

The purpose of this assignment was to perform exploratory data analysis using Pandas on data collected from 15 high schools, some charter and some district, or public schools. The data consisted of all students by name from each school, individual math and reading scores, the number of students at each school, and the budget of each school. Pandas provided a means of combining data from more than one source to create one merged dataframe, calculating aggregate statistics, and filtering the data based on various characteristics using indexing. By looking at the mean scores in math and reading among all the scores, we see that the overall average reading score is higher than the overall average math score. One may be interested in which factors are associated, or maybe, influence the difference in scores for math and reading.

If we filter the data based on whether the school is a charter school versus whether the school is a district school, it is apparent that charter schools in this dataset have higher marks in average math score, average reading score, percentage of students passing math, percentage of students passing reading, and percentage of students passing. The possible reasons that account for this discrepancy in scores between charter scores could be funding, socioeconomic status related factors, such as the need for students to hold part-time jobs to earn money, lack of emphasis from parents on education, tutoring paid for by parents, or other reasons.

However, further inspection of the data shows a lack of support for the idea that better funded schools have more students with better math and reading grades. It does not seem that the budget per student at charter schools is overwhelmingly greater than the budget per student at district schools, based on the appearance of the dataframe below.

| | School Type | Total Students | Total School Budget | Per Student Budget | Average Math Score | Average Reading Score | % Passing Math | % Passing Reading | % Overall Passing | Spending Ranges (Per Student) |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| school_name | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bailey High School | District | 4976 | \$3,124,928.00 | 628.0 | 77.048432 | 81.033963 | 66.680064 | 81.933280 | 54.642283 | \$585-630 |
| Cabrera High School | Charter | 1858 | \$1,081,356.00 | 582.0 | 83.061895 | 83.975780 | 94.133477 | 97.039828 | 91.334769 | <\$585 |
| Figueroa High School | District | 2949 | \$1,884,411.00 | 639.0 | 76.711767 | 81.158020 | 65.988471 | 80.739234 | 53.204476 | \$630-645 |
| Ford High School | District | 2739 | \$1,763,916.00 | 644.0 | 77.102592 | 80.746258 | 68.309602 | 79.299014 | 54.289887 | \$630-645 |
| Griffin High School | Charter | 1468 | \$917,500.00 | 625.0 | 83.351499 | 83.816757 | 93.392371 | 97.138965 | 90.599455 | \$585-630 |
| Hernandez High School | District | 4635 | \$3,022,020.00 | 652.0 | 77.289752 | 80.934412 | 66.752967 | 80.862999 | 53.527508 | \$645-680 |
| Holden High School | Charter | 427 | \$248,087.00 | 581.0 | 83.803279 | 83.814988 | 92.505855 | 96.252927 | 89.227166 | <\$585 |
| Huang High School | District | 2917 | \$1,910,635.00 | 655.0 | 76.629414 | 81.182722 | 65.683922 | 81.316421 | 53.513884 | \$645-680 |
| Johnson High School | District | 4761 | \$3,094,650.00 | 650.0 | 77.072464 | 80.966394 | 66.057551 | 81.222432 | 53.539172 | \$645-680 |
| Pena High School | Charter | 962 | \$585,858.00 | 609.0 | 83.839917 | 84.044699 | 94.594595 | 95.945946 | 90.540541 | \$585-630 |
| Rodriguez High School | District | 3999 | \$2,547,363.00 | 637.0 | 76.842711 | 80.744686 | 66.366592 | 80.220055 | 52.988247 | \$630-645 |
| Shelton High School | Charter | 1761 | \$1,056,600.00 | 600.0 | 83.359455 | 83.725724 | 93.867121 | 95.854628 | 89.892107 | \$585-630 |
| Thomas High School | Charter | 1635 | \$1,043,130.00 | 638.0 | 83.418349 | 83.848930 | 93.272171 | 97.308869 | 90.948012 | \$630-645 |
| Wilson High School | Charter | 2283 | \$1,319,574.00 | 578.0 | 83.274201 | 83.989488 | 93.867718 | 96.539641 | 90.582567 | <\$585 |
| Wright High School | Charter | 1800 | \$1,049,400.00 | 583.0 | 83.682222 | 83.955000 | 93.333333 | 96.611111 | 90.333333 | <\$585 |

In addition, filtering the data based on budget range shows that the average marks and percentage of students passing for higher budget buckets are lower than those for lower budget buckets. Despite this seemingly negative correlation between school budget and student scores or student pass rates, one must be mindful not necessarily to attribute lower student scores or pass rates to a higher school budget. Perhaps, despite some schools having higher budgets per student, there are lower teachers to student ratios, so the schools with seemingly higher budgets have less academic attention given to each student. Or perhaps, there are other factors that are more powerful than school budget that influence students' academic performance, such as the ones mentioned above.

| | Average Math Score | Average Reading Score | % Passing Math | % Passing Reading | % Overall Passing |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Spending Ranges (Per Student) | | | | | |
| <\$585 | 83.455399 | 83.933814 | 93.460096 | 96.610877 | 90.369459 |
| \$585-630 | 81.899826 | 83.155286 | 87.133538 | 92.718205 | 81.418596 |
| \$630-645 | 78.518855 | 81.624473 | 73.484209 | 84.391793 | 62.857656 |
| \$645-680 | 76.997210 | 81.027843 | 66.164813 | 81.133951 | 53.526855 |

Through this data, one can obtain a glimpse into the math and reading scores of a set of charter and district/public schools. From this data, it is apparent that charter schools, on average have higher math scores, reading scores, percentage passing math, percentage passing reading, and percentage passing both. The data also suggests that budget is not a factor influencing scores and pass rates. Whereas one could speculate endless reasons for these differences in academic performance, one reason slightly supported by the data is school size, and potentially consequently teachers to student ratios. One rudimentary solution is to allocate more budget funds from other areas to hiring more instructors to raise the teachers to student ratios. Another preliminary solution is to have regular 1-on-1 teacher-student meetings throughout the school year. For other potential causes, investigation into other data is needed, and experimentation with the factors underlying those reasons would be pure guesswork.