

Added-variable plots require the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ of the parameters β to have the form

$$\hat{\beta} = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}'\tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}'\tilde{\mathbf{y}}.$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y})$ are transformations of the right- and left-hand-side variables \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{y} .

Partitioning $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ into $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = [\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1 \ \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_2]$ and $\hat{\beta} = [\hat{\beta}_1 \ \hat{\beta}_2]'$, one can calculate $\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1} = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_2\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}} = \tilde{\mathbf{M}}_2\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ where $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}_2 = \mathbf{I} - \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_2(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_2'\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_2)^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_2'$.

The added-variable plot of variable \mathbf{x}_1 is a graph of $\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$ versus $\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1}$ which has a prediction line with slope equal to $\hat{\beta}_1 = (\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1}'\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1})^{-1}\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1}'\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$.

0.1 The arima command

The ARMA(p, q) model is

$$y_t = \mathbf{x}_t'\boldsymbol{\beta}_x + \mu_t$$

$$\mu_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i \mu_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^q \theta_j \epsilon_{t-j} + \epsilon_t.$$

If the model is ARIMA(p, d, q) for $d \in 1, 2, 3, \dots$ then replace y_t and \mathbf{x}_t by $\Delta^d y_t$ and $\Delta^d \mathbf{x}_t$, respectively, where Δ is the difference operator.

The ARMA(p, q) model can be written as

$$y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i y_{t-i} + \mathbf{x}_t'\boldsymbol{\beta}_x - \sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i \mathbf{x}_{t-i}'\boldsymbol{\beta}_x + u_t$$

$$u_t = \sum_{j=0}^q \theta_j \epsilon_{t-j}$$

where $\theta_0 = 1$.

u_t is an MA(q) process with autocovariances

$$\gamma_j = \text{Cov}[u_t u_{t-j}] = \begin{cases} \sigma_\epsilon^2 \sum_{k=0}^{q-j} \theta_k \theta_{j+k} & \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, q \\ 0 & \text{for } j > q \end{cases}$$

If the model is written in matrix form

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{u},$$

2

then

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{p+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} y_p & \cdots & y_1 & \mathbf{x}'_{p+1} & \mathbf{x}'_p & \cdots & \mathbf{x}'_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ y_{T-1} & \cdots & y_{T-p} & \mathbf{x}'_T & \mathbf{x}'_{T-1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}'_{T-p} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_1 \\ \vdots \\ \rho_p \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_x \\ -\rho_1 \boldsymbol{\beta}_x \\ \vdots \\ \rho_p \boldsymbol{\beta}_x \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{p+1} \\ \vdots \\ u_T \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

The maximum likelihood estimator for this ARMA(p, q) model is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \mathbf{y}.$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ is the estimated variance of \mathbf{u} , formed from the estimates of autocovariances γ_j using $\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_q$.

To put the **arima** estimator in the form of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}' \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}' \tilde{\mathbf{y}}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1/2} \mathbf{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1/2} \mathbf{y}$. The added-variable plot can then be constructed from $\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1}$.

A potential problem with this is I think the Kalman filter maximum likelihood estimate of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is using all T observations in the sample because the autocorrelations are addressed in the error term, whereas the formula above in terms of lagged y 's and \mathbf{x} 's uses only $T - p$ observations, so their $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ values will be slightly different. In other words, the slope of a regression fit of the added-variable plot values will have a slightly different slope than the coefficient estimate from the **arima** command.

As long as the difference in observations causes a minor deviation between the **arima** and the added-variable parameter estimates, we can show the added-variable plot of $\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{y}}}$ versus $\mathbf{e}_{\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_1}$ but draw the trend line using the **arima** $\hat{\beta}_1$ coefficient value (which is what `gavplot.ado` does now), but we should check how much difference there is between the estimates (which is displayed in `test.gavplot.do`).

When the user specifies weights, the formula for $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ becomes

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{D} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{D} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{y}.$$

where $\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\text{sqrt}(\mathbf{w}))$ and \mathbf{w} is a vector of weights. If the user specifies weights \mathbf{v} as **fweights** or **iweights**, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}$; otherwise, $\mathbf{w} = \{\mathbf{v}/(\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{v})\}(\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{1})$.

When weights are used, $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1/2} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1/2} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{y}$.

0.2 The arfima command

The ARFIMA(p, d, q) model of a second-order stationary y_t , $t = 1, \dots, T$ is written as

$$\rho(L^p)(1 - L)^d(y_t - \mathbf{x}'_t \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}(L^q)\epsilon_t$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\rho}(L^p) &= 1 - \rho_1 L - \rho_2 L^2 - \dots - \rho_p L^p \\ \boldsymbol{\theta}(L^q) &= 1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2 + \dots + \theta_q L^q \\ (1 - L)^d &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(j+d)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(d)} L^j\end{aligned}$$

and the lag operator L is defined as $L^j y_t = y_{t-j}$; $\epsilon_t \sim \text{NID}(0, \sigma^2)$; $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function; and $-0.5 < d < 0.5, d \neq 0$. The row vector \mathbf{x}'_t contains the exogenous variables specified as *indepvars* in the **arfima** syntax. The process is stationary and invertible for $-0.5 < d < 0.5$, and the roots of $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\cdot)$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}(\cdot)$ lie outside the unit circle and have no common roots.

The ARFIMA(p, d, q) model can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= \sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i y_{t-i} + \mathbf{x}'_t \boldsymbol{\beta}_x - \sum_{i=1}^p \rho_i \mathbf{x}'_{t-i} \boldsymbol{\beta}_x + u_t \\ u_t &= (1 - L)^{-d} \boldsymbol{\theta}(L^q) \epsilon_t.\end{aligned}$$

Doornik and Ooms (2003, p. 5) shows that the autocovariances of u_t are equal to

$$\gamma_j = \sigma_\epsilon^2 \sum_{k=-q}^q \phi_k \frac{\Gamma(1-2d)}{[\Gamma(1-d)]^2} \frac{(d)_{k-j}}{(1-d)_{k-j}}$$

where

$$\phi_k = \sum_{s=|k|}^q \theta_s \theta_{s-|k|}$$

and

$$(d)_i = d(d+1)(d+2) \cdots (d+i-1), \quad (d)_0 = 1, \text{ so } (1)_i \text{ equals } i!.$$

The ratio

$$\frac{(d)_i}{(1-d)_i} \text{ for } i = -q - (T-1), \dots, 0, \dots, q$$

can be computed using forward recursion for $i > 0$:

$$(d)_i = (d+i-1)(d)_{i-1}, \quad i > 0$$

and backward recursion otherwise:

$$(d)_i = \frac{(d)_{i+1}}{(d+i)}, \quad i < 0.^1$$

1. In Doornik and Ooms (2003, p. 5) the backward recursion equation has a typo.

With \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{X} , $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and \mathbf{u} defined as in Equation 1, the maximum likelihood estimator for this ARFIMA(p, d, q) model is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1}\mathbf{y}.$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}$ is the estimated variance of \mathbf{u} , formed from estimates of autocovariances γ_j using `arfima` estimates of the parameters.

The `arfima` model can be written as $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}'\tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{X}}'\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ when $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1/2}\mathbf{y}$ enabling construction of an added-variable plot.

0.3 The arch command

1 Reference

Doornik, J. A., and M. Ooms. 2003. Computational Aspects of Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Autoregressive Fractionally Integrated Moving Average Models. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 42(3): 333–348.