Statistics/Data Analysis

User: Jiahao Ye Project: LAB2

name: <unnamed>

log: D:\Econ4G03LAB2\output.smcl

log type: smcl
opened on: 1 Oct 2020, 12:35:05

1 . set more off

3 . use \${DtaDir}LFS-71M0001-E-2020-January F1, clear

4 .

5. end of do-file

6 . do "C:\Users\LOCAL ~3\Temp\STD0000000.tmp"

7 . /\*Generate a table for sex\*/

8 . tab SEX, m

Cum.	Percent	Freq.	Sex of respondent
48.80 100.00	48.80 51.20	48,398 50,777	Male Female
	100.00	99,175	Total

9 . tab SEX, m nolabel

Cum.	Percent	Freq.	Sex of respondent
48.80 100.00	48.80 51.20	48,398 50,777	1 2
	100.00	99,175	Total

10 . label list SEX

SEX:

1 Male 2 Female

11 .

12 .

13 . gen byte female=. /\* "Byte" stands for a float variable \*/ (99175 missing values generated)

14 . replace female=0 if SEX==1(48398 real changes made)

15 . replace female=1 if SEX==2 (50777 real changes made)

17 . tab female SEX, missing /\*Replacing variable for sex, and generate a table.\*/

		Sex of respondent		
	female	Male	Female	Total
	0	48,398 0	0 50,777	48,398 50,777
-	Total	48,398	50,777	99,175

18 .

- 19 . /\*Question ii):\*/
- 20 . /\*Generate indicator variables for four regions: east, qc, on, west  $^{\star}/$
- 21 . tab PROV, nolabel

Province	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
10	3,722	3.75	3.75
11	2,609	2.63	6.38
12	5,191	5.23	11.62
13	5,058	5.10	16.72
24	17,883	18.03	34.75
35	28,353	28.59	63.34
46	7,800	7.86	71.20
47	7,071	7.13	78.33
48	9,561	9.64	87.97
59	11,927	12.03	100.00
Total	99,175	100.00	

22 . label list PROV

PROV:

- 10 Newfoundland and Labrador
- 11 Prince Edward Island
- 12 Nova Scotia
- 13 New Brunswick
- 24 Quebec
- 35 Ontario
- 46 Manitoba
- 47 Saskatchewan
- 48 Alberta
- 59 British Columbia

- 23 . 24 . /\*Here, I change the province(east west QC ON) into a categorical variable \*/  $^{\circ}$

PROV:

- 10 Newfoundland and Labrador
- 11 Prince Edward Island
- 12 Nova Scotia
- 13 New Brunswick
- 24 Quebec
- 35 Ontario
- 46 Manitoba
- 47 Saskatchewan
- 48 Alberta
- 59 British Columbia
- 26 . gen east=1 if PROV <=14 (82595 missing values generated)
- 27 . replace east=0 if PROV >14 (82595 real changes made)
- 28 . tab east

Cum.	Percent	Freq.	east
83.28 100.00	83.28 16.72	82,595 16,580	0 1
	100.00	99,175	Total

- 29 .
  30 . gen west=1 if PROV >=46 (62816 missing values generated)
- 31 . replace west=0 if PROV <46 (62816 real changes made)
- 32 . tab west

Cum.	Percent	Freq.	west
63.34 100.00	63.34 36.66	62,816 36,359	0 1
	100.00	99,175	Total

- 33 .
- $34 \cdot \text{gen on=1 if PROV} == 35$ (70822 missing values generated)
- 35 . replace on=0 if PROV != 35(70822 real changes made)
- 36 . tab on

Cum.	Percent	Freq.	on
71.41 100.00	71.41 28.59	70,822 28,353	0 1
	100.00	99,175	Total

- 37 .
  38 . gen qc=1 if PROV == 24 (81292 missing values generated)
- 39 . replace qc=0 if PROV != 24  $\,$ (81292 real changes made)
- 40 . tab qc

Cum.	Percent	Freq.	qc
81.97 100.00	81.97 18.03	81,292 17,883	0 1
	100.00	99,175	Total

- 41 . 42 . /\*Question iii):create a new age variable\*/
- 43 .
- 44 . tab AGE\_12

Five-year age group of respondent	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
15 to 19 years	6,608	6.66	6.66
20 to 24 years	6,309	6.36	13.02
25 to 29 years	6,980	7.04	20.06
30 to 34 years	7,594	7.66	27.72
35 to 39 years	7,912	7.98	35.70
40 to 44 years	7,630	7.69	43.39
45 to 49 years	7,545	7.61	51.00
50 to 54 years	7,986	8.05	59.05
55 to 59 years	9,215	9.29	68.34
60 to 64 years	8,616	8.69	77.03
65 to 69 years	7,674	7.74	84.77
70 and over	15,106	15.23	100.00
Total	99,175	100.00	

45 . label list AGE\_12 AGE 12:

1 15 to 19 years
2 20 to 24 years
3 25 to 29 years
4 30 to 34 years
5 35 to 39 years
6 40 to 44 years
7 45 to 49 years
8 50 to 54 years
9 55 to 59 years
10 60 to 64 years
11 65 to 69 years
12 70 and over

46.

