A useful basis for defective matrices: Jordan vectors and the Jordan form

S. G. Johnson, MIT 18.06

Created Spring 2009; updated September 14, 2024

Abstract

Many textbooks and lecture notes can be found online for the *existence* of something called a "Jordan form" of a matrix based on "generalized eigenvectors (or "Jordan vectors" and "Jordan chains"). In these notes, instead, I omit most of the formal derivations and instead focus on the *consequences* of the Jordan vectors for how we understand matrices. What happens to our traditional eigenvector-based pictures of things like A^n or e^{At} when diagonalization of A fails? The answer, for any matrix function $f(A)$, turns out to involve the *derivative* of f!

1 Introduction

So far in the eigenproblem portion of 18.06, our strategy has been simple: find the eigenvalues λ_i and the corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_i$ of a square matrix A, expand any vector of interest $\mathbf{u}$ in the basis of these eigenvectors ($\mathbf{u} = c_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + c_n \mathbf{x}_n$), and then any operation with A can be turned into the corresponding operation with λ_i acting on each eigenvector. So, A^k becomes λ_i^k, e^{At} becomes $e^{\lambda_i t}$, and so on. But this relied on one key assumption: we require the $n \times n$ matrix A to have a *basis* of n independent eigenvectors. We call such a matrix A **diagonalizable**.

Many important cases are always diagonalizable: matrices with n distinct eigenvalues λ_i, real symmetric or orthogonal matrices, and complex Hermitian or unitary matrices. But there are rare cases where A does *not* have a complete basis of n eigenvectors: such matrices are called **defective**. For example, consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This matrix has a characteristic polynomial $\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1$, with a repeated root (a single eigenvalue) $\lambda_1 = 1$. (Equivalently, since A is upper triangular, we can read the determinant of $A - \lambda I$, and hence the eigenvalues, off the diagonal.) However, it only has a *single* indepenent eigenvector, because

$$A - I = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is obviously rank 1, and has a one-dimensional nullspace spanned by $\mathbf{x}_1 = (1, 0)$.

Defective matrices arise rarely in practice, and usually only when one arranges for them intentionally, so we have not worried about them up to now. But it is important to have some idea of what happens when you have a defective matrix. Partially for computational purposes, but also to understand conceptually what is possible. For example, what will be the result of

$$A^k \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad e^{At} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for the defective matrix A above, since $(1, 2)$ is not in the span of the (single) eigenvector of A? For diagonalizable matrices, this would grow as λ^k or $e^{\lambda t}$, respectively, but what about defective matrices? Although matrices in real applications are rarely *exactly* defective, it sometimes happens (often by design!) that they are *nearly* defective, and we can think of exactly defective matrices as a limiting case. (The book *Spectra and Pseudospectra* by Trefethen & Embree is a much more detailed dive into the fascinating world of nearly defective matrices.)

The textbook (*Intro. to Linear Algebra, 5th ed.* by Strang) covers the defective case only briefly, in section

8.3, with something called the **Jordan form** of the matrix, a generalization of diagonalization, but in this section we will focus more on the "Jordan vectors" than on the Jordan factorization. For a diagonalizable matrix, the fundamental vectors are the eigenvectors, which are useful in their own right and give the diagonalization of the matrix as a side-effect. For a defective matrix, to get a complete basis we need to supplement the eigenvectors with something called **Jordan vectors** or **generalized eigenvectors**. Jordan vectors are useful in their own right, just like eigenvectors, and also give the Jordan form. Here, we'll focus mainly on the *consequences* of the Jordan vectors for how matrix problems *behave.*

2   *Defining* Jordan vectors

In the example above, we had a 2×2 matrix A but only a single eigenvector $\mathbf{x}_1 = (1, 0)$. We need another vector to get a basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$. Of course, we could pick another vector at random, as long as it is independent of $\mathbf{x}_1$, but we'd like it to have something to do with A, in order to help us with computations just like eigenvectors. The key thing is to look at $A - I$ above, and to notice that $(A - I)^2 = 0$. (A matrix is called **nilpotent** if some power is the zero matrix.) So, the nullspace of $(A - I)^2$ must give us an "extra" basis vector beyond the eigenvector. But this extra vector must still be related to the eigenvector! If $\mathbf{y} \in N[(A - I)^2]$, then $(A - I)\mathbf{y}$ must be in $N(A - I)$, which means that $(A - I)\mathbf{y}$ is a multiple of $\mathbf{x}_1$! We just need to find a new **"Jordan vector"** or **"generalized eigenvector"** $\mathbf{j}_1$ satisfying

$$(A - I)\mathbf{j}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1, \qquad \mathbf{j}_1 \perp \mathbf{x}_1.$$

