

Inaccurate Statistical Discrimination: An Identification Problem

Online Appendix

J. Aislinn Bohren, Kareem Haggag, Alex Imas, and Devin G. Pope

July 17, 2020

Appendix D. Papers Included in Literature Survey

In this section, we list the citation for each paper included in the survey of the literature.

ABREVAYA, J. AND D. S. HAMERMESH (2012): “Charity and Favoritism in the Field: Are Female Economists Nicer (to Each Other)?” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 94, 202–207.

ACEMOGLU, D. AND J. ANGRIST (2001): “Consequences of Employment Protection? The Case of the Americans with Disabilities Act,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 109, 915–957.

AGAN, A. AND S. STARR (2017): “Ban the Box, Criminal Records, and Racial Discrimination: A Field Experiment,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 133, 191–235.

ALAN, S., S. ERTAC, AND I. MUMCU (2018): “Gender Stereotypes in the Classroom and Effects on Achievement,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 100, 876–890.

*Bohren: University of Pennsylvania, abohren@gmail.com, Haggag: Social and Decision Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, kareem.haggag@cmu.edu. Imas: Booth School of Business, University of Chicago, aimas@uchicago.edu. Pope: Booth School of Business, University of Chicago, devin.pope@chicagobooth.edu. We thank Alex Frankel, Emir Kamenica, Emily Nix, and seminar participants at Harvard Business School, Harvard Kennedy School, the SaMMF Discrimination in Labor Markets Workshop, Stanford University, UCLA, University of Chicago, University of Melbourne, University of Pennsylvania, University of Southern California, University of Sydney, University of Virginia and the Virtual Market Design Seminar for helpful comments and suggestions. Cuimin Ba and Jihong Song provided excellent research assistance.

- ALESINA, A. AND E. L. FERRARA (2014): “A Test of Racial Bias in Capital Sentencing,” *The American Economic Review*, 104, 3397–3433.
- ALTONJI, J. G. AND C. R. PIERRET (2001): “Employer Learning and Statistical Discrimination,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116, 313–350.
- ANTECOL, H. AND P. KUHN (2000): “Gender as an Impediment to Labor Market Success: Why Do Young Women Report Greater Harm?” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 18, 702–728.
- ANTONOVICS, K. AND B. G. KNIGHT (2009): “A New Look at Racial Profiling: Evidence from the Boston Police Department,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 91, 163–177.
- ANWAR, S., P. BAYER, AND R. HJALMARSSON (2012): “The Impact of Jury Race in Criminal Trials,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 127, 1017–1055.
- ANWAR, S. AND H. FANG (2006): “An Alternative Test of Racial Prejudice in Motor Vehicle Searches: Theory and Evidence,” *The American Economic Review*, 96, 127–151.
- ARAI, M. AND P. SKOGMAN THOURSIE (2009): “Renouncing Personal Names: An Empirical Examination of Surname Change and Earnings,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 27, 127–147.
- ARNOLD, D., W. DOBBIE, AND C. S. YANG (2018): “Racial Bias in Bail Decisions,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 133, 1885–1932.
- ASLUND, O., L. HENSVIK, AND O. N. SKANS (2014): “Seeking Similarity: How Immigrants and Natives Manage in the Labor Market,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 32, 405–441.
- AYRES, I. AND P. SIEGELMAN (1995): “Race and Gender Discrimination in Bargaining for a New Car,” *The American Economic Review*, 85, 304–321.
- BAGUES, M. F. AND B. ESTEVE-VOLART (2010): “Can Gender Parity Break the Glass Ceiling? Evidence from a Repeated Randomized Experiment,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 77, 1301–1328.
- BALDWIN, M. AND W. G. JOHNSON (1992): “Estimating the Employment Effects of Wage Discrimination,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 74, 446–455.
- BAR, R. AND A. ZUSSMAN (2017): “Customer Discrimination: Evidence from Israel,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 35, 1031–1059.