- 47 . gen age=17 if AGE\_12==1 (92567 missing values generated)
- 48 . replace age=22 if AGE\_12==2 (6309 real changes made)
- 49 . replace age=27 if AGE\_12==3
   (6980 real changes made)
- 50 . replace age=32 if AGE\_12==4
   (7594 real changes made)
- 51 . replace age=37 if AGE\_12==5
   (7912 real changes made)
- 52 . replace age=42 if AGE\_12==6
   (7630 real changes made)
- 53 . replace age=47 if AGE\_12==7 (7545 real changes made)
- 54 . replace age=52 if AGE\_12==8
   (7986 real changes made)
- 55 . replace age=57 if AGE\_12==9
   (9215 real changes made)
- 56 . replace age=62 if AGE\_12==10
   (8616 real changes made)
- 57 . replace age=67 if AGE\_12==11
   (7674 real changes made)
- 58 . replace age=72 if AGE\_12==12
   (15106 real changes made)

59.

60 . tab age

age	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
17	6,608	6.66	6.66
22	6,309	6.36	13.02
27	6,980	7.04	20.06
32	7,594	7.66	27.72
37	7,912	7.98	35.70
42	7,630	7.69	43.39
47	7,545	7.61	51.00
52	7,986	8.05	59.05
57	9,215	9.29	68.34
62	8,616	8.69	77.03
67	7,674	7.74	84.77
72	15,106	15.23	100.00
Total	99,175	100.00	

62 . /\*Question iv use LFSSTAT): Exam "HRLYEARN", create varianles=0 if missing values

variables=1 i > variabels="mi

> \*/

63 . tab LFSSTAT

Labour force status	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
Employed, at work Employed, absent from work Unemployed Not in labour force	53,557 4,660 3,962 36,996	54.00 4.70 3.99 37.30	54.00 58.70 62.70 100.00
Total	99,175	100.00	

64 . label list LFSSTAT

LFSSTAT:

- 1 Employed, at work
  2 Employed, absent from work
- 3 Unemployed
- 4 Not in labour force
- 65 . gen status=0 if LFSSTAT==4 | LFSSTAT==2 /\*missing value, either not in labor force or (57519 missing values generated)
- 66 . replace status=1 if LFSSTAT==1 /\*Non-missing value \*/ (53557 real changes made)
- 67 . replace status=. if LFSSTAT==3 /\*unemployed\*/ (0 real changes made)
- 68 .
- 69 . tab status

Cum.	Percent	Freq.	status
43.75 100.00	43.75 56.25	41,656 53,557	0 1
	100.00	95,213	Total

71 . /\*In this LAB, we will be focusing on non-missing samples, which is status = 1.  $^{*}$ /

72 .

- 73 . /\*Question 2): Run regression analysis\*/
- 74 . /\* i)reg HRLYEARN age female \*/
- 75 . regress HRLYEARN age female

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs = F( 2, 49261) = 19	= 49264 = 1559.13
Model Residual	569503.468 8996755.24		4751.734 2.634442		Prob > F R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.0595
Total	9566258.71	49263 19	4.187498		Adj R-squared Root MSE	= 13.514
HRLYEARN	Coef.	Std. Err	. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age female _cons	.2045397 -3.690772 21.26753	.00438 .1217903 .2017506	46.70 -30.30 105.41	0.000 0.000 0.000	.1959549 -3.929482 20.87209	.2131245 -3.452061 21.66296

76

- 77 . /\*Explanation: Regression line: HRLYEARN = 21.26753-3.690772\*female+0.2045397\*age
- > Equation shows female has less HRLYEARN than male does(When female=1, negative slope, which de
  - > holding age constant).
- > HRLYEARN increases, on average, by 0.2045397 for unit increase in age group (5-year increase
- 78 .
- $79 \cdot /* ii)$  repeat above, but with robust (reweighted OLS, allowing heteroskedasticity) this time \*
- 80 . regress HRLYEARN age female, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 2, 49261) = 1627.57 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0595 Root MSE = 13.514

HRLYEARN	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age	.2045397	.0041641	49.12	0.000	.196378	.2127014
female	-3.690772	.1219684	-30.26	0.000	-3.929831	-3.451712
_cons	21.26753	.178266	119.30	0.000	20.91812	21.61693

- 81.
- 82 . /\*Robust OLS: Very similar to above, which means outliers do not have significant impact \*/
- 83 .
- 84 . /\* iii) Regression Y=ln(HRLYEARN), X=Age, female \*/
- 85 . gen lnearn = ln(HRLYEARN)
  - (49911 missing values generated)

## 86 . regress lnearn age female

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs	
Model Residual	748.578845 9975.82495		74.289423 202509591		F( 2, 49261) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 1848.26 = 0.0000 = 0.0698 = 0.0698
Total	10724.4038	49263 .	217696929		Root MSE	= .45001
lnearn	Coef.	Std. Er	r. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Intoruall
	COE1.	ota. El		F/ C	[95% COIII.	
age female _cons	.0075549 1276835 2.968137	.000145 .004055 .006718	5 -31.48	0.000 0.000 0.000	.0072691 1356323 2.954969	.0078408 1197346 2.981304