Notice that, since $\mathbf{x}_1 \in N(A - I)$, we can add any multiple of $\mathbf{x}_1$ to $\mathbf{j}_1$ and still have a solution, so we can use Gram-Schmidt to get a *unique* solution $\mathbf{j}_1$ perpendicular to $\mathbf{x}_1$. This particular 2×2 equation is easy enough for us to solve by inspection, obtaining $\mathbf{j}_1 = (0, 1)$. Now we have a nice *orthonormal* basis for $\mathbb{R}^2$, and our basis has some simple relationship to A!

Before we talk about how to *use* these Jordan vectors, let's give a more general definition. Suppose that λ_i is an eigenvalue of A corresponding to a repeated root of $\det(A - \lambda_i I)$, but with only a single (ordinary) eigenvector $\mathbf{x}_i$, satisfying, as usual:

$$(A - \lambda_i I)\mathbf{x}_i = 0.$$

If λ_i is a double root, we will need a second vector to complete our basis. Remarkably,[1] it turns out to *always* be the case for a double root λ_i that $N([A - \lambda_i I]^2)$ is two-dimensional, just as for the 2×2 example above. Hence, we can *always* find a unique second solution $\mathbf{j}_i$ satisfying:

$$\boxed{(A - \lambda_i I)\mathbf{j}_i = \mathbf{x}_i, \qquad \mathbf{j}_i \perp \mathbf{x}_i}.$$

Again, we can choose $\mathbf{j}_i$ to be perpendicular to $\mathbf{x}_i$ via Gram-Schmidt—this is not strictly necessary, but gives a convenient orthogonal basis. (That is, the complete solution is always of the form $\mathbf{x}_p + c\mathbf{x}_i$, a particular solution $\mathbf{x}_p$ plus any multiple of the nullspace basis $\mathbf{x}_i$. If we choose $c = -\mathbf{x}_i^T\mathbf{x}_p / \mathbf{x}_i^T\mathbf{x}_i$ we get the unique orthogonal solution $\mathbf{j}_i$.) We call $\mathbf{j}_i$ a **Jordan vector** or **Jordan vector** of A. The relationship between $\mathbf{j}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_1$ is also called a **Jordan chain**.

2.1   More than double roots

A more general notation is to use $\mathbf{x}_i^{(1)}$ instead of $\mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{x}_i^{(2)}$ instead of $\mathbf{j}_i$. If λ_i is a triple root, we would find a third vector $\mathbf{x}_i^{(3)}$ perpendicular to $\mathbf{x}_i^{(1,2)}$ by requiring $(A - \lambda_i I)\mathbf{x}_i^{(3)} = \mathbf{x}_i^{(2)}$, and so on. In general, if λ_i is an m-times repeated root, then $N([A - \lambda_i]^m)$ is m-dimensiohnal we will always be able to find an orthogonal sequence (a Jordan chain) of Jordan vectors $\mathbf{x}_i^{(j)}$ for $j = 2 \ldots m$ satisfying $(A - \lambda_i I)\mathbf{x}_i^{(j)} = \mathbf{x}_i^{(j-1)}$ and $(A - \lambda_i I)\mathbf{x}_i^{(1)} = 0$. Even more generally, you might have cases with e.g. a triple root and two ordinary eigenvectors, where you need only one generalized eigenvector, or an m-times repeated root with $\ell > 1$ eigenvectors and $m - \ell$ Jordan vectors. However, cases with more than a double root are extremely rare in practice. Defective matrices are rare enough to begin with, so here we'll stick with the most common defective matrix, one with a double root λ_i: hence, one ordinary eigenvector $\mathbf{x}_i$ and one Jordan vector $\mathbf{j}_i$.

3   *Using* Jordan vectors

Using an eigenvector $\mathbf{x}_i$ is easy: multiplying by A is just like multiplying by λ_i. But how do we use a Jordan vector

---

[1]This fact is proved in any number of advanced textbooks on linear algebra; I like *Linear Algebra* by P. D. Lax.