- BARCELLOS, S. H., L. S. CARVALHO, AND A. LLERAS-MUNEY (2014): “Child Gender and Parental Investments In India: Are Boys and Girls Treated Differently?” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 6, 157–189.
- BARTOŠ, V., M. BAUER, J. CHYTILOVÁ, AND F. MATĚJKA (2016): “Attention Discrimination: Theory and Field Experiments with Monitoring Information Acquisition,” *The American Economic Review*, 106, 1437–1475.
- BEAMAN, L., R. CHATTOPADHYAY, E. DUFLO, R. PANDE, AND P. TOPALOVA (2009): “Powerful Women: Does Exposure Reduce Bias?” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 124, 1497–1540.
- BEAMAN, L., N. KELEHER, AND J. MAGRUDER (2018): “Do Job Networks Disadvantage Women? Evidence from a Recruitment Experiment in Malawi,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 36, 121–157.
- BERKOVEC, J. A., G. B. CANNER, S. A. GABRIEL, AND T. H. HANNAN (1998): “Discrimination, Competition, and Loan Performance in FHA Mortgage Lending,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 80, 241–250.
- BERTRAND, M. AND S. MULLAINATHAN (2004): “Are Emily and Greg More Employable than Lakisha and Jamal? A Field Experiment on Labor Market Discrimination,” *The American Economic Review*, 94, 991–1013.
- BIDDLE, J. E. AND D. S. HAMERMESH (1998): “Beauty, Productivity, and Discrimination: Lawyers’ Looks and Lucre,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 16, 172–201.
- BLACK, S. E. AND P. E. STRAHAN (2001): “The Division of Spoils: Rent-Sharing and Discrimination in a Regulated Industry,” *The American Economic Review*, 91, 814–831.
- BLANCHFLOWER, D. G., P. B. LEVINE, AND D. J. ZIMMERMAN (2003): “Discrimination in the Small-Business Credit Market,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 85, 930–943.
- BOLLINGER, C. R. (2003): “Measurement Error in Human Capital and the Black-White Wage Gap,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 85, 578–585.
- BOTELHO, F., R. A. MADEIRA, AND M. A. RANGEL (2015): “Racial Discrimination in Grading: Evidence from Brazil,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7, 37–52.

- BREDA, T. AND S. T. LY (2015): “Professors in Core Science Fields Are Not Always Biased against Women: Evidence from France,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 7, 53–75.
- BREVOORT, K. P. (2011): “Credit Card Redlining Revisited,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 93, 714–724.
- BRUECKNER, J. AND Y. ZENOU (2003): “Space and Unemployment: The Labor-Market Effects of Spatial Mismatch,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 21, 242–262.
- BURGESS, S. AND E. GREAVES (2013): “Test Scores, Subjective Assessment, and Stereotyping of Ethnic Minorities,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 31, 535–576.
- BUTCHER, K. F., K. H. PARK, AND A. M. PIEHL (2017): “Comparing Apples to Oranges: Differences in Women’s and Men’s Incarceration and Sentencing Outcomes,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 35, S201–S234.
- CARRUTHERS, C. K. AND M. H. WANAMAKER (2017): “Separate and Unequal in the Labor Market: Human Capital and the Jim Crow Wage Gap,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 35, 655–696.
- CASE, A. AND C. PAXSON (2008): “Stature and Status: Height, Ability, and Labor Market Outcomes,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 116, 499–532.
- CHARLES, K. AND J. GURRYAN (2008): “Prejudice and Wages: An Empirical Assessment of Becker’s The Economics of Discrimination,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 116, 773–809.
- COMBES, P.-P., B. DECREUSE, M. LAOUÉNAN, AND A. TRANNOY (2016): “Customer Discrimination and Employment Outcomes: Theory and Evidence from the French Labor Market,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 34, 107–160.
- DAHL, G. B. AND E. MORETTI (2008): “The Demand for Sons,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 75, 1085–1120.
- DONALD, S. G. AND D. S. HAMERMESH (2006): “What Is Discrimination? Gender in the American Economic Association, 1935-2004,” *The American Economic Review*, 96, 1283–1292.
- ECKSTEIN, Z. AND K. I. WOLPIN (1999): “Estimating the Effect of Racial Discrimination on First Job Wage Offers,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 81, 384–392.

