

KNAPSACK Problem

Outline

- Definitions of PTAS, FPTAS
- α -approximation Algorithm for Knapsack
- PTAS/FPTAS for Knapsack

Recap

defn: (for minimization problem)

ALG is a P -approximation, for some $P > 1$,

if $\text{ALG}(I) \leq P \text{OPT}(I)$ for all inputs I

We want P to close to 1.

For example,

VERTEX COVER

- 2-approximation algorithm is possible
- 1.361-approximation algorithm not possible unless $P=NP$.

So we cannot get really close to $P=1$.

But for some problems we can get arbitrarily close

to $P=1$.

Polynomial-time approximation schemes (PTAS)

Algorithm Alg with input

- Instance I of the Problem
- Parameter $\epsilon > 0$

Alg is PTAS if (for minimization problems)

- ① $\text{Alg}(I, \epsilon) \leq (1+\epsilon) \text{OPT}(I)$ for all inputs I, ϵ .
- ② running time is polynomial in size of input instance I for every fixed ϵ .

The running time of the algorithm should be

polynomial in n , its dependency on ϵ can be

exponential.

If the dependency on the parameter $1/\epsilon$ is also

polynomial then we call it as fully polynomial time approximation scheme (FPTAS)

Eg: $O\left(\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^4 n^2\right)$ (or) $O\left(2^{\frac{1}{\epsilon}} n \log n\right)$ (or) $O\left(n^{2/\epsilon}\right)$ (allowed)

Polynomial in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$
 \downarrow FPTAS

not Polynomial in $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$

- if the dependency

$O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} 2^n\right)$ (not allowed)

Alg is PTAS if

maximization
(for ~~minimization~~ Problems)

① $\text{Alg}(I, \epsilon) \geq \frac{(1-\epsilon)}{(1+\epsilon)} \text{OPT}(I)$ for all inputs I, ϵ .

② running time is Polynomial in Size of input instance I .

Knapsack Problem (0-1)

Recap:

A thief is robbing a store and can carry a maximal weight of W into his knapsack.

There are n items available in the store and

weight of i^{th} item is w_i and its value is v_i .

The problem is to choose a subset of the items

of maximum total value that will fit in the

knapsack.

Remark: We have looked at this problem while

dealing with Dynamic Programming in Algorithms I.

Running time : $O(nW)$

Where n : # of items

W : knapsack capacity.

Example: $W = 45$

item	weight	value
1	10	20
2	20	65
3	30	50

Soln: Pick item 1 and item 2

$$\text{total weight} = 10 + 20 = 30 \leq 45$$

$$\text{Total Value} = 20 + 65 = 85$$

Remark: The Knapsack Problem is NP-hard.

(when W is arbitrary)

[SUBSET SUM Problem is a special case of Knapsack Problem,

when $v_i = w_i$ for all i]

* We delete all items with weight $w_i > w$ as
they cannot be considered by any solution.

Next we look at a 2-approximation algo for

0-1 knapsack.

This is a maximization Problem, we want to
design an algorithm Alg such that

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{I}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{OPT}(\mathcal{I}) \quad \text{for every i/p instance } \mathcal{I}$$

(a) Consider items in order of their value

and add to the set I till the weight is not exceeded. (greedy idea)

Eg: $w_n = W, v_n = W$ [This greedy idea can be really bad]

all other items value $v_i = W-1, w_i = 1$

The item of maximum value is item n which alone fills the knapsack.

However, by taking many of the other items, we can take as many as $\min\{n-1, W\}$ items

each of value $v_i = W-1$ reaching a much higher total value.

(b) Consider items in decreasing order of their density $d_i = \frac{v_i}{w_i}$

and add to the set I till the weight is

not exceeded.

This greedy choice
can also be very bad.

Eg: $w_1 = v_1 = 1$

$w_2 = 1, v_2 = 1 + \epsilon$

density $d_1 = 1, d_2 = 1 + \epsilon$

$\therefore d_2 > d_1$

After fitting item 2 in the knapsack, item 1 no longer fits, so we end up with a total value $1 + \epsilon$, while optimal value is W .

Claim: Running both ② and ⑥ greedy algorithm

above, and taking the solution higher value is
a 2-approximation algorithm.

Proof:- let V_a & V_b be the values achieved by
greedy Algorithms ② & ⑥ respectively.

let OPT denote the maximum possible value.

For algorithm ⑥ let I be the set of
items packed into the knapsack.

let j be the first item that didn't fit
into the knapsack.

Clearly $\sum_{i \in I} v_i = V_b$ and $v_j \leq V_a$

as algo ② starts by
taking the single item of maximum value

Claim: $\sum_{i \in I} v_i + v_j \geq OPT$

(ie, $v_a + v_b \geq OPT$, so the larger of
 v_a & v_b must be at least $\frac{1}{2}$ of OPT)

Proof of the Claim :-

Suppose if we could cut a part of the last item so that it exactly fills the knapsack, that would be the optimum soln if taking a partial item was allowed.

