

# Awesome analysis of MACS1752

Karen Y. Ng,<sup>1</sup> [order TBD] M. J. James,<sup>2</sup> D. Wittman,<sup>1</sup> William A. Dawson,<sup>3</sup>  
Nathan Golovich<sup>1</sup>

arXiv

## ABSTRACT

**Key words:** Galaxies: clusters: individual: MACS J1752.0+4440; Large-scale structure of Universe;

## 1 INTRODUCTION

## 2 DATA

### 2.1 Keck DEIMOS Observations and Spectra Reduction

- Date and observation conditions
- Refer to Will’s 2014 paper for details of spectra reduction

### 2.2 Subaru / SuprimeCam Observation

Date and observation conditions

### 2.3 Hubble Space Telescope Observation

Date and observation conditions

### 2.4 Data Reduction for Subaru and HST data

refer to James’ CIZA paper

#### 2.4.1 Extinction Correction

Very low extinction variation across the field Dust correction (Schlafly & Finkbeiner reference from CIZA paper 3.3) Interpolation between the extinction piece points using Cubic interpolation?

#### 2.4.2 Source selection and shape measurement

- S/N cuts - ellipticity error  $< 0.3$  and detection significance  $> 5\sigma$
- K-correction?
- Selection based on  $(g - i)$  vs  $i$  band?
- Star galaxy separation based on half-light radius
- Source density counts

#### 2.4.3 Source redshift estimation

Cosmic Evolution Survey photometric catalog (COSMOS) Ilbert et al. 2009 comparison of depth between our Subaru image and the COSMOS image?

## 3 METHOD

### 3.1 Optical analysis

#### 3.1.1 Determining the number of galaxy subclusters and membership

#### 3.1.2 Brightest Cluster Galaxies identification (BCG)

#### 3.1.3 Number density and luminosity map

#### 3.1.4 Dynamics of the subclusters

- LOS velocities
- mass estimation from velocity dispersion

### 3.2 Weak lensing analysis (WL) with LENSTOOL

### 3.3 Offset between the DM and galaxy centroids

### 3.4 Setup of Dawson’s dynamical simulation

#### 3.4.1 Weights due to radio relic info

## 4 RESULTS

## 5 DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Offset between the DM centroids and galaxy centroids

## 6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

## REFERENCES

## APPENDIX A: MCMC DIAGNOSTICS FROM THE WL ANALYSIS

Fig 1. Chains indicating burn-in and the posterior density acceptance rate!

**APPENDIX B: OUTPUTS FROM DYNAMICAL  
SIMULATION**

This paper has been typeset from a  $\text{\TeX}$ / $\text{\LaTeX}$  file prepared by the author.