- 87
- 88 . /\*age coefficient=0.0075549, female coefficient=-0.12768
- > An unit increase in age will have a 0.0075549 increase in ln(HRLYEARN).\*/
- 89 .
- 90 . /\* iv)Regression Y=HRLYEARN, X=ln(age) female \*/
- 91 . gen lnage = ln(age)

# 92 . regress HRLYEARN lnage female

Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs	
Model Residual	782336.527 8783922.18	2 49261		68.264		F( 2, 49261) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 2193.71 = 0.0000 = 0.0818 = 0.0817
Total	9566258.71	49263	194.	187498		Root MSE	= 13.353
HRLYEARN	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	<pre>Interval]</pre>
lnage female _cons	9.566883 -3.694531 -5.282225	.1634 .1203 .6049	398	58.54 -30.70 -8.73	0.000 0.000 0.000	9.246581 -3.930398 -6.467871	9.887185 -3.458663 -4.096579

93

94 . /\* v) Regression Y=ln(HRLYEARN), x=ln(age) female \*/

95 . regres lnearn lnage female

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs = $F(2, 49261) = 2$	= 49264 = 2741.23
Model Residual	1074.03141 9650.37238		537.015705 .195902892		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.1001
Total	10724.4038	49263	.217696929		Root MSE	= .44261
lnearn	Coef.	Std. E	rr. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
lnage female _cons	.3607236 1277958 1.960524	.00541 .003988 .02005	38 -32.04	0.000 0.000 0.000	.350107 1356138 1.921224	.3713403 1199778 1.999823

96 .

97 . /\*Explanation: While holding female constant, a unit increase in ln(age) will have

> a 0.3607236 increase in ln(HRLYEARN).

> The R^2 (Explanatory power) increased, as I applied logorithmic. Log function has a

> advantage of transferring skewed data into linear relationship, which will give us better

> result for linear regression analysis. \*/

98 .

99 . /\* vi)Factor variables, Three types of variables: Categ. variables, indicator variables, cont

100 . regress lnearn c.age i.female c.age#i.female, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 3, 49260) = 1188.23 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0710 Root MSE = .44973

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age 1.female	.0087097 0317036	.0002181 .0124616	39.93 -2.54	0.000 0.011	.0082821 0561284	.0091372 0072787
female#c.age 1	0023131	.0002979	-7.77	0.000	002897	0017293
_cons	2.920114	.0091378	319.56	0.000	2.902204	2.938024

101 .

102 . /\*"#"stands for interaction variables "##"means a factorial of interaction.

> Here, we are trying to model it like: HRLYEARN=b0+b1\*age+b2\*female+b3\*age\*female(interaction

> Results here shows that, as age for women increases, HRLYEARN tends to decrease.

> But for men, it is less of an issue \*/

103 .

104 . /\* vii) \*/

105 . regress lnearn c.age c.age#c.age female,robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 3, 49260) = 5314.23 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.1789 Root MSE = .4228

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age	.0725511	.0007419	97.79	0.000	.0710969	.0740052
c.age#c.age	0007754	9.15e-06	-84.69	0.000	0007933	0007574
female _cons	132411 1.758419	.0038092 .0137591	-34.76 127.80	0.000 0.000	139877 1.731451	1249449 1.785387

106 . margins, dydx(\*)at(age=(25(5)60))

Number of obs = 49264 Average marginal effects

Model VCE : Robust

Expression : Linear prediction, predict()
dy/dx w.r.t. : age female

ay/ax w.r.t.	:	age remare		
1at	:	age	=	25
2at	:	age	=	30
3at	:	age	=	35
4at	:	age	=	40
5at	:	age	=	45
6at	:	age	=	50
7at	:	age	=	55
8at	:	age	=	60

		j	Delta-method	l			
		dy/dx	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age							
	_at						
	1	.0337827	.0003006	112.38	0.000	.0331935	.0343719
	2	.026029	.0002205	118.02	0.000	.0255968	.0264613
	3	.0182754	.0001539	118.76	0.000	.0179738	.01857
	4	.0105217	.0001244	84.57	0.000	.0102778	.0107656
	5	.002768	.0001551	17.85	0.000	.0024641	.0030719
	6	0049856	.0002222	-22.44	0.000	0054212	0045501
	7	0127393	.0003024	-42.12	0.000	0133321	0121466
	8	020493	.0003877	-52.86	0.000	0212529	0197331
female							
	_at						
	1	132411	.0038092	-34.76	0.000	139877	1249449
	2	132411	.0038092	-34.76	0.000	139877	1249449
	3	132411	.0038092	-34.76	0.000	139877	1249449
	4	132411	.0038092	-34.76	0.000	139877	1249449
	5	132411	.0038092	-34.76	0.000	139877	1249449
	6	132411	.0038092	-34.76	0.000	139877	1249449
	7	132411	.0038092	-34.76	0.000	139877	1249449
	8	132411	.0038092	-34.76	0.000	139877	1249449

Variables that uniquely identify margins: age deriv

108 . /\* c.age#c.age means age squared. Regression line:

> HRLYEARN = 0.0725511\*age - 0.0007754\*age^2 - 0.132411\*female + 1.758419,

> take the partial derivative w.r.t age\*/

109 .