(ie, optimal soln to the fractional knapsack)

$$\text{ie, } \sum_{i \in I} v_i + v_j \geq OPT \text{ of fractional knapsack}$$
$$\geq OPT.$$

DP Algorithm for Knapsack [Assume all input values are integers]

Input: $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of n items

- $\text{Weight}(x_i)$
- $\text{Value}(x_i)$
- W Positive integer

Positive integers

Goal: Find a subset $S \subseteq X$ whose total value is maximized under the condition that its total weight is at most W .

Notation: For a subset $S \subseteq X$

$$-\text{Weight}(S) = \sum_{x_i \in S} \text{Weight}(x_i)$$

$$-\text{Value}(S) = \sum_{x_i \in S} \text{Value}(x_i)$$

- $S_i = \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ = first i items in X .

$$V_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{x_i \in X} \text{Value}(x_i) = \text{total value of all items}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq j \leq V_{\text{tot}}$ define

$$A[i, j] = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{weight}(S) : S \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_i\} \text{ and} \\ \text{value}(S) = j \end{array} \right\}$$

In other words,

$A[i, j]$ denotes the minimum possible weight of any subset S of the first i items such that $\text{value}(S)$ is exactly j .

When there is no subset $S \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ & value exactly j then we define $A[i, j] = \infty$.

$$\text{OPT} := \max \{ j : 0 \leq j \leq V_{\text{tot}} \text{ and } A[n, j] \leq w \}$$

If we can compute all values $A[i, j]$ then we can compute OPT .

As usual in DP, the values $A[i,j]$ are computed bottom-up by filling in a table.

Recursive formula for $A[i,j]$ is given as follows.

$$A[i,j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j=0 \\ \infty & \text{if } i=0, j>0 \\ A[i-1,j] & \text{if } i>0 \& 0 < j < \text{value}(x_i) \\ \min \{ A[i-1,j], A[i-1,j - \text{value}(x_i)] + \text{weight}(x_i) \} & \text{if } i>0 \& j \geq \text{value}(x_i) \end{cases}$$

We call the above DP algorithm as

"Integer Value Knapsack (n, w)"

Theorem: Suppose all values in a KNAPSACK instance are integers. Then the problem can be solved in $O(n \cdot V_{\text{tot}})$ time, where $V_{\text{tot}} = \text{Value}(X)$ is the total value of all items.

An FPTAS for Knapsack

To apply the above result when Values are arbitrarily large and need not even be integers

We apply the following strategy:

We replace each Value(x_i) by a Value * (x_i)

and then compute an optimal subset for these new values using the algorithm from the previous section.

To make the above idea work, Values Value^* should satisfy the following three Conditions:

(i) Each $\text{Value}^*(x_i)$ should be an integer, so that the algorithm from the previous section can be applied.

(ii) the sum $\sum_i \text{Value}^*(x_i)$ should be Sufficiently Small ie, Polynomial in n , so that the algorithm from the previous section will run in Polynomial time

(iii) Each $\text{Value}^*(x_i)$ should be Sufficiently close to $\text{Value}(x_i)$ so that the error we make by working with Value^* is Under Control.

We know that each $\text{Value}(x_i)$ is a positive real number.

We Partition \mathbb{R}^+ into intervals of length Δ ,

where Δ is a parameter that we will select later.

Thus we cover \mathbb{R}^+ with $(0, \Delta], (\Delta, 2\Delta], \dots$ etc.

Then we replace each $\text{Value}(x_i)$ by the value j

such that $\text{Value}(x_i)$ lies in the j^{th} interval

$$((j-1)\Delta, j\Delta]$$

Formally

$$\text{Value}^*(x_i) = \left\lceil \frac{\text{Value}(x_i)}{\Delta} \right\rceil$$

Next, we need to select Δ such that we

also satisfy Conditions (ii) & (iii).

Hence the error in the individual values does not increase & intuitively Condition (ii) satisfied

Condition (ii)

$$\text{Value}^*(x_i) \leq \left\lceil \frac{\max_i \text{Value}(x_i)}{\Delta} \right\rceil$$

$$\leq \left\lceil \frac{\max_i \text{Value}(x_i)}{\frac{(\epsilon/n)\sqrt{B}}{\epsilon}} \right\rceil$$
$$\leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\epsilon} \right\rceil$$

i.e., $\sum_i \text{Value}^*(x_i) = O\left(\frac{n^2}{\epsilon}\right)$

\therefore Condition (ii) is satisfied.

Picking Δ

To obtain PTAS we must compute a solution whose total value is at least $(1-\epsilon) \text{OPT}$.

That is the total error of the solution should be at most $\epsilon \cdot \text{OPT}$.

If the error in an individual value is less than Δ , then the total error of the solution must be $n\Delta$ (as we have n items).

\therefore We want to choose Δ such that

$$n\Delta \leq \epsilon \cdot \text{OPT} \Rightarrow \Delta \leq \frac{\epsilon}{n} \text{OPT}$$

\therefore Pick $\Delta := \frac{\epsilon}{n} \cdot \text{OPT}$ - ①

However, we do not know OPT , so our algorithm cannot set Δ according to ①.

