



# POLITECNICO MILANO 1863

A.A. 2019/2020  
Software Engineering 2 Project:

“SAFE-STREET”

**Requirements Analysis and Specification Document**

November 6, 2019

Prof. Rossi Matteo Giovanni

Amirsalar Molaei  
karim Zakaria Saloma  
Erfan Rahnemoon

## Contents

## List of Figures

## List of Tables

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose

### 1.1.1 General Purpose

Nowadays, an ever-increasing number of cars and a shortage in the number of police officers caused the emergence of various traffic violations and accidents. Although two traditional solutions to solve these problems were rising the number of police officers and their equipment, due to the poor efficiency and inordinate cost, it is not feasible to continue this trend. This is where the power of technology can take the responsibility to help authorities to bring the order to the streets.

The only solution to assist authorities without expanding budgets is to participate in people with an intuitive and simple method. Hence, the *SafeStreets* app is proposed, which provides the possibility of reporting traffic violations and accidents by taking advantage of crowd-sourcing. Users can report violations by just taking pictures of infringement and license plate, then sending them.

### 1.1.2 Goals

The goals that the system aimed to achieve are presented as follows:

- [G1]Users should be able to report traffic violations
- [G2]Users should be able to access information regarding the safety of different areas.
- [G3]Authorities should have access to the details of the traffic violations reported by the users.
- [G4]Authorities should be provided with possible interventions to prevent violations.
- [G5]Authorities should have access to refined data related to committed violations.
- [G6]Users should be able to view reports that they have previously made.

## 1.2 Scope

The *SafeStreets* system shall be providing four main functions to various users; in this section, the system boundaries and scope used to define the limitations and different responsibilities of the S2B.

The first of the main functionalities is the enabling of users to report traffic violations. Regarding this, some phenomena are regarded as world phenomena not viewed by the system due to its limitations such as the fact that the system does not directly detect a violation. However, it can be accounted for by the system through a traffic report made by the users. Moreover, another functionality that has to do with the users is the publishing of collected data to be viewed by the users in a refined representation to help them consider the safety of various areas based on traffic violations. The data is also communicated to the authorities but with different levels of details.

The other two main functions have to do with the *SafeStreets* system providing services to government authorities. The domain limitations of the system affecting this interaction are also discussed in this section. Such as, the fact that the system is only able to make suggestions for preventive measures to the authorities based on the accident data that have been communicated. Meaning, that the system does not have any knowledge of accidents unless they are reported by the authorities and that the system can only suggest interventions and neither put them into place nor can detect them being applied. Moreover, a second function to the authorities would be the communication of traffic reports received from users to be later used by government officials to give out traffic tickets, the system responsibilities to support this process is to prevent the users from tampering with images *digitally* and to provide the collected reports to the authorities proactively. In other words, physical tampering with license plates to mislead authorities and the actual process of giving out tickets is not part of the application domain.

Below is a table summarizing and classifying the different phenomena that are related to the system functionalities. Main system functionalities: F1: Reporting of violations F2: Communication of collected data to users F3: Suggestion of interventions F4: Communication of reports for ticketing

Phenomena	Classification	Justification
Tampering with license plate	World	Pure world phenomena since no measure to detect nor prevent this phenomenon through the system
Issuing of tickets	World	The actual issuing of the tickets is the responsibility of the authorities, the system has no part in it and does not issue tickets
Putting preventive measures for traffic violations into place	World	The application of preventive measures is a pure world phenomenon as the system does not apply them
Traffic violations	World	The system does not directly observe or prevent violations if they are not reported by the authorities, it is held responsible for not having knowledge of them
Occurrence of accidents	World	Similarly, to traffic violations unless system is informed of data through the authorities it has no knowledge of them
Publishing of insights regarding the accumulated data	Shared	Performed by the machine observed by the world
Reporting traffic violation	Shared	Performed by users in the world observed by the system
Publishing of accident data by the municipality	Shared	Performed by authorities in the world observed by the system
Suggesting interventions	Shared	Performed by system and communicated to authorities, observed by the world

### 1.3 Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations

### 1.4 Revision history

### 1.5 Reference Documents

Systems and software engineering — Life cycle processes — Requirements engineering IEEE 29148  
 Alloy Documentation UML

### 1.6 Document Structure

## **2 Overall Description**

### **2.1 Product perspective**

### **2.2 Product functions**

### **2.3 User characteristics**

### **2.4 Assumptions, Dependencies and Constraints**

## 3 Specific Requirements

### 3.1 External Interface Requirements

#### 3.1.1 User Interfaces

#### 3.1.2 Hardware Interfaces

#### 3.1.3 Software Interfaces

#### 3.1.4 Communication Interfaces

### 3.2 Functional Requirements

### 3.3 Performance Requirements

### 3.4 Design Constraints

#### 3.4.1 Standards compliance

#### 3.4.2 Hardware limitations

#### 3.4.3 Any other constraint

### 3.5 Software System Attributes

#### 3.5.1 Reliability

#### 3.5.2 Availability

#### 3.5.3 Security

#### 3.5.4 Maintainability

#### 3.5.5 Portability