

# Introduction to Moment Estimation

Eric Jacquier

**Boston University Questrom School of Business**  
MF 793: Statistical Methods in Finance

Fall 2021

- ▶ Is the usual sample mean a **biased** estimator of the true unknown mean?
- ▶ ... What is its **precision**?
- ▶ Sample variance: biased or unbiased estimation ?
- ▶ Estimating skewness and kurtosis: sample skewness and sample kurtosis
- ▶ How precisely can we estimate (stock and portfolio) mean returns?
- ▶ t-test and confidence intervals
- ▶ A different question: predicting return's **shortfall risk**
- ▶ ... and the **predictive density** of a portfolio return

## Estimating the mean: Is the sample mean biased?

We do not know the true moments, we estimate them from data by computing sample quantities.

- ▶ Sample of  $T$  returns:  $R_1, \dots, R_t, \dots, R_T$
- ▶ The average, aka the **sample mean** is

$$\bar{R} \equiv \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_1^T R_t \quad (1)$$

It is a portfolio of returns! Why equal weights?

- ▶ Is the sample mean an unbiased estimate of the mean?

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{R}) &= E\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_1^T R_t\right) = \frac{1}{T} E(R_1 + \dots + R_T) = \frac{1}{T} (\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_T) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} T\mu = \mu \quad \text{unbiased} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- ▶ What assumption did we use?
- ▶ Using the sample mean again and again, we find the true mean on average.

## Precision of the sample mean?

- Variance of the sample mean:

$$\begin{aligned}V(\bar{R}) &= V\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_1^T R_t\right) = \frac{1}{T^2} V(R_1 + \dots + R_T) \\&= \frac{1}{T^2} (\sigma_1^2 + \dots + \sigma_T^2 + 0 + \dots + 0) \\&= \frac{1}{T^2} T \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{T}\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

- Why the zeros ?

Returns are **NOT** correlated with one another. In time series talk, they have **zero** autocorrelation.

- The sample is **identically and independently** distributed (**i.i.d**).
- Key for precision: Number of observations, variance of the underlying data
- Is the formula in (3) **feasible**?  
No! We need to estimate  $\sigma^2$  as well:

## Sample Variance

$$\widehat{\sigma^2} = s^2 = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_t (R_t - \bar{R})^2 \quad (4)$$

- ▶ Why  $\frac{1}{T-1}$ , why not  $\frac{1}{T}$  ?
  - ▶ Can show that  $\frac{1}{T-1}$  is unbiased. **Prove it !**
  - ▶ But we can show that  $\frac{1}{T}$  is most precise in large samples (a property of maximum likelihood estimator)

- ▶ How to estimate Standard Deviation:  $\widehat{\sigma} = \sqrt{s^2}$ ?

Question:

If (4) estimates  $\sigma^2$  with no bias, does the square-root of (4) estimate  $\sigma$  with no bias?

- ▶ How precisely can we estimate variances and standard deviations?  
We can show that (no proof):

$$\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma}) \approx \frac{\sigma^2}{2T} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{var}(\widehat{\sigma^2}) \approx \frac{2\sigma^4}{T} \quad (6)$$

- ▶ Sample Skewness and Sample Kurtosis:

$$\widehat{Sk} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t \left( \frac{R_t - \bar{R}}{s} \right)^3$$

$$\widehat{K} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_t \left( \frac{R_t - \bar{R}}{s} \right)^4$$

- ▶ Unbiased for very large samples.
- ▶ How precise are the estimators? (no proof)

$$Var(\widehat{Sk}) \approx \frac{6}{T}$$

$$Var(\widehat{K}) \approx \frac{24}{T}$$

## Example: How precisely can I estimate a mean return

- ▶ 60 annual returns,  $\bar{R} = 0.1, s = 0.2$

$$V(\bar{R}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{T} = \frac{0.2^2}{60}$$

- ▶ Can we reject the hypothesis that the mean return is 6 % ?