- EDELMAN, B., M. LUCA, AND D. SVIRSKY (2017): “Racial Discrimination in the Sharing Economy: Evidence from a Field Experiment,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 9, 1–22.
- ELLIEHAUSEN, G. E. AND E. C. LAWRENCE (1990): “Discrimination in Consumer Lending,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 72, 156–160.
- EWENS, M., B. TOMLIN, AND L. C. WANG (2014): “Statistical Discrimination or Prejudice? A Large Sample Field Experiment,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 96, 119–134.
- FERSHTMAN, C. AND U. GNEEZY (2001): “Discrimination in a Segmented Society: An Experimental Approach,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116, 351–377.
- FOOTE, C., W. WHATLEY, AND G. WRIGHT (2003): “Arbitraging a Discriminatory Labor Market: Black Workers at the Ford Motor Company, 1918–1947,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 21, 493–532.
- FOSTER, A. D. AND M. R. ROSENZWEIG (1996): “Comparative Advantage, Information and the Allocation of Workers to Tasks: Evidence from an Agricultural Labour Market,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 63, 347–374.
- FRYER, R. G. AND S. D. LEVITT (2010): “An Empirical Analysis of the Gender Gap in Mathematics,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 2, 210–240.
- GARDEAZABAL, J. AND A. UGIDOS (2004): “More on Identification in Detailed Wage Decompositions,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 86, 1034–1036.
- GAYLE, G.-L. AND L. GOLAN (2012): “Estimating a Dynamic Adverse-Selection Model: Labour-Force Experience and the Changing Gender Earnings Gap 1968-1997,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 79, 227–267.
- GLOVER, D., A. PALLAIS, AND W. PARIENTE (2017): “Discrimination as a Self-Fulfilling Prophecy: Evidence from French Grocery Stores,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 132, 1219–1260.
- GOLDIN, C. AND C. ROUSE (2000): “Orchestrating Impartiality: The Impact of “Blind” Auditions on Female Musicians,” *The American Economic Review*, 90, 715–741.
- GOLDSMITH, A. H., D. HAMILTON, AND W. DARITY (2006): “Shades of Discrimination: Skin Tone and Wages,” *The American Economic Review*, 96, 242–245.

- GONG, J., Y. LU, AND H. SONG (2018): “The Effect of Teacher Gender on Students’ Academic and Noncognitive Outcomes,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 36, 743–778.
- HAMERMESH, D. S. AND J. E. BIDDLE (1994): “Beauty and the Labor Market,” *The American Economic Review*, 84, 1174–1194.
- HANNA, R. N. AND L. L. LINDEN (2012): “Discrimination in Grading,” *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 4, 146–168.
- HEAP, S. P. H. AND D. J. ZIZZO (2009): “The Value of Groups,” *The American Economic Review*, 99, 295–323.
- HEDEGAARD, M. S. AND J.-R. TYRAN (2018): “The Price of Prejudice,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 10, 40–63.
- HENDRICKS, W., L. DEBROCK, AND R. KOENKER (2003): “Uncertainty, Hiring, and Subsequent Performance: The NFL Draft,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 21, 857–886.
- HERSCH, J. (2008): “Profiling the New Immigrant Worker: The Effects of Skin Color and Height,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 26, 345–386.
- HEYWOOD, J. S. AND D. PARENT (2012): “Performance Pay and the White-Black Wage Gap,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 30, 249–290.
- HIRSCH, B. AND D. MACPHERSON (2004): “Wages, Sorting on Skill, and the Racial Composition of Jobs,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 22, 189–210.
- HIRSCH, B., T. SCHANK, AND C. SCHNABEL (2010): “Differences in Labor Supply to Monopsonistic Firms and the Gender Pay Gap: An Empirical Analysis Using Linked Employer-Employee Data from Germany,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 28, 291–330.
- HJORT, J. (2014): “Ethnic Divisions and Production in Firms,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129, 1899–1946.
- HOLZER, H. J. AND K. R. IHLANFELDT (1998): “Customer Discrimination and Employment Outcomes for Minority Workers,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 113, 835–867.
- ICHINO, A. AND E. MORETTI (2009): “Biological Gender Differences, Absenteeism, and the Earnings Gap,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 1, 183–218.