110 . margins, at(age=(25(5)65)) /\*This commands calculate the exact prediction value \*/

Predictive margins Number of obs = 49264

Model VCE : Robust

Expression : Linear prediction, predict()

1.\_at : age = 25

2.\_at : age = **30** 

3.\_at : age = **35** 

 $4._at$  : age = **40** 

5.\_at : age = **45** 

6.\_at : age = **50** 

7.\_at : age = **55** 

8.\_at : age = **60** 

9.\_at : age = **65** 

		Delta-metho				
	Margin	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	<pre>Interval]</pre>
at						
_1	3.020418	.0024066	1255.06	0.000	3.015701	3.025135
2	3.169947	.00234	1354.69	0.000	3.165361	3.174533
3	3.280708	.0025835	1269.88	0.000	3.275644	3.285772
4	3.352701	.0027416	1222.92	0.000	3.347327	3.358074
5	3.385925	.0027177	1245.87	0.000	3.380598	3.391252
6	3.380381	.0026318	1284.44	0.000	3.375223	3.385539
7	3.336068	.0028349	1176.79	0.000	3.330512	3.341625
8	3.252988	.0037247	873.36	0.000	3.245687	3.260288
9	3.131139	.0053713	582.94	0.000	3.120611	3.141666

## 111 . marginsplot

Variables that uniquely identify margins: age

112 .

113 . /\* viii) \*/

114 . margins, at(age=(25(5)65))by(female)

Predictive margins Number of obs = 49264

Model VCE : Robust

Expression : Linear prediction, predict()

over : female

1. at : 0.female

age = **25** 

ECON4G03LAB2	Thursday O	ctober 1 12:4	3:21 2020	Page	10	
2at	: 0.female	_	၁	0		
	age 1.female	=	3	U		
	age	=	3	0		
3at	: 0.female					
٥٠_۵٥	age	=	3	5		
	1.female					
	age	=	3	5		
4at	: 0.female					
4ac	age	=	4	0		
	1.female					
	age	=	4	0		
5 2+	. 0 fomale					
5at	: 0.female age	=	4	5		
	1.female					
	age	=	4	5		
6 0+	. 0 famala					
6at	: 0.female age	=	5	0		
	1.female		J	•		
	age	=	5	0		
7	0 6 1					
7at	: 0.female age	=	5	5		
	1.female	_	J	5		
	age	=	5	5		
8at	: 0.female age	=	6	0		
	1.female		· ·	·		
	age	=	6	0		
0	0 6 1					
9at	: 0.female age	=	6	5		
	1.female		·			
	age	=	6	5		
		Delta-metho				
	Margi	n Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
_at#female						
1 0	3.08759		984.78	0.000	3.081446	3.093736
1 1	2.9551		984.05	0.000	2.949294	2.961066
2 0 2 1	3.2371		1059.11 1042.50	0.000	3.231129 3.098872	3.243111 3.110546
3 0	3.34788		1042.30	0.000	3.341554	3.354208
3 1	3.2154		1007.58	0.000	3.209215	3.221725
4 0	3.41987	4 .0033461	1022.06	0.000	3.413315	3.426432
4 1	3.28746		987.13	0.000	3.280935	3.29399
5 0 5 1	3.45309 3.32068		1038.72 1002.36	0.000	3.446582 3.314194	3.459614 3.32718
6 0	3.32068		1002.36	0.000	3.314194	3.453944
6 1	3.31514		1024.13	0.000	3.308798	3.321488
7 0	3.40324		989.45	0.000	3.3965	3.409983
7 1	3.27083		964.48	0.000	3.264184	3.277478
8 0 8 1	3.32016		786.73 768.66	0.000 0.000	3.311889 3.179621	3.328433 3.195878
9 0	3.19831		556.90	0.000	3.179621	3.209568
9 1	3.06590		542.10	0.000	3.054816	3.076986

Variables that uniquely identify margins: age female

116 . /\*From the result, we can show that, for both men&women, the margin starts to decline > at around age group 5~6.\*/

117 .

118 . /\*Then, run another regression, for DIFFERENT INTERCEPT & DIFFERENT SLOPE > for men&women w.r.t how earnings change with age.

> Approach: 1) HRLYEARN = b1\*age + b2\*age\*female +b3

2) HRLYEARN = b1\*age + b2\*age^2+ b3\*age\*female +b4 refer to textbook page 259, fig8.8 \*/

119 .

