Cognitive Assessment Report

1. Overview

This report presents a cognitive assessment based on data collected from a series of cognitive games and speech analysis. The aim is to provide an initial indication of cognitive function across multiple domains, including memory, executive function, and language. This report is not a substitute for a full clinical evaluation by a qualified healthcare professional.

2. Metrics Explanation

* stroop_colour: Measures executive function, specifically the ability to inhibit cognitive interference. A higher score indicates better performance.
* memory_game: Represents performance on a memory game. A higher score signifies better memory recall.
* image_recall: Quantifies the number of images successfully recalled. A higher score represents better visual memory.
* speech_metrics_per_file: A collection of metrics derived from speech analysis for each audio file.
* Total time: Duration of the audio file in seconds.
* Total pause time: Total time spent pausing during speech in seconds.
* Pause density (%): Percentage of time spent pausing relative to the total time. Higher pause density can indicate cognitive hesitation or word-finding difficulties.
* Repeated words: Number of words repeated during the speech sample.
* Filler words: Number of filler words (e.g., "um," "uh," "like") used.
* Filler frequency (%): Percentage of filler words relative to total words.
* Unique words: Number of distinct words used.
* Lexical diversity (%): Ratio of unique words to total words, reflecting vocabulary richness.
* Speech fluency (words/sec): Rate of speech, calculated as words spoken per second, after accounting for pauses.
* sentiment_per_file: Sentiment analysis of each audio file, indicating the expressed emotion.
* label: The predominant sentiment (e.g., "positive," "negative," "neutral").
* probs: Probability distribution across sentiment categories.
* weighted_score: A single numerical score representing the overall sentiment, incorporating probabilities.
* combined_sentiment: Overall sentiment analysis across all audio files.
* audio_files_count: The number of audio files processed.

3. Memory Game Analysis

The memory_game score is 4. A score of 4 suggests potentially weak performance on the memory game. Without knowing the scoring system (e.g., maximum possible score, average performance), it is difficult to

determine the severity of this result. Lower scores can be indicative of short-term memory deficits, but it's crucial to consider other factors and results from other tests.

4. Image Recall Analysis

The image_recall score is 28. This indicates the individual was able to recall 28 images. As with the memory game, interpreting the clinical relevance of this score requires comparison to normative data or expected performance levels for the specific image recall task used. A score of 28 in image recall alone does not indicate that any specific action should be taken unless this is unusually low for the tested individual or cohort.

5. Stroop Colour Analysis

The stroop_colour score is 190. The Stroop test assesses executive function, particularly inhibitory control and processing speed. A higher score generally indicates better performance. However, the specific scoring method impacts interpretation (e.g., time to complete the task, number of errors). Comparing this score to age- and education-matched norms is necessary to determine if it falls within the expected range. A score of 190 on Stroop color test indicates good cognitive performance.

6. Speech Analysis

The speech metrics provide insights into language production and cognitive processing. Two speech files were analyzed.

* Pause Density: High pause densities (48.29% and 48.12%) in both files may suggest hesitations, word-finding difficulties, or cognitive processing delays. Elevated pause density could signify that more effort is required to recall and produce language.
* Repeated Words: Repeated word counts (25 and 20) are relatively high, potentially reflecting difficulties in formulating thoughts or maintaining a train of thought.
* Filler Words: Filler word frequency is 0% in first file, but 11.11% in the second file. An increase in filler word usage could potentially mean some difficulty in the ability to express ideas coherently and fluently, although a small amount filler frequency is expected.
* Lexical Diversity: Lexical diversity (35.94% and 24.44%) indicates the breadth of vocabulary used. Lower lexical diversity might reflect reduced language skills. The second file exhibits a notably lower lexical diversity.
* Speech Fluency: Speech fluency (40.72 words/sec and 37.13 words/sec) provides information about the speed of speech. Fluency could be impacted by cognitive processing speed, motor skills, and emotional state. Differences in fluency between files may reflect variability in cognitive effort or topic familiarity.

7. Sentiment Analysis

The sentiment analysis indicates a predominantly "positive" sentiment in both audio files, with weighted scores of 80.52 and 68.70, with overall sentiment as 80.18. While positive sentiment is generally favorable, it's important to consider the context. In some cognitive disorders, individuals may exhibit reduced emotional expressiveness or inappropriate affect. The consistency of positive sentiment across both files is noteworthy.

8. Heuristic Cognitive Risk Assessment

Based on the available data, there are some indicators that warrant further investigation. The relatively low memory_game score, combined with high pause densities, repeated words, and somewhat reduced lexical diversity in the speech analysis, suggest potential areas of concern in memory and language functions. The Stroop score is fairly good. It is important to note that the speech analysis is based on short speech samples, which may not be representative of the individual's typical speech patterns.

9. Integrated Interpretation

The overall pattern suggests a mixed cognitive profile. Executive function, as measured by the Stroop test, appears to be relatively intact. However, potential weaknesses in memory and language functions are indicated by the memory game score and speech analysis metrics. The positive sentiment expressed in the speech samples does not necessarily negate these concerns, as emotional state can be independent of cognitive function.

10. Recommendations

Given the indicators of potential cognitive weaknesses, the following recommendations are made:

* Comprehensive Neuropsychological Evaluation: A full neuropsychological assessment is recommended to evaluate cognitive function across multiple domains, including memory, attention, language, and executive functions.
* Speech and Language Assessment: A speech and language evaluation by a qualified therapist can provide a more detailed analysis of speech patterns, language skills, and communication abilities.
* Review of Medical History: A thorough review of medical history, including any neurological or psychiatric conditions, is essential.
* Consider Longitudinal Monitoring: If a full evaluation is not immediately feasible, longitudinal monitoring of cognitive function with repeated assessments over time can help detect any significant decline.

It is crucial to interpret these findings in the context of the individual's age, education, and premorbid cognitive abilities.

11. Disclaimer

It is a test done by AI; if the score is too high it is suggested to consult a doctor immediately, if not then also it is better to meet a doctor.

IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

It is a test done by AI; if the score is too high it is suggested to consult a doctor immediately, if not then also it is better to meet a doctor.