- IHLANFELDT, K. R. AND M. V. YOUNG (1994): “Intrametropolitan Variation in Wage Rates: The Case of Atlanta Fast-Food Restaurant Workers,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 76, 425–433.
- JAYACHANDRAN, S. AND I. KUZIEMKO (2011): “Why do Mothers Breastfeed Girls Less than Boys? Evidence and Implications for Child Health in India,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 126, 1485–1538.
- KELCHTERMANS, S. AND R. VEUGELERS (2013): “Top Research Productivity and its Persistence: Gender as a Double-Edged Sword,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 95, 273–285.
- KENNEY, G. M. AND D. A. WISSOKER (1994): “An Analysis of the Correlates of Discrimination Facing Young Hispanic Job-Seekers,” *The American Economic Review*, 84, 674–683.
- KNEPPER, M. (2018): “When the Shadow Is the Substance: Judge Gender and the Outcomes of Workplace Sex Discrimination Cases,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 36, 623–664.
- KNOWLES, J., N. PERSICO, AND P. TODD (2001): “Racial Bias in Motor Vehicle Searches: Theory and Evidence,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 109, 203–229.
- KREISMAN, D. AND M. A. RANGEL (2015): “On the Blurring of the Color Line: Wages and Employment for Black Males of Different Skin Tones,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 97, 1–13.
- KUHN, P. AND K. SHEN (2013): “Gender Discrimination in Job Ads: Evidence from China,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 128, 287–336.
- LANG, K. AND M. MANOVE (2011): “Education and Labor Market Discrimination,” *The American Economic Review*, 101, 1467–1496.
- LANGE, F. (2007): “The Speed of Employer Learning,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 25, 1–35.
- LEONARD, J. S., D. I. LEVINE, AND L. GIULIANO (2010): “Customer Discrimination,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 92, 670–678.
- LIST, J. A. (2004): “The Nature and Extent of Discrimination in the Marketplace: Evidence from the Field,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119, 49–89.

- (2006): "Friend or Foe?" A Natural Experiment of the Prisoner's Dilemma," *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 88, 463–471.
- MECHTENBERG, L. (2009): "Cheap Talk in the Classroom: How Biased Grading at School Explains Gender Differences in Achievements, Career Choices and Wages," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 76, 1431–1459.
- MILLER, A. R. AND C. SEGAL (2012): "Does Temporary Affirmative Action Produce Persistent Effects? A Study of Black and Female Employment in Law Enforcement," *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 94, 1107–1125.
- MOBIUS, M. M. AND T. S. ROSENBLAT (2006): "Why Beauty Matters," *The American Economic Review*, 96, 222–235.
- NARDINELLI, C. AND C. SIMON (1990): "Customer Racial Discrimination in the Market for Memorabilia: The Case of Baseball," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 105, 575–595.
- NEAL, D. A. AND W. R. JOHNSON (1996): "The Role of Premarket Factors in Black-White Wage Differences," *Journal of Political Economy*, 104, 869–895.
- NEGGERS, Y. (2018): "Enfranchising Your Own? Experimental Evidence on Bureaucrat Diversity and Election Bias in India," *American Economic Review*, 108, 1288–1321.
- NEUMARK, D., R. J. BANK, AND K. D. V. NORT (1996): "Sex Discrimination in Restaurant Hiring: An Audit Study," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 111, 915–941.
- NEUMARK, D. AND W. A. STOCK (1999): "Age Discrimination Laws and Labor Market Efficiency," *Journal of Political Economy*, 107, 1081–1125.
- OETTINGER, G. S. (1996): "Statistical Discrimination and the Early Career Evolution of the Black- White Wage Gap," *Journal of Labor Economics*, 14, 52–78.
- ONDRICH, J., S. ROSS, AND J. YINGER (2003): "Now You See It, Now You Don't: Why Do Real Estate Agents Withhold Available Houses from Black Customers?" *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 85, 854–873.
- OREOPOULOS, P. (2011): "Why Do Skilled Immigrants Struggle in the Labor Market? A Field Experiment with Thirteen Thousand Resumes," *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 3, 148–171.