- ▶ Distribution of  $\bar{R}$ :  $\bar{R} \sim (N, \frac{\sigma^2}{T})$

Normally distributed if the stock return is normally distributed.

In large sample: approximately normally distributed even if the stock return is not normally distributed.

$$\frac{\bar{R} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{T}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

$$\frac{\bar{R} - \mu}{(s/\sqrt{T})} \sim t_{T-1}$$

a Student- $t$  with  $\nu = T-1$  **degrees of freedom**. (Proof later in Distributions Lecture Note)

Student t-test (sometimes approximated by a normal Z test)

- ▶ Under the **null hypothesis**  $H_0$ ,  $\mu = 0.06$ ,

$$t(\nu = 60 - 1) \approx Z = \frac{0.10 - 0.06}{(0.2/\sqrt{60})} = 1.55$$

- ▶ Z measures how many standard deviations our estimate (0.10) is from the null hypothesis (0.06).

Standard deviations ... of what?

Look up a table, the 5% cutoff of the normal is +/- 1.96.

With 60 years of data, a 10% estimate can not statistically reject the hypothesis that the true mean return is 6%

Welcome to doing statistics in finance!



Does a confidence interval cover the null hypothesis?

- ▶ If  $Z$  is normal (what if it is Student-t?):  $Prob[-1.96 < Z < 1.96] = 0.95$

$$Prob\left[-1.96 < \frac{\bar{R} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{T}} < 1.96\right] = 0.95$$

$$Prob\left[-1.96 \frac{s}{\sqrt{T}} < \bar{R} - \mu < 1.96 \frac{s}{\sqrt{T}}\right] = 0.95$$

$$Prob\left[\bar{R} - 1.96 \frac{s}{\sqrt{T}} < \mu < \bar{R} + 1.96 \frac{s}{\sqrt{T}}\right] = 0.95$$

- ▶ 95% confidence interval:  $[0.10 - 0.051, 0.10 + 0.051]$ . It contains 14%.
- ▶ We do not reject the hypothesis that 14% is the true mean index return.

Given our estimates, what is the probability the index will return less than 5% next year?

- ▶ Ignore for now that the estimates are only noisy estimates of the true parameter. Take the numbers as given.
- ▶ The question is about the distribution of the index return itself, not about the distribution of the sample mean:  $Prob(\tilde{R} < 0.05) = ?$
- ▶ As per our estimates  $\tilde{R} \sim N(0.1, 0.2)$ . The question is:

$$Prob\left(\frac{\tilde{R} - \mu}{\sigma} < \frac{0.05 - 0.1}{0.2}\right)$$

$Prob(Z < -0.25) = 0.40$ . Look up in a table, or ask R.

How about a **relative shortfall**, e.g. 2 random portfolios,  $P(\tilde{R}_1 - \tilde{R}_2 < 0.05)$ ?

## Predicting future returns accounting for mean estimation error

We did not incorporate the uncertainty in parameter estimates.

The **predictive density** of the return combines the uncertainty in the future return itself and that of the parameter estimators.

- ▶ Predictive densities are crucial to portfolio management. They are much more natural in Bayesian statistics, but we can use some easy intuition.
- ▶ Given our information up to  $T$ , the future return  $R_{T+1}$  is  $N(\hat{\mu}, \sigma)$ .

$$R_{T+1} = \hat{\mu} + \sigma\epsilon_{T+1}, \text{ with } \epsilon_t \sim i.i.d.N(0, 1) \text{ and } \hat{\mu} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}})$$

- ▶ The **predictive density** of  $R_{T+1}$  has moments:

$$E[R_{T+1}] = E(\hat{\mu}) + 0 = \mu$$

$$V[R_{T+1}] = V(\hat{\mu}) + V(\sigma\epsilon) = \sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{T} + 1\right)$$

Why no cross-term in the variance?

$$+ 2\text{Cov}(\hat{\mu}, \epsilon) = 0$$

- ▶ The uncertainty in  $\hat{\sigma}$  is a second order effect, it will wait until the Student-t distribution.