

Day 4: Genome Assembly

MMB-114

Schedule

Day 1: Basics of UNIX and working with the command line


Day 2: Handling of Nanopore/Illumina data

Day 3: Check-up

Day 4: Genome assembly


Day 5: Genome annotation

Day 6: Metabolic pathway analysis




De novo genome assembly

In an ideal world:



De novo genome assembly

In reality...



Genome assembly

- Reconstruct the original genome from long/short sequence reads




Short reads:

- **In an ideal world:** sequences = one complete genome
- **In reality:** sequences = multiple contigs
 - Contiguous, unambiguous stretches of sequences

Long reads:

- Sometimes we reach the ideal world

Assembly strategies



Assemblers

- Bioinformatic tools that combine short sequencing reads into longer contigs
- **Spades:** kmer-based assembler for short reads (accepts also long reads). De Bruijn graphs.
- **Flye:** uses repeat graphs. Can tolerate the higher noise of single-molecule sequencing reads.


De Bruijn graph

- In real life 10^6 sequences cannot be compared with each other
 - $10^6 \times 10^6 = 10^{12}$ comparisons
- Sequences are reduced to k-mers
 - Smaller subsets of length k




De Bruijn graph

b Generate the De Bruijn graph




c Collapse the De Bruijn graph




De Bruijn graph

d Traverse the graph




Repeat graphs




Real world assemblies

Spades | Illumina



Flye | Nanopore




What makes assembly tricky?

- Many pieces (computationally-intensive)
- Errors in sequence (which one is correct?)
- Missing fragments
- Repetitive fragments
- Multiple copies (rRNA gene as an example)
- Circular genome (no starting point)
- Choice of k-mer
 - Too small → misassembly (anything can assemble)
 - Too long → no assembly

Sequencing coverage

- Coverage describes the number of time that each base of the genome is present in the reads
- Assemblers expect equal and high enough coverage ($>50x$) to work optimally in genome assembly.



Estimated average coverage of your genome

- Your genome size: **X bp**
- Received sequence data: **Y bp**
- Coverage = Y / X

Assembly quality

What is a good assembly?

- Good coverage throughout the contigs
- Correct size (for bacteria, not 400 kb or 14 Mb)
- As few contigs as possible
- Similar GC across contigs

Additional notes about Puhti

Batch jobs:

sbatch
scancel
squeue
seff

batch_job.sh

```
#!/bin/bash -l
#SBATCH --job-name spades
#SBATCH --output spades_out_%j.txt
#SBATCH --error spades_err_%j.txt
#SBATCH --time 1:00:00
#SBATCH --nodes 1
#SBATCH --ntasks-per-node 1
#SBATCH --cpus-per-task 4
#SBATCH --mem 5000
#SBATCH --account project_XXX

module load spades

spades.py -l Data/MMB-114_trimmed_1.fastq.gz \
          -2 Data/MMB-114_trimmed_2.fastq.gz \
          -o SPADES \
          -t 4 \
          --careful
```

sbatch batch_job.sh

Assembly outputs

Flye

- `assembly.fasta` – assembled contigs
- `assembly_graph.gfa` – assembly graph
- `assembly_info.txt` – information about each contig

Spades

- `contigs.fasta` – assembled contigs
- `assembly_graph.fastg` – assembly graph

Let's assemble your genomes

Go to Github and follow the instructions:

https://github.com/karkman/MMB-114_Genomics

(**Day 3:** Genome assembly)