/v$$

$$J = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{v} & -\frac{u}{v^2} \end{vmatrix} = -\frac{1}{v}$$

As  $x \in (0,1)$  and  $y \in (0,1) \Rightarrow u \in (0,1), v \in (0,1)$

So, the joint of  $U, V$  is

$$f_{U,V}(u,v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{v} & , 0 < v < 1, 0 < u < v, (\text{same as } 0 < u < v < 1) \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the marginal of  $U$  is given by

$$f_U(u) = \int_u^1 \frac{1}{v} dv, \quad 0 < u < 1$$

$$= -\ln u, \quad 0 < u < 1$$

So,  $f_U(u) = \begin{cases} -\ln u & , 0 < u < 1, \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases}$

⑥ Take  $U = \frac{X}{Y}, V = X$ . So,  $h_1(x,y) = \frac{x}{y}, h_2(x,y) = x$

$$\Rightarrow h_1^{-1}(u,v) = v, h_2^{-1}(u,v) = \frac{v}{u} \quad J = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{v}{u^2} & \frac{1}{u} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{v}{u^2}$$

The joint of  $U, V$  is

$$f_{U,V}(u,v) = \begin{cases} \frac{v}{u^2} & , 0 < v < u, 0 < v < 1, \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

So, the marginal of  $U$  is

$$f_U(u) = \int_0^u \frac{v}{u^2} dv, \quad 0 < u < 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{and } f_U(u) = \int_0^1 \frac{v}{u^2} dv, \quad u > 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2u^2}$$

Hence,

$$f_U(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & , 0 < u < 1, \\ \frac{1}{2u^2} & , u > 1, \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

③ The joint p.m.f. of  $(X, Y)$  is given as

$Y \backslash X$	-1	0	1	$f_Y(y)$
-2	$1/6$	$1/12$	$1/6$	$5/12$
1	$1/6$	$1/12$	$1/6$	$5/12$
2	$1/12$	0	$1/12$	$2/12$
$f_X(x)$	$5/12$	$2/12$	$5/12$	1

Are  $X, Y$  independent?  
(No, why?)

Let  $U = |X|$ ,  $V = Y^2$  :  $S_U = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $S_V = \{1, 4\}$

The joint p.m.f. of  $(U, V)$  is

$V \backslash U$	0	1	$f_V(v)$
1	$1/12$	$2/6$	$5/12$
4	$1/12$	$6/12$	$7/12$
$f_U(u)$	$2/12$	$10/12$	1

Are  $U, V$  independent?  
(No, why?)

④ Given  $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ . Then the joint density of  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is given by

$$f_{X_1, X_2}(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda(x_1 + x_2)}, & x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0 \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

Let  $Y_1 = X_1$ ,  $Y_2 = X_1 + X_2$ . So,  $h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ ,  $h_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$

So,  $h_1^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = y_1$ ,  $h_2^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = y_2 - y_1$   
 $y_1 > 0$  and  $y_2 - y_1 > 0$ .  $J = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1$

The joint pdf. of  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  is

$$f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y_2} & , y_1 > 0, y_2 > y_1 \quad (\text{or } y_2 > y_1 > 0) \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

The marginal pdf of  $Y_2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Y_2}(y_2) &= \int_0^{y_2} f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) dy_1, \quad y_2 > 0. \\ &= \int_0^{y_2} \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y_2} dy_1 = \lambda^2 y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2}, \quad y_2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$f_{Y_2}(y_2) = \begin{cases} \lambda^2 y_2 e^{-\lambda y_2} & , y_2 > 0, \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow Y_2 \sim \text{GAM}(2, \lambda)$  (a gamma rv)

The conditional pdf  $f_{Y_1|Y_2}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Y_1|Y_2}(y_1|y_2) &= \begin{cases} f_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) & , 0 < y_1 < y_2, \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y_2} & , 0 < y_1 < y_2, \\ 0 & , \text{o/w} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore f_{Y_1|Y_2=1}(y_1|y_2=1) = 1.$$

⑤ a) Given, Asset's Gain  $R \sim N(7, 10^2)$

The bank becomes insolvent if  $R \leq -5$

$$P(R \leq -5) = P\left(\frac{R-7}{10} \leq \frac{-5-7}{10}\right) = \Phi(-1.2) \\ = 1 - \Phi(1.2) \approx 0.115$$

Thus, by investing in just this one asset, the bank has a 11.5% chance of becoming insolvent.

⑥ Given,  $i$ th Asset's gain  $R_i \sim N(7, 10^2)$  and  $R_i$ 's are independent  $i=1, 2, \dots, 20$ .

$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} R_i}{20} \quad (\text{Sample mean})$$

$$\Rightarrow R \sim N\left(7, \frac{100}{20}\right) = N(7, 5)$$

$$P(R \leq -5) = P\left(\frac{R-7}{\sqrt{5}} \leq \frac{-5-7}{\sqrt{5}}\right) = \Phi(-5.367) \\ = 1 - \Phi(5.367) \\ \approx 0.00000000439 \\ (= 4.39 \times 10^{-8})$$

Thus, by diversifying and assuming that the 20 assets have independent gains, the bank has seemingly decreased its probability of becoming insolvent to a palatable value.

⑦ Given,  $R_i \sim N(7, 10^2)$  and  $\rho(R_i, R_j) = 1/2$   $i \neq j$   $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 20\}$ .

$i=1, 2, \dots, 20,$

for  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\text{Cov}(R_i, R_j) = \rho(R_i, R_j) \sqrt{\text{Var}(R_i) \text{Var}(R_j)} \\ = \frac{1}{2} \times \sqrt{10^2 \times 10^2} = 50.$$

Given  $R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20} R_i}{20} \sim N(7, \text{Var}(R))$

Also,

$$\text{Var}(R) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{R_1 + R_2 + \dots + R_{20}}{20}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{400} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{20} R_i\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{400} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{20} \text{Var}(R_i) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 20} \text{Cov}(R_i, R_j) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{400} \left[ 20 \times 100 + \binom{20}{2} \cdot 50 \right] = 52.5$$

$$\therefore R \sim N(7, 52.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(R \leq -5) &= P\left(\frac{R-7}{\sqrt{52.5}} \leq \frac{-5-7}{\sqrt{52.5}}\right) = \Phi(-1.656) \\ &= 1 - \Phi(1.656) \\ &\approx 0.0488 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by taking into account the positive correlation between the assets' gains, we are no longer as comfortable with the probability of insolvency as we thought we were in part (b).

---