- PARK, K. H. (2017): “Do Judges Have Tastes for Discrimination? Evidence from Criminal Courts,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 99, 810–823.
- PARSONS, C. A., J. SULAEMAN, M. C. YATES, AND D. S. HAMERMESH (2011): “Strike Three: Discrimination, Incentives, and Evaluation,” *The American Economic Review*, 101, 1410–1435.
- PERSICO, N., A. POSTLEWAITE, AND D. SILVERMAN (2004): “The Effect of Adolescent Experience on Labor Market Outcomes: The Case of Height,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 112, 1019–1053.
- PLUG, E., D. WEBBINK, AND N. MARTIN (2014): “Sexual Orientation, Prejudice, and Segregation,” *Journal of Labor Economics*, 32, 123–159.
- PRICE, J. AND J. WOLFERS (2010): “Racial Discrimination Among NBA Referees,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 125, 1859–1887.
- RAPAPORT, C. (1995): “Apparent Wage Discrimination when Wages are Determined by Nondiscriminatory Contracts,” *The American Economic Review*, 85, 1263–1277.
- REHAVI, M. M. AND S. B. STARR (2014): “Racial Disparity in Federal Criminal Sentences,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 122, 1320–1354.
- RITTER, J. A. AND L. J. TAYLOR (2011): “Racial Disparity in Unemployment,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 93, 30–42.
- RUBINSTEIN, Y. AND D. BRENNER (2014): “Pride and Prejudice: Using Ethnic-Sounding Names and Inter-Ethnic Marriages to Identify Labour Market Discrimination,” *The Review of Economic Studies*, 81, 389–425.
- SHAYO, M. AND A. ZUSSMAN (2011): “Judicial Ingroup Bias in the Shadow of Terrorism,” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 126, 1447–1484.
- (2017): “Conflict and the Persistence of Ethnic Bias,” *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 9, 137–65.
- SZYMANSKI, S. (2000): “A Market Test for Discrimination in the English Professional Soccer Leagues,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 108, 590–603.

- TOOTELL, G. M. B. (1996): “Redlining in Boston: Do Mortgage Lenders Discriminate Against Neighborhoods?” *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 111, 1049–1079.
- WEBER, A. AND C. ZULEHNER (2014): “Competition and Gender Prejudice: Are Discriminatory Employers Doomed to Fail?” *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 12, 492–521.
- WOLFERS, J. (2006): “Diagnosing Discrimination: Stock Returns and Ceo Gender,” *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 4, 531–541.
- WOZNIAK, A. (2015): “Discrimination and the Effects of Drug Testing on Black Employment,” *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 97, 548–566.

Appendix E. Qualtrics Surveys

In this section, we include the Qualtrics survey used in the MTurk worker math trivia task, followed by the survey used in the MTurk employer hiring task. Survey block titles (not shown to participants) are in bold and underlined.

CHICAGO BOOTH



The University of Chicago Booth School of Business

Intro

Thank you for participating in this survey!

The survey has two parts. In the first part you will answer some very basic demographics questions. In the second part you will answer 50 multiple-choice math questions.

We are interested in determining how many of these math questions you can get right without any help. So please **do not** use a calculator or look up the answers online, but rather just do your best. The number of questions you answer correctly will not affect your payment in any way.

Demographics

Please answer the personal profile questions below:

What is your favorite color?

What is your favorite movie?

Do you prefer coffee or tea?

☐ Tea

☐ Coffee

What is your age?

What is your gender?

☐ Female

☐ Male

What is your favorite subject in high school?

What is your favorite sport?

Math

Q1. What is the square root of 289?

☐ 17

☐ 19

☐ 15

☐ 21

Q2. $4 - 8 \cdot 9 / 2 = ?$

☐ -6

☐ -32

☐ -18

☐ -12

Q3. $3^5 = ?$

☐ 243

☐ 405

☐ 729

☐ 81

Q4. $5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 = ?$

☐ 233

☐ 210

☐ 240

☐ 180

Q5. What is the reduced form of the fraction $70/42$?

☐ $7/5$

☐ $14/10$

☐ $5/3$

☐ $10/6$

Q6. What is the cubic root of 64?

☐ 4

☐ 6

☐ 5

☐ 3

Q7. $(4+5)/5 = ?$

☐ 6.25

☐ 1

☐ 1.8

☐ 5

Q8. $x+2 < 18/3$. Which of the following is necessarily **false**?

☐ $x > 4$

☐ $x < 3$

☐ $x > 3$

☐ $x < 4$

Q9. $x^5 * x^8 = ?$

- ☐ x^{11}
 - ☐ x^{14}
 - ☐ x^{13}
 - ☐ x^{12}
-

Q10. Which of the following is approximately equal to 0.833?

- ☐ $5/6$
 - ☐ $4/5$
 - ☐ $6/7$
 - ☐ $3/4$
-

Q11. $x=5, y=6, z=7$, then what is $xy/(z-4)$?

- ☐ 8
 - ☐ 10
 - ☐ 6
 - ☐ 4
-

Q12. Which of the following is the closest integer to $45/7$?