120 . regress HRLYEARN c.age c.age#i.female, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F(2, 49261) = 1522.48Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0612 Root MSE = 13.503

HRLYEARN	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age	.2485544	.0045477	54.66	0.000	.2396409	.2574679
female#c.age 1	0881511	.0029879	-29.50	0.000	0940075	0822948
_cons	19.42031	.1659044	117.06	0.000	19.09513	19.74548

### 121 . margins, at (age=(25(5)65)) by (female)

Predictive margins Number of obs = 49264

Model VCE : Robust

Expression : Linear prediction, predict() over : female 1. at : 0.female 25 aσe 1.female 25 aσe 2.\_at : 0.female 30 age 1.female 30 age 3. at : 0.female 35 age 1.female 35 age 4. at : 0.female 40 age 1.female 40 age : 0.female 5. at = 45 age 1.female 45 age : 0.female 6.\_at 50 age 1.female = 50 age

7at	:	0.female age 1.female age	=	55 55
8at	:	0.female age 1.female age	=	60 60
9at	:	0.female age 1.female age	=	65 65

	I	Delta-method				
	Margin	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	<pre>Interval]</pre>
at#female						
1 0	25.63417	.0868602	295.12	0.000	25.46392	25.80442
1 1	23.43039	.0856394	273.59	0.000	23.26254	23.59824
2 0	26.87694	.0815535	329.56	0.000	26.71709	27.03679
2 1	24.23241	.077802	311.46	0.000	24.07991	24.3849
3 0	28.11971	.0824095	341.22	0.000	27.95819	28.28124
3 1	25.03442	.0754403	331.84	0.000	24.88656	25.18229
4 0	29.36248	.0892509	328.99	0.000	29.18755	29.53742
4 1	25.83644	.0790466	326.85	0.000	25.68151	25.99137
5 0	30.60526	.1008673	303.42	0.000	30.40756	30.80296
5 1	26.63846	.0878892	303.09	0.000	26.46619	26.81072
6 0	31.84803	.1158307	274.95	0.000	31.621	32.07506
6 1	27.44047	.1005967	272.78	0.000	27.2433	27.63764
7 0	33.0908	.1330164	248.77	0.000	32.83009	33.35151
7 1	28.24249	.1159047	243.67	0.000	28.01531	28.46966
8 0	34.33357	.1516708	226.37	0.000	34.0363	34.63085
8 1	29.0445	.1329178	218.51	0.000	28.78398	29.30503
9 0	35.57634	.1713148	207.67	0.000	35.24057	35.91212
9 1	29.84652	.1510609	197.58	0.000	29.55044	30.1426

Variables that uniquely identify margins: age female

123 .
124 . regress HRLYEARN c.age c.age##c.age c.age#i.female

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs	=	49264
Model Residual	1341264.67 8224994.04	3 49260	447088.224 166.971052		F( 3, 49260) Prob > F R-squared	=	2677.64 0.0000 0.1402 0.1402
Total	9566258.71	49263	194.187498		Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	12.922
HRLYEARN	Coef.	Std. E	Err. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Ιr	nterval]
age age	1.903573 0	.02497 (omitte		0.000	1.854613	1	. 952533
c.age#c.age	0197163	.0002	93 -67.30	0.000	0202905	-	.019142
female#c.age 1	09275	.0026	662 -34.84	0.000	0979675		0875326
_cons	-11.39969	. 4932	259 -23.11	0.000	-12.36648	-1	.0.43289

125 . margins, at(age=(25(5)65))by(female)

Predictive max	rgins : <b>OLS</b>		Number	of obs =	49264
_	: Linear prediction : female	, predict()			
1at	: 0.female age 1.female	=	25		
	age	=	25		
2at	: 0.female age 1.female	=	30		
	age	=	30		
3at	: 0.female age 1.female	=	35		
	age	=	35		
4at	: 0.female age 1.female	=	40		
	age	=	40		
5at	: 0.female age 1.female	=	45		
	age	=	45		
6at	: 0.female age	=	50		
	1.female age	=	50		
7at	: 0.female age	=	55		
	1.female age	=	55		
8at	: 0.female age	=	60		
	1.female age	=	60		
9at	: 0.female age	=	65		
	1.female age	=	65		
	Delta-	method			
		Err. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
_at#female	21.54823 .099 27.96288 .087 25.18037 .086 31.07296 .091 27.82671 .089 33.19723 .097 29.48723 .095 34.33569 .10 30.16194 .099 34.48833 .103 29.85083 .101 33.65517 .109	1691 238.27 18627 215.78 14138 319.89 1247 292.37 1292 340.98 10978 312.32 19049 339.08 15347 308.65 1649 337.79 13063 303.73 13548 333.69 15344 294.00 18092 306.49 1926 261.50	3 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	23.67065 21.3525 27.79154 25.01157 30.89435 27.65208 33.00534 29.29998 34.13646 29.9673 34.28576 29.65182 33.43994 28.3399	24.06331 21.74396 28.13421 25.34918 31.25157 28.00134 33.38913 29.67448 34.53492 30.35658 34.69091 30.04984 33.8704 28.76793

```
ECON4G03LAB2 Thursday October 1 12:43:23 2020 Page 14
       8 0
               31.83619
                         .1310385
                                   242.95
                                          0.000
                                                    31.57935
                                                               32.09303
       8 1
               26.27119 .1320762
                                  198.91 0.000
                                                    26.01232 26.53006
       9 0
                29.0314 .1732618
                                   167.56 0.000
                                                     28.6918
                                                               29.37099
               23.00264 .1757867 130.86 0.000
                                                     22.6581 23.34719
       9 1
```

Variables that uniquely identify margins: age female

127 .

