

# Simplicial Homology

An introduction to simplicial homology theory

Luciano Melodia

September 26, 2023

## Abstract

This paper explores the foundational concepts of simplicial structures that form the basis of simplicial homology theory. It also introduces singular homology as a means to establish the equivalence of homology groups for homomorphic topological spaces. The paper concludes by providing a proof of the equivalence between simplicial and singular homology groups.

## Contents

1	Simplicial Complexes	1
2	Homology Groups	3
3	Singular Homology	5
4	Chain Complexes	5
5	Exact Sequences	5
6	Relative Homology Groups	5
7	The Equivalence of $H_k^\Delta$ and $H_k$	5

## 1 Simplicial Complexes

We would like to emphasize that a collection of points  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is considered to be *affinely independent* if these points do not lie within any affine subspace of dimension lower than  $d$ .

**Definition.** Given a set  $X = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of  $d+1$  *affinely independent* points, the  $d$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma$ , also known as a  **$d$ -simplex**,

is defined as the set of all convex combinations of these points.

$$\sigma := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i x_i \mid \sum_{i=0}^d \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0 \right\}. \quad (1)$$

As a convention, the empty set  $\emptyset$  is included as a face, representing the simplex formed by the empty subset of vertices. A 0-simplex represents a single point, a 1-simplex represents a line segment connecting two points, a 2-simplex represents a triangle, and a 3-simplex represents a tetrahedron. It is worth mentioning that the  $d$ -simplex is homeomorphic to the  $d$ -dimensional disk  $D^d$ .

Furthermore, it is worth noting that  $\sigma$  represents the convex hull of the points  $X$ , which can be defined as the smallest convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that contains all the points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d$ . The *faces* of the simplex  $\sigma$  with vertex set  $X$  are simplices formed by subsets of  $X$ . An  $d$ -*face* of a simplex refers to a subset of the vertices of the simplex with a cardinality of  $d+1$ . The faces of an  $d$ -simplex with a dimension less than  $d$  are known as its *proper faces*. Two simplices are considered to be *properly situated* if their intersection is either empty or a face of both simplices. By identifying simplices along entire faces, we can construct the resulting *simplicial complexes*.

**Definition.** A **simplicial complex**  $K$  is a finite collection of simplices that satisfies the following properties:

1. For every simplex  $\sigma$  in  $K$  and every face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma$ , it follows that  $\tau$  is also in  $K$ .
2. If  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are both simplices in  $K$ , then they are properly situated.

The *dimension* of  $K$  is defined as the highest dimension among its simplices. For a simplicial complex  $K$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , its *underlying space*  $|K|$  is the union of all the simplices in  $K$ . The topology of  $K$  is determined by the topology induced on  $|K|$  by the standard topology in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is important to note that when the vertex set is known, a simplicial complex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be fully characterized by listing its simplices. As a result, we can describe it purely in terms of combinatorics using *abstract simplicial complexes*.

**Definition.** Consider a finite set  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . An **abstract simplicial complex**  $\tilde{K}$  with vertex set  $V$  is a collection of finite subsets of  $V$  that satisfies the following two conditions:

1. All elements of  $V$  are included in  $\tilde{K}$ .
2. If  $\sigma$  is a subset of  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tau$  is a subset of  $\sigma$ , then  $\tau$  is also a subset of  $\tilde{K}$ .

The abstract simplicial complex  $\tilde{K}$  associated with a simplicial complex  $K$  is commonly referred to as its *vertex scheme*. Conversely, if an abstract complex  $\tilde{K}$  serves as the vertex scheme for a complex  $K$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $K$  is known as a *geometric realization* of  $\tilde{K}$ .

**Lemma.** *Every finite abstract simplicial complex  $\tilde{K}$  can be realized geometrically in a Euclidean space.*

*Proof.* Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  denote the vertex set of  $\tilde{K}$ , where  $n$  represents the number of vertices in  $\tilde{K}$ . Consider  $\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , the simplex formed by the span of  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ , where  $e_i$  represents the  $i$ th unit vector. In this context,  $K$  refers to the subcomplex of  $\sigma$  such that  $[e_{i_0}, \dots, e_{i_d}]$  is a  $d$ -simplex of  $K$  if and only if  $[v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_k}]$  is a simplex of  $\tilde{K}$ .  $\square$

**Note:** All realizations of an abstract simplicial complex are homeomorphic to each other. The specific realization mentioned above is referred to as the *natural realization*. Furthermore, it has been proven that any finite abstract simplicial complex of dimension  $n$  can be realized as a simplicial complex in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ .

## 2 Homology Groups

Given a set  $V$  representing the vertices of a simplex  $\sigma$ , we can establish an *orientation* for the simplex by selecting a specific ordering for the vertices. If the vertex ordering differs from our chosen order by an odd permutation, it is considered *reversed*, while even permutations are said to *preserve* the orientation. Consequently, any simplex can have only two possible orientations. Moreover, the orientation of a  $d$ -simplex induces an orientation on its  $(d-1)$ -faces. To be more precise, if  $\sigma^{(d)} := (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d)$  represents an oriented  $d$ -simplex, then the orientation of the  $(d-1)$ -face  $\tau$  of  $\sigma^{(d)}$  with the vertex set  $\{v_0, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_d\}$  is given by  $\tau_i = (-1)^i (v_0, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_d)$ .

**Definition.** *Given a set  $\{\sigma_1^{(d)}, \dots, \sigma_k^{(d)}\}$  of arbitrarily oriented  $d$ -simplices of a complex  $K$  and an abelian group  $G$ , we define a  **$d$ -chain**  $c$  with coefficients  $g_i \in G$  as a formal sum.*

$$c := g_1 \sigma_1^{(n)} + g_2 \sigma_2^{(n)} + \dots + g_k \sigma_k^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \sigma_i^{(n)}. \quad (2)$$

**Note:** Henceforth we will assume that  $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

**Lemma.** *The set of  $d$ -chains  $L_d$  is an abelian group  $(L_d, +)$ .*

*Proof.* The identity element of the group is represented by the empty chain  $\sum_{i=1}^k e_G \sigma_i^{(d)} = e_G$ . The sum of two chains is defined as  $c + c' = \sum_i 1^k (g_i + g'_i) \sigma_i^{(d)}$ , thus, we can conclude that  $c + c' \in L_d$ . The associativity of the group operation in  $L_d$  follows directly from the associativity of the group operation in  $G$ . The inverse element is defined as  $e_{L_d} = c + (-c) = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \sigma_i^{(d)} - \sum_{i=1}^k (-g_i) \sigma_i^{(d)} = \sum_{i=1}^k (g_i - g_i) \sigma_i^{(d)}$  with  $c, -c \in L_d$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $\sigma^{(d)}$  be an oriented  $d$ -simplex in a complex  $K$ . The **boundary** of  $\sigma^{(d)}$  is defined as the  $(d-1)$ -chain of  $K$  with coefficients in the abelian group  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ , given by

$$\partial(\sigma^{(d)}) = \sigma_0^{(d-1)} + \sigma_1^{(d-1)} + \dots + \sigma_d^{(d-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^{(d-1)} \quad (3)$$

where  $\sigma_i^{(d-1)}$  is an  $(d-1)$ -face of  $\sigma^{(d)}$ . If  $d = 0$ , we define  $\partial(\sigma^{(0)}) = e_G = 0$ .

Since  $\sigma^{(d)}$  is an oriented simplex, the  $\sigma_i^{(d-1)}$ -faces also have associated orientations. We can extend the definition of the boundary linearly to all elements of  $L_d$ .

**Lemma.** The **boundary operator** is a group homomorphism  $\partial : L_d \rightarrow L_{d-1}$ .

*Proof.* We define the boundary operator for a  $d$ -chain  $c = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \sigma_i^{(d)}$  as follows:  $\partial(c) = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \partial(\sigma_i^{(d)}) = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_j^{(d-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^d g_i \sigma_j^{(d-1)} \in L_{d-1}$ , where  $\sigma_i^{(d)}$  are the  $d$ -simplices of  $K$ . We can compute this by

$$\partial(c + c') = \partial\left(\sum_{i=1}^k g_i \sigma_i^{(d)} + \sum_{j=1}^l g'_j \sigma_j^{(d)}\right) \quad (4)$$