- ☐ 6
 - ☐ 5
 - ☐ 7
 - ☐ 8
-

Q13. Which of the following is an integer multiple of 9?

- ☐ 3618
 - ☐ 3619
 - ☐ 3617
 - ☐ 3620
-

Q14. $10/5+34-4 = ?$

- ☐ 32
 - ☐ 34
 - ☐ 30
 - ☐ 36
-

Q15. $(x-1)*(x^2-4)=0$, then which of the following **cannot** be x?

- ☐ 2
 - ☐ -2
 - ☐ -1
 - ☐ 1
-

Q16. What is the square root of 196?

- ☐ 12
 - ☐ 13
 - ☐ 15
 - ☐ 14
-

Q17. $5-6/(18/9) = ?$

- ☐ 2
 - ☐ -2
 - ☐ -0.5
 - ☐ 0.5
-

Q18. $(y+9)*(y^2-121)=0$, then which of the following **cannot** be y?

- ☐ 11
 - ☐ 9
 - ☐ -9
 - ☐ -11
-

Q19. Which of the following is an integer multiple of 11?

- ☐ 133
 - ☐ 130
 - ☐ 132
 - ☐ 131
-

Q20. $5+6+7+8+9+10 = ?$

- ☐ 45
 - ☐ 51
 - ☐ 42
 - ☐ 48
-

Q21. What is the binary form of 7?

- ☐ 101
 - ☐ 100
 - ☐ 111
 - ☐ 110
-

Q22. $35/7+1 = ?$

- ☐ 6
 - ☐ 4
 - ☐ 7
 - ☐ 5
-

Q23. $24/4/3 = ?$

- ☐ 4
 - ☐ 3
 - ☐ 1
 - ☐ 2
-

Q24. Which of the following is an integer multiple of 4?

- ☐ 66
- ☐ 62

☐ 56

☐ 74

Q25. Which of the following is **not** a prime number?

☐ 4

☐ 2

☐ 3

☐ 5

Q26. $2 \times 3 \times 4 \times 5 = ?$

☐ 720

☐ 24

☐ 240

☐ 120

Q27. $6^3 = ?$

☐ 216

☐ 432

☐ 36

☐ 128

Q28. $(4 \times 2 + 7 \times 8) / 4 = ?$

☐ 20

☐ 24

☐ 16

☐ 12

Q29. Which of the following is a prime number?

☐ 23

☐ 27

☐ 21

☐ 25

Q30. $16 < x+8 < 26$. Which of the following could x be?

☐ 23

☐ 18

☐ 13

☐ 8

Q31. $45+3-1 = ?$

☐ 48

☐ 46

☐ 47

☐ 49

Q32. $x^6 + x^6 = ?$

☐ x^{12}

☐ x^{36}

☐ $(2x)^6$

☐ $2x^6$

Q33. Which of the following fractions cannot be further reduced?

☐ $7/35$

☐ $46/2$

☐ $3/5$

☐ $3/6$

Q34. Which of the following numbers has an integer square root?

☐ 40

☐ 48

☐ 32

☐ 36

Q35. $5 \cdot (7+3) + 5 - 4 = ?$

- ☐ 51
 - ☐ 55
 - ☐ 39
 - ☐ 32
-

Q36. Which of the following is **not** a factor of 30?

- ☐ 3
 - ☐ 5
 - ☐ 2
 - ☐ 4
-

Q37. $x^6 / x^4 = ?$

- ☐ x^{24}
 - ☐ x^{10}
 - ☐ x^2
 - ☐ $x^{(2/3)}$
-

Q38. $56/8 = ?$

- ☐ 6
 - ☐ 5
 - ☐ 7
 - ☐ 8
-

Q39. $2^4 - 3^3 = ?$

- ☐ 11
 - ☐ 9
 - ☐ -11
 - ☐ -9
-

Q40. $(18+19+20)/3 = ?$

- ☐ 20
 - ☐ 21
 - ☐ 19
 - ☐ 18
-

Q41. Twenty **cannot** be divided by which of the following?

- ☐ 5
 - ☐ 3
 - ☐ 2
 - ☐ 4
-

Q42. $4+8+12+16 = ?$

- ☐ 40
 - ☐ 20
 - ☐ 25
 - ☐ 45
-

Q43. $(x^5)^3 = ?$

- ☐ $5x^3$
 - ☐ $3x^5$
 - ☐ x^{15}
 - ☐ x^8
-

Q44. Which of the following is the correct factorization of 36?