128 . /\*As we can see from plottin the graph, the wage gap enlarges b/w men&women, > as age increases. From the above two regression analysis result, the coefficients > in front of age##female are both negative, which indicates firmly, that there > exists a gender inequality in terms of workplace earning.\*/

129 .

130 .

131 . /\*Q3: Run the following regression \*/

132 . 133 .

134 . regress lnearn age female east qc west, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 5, 49258) = 920.17 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0864 Root MSE = .44598

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age	.0076787	.0001478	51.95	0.000	.007389	.0079684
female	1249803	.0040202	-31.09	0.000	1328599	1171006
east	173054	.0064204	-26.95	0.000	1856381	1604699
qc	0595095	.0058914	-10.10	0.000	0710567	0479624
west	0058784	.0050138	-1.17	0.241	0157055	.0039488
_cons	3.000941	.0072677	412.91	0.000	2.986696	3.015185

135 . /\*i) ON is being omitted because of collinearity. If ON and QC both exist in the model, > STATA will omit QC since ON & QC are highly corelated. \*/

136 .

137 . /\*ii) Whats the difference b/w men and female, conditional on other variables?\*/

138 . regress lnearn age female, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 2, 49261) = 1820.69 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0698 Root MSE = .45001

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age	.0075549	.000149	50.69	0.000	.0072628	.007847
female	1276835	.0040574	-31.47	0.000	1356361	1197308
_cons	2.968137	.0066289	447.76	0.000	2.955144	2.98113

140 . /\*As can be see from the result, -.1290945 is the difference \*/

141 .

142 . /\*iii)Repeat above, without conditional this time \*/143 . regress lnearn age female east qc west, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 5, 49258) = 920.17 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0864 Root MSE = .44598

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age female east qc west _cons	.0076787 1249803 173054 0595095 0058784 3.000941	.0001478 .0040202 .0064204 .0058914 .0050138	51.95 -31.09 -26.95 -10.10 -1.17 412.91	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.241 0.000	.0073891328599185638107105670157055 2.986696	.0079684 1171006 1604699 0479624 .0039488 3.015185

144 . /\*Without conditioning, it wil be -.12498 \*/

145 .

146 . /\*iv) Compare east and west \*/

147 . regress lnearn west, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F(1, 49262) = 141.69Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0029 Root MSE = .46591

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
west	.0517451	.0043471	11.90	0.000	.0432248	.0602654
_cons	3.197281	.0026444	1209.08		3.192098	3.202464

148 . regress lnearn east, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F(1, 49262) = 672.24Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0133 Root MSE = .46346

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
east	1507873	.0058157	-25.93	0.000	1621862	1393885
_cons	3.239501	.0022675	1428.65	0.000	3.235057	3.243945

<sup>149 . /\*</sup>As a ran the above two regressions, results show that "west" has a positive coeff > while "east" has a negative coeff. Conditonal on other variables, in general, "west" > has a higher HRLYEARN than "east" \*/

150

151 . /\*v) Compare east and Ontario \*/

152 . regress lnearn on, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 1, 49262) = 150.42 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0030 Root MSE = .46588

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
on	.0568566	.0046358	12.26	0.000	.0477704	.0659428
_cons	3.200587	.0024873	1286.79	0.000	3.195712	3.205462

153 . regress lnearn east, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 1, 49262) = 672.24 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0133 Root MSE = .46346

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
east	1507873	.0058157	-25.93	0.000	1621862	1393885
_cons	3.239501	.0022675	1428.65	0.000	3.235057	3.243945

154

155 . /\*vi)change qc to on instead \*/

156 . regress lnearn age female east on west, robust

Linear regression

Number of obs = 49264 F( 5, 49258) = 920.17 Prob > F = 0.0000 R-squared = 0.0864 Root MSE = .44598

lnearn	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
age	.0076787	.0001478	51.95	0.000	.007389	.0079684
female	1249803	.0040202	-31.09	0.000	1328599	1171006
east	1135445	.0068888	-16.48	0.000	1270467	1000423
on	.0595095	.0058914	10.10	0.000	.0479624	.0710567
west	.0536312	.0055987	9.58	0.000	.0426576	.0646048
_cons	2.941431	.007601	386.98	0.000	2.926533	2.956329

<sup>157 . /\*</sup>The results show that, coeff on east is -.1135. If I put "on" instead of "qc", > STATA will omit none of the result, which shows that Ontario earning is not highly > correlated with other area's earning. \*/

158 .

## ECON4G03LAB2 Thursday October 1 12:43:24 2020 Page 17

159 . /\*Section#2 Omitted variable bias \*/

160 . clear

161 . capture drop \_all

162 . set obs 10000 obs was 0, now 10000

163 . set seed 2000

164 . more

165 .

166 . gen schl = round(10 + 10\*runiform(), 1) /\*Generate a variable called schl \*/

167 . gen detrm = runiform()\*10

168 .

169 . more

170 .

