

KARAN THUKRAL - 20460691

# ENGINEERING LOGBOOK

SYDE 461

## *Contents*

*Week 1: Sept. 11 - 17*      3

*Week 2: Sept. 18 - 24*      4

*Week 3: Sept. 15 - Oct. 1*      9

*Bibliography*      20

## *Week 1: Sept. 11 - 17*

- Discussion with team members to clarify the idea and discuss the potential interest
- Discussions with Lyrical Security<sup>1</sup> regarding the data access policy along with NDA and IP agreements. <sup>1</sup><http://lyricalsecurity.com/>
- Discussion around potential supervisor

## *Week 2: Sept. 18 - 24*

- Team met with and signed Alex Wong as our supervisor
- Back and forth with Lyrical Security about details of NDA and IP agreement
- Finished team and advisor contracts
- Talked with Alex Wong regarding the details of the NDA

### *Sept 23: Speed Dating Round 1*

#### *Team 7: Snow Removal*

- No good fully automated way
- Risk of injury while removing snow
- Need more affordable options
- Has a good mix of skills in the team members to pull it off

#### *Team 10: International Shipping*

- Using US + Mexico border as example
- lost of illicit goods being shipped
- Not sure how to tackle it yet
- Looking into modelling the physical steps in shipping and then find ways to improve it.
- One idea is a tamper proof seal

#### *Team 5: Heat Exhaustion*

- Early detection of heat exhaustions
- #2 cause of death for athletes in US

- Health damage or death can happen from heat exhaustion
- Need to measure a good approximation of internal body temp to be able to detect it
- Prof Stashuk as advisor. Good choice

#### *Team 1: Real Time Wait Time*

- How busy is the restaurant I want to go to?
- How long will be the wait time?
- One challenge is to take into consideration crowds inside and outside the location
- Potential for ML

#### *Team 2: Women's Health*

- Uncomfortable topic to talk about
- Lot of stigma
- Chat bot to make this conversation easier
- Source info and knowledge from doctors
- Need to scrape existing forums etc to train the NLP model. This will be challenging
- Should not use conversations to train the model since can lead to mis-training and ruin the purpose - example Microsoft bot

#### *Team 4: Pressure Ulcers*

- Why does it happen?
- How do you prevent it?
- How do you take proactive action towards it?

#### *Team 8: Understand Products*

- Understand existing info about products by using forums, social media reviews etc
- structure this unstructured data somehow - NLP problem

*Feedback for Us*

- Try and narrow the scope
- Cannot process each packet in realtime without adding overhead
- Have very clear ways of testing and validating it
- Look at Cloudflare. Potentially have a contact there through someone in class

*Tensorflow Example*

- Started work on a tensorflow example to learn details about neural nets

## TF Softmax Regression

Saturday, 24, 2016

- Must

- Each image is  $28 \times 28$

~~concat~~

- 55K images =  $[55000, 784]$  array

- labels are one-hot

→ eg 3 =  $[0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]$

→ labels =  $[55000, 10]$  array

- Softmax is a simple model for when the alg might want to assign probabilities of classification.

Gives a # b/w 0, 1 - to each class  
↳ adds up to 1

- step 1 → Add evidence of our input being in certain classes,

step 2 → convert into probabilities

- Must

evidence → weighted sum of pixel intensities. is -ve if in favour of not being in class, +ve otherwise  
↙ input img

$$\text{evidence } i = \sum_j \underbrace{W_{i,j}}_{\text{weights}} \underbrace{x_j}_{\text{input img}} + \underbrace{b_i}_{\text{bias}}$$

↪ summing index

$$y = \text{prob} = \text{softmax}(\text{evidence})$$

Pruthi  
24/09/16

↪ find out → softmax  
turns evidence into prob  
dist at the output layer



*Week 3: Sept. 15 - Oct. 1*

*Tensorflow Example Continued*

Sunday, 25, 2016

- Since softmax turns evidence into prob  
dist it's used as the last layer  
even in complicated models.

- ~~Soft~~  $i$  = class  
 $j$  = summing index over all classes  
 $x$  = input image

- for this softmax is serving as a link func ~~out~~ shaping the output of our linear func into the form we want!!
- its tallying the evidence into prob for our inputing

$$\text{softmax}(x) = \text{normalize}(\exp(x))$$

$$\text{softmax}(x)_i = \frac{\exp(x_i)}{\sum_j \exp(x_j)}$$

↳ exponentiating its inputs & then normalizing them

- No hypothesis has 0 or -ve weights
- The exp inc the weight for one unit of +ve evidence & reduce multiplicatively similarly for -ve evidence

$$y = \text{softmax}(wx + b)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \text{softmax} \left( \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & w_{13} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & w_{23} \\ w_{31} & w_{32} & w_{33} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

## Regression

- Numpy ~~can~~ does computations in C & thus cares about data types. There is overhead in switching b/w the two though.
- Tensorflow does heavy lifting outside Python. You describe the graph in py & execute outside of py.