- ☐ $4 * 9$
 - ☐ $2^2 * 3^2$
 - ☐ $4 * 3^2$
 - ☐ $2^2 * 9$
-

Q45. $3^2 * 2 = ?$

- ☐ 18
- ☐ 42

- ☐ 81
- ☐ 24

Q46. $-2*(-3-8) = ?$

- ☐ -14
- ☐ 14
- ☐ 22
- ☐ -22

Q47. Which of the following is an integer multiple of 5?

- ☐ 44
- ☐ 46
- ☐ 43
- ☐ 45

Q48. $x^4 = 81$. What is x ?

- ☐ 9
- ☐ 20.5
- ☐ 3
- ☐ 6

Q49. $76/4 = ?$

- ☐ 18
- ☐ 19
- ☐ 17
- ☐ 20

Q50. Which of the following is negative?

- ☐ 2^2
- ☐ $(-2)^2$
- ☐ $(-2)^3$

○ 2^3

Final

Thank you for your participation. In addition to your base payment, we may put a small bonus into your account sometime in the next few weeks. Who receives the bonus payment is determined by a different experiment that we are doing and is unrelated to how well you did in the task. Please just think of it as an additional appreciation for your efforts.

Powered by Qualtrics

CHICAGO BOOTH



The University of Chicago Booth School of Business

Introduction

Thank you for participating in this survey.

The survey has four parts. You will first answer some simple demographic questions. Then you will answer three sets of questions related to people's performance in math questions.

The survey will take approximately 20 minutes.

Please enter your M-Turk ID:

What is your gender?

- ☐ Male
- ☐ Female
-

What is your age?

Please indicate the highest level of education you have completed.

- ☐ Less than High School
- ☐ High School or equivalent
- ☐ Vocational/Technical School (2 year)

- ☐ Some College
 - ☐ College Graduate (4 year)
 - ☐ Master's Degree (MS)
 - ☐ Doctoral Degree (PhD)
 - ☐ Professional Degree (MD, JD, etc.)
 - ☐ Other
-

Setup

We recently paid many people to answer 50 math questions each. Here are some examples of the types of math questions we asked:

Question 1: What is the square root of 289?

Choices: 15, 17, 19, 21

Question 2: $4 - 8 * 9 / 2 = ?$

Choices: -6, -12, -18, -32

Question 3: What is the reduced form of the fraction 70/42?

Choices: 5/3, 10/6, 7/5, 14/10

Question 4: $x^5 * x^8 = ?$

Choices: x^{11} , x^{12} , x^{13} , x^{14}

Question 5: What is the binary form of 7?

Choices: 100, 101, 110, 111

On average, participants answered 36.95 out of 50 questions correctly.

Today, you are going to be an employer. You will hire one of the people who answered our math questions. The person you hire will be given a bonus (the wage that you choose to pay them) and in return you will receive money based on how many of the math questions they answered correctly.

Specifically, we are going to provide you with the profiles of 20 people (potential

Specifically, we are going to provide you with the profiles of 20 people (potential employees) who answered our math questions. For each of the 20 people that we present, you will indicate what is the highest wage (between 0 and 50 cents) you would be willing to pay that person. In return, you will be paid 1 cent for every question that the person you end up hiring answered correctly.

After you indicate the highest wage you would be willing to give to each employee, we will randomly draw a number between 0 and 50. If the wage you chose for the employee is equal to or higher than the randomly-drawn number, then that employee will receive the random number as a bonus, and you will receive a profit equal to the number of correct answers given by the individual minus the random number that was drawn. If the highest wage you were willing to pay the individual is lower than the random number, you will not hire the employee and neither you nor the employee will receive a bonus.

Let's walk through an example of how this works. Below is an example of a potential employee profile that you might see:

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| Country: | United States |
| Gender: | Female |
| Age: | 63 |
| Favorite High School Subject: | English |
| Favorite Sport: | Gymnastics |
| Favorite Color: | Sea Green |
| Favorite Movie: | Overboard |
| Prefers Coffee/Tea: | Tea |

We will ask you the highest amount you would be willing to pay this employee. Let's imagine that you say you would be willing to pay this employee 40 cents.