To overcome this problem, we use suitable lower bound LB instead of OPT

We have

$$OPT \geq \max_i \text{Value}(x_i)$$

$$\therefore \text{We set } \Delta := \frac{\epsilon}{n} LB \leq \frac{\epsilon}{n} OPT$$

$$\text{Where } LB = \max_i \text{Value}(x_i).$$

By using LB instead of OPT, the interval size Δ can only become smaller.

Algorithm

Knapsack-FPTAS(X, W, ε)

- 1: Set $\text{LB} \leftarrow \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \text{value}(x_i)$ and $\Delta \leftarrow (\varepsilon/n) \cdot \text{LB}$.
- 2: For all $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\text{value}^*(x_i) \leftarrow \left\lceil \frac{\text{value}(x_i)}{\Delta} \right\rceil$.
- 3: Compute a subset $S^* \subset X$ of maximum value and total weight at most W with algorithm *IntegerValueKnapsack*, using the new values $\text{value}^*(x_i)$ instead of $\text{value}(x_i)$.
- 4: **return** S^*

To prove that algorithm is a PTAS we need
to show

① Output is Valid : Selected Subset S must have
total weight $\leq W$ [Trivial: we did not change the
weight of the items]

② output of the algorithm $\geq (1-\epsilon) \text{OPT}$

③ Running time is polynomial in n

Analysis of the Approximation Ratio

S = Computed Subset, which is optimal for value*

S_{opt} = Optimal subset for original values

To Show

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Goal: } \text{Value}(S) &\geq (1-\epsilon) \text{ Value}(S_{opt}) \\ &= (1-\epsilon) OPT.\end{aligned}$$

Few observations

① Computed Subset S is optimal for Value^* :

$$\text{Value}^*(S) \geq \text{Value}^*(S_{\text{opt}})$$

② Relation between Value & Value^*

$$\text{Value}^*(x_i) = \left\lceil \frac{\text{Value}(x_i)}{\Delta} \right\rceil$$

Where $\Delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \cdot LB$ and $LB = \max_i \text{Value}(x_i) \leq OPT$

$$\frac{\text{Value}(x_i)}{\Delta} \leq \text{Value}^*(x_i) \leq \frac{\text{Value}(x_i)}{\Delta} + 1$$

$$\text{Value}(S) = \sum_{x_i \in S} \text{value}(x_i)$$

$$\geq \sum_{x_i \in S} (\Delta \text{value}^*(x_i) - 1)$$

$$= \Delta \left(\sum_{x_i \in S} \text{value}^*(x_i) \right) - |S| \cdot \Delta$$

$$\geq \Delta \cdot \left(\sum_{x_i \in S_{\text{opt}}} \text{value}^*(x_i) \right) - n \cdot \Delta$$

$$\geq \sum_{x_i \in S_{\text{opt}}} \text{value}(x_i) - n \Delta$$

$$= \text{OPT} - n \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{n} LB$$

$$= \text{OPT} - \varepsilon LB \quad \begin{cases} \text{we know} \\ LB \leq \text{OPT} \end{cases}$$

$$\geq \text{OPT} - \varepsilon \text{OPT}$$

$$\text{Value}(S) = (1 - \varepsilon) \text{OPT}$$

Running time

Step 1: Const time to Compute LB

Step 2: $O(n)$ time to Compute Value^*

Step 3: int value knapsack DP runs in $O(n V_{\text{tot}})$

$$\Delta = \frac{\epsilon}{n} \cdot \text{LB}$$

$$V_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{x_i \in X} \text{Value}^*(x_i)$$

$$\leq n \cdot \max_i \text{Value}^*(x_i)$$

$$\leq n \cdot \max_i \left\lceil \frac{\text{Value}(x_i)}{\Delta} \right\rceil$$

$$= n \cdot \left\lceil \max_i \frac{\text{Value}(x_i)}{\Delta} \right\rceil$$

$$= n \cdot \left\lceil \max_i \frac{\text{Value}(x_i)}{\frac{\epsilon}{n} \cdot \text{LB}} \right\rceil$$

$$= \frac{n^2}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\text{LB}} \left\lceil \max_i \text{Value}(x_i) \right\rceil$$

$$= O(n^2/\epsilon)$$

Step 4: $O(n)$; to output the items computed in
Step 3 but with original values

Running time: $O(n^3/\epsilon)$

Running time is Polynomial in $n \& 1/\epsilon$

\therefore FPTAS

Summary

- PTAS: has an additional Parameter.

$$\text{Alg}(\mathcal{I}) \leq (1+\epsilon) \text{ OPT} \quad (\text{minimization})$$

- Running time is Polynomial in input size,
for FPTAS also Polynomial in $1/\epsilon$

- General strategy to design a PTAS
 - develop exact algorithm that is efficient when input values are "small" integers
 - Replace actual input values by "small" integers