CSCI 235, Programming Languages, Python 2

Deadline: 16.11.2018, 21.00

Goal of this exercise is that

- 1. you will use Python for scientific computing,
- 2. that you have seen Runge-Kutta methods for solving differential equations numerically,
- 3. that you understand in principle what the convergence order of a numerical method is,
- 4. that you have a feeling of the difference in speed between Python and C^{++}
- Read the complete task before starting!
- Submit the final answer into Moodle as a single file myname.py. Additional information must be put in Python comments
- Answers that show evidence of lazyness, not reading the complete task, incomplete testing, may result in loss of credit!

The *catenary* is the form that a chain (or a rope without stiffness) assumes, when it is fixed at two points. It is defined by the differential equation

$$y''(x) = \mu \cdot \sqrt{1 + (y'(x))^2}.$$
 (1)

The differential equation has an exact solution, namely

$$y(x) = \frac{\cosh(\mu \cdot x)}{\mu} = \frac{e^{\mu \cdot x} + e^{-\mu \cdot x}}{2\mu}.$$

Because we know the exact solution, it can be used for testing numerical methods. We can approximate a solution, using Runge-Kutta, and compare it to the exact solution given above.

Runge-Kutta methods are a well-known family of numerical methods that are used for solving differential equations. Runge-Kutte methods approximate differential equations of form

$$y' = F(y)$$
.

Equation 1 is second order, but this problem can be solved by vectorization. We first list a few Runge-Kutta methods:

Euler's Method Euler's method is the simplest Runge-Kutta method: It is given by

$$\frac{k = F(y)}{y(x+h) = y(x) + h.k}$$

Heun's Method: Heun's method is also a Runge-Kutta method.

$$k_1 = F(x)
k_2 = F(x + h.k_1)
y(x + h) = \frac{h}{2}(k_1 + k_2)$$

Standard Runge-Kutta:

$$k_1 = F(y)$$

$$k_2 = F(y + \frac{h}{2}k_1)$$

$$k_3 = F(y + \frac{h}{2}k_2)$$

$$k_4 = F(y + hk_3)$$

$$y(x + h) = \frac{h}{6}(k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$

More methods can be found in the C^{++} -program.

In order to obtain a first-order method, Equation 1 has to be vectorized by defining $y_0(x) = y(x)$, $y_1(x) = y'(x)$. Then one can use

$$F((y_0, y_1)) = (y_1, \mu.\sqrt{1 + (y_1)^2}).$$

The exact solution is defined by

$$y(x) = \left(\frac{\cosh(\mu . x)}{\mu}, \sinh(\mu . x)\right) = y(x) = \left(\frac{e^{\mu . x} + e^{-\mu . x}}{2\mu}, \frac{e^{\mu . x} - e^{-\mu . x}}{2}\right).$$

- 1. Download the C^{++} program **chain.cpp**, and **chain.py**. Rename **chain.py** into **yourname.py**. (If your name is very long, you may shorten the filename.)
 - Implement the Runge-Kutta methods which are present in the C^{++} program, in the Python program. In C^{++} , the Runge-Kutta methods are implemented as templates. In Python, there is no need to do that, because Python is dynamically typed. It is all explained in the slides.
- 2. Make sure that you understand how function $\mathbf{approx}(h)$ relates to $\mathbf{main.h}$. In C^{++} , a class \mathbf{pair} is defined, in Python, we use $\mathbf{scipy.array}$.
- 3. Make sure to test the function $\mathbf{approx}(h)$ very carefully. This can be done by comparing the printed errors to the errors printed by the C^{++} -program for different values of h, and for different Runge-Kutta methods. If you program correct, they will agree on 10 decimals at least.

Note that in **main** and in **approx**, the Runge-Kutta method is called twice, so make sure that you always call the same method.

- 4. Now we can do some systematic measurements of the errors. Create (and submit) a table for $h=10^{-3},\ h=2.10^{-3},\ h=4.10^{-3},$ for the methods Euler, Heun and standard Runge-Kutta. (This gives 9 entries in the table.)
- 5. Since there are continuing rumours that C^{++} is faster than Python, we are going to measure the difference.

For the C^{++} program, time can be measured by calling time ./chain . The program will run, and after that, print the execution time.

In python, one can import timeit, and type timeit(approx, number = N) / N Try some number N that gives a reasonable time.

Make sure that that C^{++} and Python run with the same h and same Runge-Kutta method. Compare the results.

Give measurements for at least 3 different settings. How does C^{++} compare to Python, what is the relative difference?