$$= \partial\left(\sum_{i=1}^k g_i \sigma_i^{(d)}\right) + \partial\left(\sum_{j=1}^l g'_j \sigma_j^{(d)}\right) \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \partial(\sigma_i^{(d)}) + \sum_{j=1}^l g'_j \partial(\sigma_j^{(d)}) \quad (6)$$

$$= \partial(c) + \partial(c'). \quad (7)$$

□

**Example.** Let's consider the 2-simplex  $\sigma^{(2)}$  with vertices  $v_0, v_1$ , and  $v_2$ . The 1-faces of this simplex are  $e_0 = (v_1, v_2)$  connecting  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ,  $e_1 = (v_2, v_0)$  connecting  $v_2$  and  $v_0$ , and  $e_2 = (v_0, v_1)$  connecting  $v_0$  and  $v_1$ . Now, let's proceed with the computation.

$$\partial(\partial(\sigma^{(2)})) = \partial(e_1 + e_2 + e_3) \quad (8)$$

$$= \partial e_1 + \partial e_2 + \partial e_3 \quad (9)$$

$$= \partial(v_0, v_1) + \partial(v_1, v_2) + \partial(v_2, v_0) \quad (10)$$

$$= [(v_1) - (v_0)] + [(v_2) - (v_1)] + [(v_0) - (v_2)]. \quad (11)$$

We observe that  $L_0$  is an abelian group and that oppositely oriented simplices cancel each other out, resulting in  $\partial(\partial(\sigma^{(2)})) = 0$ . This property can be generalized to higher dimensions through induction. Therefore, since  $\partial$  is a linear operator and the chain  $c$  is a sum of  $d$ -simplices, we can conclude that  $\partial^2(c) = 0$

for any  $d$ -chain  $c$  in  $L_d$ . Consequently, the boundary of the boundary is zero. Moreover, if the boundary of a simplex is zero, it is referred to as a cycle. By this definition, we can deduce that the boundary of any simplex is a cycle.

**Definition.** A  $d$ -chain is referred to as a **cycle** if its boundary is equal to zero. We denote the set of  $d$ -cycles of a complex  $K$  over the group  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  as  $Z_d$ . It is important to note that  $Z_d$  is a subgroup of  $L_d$  and can also be expressed as  $Z_d = \ker(\partial)$ .

**Definition.** A  $d$ -cycle  $c$  of a  $k$ -complex  $K$  is said to be **homologous to zero** if it can be expressed as the boundary of an  $(d + 1)$ -chain in  $K$ , where  $d = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ . In other words, a cycle is considered a boundary if it can be "filled in" by a higher-dimensional chain. This relationship is denoted as  $c \sim 0$ , and the subgroup of  $Z_d$  consisting of boundaries is referred to as the **boundary group**  $B_d$ . It is worth noting that  $B_d$  is equal to the image of the boundary operator  $\partial$ .

### 3 Singular Homology

### 4 Chain Complexes

### 5 Exact Sequences

### 6 Relative Homology Groups

### 7 The Equivalence of $H_k^\Delta$ and $H_k$

### References

- [1] Boissonnat, J. D., Chazal, F., Yvinec, M. (2018). Geometric and Topological Inference (Vol. 57). Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Edelsbrunner, H., Harer, J. L. (2022). Computational Topology: An Introduction. American Mathematical Society.
- [3] Hatcher, A. (2005). Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press.
- [4] Jonsson, J. (2011). Introduction to Simplicial Homology. Königliche Technische Hochschule. URL: <https://people.kth.se/~jakobj/doc/homology/homology.pdf>.
- [5] Khoury, M. (2022). Lecture 6: Introduction to Simplicial Homology. Topics in Computational Topology: An Algorithmic View. Ohio State University. URL: <http://web.cse.ohio-state.edu/~wang.1016/courses/788/Lecs/lec6-marc.pdf>.

- [6] Melodia, L., Lenz, R. (2021). Estimate of the Neural Network Dimension Using Algebraic Topology and Lie Theory. In Pattern Recognition. ICPR International Workshops and Challenges.
- [7] Nadathur, P. (2007). An Introduction to Homology. University of Chicago. URL: <https://www.math.uchicago.edu/~may/VIGRE/VIGRE2007/REUPapers/FINALFULL/Nadathur.pdf>.
- [8] Pontryagin L. S. (1952): Foundations of Combinatorial Topology. Graylock Press.