

Awesome analysis of MACS1752

Karen Y. Ng,¹ [order TBD] M. James Jee,² D. Wittman,¹ William A. Dawson,³
Nathan Golovich¹

arXiv

ABSTRACT

Key words: Galaxies: clusters: individual: MACS J1752.0+4440; Large-scale structure of Universe;

1 INTRODUCTION

2 DATA

2.1 Keck DEIMOS Observations and Spectra Reduction

- Date and observation conditions
- Refer to Will’s 2014 paper for details of spectra reduction

2.2 Subaru / SuprimeCam Observation

Date and observation conditions

2.3 Hubble Space Telescope Observation

Date and observation conditions

2.4 Data Reduction for Subaru and HST data

refer to James’ CIZA paper

2.4.1 Extinction Correction

There is low extinction in the field of view of MACSJ1752. The mean of the extinction magnitude in E(B-V) is 0.036, while the standard deviation in the extinction magnitude is 8.5×10^{-3} (ACCORDING TO WHOSE MAP?). We first perform cubic interpolation to infer the E(B-V) values at the spatial location of all the entries of our data catalog. Then we perform dust correction for other bands according to Schlafly & Finkbeiner (reference from CIZA paper 3.3).

2.4.2 Source selection and shape measurement

We make use of a Random Forest algorithm to train a classifier of cluster member galaxies, source galaxies, and other contaminants such as stars and foreground galaxies.

- S/N cuts - ellipticity error < 0.3 and detection significance $> 5\sigma$

- K-correction?
- Selection based on $(g - i)$ vs i band?
- Star galaxy separation based on half-light radius
- Source density counts

2.4.3 Source redshift estimation

Cosmic Evolution Survey photometric catalog (COSMOS) Ilbert et al. 2009 comparison of depth between our Subaru image and the COSMOS image?

3 METHOD

3.1 Optical analysis

3.1.1 Determining the number of galaxy subclusters and membership

3.1.2 Brightest Cluster Galaxies identification (BCG)

3.1.3 Number density and luminosity map

3.1.4 Dynamics of the subclusters

- LOS velocities
- mass estimation from velocity dispersion

3.2 Weak lensing (WL) analysis with LENSTOOL

3.3 Offset between the DM and galaxy centroids

3.4 Setup of Dawson’s dynamical simulation

3.4.1 *Weights due to radio relic info*

4 RESULTS

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Offset between the DM centroids and galaxy centroids

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX A: MCMC DIAGNOSTICS FROM THE WL ANALYSIS

Fig 1. Chains indicating burn-in and the posterior density acceptance rate!

APPENDIX B: OUTPUTS FROM DYNAMICAL SIMULATION

This paper has been typeset from a \TeX / \LaTeX file prepared by the author.