$\mathbf{j}_i$? The key is in the definition above. It immediately tells us that

$$A\mathbf{j}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{j}_i + \mathbf{x}_i.$$

It will turn out that this has a simple consequence for more complicated expressions like A^k or e^{At}, but that's probably not obvious yet. Let's try multiplying by A^2:

$$A^2\mathbf{j}_i = A(A\mathbf{j}_i) = A(\lambda_i \mathbf{j}_i + \mathbf{x}_i) = \lambda_i(\lambda_i \mathbf{j}_i + \mathbf{x}_i) + \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i$$
$$= \lambda_i^2 \mathbf{j}_i + 2\lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i$$

and then try A^3:

$$A^3\mathbf{j}_i = A(A^2\mathbf{j}_i) = A(\lambda_i^2 \mathbf{j}_i + 2\lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i) = \lambda_i^2(\lambda_i \mathbf{j}_i + \mathbf{x}_i) + 2\lambda_i^2 \mathbf{x}_i$$
$$= \lambda_i^3 \mathbf{j}_i + 3\lambda_i^2 \mathbf{x}_i.$$

From this, it's not hard to see the general pattern (which can be formally proved by induction):

$$\boxed{A^k\mathbf{j}_i = \lambda_i^k \mathbf{j}_i + k\lambda_i^{k-1}\mathbf{x}_i}.$$

Notice that the coefficient in the second term is exactly $\frac{d}{d\lambda_i}(\lambda_i)^k$. This is the clue we need to get the general formula to apply any function $f(A)$ of the matrix A to the eigenvector and the Jordan vector:

$$f(A)\mathbf{x}_i = f(\lambda_i)\mathbf{x}_i,$$
$$\boxed{f(A)\mathbf{j}_i = f(\lambda_i)\mathbf{j}_i + f'(\lambda_i)\mathbf{x}_i}.$$

Multiplying by a function of the matrix multiplies $\mathbf{j}_i$ by the same function of the eigenvalue, just as for an eigenvector, but *also* adds a term multiplying $\mathbf{x}_i$ by the *derivative* $f'(\lambda_i)$. So, for example:

$$\boxed{e^{At}\mathbf{j}_i = e^{\lambda_i t}\mathbf{j}_i + te^{\lambda_i t}\mathbf{x}_i}.$$

We can show this explicitly by considering what happens when we apply our formula for A^k in the Taylor series for e^{At}:

$$e^{At}\mathbf{j}_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k t^k}{k!}\mathbf{j}_i = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}(\lambda_i^k \mathbf{j}_i + k\lambda_i^{k-1}\mathbf{x}_i)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_i t)^k}{k!}\mathbf{j}_i + t\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda_i t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}\mathbf{x}_i = e^{\lambda_i t}\mathbf{j}_i + te^{\lambda_i t}\mathbf{x}_i.$$

In general, that's how we show the formula for $f(A)$ above: we Taylor expand each term, and the A^k formula means that each term in the Taylor expansion has corresponding term multiplying $\mathbf{j}_i$ and a *derivative* term multiplying $\mathbf{x}_i$.

3.1 More than double roots

In the rare case of two Jordan vectors from a triple root, you will have a Jordan vector $\mathbf{x}_i^{(3)}$ and get a $f(A)\mathbf{x}_i^{(3)} = f(\lambda)\mathbf{x}_i^{(3)} + f'(\lambda)\mathbf{j}_i + \frac{f''(\lambda)}{2}\mathbf{x}_i$, where the $\frac{f''}{2}$ term will give you $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}\lambda_i^{k-2}$ and $\frac{t^2}{2}e^{\lambda_i t}$ for A^k and e^{At} respectively. A quadruple root with one eigenvector and three Jordan vectors will give you $\frac{f'''}{3!}$ terms (hence k^3 and t^3 terms), and so on, very much like a Taylor series. The theory is quite pretty, but doesn't arise often in practice so I will skip it; it is straightforward to work it out on your own if you are interested.

3.2 Example

Let's try this for our example 2×2 matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ from above, which has an eigenvector $\mathbf{x}_1 = (1,0)$ and a Jordan vector $\mathbf{j}_1 = (0,1)$ for an eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 1$. Suppose we want to comput $A^k\mathbf{u}_0$ and $e^{At}\mathbf{u}_0$ for $\mathbf{u}_0 = (1,2)$. As usual, our first step is to write $\mathbf{u}_0$ in the basis of the eigenvectors...except that now we also include the generalized eigenvectors to get a complete basis:

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{x}_1 + 2\mathbf{j}_1.$$

Now, computing $A^k\mathbf{u}_0$ is easy, from our formula above:

$$A^k\mathbf{u}_0 = A^k\mathbf{x}_1 + 2A^k\mathbf{j}_1 = \lambda_1^k\mathbf{x}_1 + 2\lambda_1^k\mathbf{j}_1 + 2k\lambda_1^{k-1}\mathbf{x}_1$$
$$= 1^k\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 2k\,1^{k-1}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2k \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For example, this is the solution to the recurrence $\mathbf{u}_{k+1} = A\mathbf{u}_k$. Even though A has only an eigenvalue $|\lambda_1| = 1 \le 1$, the solution still blows up, but it blows up *linearly* with k instead of exponentially.

