

# RST Corpora

## Review

Karan Praharaj

February 14th

### Paper Summary

This paper describes the developmental process of a large corpus resource with discourse-level annotation for NLP research.

### Summary of Strengths

- The corpora has great promise to provide high quality fodder for computational discourse models.
- The steps taken for quality assurance of the final discourse corpus are great measures and makes the case for the corpus more convincing.
- The writing of the paper is well organized, especially the framework.

### Summary of Weaknesses

The paper only shows the statistics for three annotators. This could have been extended to more annotators, although it is not made clear in the paper how many annotators were actually involved throughout. There is little more to criticize the paper for.

### Comments/Suggestions/Typos

The discourse-annotated corpus put forward in this paper could be extremely useful to the community, not only in giving more impetus to multiple sub-branches of research, but also in aiding the development of discourse-based applications.

### Overall Assessment

4 = This paper represents solid work, and is of significant interest for the (broad or narrow) sub-communities that might build on it

### Reviewer Confidence

4 = Quite sure. I tried to check the important points carefully. It's unlikely, though conceivable, that I missed something that should affect my ratings.

## **Best Paper**

**Could this be a best paper in a top-tier \*ACL venue?**

. While the dataset/corpora has been checked for quality by the authors in multiple ways, the ultimate test for it will be the extent to which it is lapped up by the community for the development (and comparison) of various models.

## **Limitations and Societal Impact**

Not mentioned.

## **Ethical Concerns**

N/A

## **Needs Ethics Review**

Not required.

## **Reproducibility**

Because this project involves human annotation, reproducibility is almost impossible.

1 = They would not be able to reproduce the results here no matter how hard they tried.

## **Datasets**

4 = Useful: I would recommend the new datasets to other researchers or developers for their ongoing work.

## **Software**

1 = No usable software released.