## Framing

- Define what it means for the model to be bad?
  - ↳ cost func, loss func etc
- minimize the error
- cross-entropy

$$H_y(y) = - \sum_i y'_i \log(y_i)$$

$y$  = predicted prob ~~dist~~ dist

$y'$  = true dist

measure ~~at~~ how inefficient our prediction is

→

## Back Propagation

→ Reverse mode differentiation

→ get → ~~that~~ gives the derivative of the output with respect to every single node

Phubral  
25/09/16

### *Back Propagation*

- Reverse mode differentiation
- Regular chain rule gives you the derivative of the output with respect to one input/node
- Doing that for all nodes is intractable
- To extend this to find  $\frac{\partial \text{output}}{\partial \theta}$  with respect to all nodes and inputs in the neural net/graph you start using the chain rule from the other end (output) and go till the input layer. This is essential for neural networks. <sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Christopher Olah. Calculus on computational graphs: Backpropagation. <https://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Backprop/>, August 15, 2015

### *Multiple Instance Learning Paper*

- Read paper on multiple instance learning and weak labelling to detect security attacks ??

## Back Propagation

- Reverse mode differentiation
- get → ~~that~~ gives the derivative of the output with respect to every single node

df/dx  
25/09/16

---

## Chiyam Meeting Sept 26

### to do

- intro to topic, context
- ~~the~~ Problem def
- Needs, Prior art
  - ↳ users
- Project objectives & outcomes
  - ↳ specific objectives
  - ↳ realistic in time frame
  - ↳ how will they solve the problem
  - ↳ major problems

~~Problem set~~

ML Paper (Sept 26, 2016)

- detection is based on:
  - URL
  - flow duration
  - number of bytes transferred from client to server & other way
  - user agent
  - referer
  - MIME-type
  - HTTP status
- The n-dimensional feature vector represents each proxy log & used to differentiate b/w legit & malicious traffic
- Paper model only analysis single proxy log, & skips temporal features
- Attacking domains change frequently but behaviour doesn't.

How

- The proxy logs originating at a particular user machine are grouped into bags based on the domain in the URL.
- The bags are labeled according to the domain.
  - ↳ if domain is in any blacklist, the bag has a +ve label
  - ↳ if not, bag has a -ve label



MIL

- flow is described by a vector of features

$x \in X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  & a label  $y \in Y = \{+1, -1\}$   
↑ ↘  
 malicious      not

- Network traffic monitored in a given period is fully described by the completed annotated data

$$D_{\text{comp}} = \{(x_1, y_1) \dots (x_m, y_m)\}$$

$\in (X \times Y)^m$  independent, identically distributed

assumed to be generated from i.i.d. random vars with unknown dist

$$p(x, y)$$

- Annotating everything is expensive, thus we use bags of flows

- The weakly annotated data

$$D_{\text{bag}} = \{ \underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_m}_{\text{features}}, \underbrace{(b_1, z_1), \dots, (b_n, z_n)}_{\substack{\text{assignment} \\ \text{to labeled} \\ \text{bags } n}} \}$$

$$\{(b_1, z_1), \dots, (b_n, z_n)\} \in (P \times y)^m$$

$P =$  set of all partitions of indices of  $1, \dots, m$ .

- The  $i$ th bag is a set of flow features  $\{x_j \mid j \in B_i\}$  label by  $z_i \in Y$ .

-  $D_{\text{bag}}$  carries partial info about  $D_{\text{imp}}$ .

Assumptions:

1) Flow features  $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$  are the same in both.

2) Negative bag contains a single instance, & the label is correct.

$\Rightarrow z_i = -1$  implies  $|B_i| = 1$  &  $y_i = -1$

3) +ve bags have a variable size & at least 1 instance is positive

$\Rightarrow z_i = +1$  implies  $\exists j \in B_i$  s.t.  $y_j = +1$

*Project Proposal*

- Worked on reseraching background and prior art
- Researched prior art papers on using ML based suspicious urls ??, spam detection ??, phishing detection ??, http request clustering ?? and botnet detection ??.
- Researched recent hacks and security attacks like Pipa Middleton ??, OVH ddos, Yahoo hack ??.

## *Bibliography*

Christopher Olah. Calculus on computational graphs: Backpropagation. <https://colah.github.io/posts/2015-08-Backprop/>, August 15, 2015.