171 . tab schl

schl	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
10	514	5.14	5.14
11	1,006	10.06	15.20
12	953	9.53	24.73
13	1,043	10.43	35.16
14	1,054	10.54	45.70
15	954	9.54	55.24
16	948	9.48	64.72
17	1,055	10.55	75.27
18	1,008	10.08	85.35
19	984	9.84	95.19
20	481	4.81	100.00
Total	10,000	100.00	

172 . sum detrm, d

detrm

	Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	.0973219	.0000191		
5%	.4872551	.000447		
10%	.9971442	.0009003	Obs	10000
25%	2.504195	.0010381	Sum of Wgt.	10000
50%	4.985089		Mean	5.015467
		Largest	Std. Dev.	2.89706
75%	7.551486	9.997013		
90%	9.00843	9.997205	Variance	8.392955
95%	9.538775	9.997805	Skewness	00307
99%	9.925169	9.999406	Kurtosis	1.802995

173 .

174 . gen wage = 5 + 0.1\*schl + 0.2\*detrm + invnorm(runiform())

175 . /\*generate function called wage \*/

176 . 177 . list in 1/10

	schl	detrm	wage
1.	11	1.031281	6.34586
2.	10	8.695457	9.396244
3.	11	4.699914	6.620628
4.	11	.3991777	4.479694
5.	10	6.511797	9.842613
6.	11	9.959957	8.58425
7.	15	4.428554	7.546886
8.	18	4.721651	8.374249
9.	12	6.707707	7.594052
10.	14	.4922629	6.409592
	I		

# 178 . sum wage, d

7.7	$\sim$	~	0

	Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	4.777252	3.202093		
5%	5.523408	3.318614		
10%	5.957373	3.411666	Obs	10000
25%	6.69338	3.464624	Sum of Wgt.	10000
50%	7.496738		Mean	7.513497
		Largest	Std. Dev.	1.203672
75%	8.331977	11.33636		
90%	9.055006	11.35213	Variance	1.448826
95%	9.512343	11.36492	Skewness	.0322359
99%	10.3262	11.86834	Kurtosis	2.898942

179 . 180 . corr wage schl detrm /\*Check correlation\*/ (obs=10000)

	wage	schl	detrm
wage schl	1.0000 0.2501	1.0000	
detrm	0.4815	0.0091	1.0000

# 181 . regress wage schl detrm

Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs F( 2, 9997)	= 10000 = 2063.73
Model Residual	4233.34566 10253.4634	2 9997		67283 65403		Prob > F R-squared	$ \begin{array}{rcl}  & = & 2003.73 \\  & = & 0.0000 \\  & = & 0.2922 \\  & = & 0.2921 \end{array} $
Total	14486.809	9999	1.448	882579			= 1.0127
wage	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
schl detrm _cons	.1015889 .1991217 4.992702	.0034 .0034 .0557	961	29.20 56.96 89.52	0.000 0.000 0.000	.0947697 .1922687 4.883384	.1084082 .2059748 5.102021

182 . /\*Determination is not easy to observe, so we omit detrm  $^{*}/$ 

183 . regress wage schl

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs F( 1, 9998)	= 10000 = 667.14
Model Residual	906.195669 13580.6134	1 9998	906.195669		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.0626 = 0.0625
Total	14486.809	9999	1.44882579		Root MSE	= 1.1655
wage	Coef.	Std. E	rr. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
schl _cons	.1034018 5.964228	.00400 .06110		0.000	.0955545 5.844453	.1112491 6.084003

184 . /\*Coeff on schooling becomes larger. And, as we see from the corr calculation above, > corr(wage,detrm)=0.4815, corr(detrm,schl)=0.0091 (Which is closed to zero correlation),
> therefore there are no omitted variable bias, corr()!=0 not satisfied\*/

186 . /\* 5)Coded by me,

> create a new variable schl2, with variable 1,random number drawn from distribution

2, al

2, de 3, in

variable wage2, with variable 1, schl2

187 . gen schl2 = round(10 + 10\*runiform(), 1) + detrm

188 . list in 1/10

schl	detrm	wage	sch12
11	1.031281	6.34586	12.03128
10	8.695457	9.396244	25.69546
11	4.699914	6.620628	15.69991
11	.3991777	4.479694	11.39918
10	6.511797	9.842613	24.5118
11	9.959957	8.58425	26.95996
15	4.428554	7.546886	20.42855
18	4.721651	8.374249	21.72165
12	6.707707	7.594052	20.70771
14	.4922629	6.409592	17.49226
	11 10 11 11 10 11 15 18 12	11 1.031281 10 8.695457 11 4.699914 11 .3991777 10 6.511797 11 9.959957 15 4.428554 18 4.721651 12 6.707707	11 1.031281 6.34586 10 8.695457 9.396244 11 4.699914 6.620628 11 .3991777 4.479694 10 6.511797 9.842613 11 9.959957 8.58425 15 4.428554 7.546886 18 4.721651 8.374249 12 6.707707 7.594052

189 .