Consider instead $e^{At}\mathbf{u}_0$, which is the solution to the system of ODEs $\frac{d\mathbf{u}(t)}{dt} = A\mathbf{u}(t)$ with the initial condition $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$. In this case, we get:

$$e^{At}\mathbf{u}_0 = e^{At}\mathbf{x}_1 + 2e^{At}\mathbf{j}_1 = e^{\lambda_1 t}\mathbf{x}_1 + 2e^{\lambda_1 t}\mathbf{j}_1 + 2te^{\lambda_1 t}\mathbf{x}_1$$
$$= e^t\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + 2te^t\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1+2t \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}e^t.$$

In this case, the solution blows up exponentially since $\lambda_1 = 1 > 0$, but we have an *additional* term that blows up as an exponential multiplied by t.

Those of you who have taken 18.03 are probably familiar with these terms multiplied by t in the case of a repeated root. In 18.03, it is presented simply as a guess for the solution that turns out to work, but here we see that it is part of a more general pattern of Jordan vectors for defective matrices.

4   The Jordan form

For a diagonalizable matrix A, we made a matrix S out of the eigenvectors, and saw that multiplying by A was equivalent to multiplying by $S\Lambda S^{-1}$ where $\Lambda = S^{-1}AS$ is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues, the *diagonalization* of A. Equivalently, $AS = \Lambda S$: A multiplies each column of S by the corresponding eigenvalue. Now, we will do exactly the same steps for a defective matrix A, using the basis of eigenvectors and Jordan vectors, and obtain the **Jordan form** J instead of Λ.

Let's consider a simple case of a 4×4 first, in which there is only *one* repeated root λ_2 with an eigenvector $\mathbf{x}_2$ and a Jordan vector $\mathbf{j}_2$, and the other two eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_3 are distinct with independent eigenvectors $\mathbf{x}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_3$. Form a matrix $M = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{j}_2, \mathbf{x}_3)$ from this basis of four vectors (3 eigenvectors and 1 Jordan vector). Now, consider what happends when we multiply A by M:

$$
\begin{aligned}
AM &= (\lambda_1\mathbf{x}_1, \lambda_2\mathbf{x}_2, \lambda_2\mathbf{j}_2 + \mathbf{x}_2, \lambda_3\mathbf{x}_3). \\
&= M\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & 1 & \\ & & \lambda_2 & \\ & & & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} = MJ.
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $A = MJM^{-1}$ where J is *almost* diagonal: it has $\lambda's$ along the diagonal, but it *also has 1's above the diagonal for the columns corresponding to generalized eigenvectors*. This is exactly the Jordan form of the matrix A. J, of course, has the same eigenvalues as A since A and J are similar, but J is much simpler than A. The 2×2 block $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_2 & 1 \\ & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is a called a 2×2 **Jordan block**.

The generalization of this, when you perhaps have more than one repeated root, and perhaps the multiplicity of the root is greater than 2, is fairly obvious, and leads immediately to the formula given without proof in section 6.6 of the textbook. What I want to emphasize here, however, is not so much the formal theorem that a Jordan form

exists, but how to *use* it via the Jordan vectors: in particular, that generalized eigenvectors give us *linearly growing* terms $k\lambda^{k-1}$ and $te^{\lambda t}$ when we multiply by A^k and e^{At}, respectively.

Computationally, the Jordan form is famously problematic, because with any slight random perturbation to A (e.g. roundoff errors) the matrix typically becomes diagonalizable, and the 2×2 Jordan block for λ_2 disappears. One then has a basis X of eigenvectors, but it is *nearly singular* ("ill conditioned"): for a **nearly defective matrix, the eigenvectors are *almost* linearly dependent**. This makes eigenvectors a problematic way of looking at nearly defective matrices as well, because they are so sensitive to errors. Finding an *approximate* Jordan form of a *nearly* defective matrix is the famous **Wilkinson problem** in numerical linear algebra, and has a number of tricky solutions. Alternatively, there are approaches like "Schur factorization" or the SVD that lead to nice orthonormal bases for any matrix, but aren't nearly as simple to use as eigenvectors.