# Homework 2

- 1. (3 points) Designing convolution filters by hand. Consider an input 2D image and a  $3 \times 3$  filter (say w) applied to this image. The goal is to guess good filters which implement each of the following elementary image processing operations.
  - a. Write down the weights of w which acts as a *blurring* filter, i.e., the output is a blurry form in the input.
  - b. Write down the weights of w which acts as a *sharpening* filter in the horizontal direction.
  - c. Write down the weights of w which acts as a sharpening filter in the vertical direction.
  - d. Write down the weights of w which act as a *sharpening* filter in a *diagonal* (bottom-left to top-right) direction.

## Solution

These are not unique solutions; others are also possible.

a.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

b.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

c.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

d.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 2. (3 points) Weight decay. The use of  $\ell_2$  regularization for training multi-layer neural networks has a special name: weight decay. Assume an arbitrary dataset  $\{(x_i,y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  and a loss function  $\mathcal{L}(w)$  where w is a vector that represents all the trainable weights (and biases).
  - a. Write down the  $\ell_2$  regularized loss, using a weighting parameter  $\lambda$  for the regularizer.
  - b. Derive the gradient descent update rules for this loss.
  - c. Conclude that in each update, the weights are "shrunk" or "decayed" by a multiplicative factor before applying the descent update.

d. What does increasing  $\lambda$  achieve algorithmically, and how should the learning rate be chosen to make the updates stable?

### **Solution**

- a. The new loss is  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}(w) = \mathcal{L}(w) + \lambda ||w||_2^2$ .
- b. If the learning rate is  $\eta$ , then the gradient update rule is:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \eta \nabla \bar{\mathcal{L}}(w_t)$$
  
=  $w_t - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(w_t) - 2\eta \lambda w_t$   
=  $(1 - 2\eta \lambda)w_t - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(w_t)$ .

- c. From the above update equations, we can see that the updated w consists of shrinking/decaying the current w by a factor  $(1-2\eta\lambda)$  and then updating in the direction of the gradient.
- d. Increasing  $\lambda$  penalizes the  $\ell_2$  norm of the weight vector, and therefore enforces smaller weights on average. In order for the gradient dynamics to be stable, the contraction factor should be smaller than 1, i.e.,  $\eta < 1/2\lambda$ .
- 3. **(2 points)** *The IoU metric*. In class we defined the IoU metric (or the Jaccard similarity index) for comparing bounding boxes.
  - a. Using elementary properties of sets, argue that the IoU metric between any two pair of bounding boxes is always a non-negative real number in [0, 1].
  - b. If we represent each bounding box as a function of the top-left and bottom-right coordinates (assume all coordinates are real numbers) then argue that the IoU metric is *non-differentiable* and hence cannot be directly optimized by gradient descent.

# Solution

a. The definition of IOU for any two bounding boxes A and B is given by:

$$IOU(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|}.$$

Since the right hand side is non-negative, this number has to be bigger than (or equal to) 0. Moreover,  $A \cap B \subseteq A \cup B$ , and hence the numerator has to be no bigger than the denominator. Therefore the IOU is bounded between 0 and 1 (inclusive).

b. Here is a simple counter-example. Let's take two identical size boxes A and B (say, square), both aligned at the same horizontal level. Fix B and then imagine "sliding" A from left to right. As A moves, the IOU will start from zero (no overlap), increase (until there is perfect overlap), and then decrease (until there is no overlap again). So, if we plot IOU as a function of horizontal displacement, we should get a curve like this:

which has 3 kinks and hence is non-differentiable.

4. (**4 points**) *Training AlexNet*. Open the (incomplete) Jupyter notebook provided as an attachment to this homework in Google Colab (or other Python IDE of your choice) and complete the missing items.

## Solution