We will then select a random number between 0 and 50. Let's say the randomly-selected number is 20. Because the highest wage you are willing to pay that person is more than 20, you will "hire" this person and they will receive 20 cents. You will then be paid based on the number of correct answers this person gave. If the person answered 30 questions correctly, you will be paid 10 cents (30-20). If the person answered 10 questions correctly, you will be paid -10 cents (10-20).

Imagine instead that the randomly-drawn number is 45. Then you will not "hire" the person and neither you nor the person will receive a bonus.

In today's task, you will actually only hire 1 person. After you decide the most you would be willing to pay to each of the 20 people we present, we will randomly select one profile to use as the actual hiring decision. We will then draw the random number between 0 and 50 and pay you the profit you've earned for that profile and pay the wage to the person whose profile you pick. We are going to automatically give you a \$0.50 bonus in addition to what money you make with your hiring decision (so that there is no way you end up owing us any money after doing this task).

Just to make sure you understand, imagine you saw a profile and entered **43** as the highest amount you would be willing to pay. Now imagine the random number generated was **18** and the individual answered **10** questions correctly.

How many cents would you have to pay the individual?

How many cents would you be paid based on the individual's performance (before subtracting the wage you have to pay the individual)?

Suppose instead that you had reported **15** as the highest wage you would pay, and everything else stayed the same:

How many cents would you have to pay the individual?

How many cents would you be paid based on the individual's performance (before subtracting the wage you have to pay the individual)?

Hidden Generator

Required

You have completed $\$ \{ \text{Im://Field/1} \}$ of 20 required profiles.

Please indicate the **highest wage** you would be willing to pay this employee in the text box below.



Enter the highest wage you would be willing to pay this individual (between 0 and 50 cents):

Prediction

Thank you for completing part 2 of 4 of this survey. As promised, we will randomly select one profile and pay you your \$0.50 bonus plus whatever money you make

based on the hiring of the randomly-selected profile.

For the third part of this survey, please answer the six questions below. Please remember that people answered **36.95** questions correctly on average.

On average, how many math questions out of 50 do you think **women** answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50 do you think **men** answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50 do you think **people from the United States** answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50 do you think **people from India** answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50 do you think **people below or at the age of 33** answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50 do you think **people above the age of 33** answered correctly?

Thank you for completing part 2 of 4 of this survey. As promised, we will randomly select one profile and pay you your \$0.50 bonus plus whatever money you make based on the hiring of the randomly-selected profile.

For the third part of this survey, please answer the six questions below. Please remember that people answered **36.95** questions correctly on average.

You have the chance to earn a significant bonus if you answer these questions correctly. We will randomly pick one question and pay you \$5 minus your deviation from the correct answer. For example, if your answer for the randomly picked question is 40 and the truth is 37, then you will get a \$2 bonus. You cannot receive a negative bonus. So, please answer the questions as carefully as possible so that you can potentially win a large bonus.

On average, how many math questions out of 50

do you think **women** answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50
do you think **men** answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50
do you think **people from the United States**
answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50
do you think **people from India** answered
correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50
do you think **people below or at the age of 33**
answered correctly?

On average, how many math questions out of 50
do you think **people above the age of 33**
answered correctly?

Truth

Here are the correct answers for the 6 questions you have answered above. On average:

- Women got **35.28** questions right.
- Men got **38.32** questions right.
- People from the U.S. got **37.14** questions right.
- People from India got **36.58** questions right.
- People below or at the age of 33 got **37.10** questions right.
- People above the age of 33 got **36.79** questions right.

Now that you have learned those facts, we would like you to work on 10 more profiles.

As before, after you finish working on those 10 additional profiles, we will randomly select one profile and randomly select a number between 0 and 50. If your highest wage is more than the randomly-selected number, we will pay you the profit you've earned for that profile as a bonus and pay the wage to the person who answered the math questions.

Extra

You have completed \${Im://Field/1} of 10 additional profiles.

Please indicate the **highest wage** you would be willing to pay this employee in the text box below.



Enter the highest wage you would be willing to pay this individual (between 0 and 50 cents):

Final

Thank you for your participation. We will calculate your bonus based on the rules specified in each part above, and pay the bonus to your account within a week.

If you have any additional comments about this survey, please provide them below.
(Optional)
