

CS 1653: Applied Cryptography and Network Security

Term Project, Phase 3

Lindsey Bieda `leb35@pitt.edu` Tucker Trainor `tmt33@pitt.edu`

March 2, 2012

Introduction: Cryptographic Techniques

Protecting communication over networks is a byzantine affair involving prime numbers, modulo arithmetic, and inscrutable ciphertext. No one protocol is enough to cover all vulnerabilities of a secure file server implementation, and often hybridization of protocols is the best solution to thorny security issues. In our implementation of a secure file server, we will include proven methods from both private key cryptography and public key cryptography, and sometimes even combine the two. From the realm of private key cryptography, we will use AES for block cipher encryption. Of public key cryptography, we use the Diffie-Hellman key exchange and RSA encryption to leverage security and efficiency where possible. We will also implement hashing for authenticating data where necessary.

Threat 1: Unauthorized Token Issuance

Threat Description

For a user to begin using the secure file server, they must be issued a token with which they can perform all the functions of the Group and File Servers. Thus, we need to protect each user's token from anyone other than the user. In Phase 2 of the project, we considered the users trustworthy and therefore didn't require any credentials other than knowing the username of the token's owner. This is almost completely insecure against any malicious agent who knows a username on the system, as they can simply enter any valid username to be issued that user's token.

Mechanism Description

We can implement a password mechanism to verify that a user is who they claim to be. By requiring a login sequence consisting of a username and password tuple, we can do a basic authentication of the user. Before defining a sequence of operations to authorize issuance of a token, we must list assumptions of existing conditions:

- The user has been created by an administrator and is in possession of their correct password.
- The user has connected through the client application to the Group Server and has already saved the Group Server's public key in the file that their client uses to store public keys.
- The user has an active connection to the Group Server.

We store the username with a hash of the password instead of the password in cleartext to avoid revealing passwords in the event of a compromise to the Group Server, where a hash table of usernames and hashes would be stored. The user would enter their password, which would be sent to the Group Server over an encrypted channel. If the hashes match, the user is authenticated as who they claim to be and issued their token, otherwise access is denied.

Correctness and Security of Mechanism

To maintain the security of the password authentication process, there are two layers that need to be maintained. The password must be hashed so that it remains obfuscated after the user enters it into a login prompt. As a measure of correctness, the hash used by the client should match that which was used by the authenticating server. Additionally, the exchange between the client and server must be encrypted so that the hash value is obscured from an eavesdropper. The inclusion of a random IV in the encryption process is also necessary as a measure to prevent replay attacks.

Threat 2: Token Modification/Forgery

Threat Description

By modifying or forging tokens issued by the Group Server, a user may be able to gain access to files that would otherwise be forbidden. By changing the group information embedded in a token, a malicious user can access groups that they are not members of, which would grant unauthorized access to files belonging to those groups. Furthermore, if a malicious user assigns him or herself as the owner of a specific group, he or she will have the ability to add or delete group members, as well as freely modify files belonging to that group. This threat is a direct attack on the integrity of a secure group server.

Mechanism Description

In order to maintain the integrity of token issuance, we should verify that a token is valid every time an operation involving a token is invoked. The best way to verify would be to match the token in question with the one on the Group Server. To efficiently perform

this mechanism, we take a hash of both tokens, create an authenticated and encrypted channel between the user’s application and the Group Server, and compare the hashes. If the hashes match, then the user is using the same token that is on the Group Server and is thus valid.

Correctness and Security of Mechanism

As the hashing process does not necessarily require explanation, the security of the mechanism lies with the verification channel between the Group Server and the application requesting validation. If we can maintain the security of the channel and introduce a degree of randomness to foil replay attacks, then we should be able to eliminate token fraud.

Threat 3: Unauthorized File Servers

Threat Description

The purpose of a secure file server is that, put simply, your files are secure. If a user can unknowingly be directed to an unauthorized file server, any other security safeguards are rendered moot, thus threatening the confidentiality of the user’s files and the perceived integrity of the entire service. If a user can be convinced that they are connected to file server s while actually being connected to a malicious file server s' , they are at risk of uploading confidential data to an untrusted source or downloading malicious content from an untrusted source.

Mechanism Description

In order for a file server to be trusted by a user, it must provide some authentication to the user that only the actual server can know. Though certificates would be an ideal solution, they are outside the scope of our project. Instead, we can rely on public and private keys as a way of validating a file server. Upon the first connection to a server s , the user will be asked if they wish to accept the public key s_k . If the user agrees, s_k is stored by the user in their client application along with other identifying details of the server (e.g. server name, IP address, etc.). When the user wishes to authenticate the server, he or she can use s_k to encrypt a challenge to s . If s is in possession of the private key s_k^{-1} , then s is able to return the challenge to the user and authentication is complete. An unauthorized server s' would be unable to correctly guess s_k^{-1} and therefore could not decrypt the challenge and complete authentication.

Correctness and Security of Mechanism

The main threat to this mechanism is replay attacks, where a passive monitor might see a repeat of a challenge and be able to perform a man-in-the-middle attack. Therefore, a level of randomness in the challenge as well as encrypting the exchange between the user and s may be necessary to ensure correctness and security.

Threat 4: Information Leakage via Passive Monitoring

Threat Description

Suppose Eve can listen to an information exchange between Alice and Bob. Even without being able to interrupt or modify the exchange, Eve can still glean enough information to perform malicious acts. If insufficient security is in place, Eve may be able to gather enough data to

- know the contents of the exchanges;
- to impersonate Alice or Bob;
- use offline password guessing to discover passwords or other secret information.

Eve does not need to be an active participant in a conversation to illicitly benefit from it, and thus exchanges between Alice and Bob must be kept secure.

Mechanism Description

To maintain a secure channel between Alice and Bob during a continuous series of messages, the most efficient solution may be to create a session key between them. A session key avoids having to recreate a secure channel after each exchange, resulting in increased efficiency over alternatives. To create a session key, we can implement public-key authentication protocols to not only provide authentication but also continued secrecy after the exchanges. By using a Diffie-Hellman exchange to create a shared secret key between Alice and Bob, which can then be used to encrypt a session.

Correctness and Security of Mechanism

If the key exchange is performed securely, then each session is not only properly encrypted, but is also protected even if the Diffie-Hellman decryption keys are compromised, as the modulo arithmetic prevents direct decryption, which bolsters the security of the mechanism.

Summary and Errata

There are common elements to the protocols that address the above threats. Since Threat 4 involves eavesdropping, most if not all communication over the network will need to be encrypted. Thus, handshake protocols will be present in many of the implementations we have discussed.

Before further elaboration can be done here, the implementation will need to be performed. As all good intentions lead to something else, the process of implementing the protocols will undoubtedly lead to changes in design to accommodate shifting requirements or practicalities.