190 . 191 . gen wage2 = schl2 + detrm + invnorm(runiform())

192 . sum wage2, d

wage2

	Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	11.67679	8.849176		
5%	14.30382	8.862227		
10%	16.22426	9.409176	Obs	10000
25%	19.91388	9.4366	Sum of Wgt.	10000
50%	24.98862		Mean	25.02529
		Largest	Std. Dev.	6.596099
75%	30.03234	41.0708		
90%	33.9467	41.30936	Variance	43.50852
95%	35.76719	41.55243	Skewness	.016538
99%	38.51094	41.72418	Kurtosis	2.230368

193 .

194 . corr wage2 schl2 detrm /\*Check correlation\*/ (obs=10000)

	wage2	sch12	detrm
wage2 schl2 detrm	1.0000 0.9389 0.8833	1.0000 0.7086	1.0000

195 . /\*result shows that these three variables are highly correlated,

> with corr(wage2,sch12)=0.938, corr(wage2,detrm)=0.8839, corr(sch12,detrm)=0.7070\*/

196 .

197 . regress wage2 schl2 detrm

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs	
Model Residual	425044.404 9997.31888		12522.202 1.0000319		F( 2, 9997) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared	= 0.0000 = 0.9770
Total	435041.723	9999 43	3.5085232		Root MSE	= 0.9770
 wage2	Coef.	Std. Err	r. t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
schl2 detrm cons	1.003955 .9969656 061455	.0034316 .0048923 .055031	203.78	0.000 0.000 0.264	.9972284 .9873758 1693269	1.010682 1.006555

198 . /\*Estimated regression line: wage2 = 0.9972522\*schl + 1.00\*detrm + 0.044 \*/

199 .

200 . regress wage2 schl2

	Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs F( 1, 9998) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared		10000 4415.76
R	Model Residual	383515.208 51526.5154	1 9998		15.208 368228			= 0.0000 = 0.8816	
	Total	435041.723	9999	43.5	085232	Root MSE		=	
	wage2	Coef.	Std. 1	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
	schl2 _cons	1.499488 -4.975527	.0054		272.79 -44.31	0.000	1.488714 -5.195648		.510263 .755405

```
201 . /*wage2 = 1.49416*sch12 - 4.8838 */
```

202 .

203 .  $/\star \mbox{Omitted}$  variable bias: check the following two conditions:

- 1) A determinant of Y
  - 2) Correalted with another covariate , cov(X,Z)!=0
- > In this case, detrm is indeed an omitted variable, and al is a biased estimator
  - al --> true al + biase term \*/

204 . 205 .

206 . /\* 6) Another coded by me:

> create something so that: schl&detrm are correlated,

> but NO CAUSAL RELATIONSHIP

> \*/

```
ECON4G03LAB2 Thursday October 1 12:43:25 2020 Page 21
```

207 . matrix C =  $(1,.25 \setminus .25, 1)$  /\*Backward slash to seperate rows, this is a 2x2 matrix\*/

208 . drawnorm y1 y2, n(\$obs) corr(C)

209 . /\*Here, create a matrix with corr(y1, y2) = 0.25 \*/

210 .

211 . gen schl3 = round(10 + y1\*2,1)

 $212 \cdot gen detrm3 = 20 + 5*y2$ 

213 . drop y1 y2

214 .

215 . gen wage3 = schl3 + detrm3 + invnorm(runiform())

216

217 . regress wage3 schl3 detrm3

Source	SS	df		MS		Number of obs F( 2, 9997)	=	10000
Model Residual	344537.985 10026.8542	2 9997		268.992 0298631	Prob > F R-squared		=	0.0000 0.9717 0.9717
Total	354564.839	9999	35.4	1600299		Adj R-squared Root MSE		1.0015
wage3	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	In	terval]
sch13 detrm3 _cons	1.006409 1.001966 0890153	.005 .0020 .0581	523	197.68 488.22 -1.53	0.000 0.000 0.126	.9964298 .9979434 2029348	1	.016389 .005989 0249043

218 . /\* wage3 = 1.0061\*sch13 + 1.001966\*detrm3-0.0890153 \*/

219 . regress wage3 schl3

Source	SS	df	М	S		Number of obs F( 1, 9998)	= 10000 = 4233.02	
Model Residual	105465.377 249099.462	1 9998	105465 24.914		Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared		= 0.0000 = 0.2975	
Total	354564.839	9999	35.460	0299		Root MSE	= 4.9915	
wage3	Coef.	Std.	Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]	
schl3 _cons	1.602663 13.90172	.024		65.06 55.17	0.000	1.554378 13.40776	1.650949 14.39568	

220 . /\*wage3 = 1.602\*schl3 + 13.918 \*/

221 .

222 . corr wage3 schl3 detrm3
 (obs=10000)

	wage3	sch13	detrm3
wage3 schl3 detrm3	1.0000 0.5454 0.9280	1.0000	1.0000

ECON4G03LAB2 Thursday October 1 12:43:25 2020 Page 22

223 . /\*corr(wage3,schl3)=0.555, corr(wage3,detrm3)=0.9259, corr(schl3,detrm3)=0.2461.
 > In this case, determination has a higher correlation than schooling does \*/

224 .
 end of do-file

225 . log close
 name: <unnamed>
 log: D:\Econ4G03LAB2\output.smcl
 log type: smcl
 closed on: 1 Oct 2020, 12:36:48