

Problem set # 3

Due: Thursday, September 30th, by 4pm.

1. **Sector ETF Factor Modeling:** Consider again the following universe of ETFs:

Ticker	Description
SPY	S&P Index
XLB	Materials
XLE	Energy
XLF	Financials
XLI	Industrials
XLK	Technology
XLP	Consumer Staples
XLU	Utilities
XLV	Healthcare
XLY	Consumer Discretionary

- Download historical data on the Fama-French factors from Ken French's website and write a piece of code that parses them into a Python dataframe (or other similar structure). Validate and clean data.
- Calculate the daily covariance matrix of the factor returns over the entire time period. Are the factors highly correlated? Compare these correlations to the correlations of the sector ETFs that you calculated in HW1. Are they more or less correlated?
- Calculate rolling 90 day correlations for the factor returns. Are they stable over time? Are they more stable than the correlations of the ETFs from HW1?
- Check the factor returns for normality using your favorite test. Do the factor returns appear normal?
- Consider a multi-factor model for the sector ETFs using the Fama French return data as variables. That is:

$$r_{i,t} = \beta_1 r_{\text{mkt},t} + \beta_2 r_{\text{size},t} + \beta_3 r_{\text{btm},t} + \epsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

NOTE: This is the 3-factor Fama-French model. You may also choose to use a different set of Fama-French factors available on Ken French's website.

For each sector ETF, compute its β to the Fama-French factors using the above model. Compute the β for the entire historical period and also compute rolling 90-day β 's. Are

these β 's more consistent than the single factor model β 's that you obtained in your first homework?

- (f) Compute the daily residuals $\epsilon_{i,t}$ in (1) for each sector ETF. What is their mean and variance? Do they appear to be normal? What does this tell you about the appropriateness of the model? Can you think of any other tests of the residuals that would help you judge the model?

2. Exotic Option Pricing via Simulation

Consider again a one-year **fixed strike lookback option** which enables the buyer to choose the point of exercise for the option at its expiry. The **Bachelier model** can be written as:

$$dS_t = r dt + \sigma dW_t \quad (2)$$

Assume that $r = 0$, $S_0 = 100$ and $\sigma = 10.0$.

- (a) Generate a series of normally distributed random numbers and use these to generate simulated paths for the underlying asset.
- (b) Plot a histogram of the ending values of the asset price along the simulated paths. Are the ending values of your simulated paths normally distributed? Check using your favorite normality test.
- (c) Calculate a simulation approximation to the price of a Lookback put option with strike 100 under the Bachelier model. Compare the price of the lookback option in the Bachelier model to the Black-Scholes model price obtained in HW1.
- (d) Calculate the delta of the lookback option using finite differences as discussed in class. That is:

$$\Delta \approx \frac{c_0(S_0 + \epsilon) - c_0(S_0 - \epsilon)}{2\epsilon} \quad (3)$$

Try for several values of ϵ and plot the calculated Δ against the choice of ϵ . Comment on what you think is the optimal value of ϵ and what values lead to the largest amounts of error.

NOTE: All code for completing these exercises should be completed either in Python or C++ and should be written generically. You may end up using this code on future assignments so I encourage you to code thoughtfully.