U2SR9DL7Q: I just turned this large, gnarly, page-long monstrosity of nested case statements into three short lines

with `Maybe.map`. It makes me so happy. :joy:

U0EUHKVGB: PSA:The issues downloading elm-format should be fixed.

U6D41LX7Y: is the Ellie-app open source?

U3FJSB596: <@U6D41LX7Y> As far as I can tell, it is not.

U6D41LX7Y: <@U3FJSB596> thanks alot, but that is unfortunate

U4872964V : <@U6D41LX7Y> it will be, the next version. <@U0F7JPK36> has promised :slightly_smiling_face:

U4872964V: <@U6D41LX7Y> https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GwmVELtQnOI

U6D41LX7Y: <@U4872964V> cool ill watch that

U0GR6DHEK: I have a weird compiler error and would welcome another pair of eyes - see

https://ellie-app.com/3QLSL8GBwXNa1/0 and try compiling

U23SA861Y: hmm

U0GR6DHEK: In short we have ``` (|>) is expecting the right side to be a:

Result String (List Path) -> a

But the right side is:

Result String (List (List Selection))

-> Result String (Set (List Selection))

but

`type alias Path = List Selection`

U23SA861Y: can a set contain a list?

U23SA861Y: I don't know if lists are comparable

U0GR6DHEK: when I define the model that contains a `Set Path` I get no compile error U23SA861Y: hmm, I think you should because I don't believe ADTs are comparable

U0GR6DHEK: ok, I can see that ADTs could be an issue

U23SA861Y: interesting it's not throwing a compile error sooner

U0GR6DHEK: `bindingPaths: Set Path` in my model was OKed though

U0GR6DHEK : that's in a different file U23SA861Y : should matter what file it's in

U0GR6DHEK: agreed, but it confirms that this code was compiled already

U0GR6DHEK: Ok, back to the drawing board

U0GR6DHEK: thanks

U23SA861Y: <@U0GR6DHEK> I think you've got a legit bug here

U23SA861Y: This is strange

U0GR6DHEK: Thanks. It's not worth the hassle of process Bot

U23SA861Y: It is worth the hassle for elm, however U23SA861Y: https://ellie-app.com/3QMbpGBGkZqa1/1

U3SJEDR96: Elm will gladly let you define type aliases for impossible stuff; you just sort of run into a wall trying to

actually build those values

U3SJEDR96 : like `type alias Foo = List`

U23SA861Y: so the compiler error is worst than that though

U23SA861Y:```

The right side of (|>) is causing a type mismatch.

(|>) is expecting the right side to be a:

Json.Decoder (List (List Selection)) -> a

But the right side is:

Json.Decoder (List (List Selection)) -> Json.Decoder (Set (List Selection))

Hint: With operators like (|>) I always check the left side first. If it seems fine, I assume it is correct and check the right side. So the problem may be in how the left and right arguments interact.

now the left and right argumen

U23SA861Y: it's like umm yeah that is an a

U23SA861Y: It is a problem with comparable objects, it's just the compiler error is entirely not helpful

U23SA861Y: so <@U0GR6DHEK> it does seem to be an issue with user defined types not being comparable

U0GR6DHEK: Thanks. I think I can switch to a list easily enough and create a `member` function

U3SJEDR96: There already is a `List.member` function, isn't there?

U5X2ZRFDF: Yes there is

U23SA861Y: interestingly List.member accepts any type. Does that imply that every type is equateable?

U5X2ZRFDF: `List.member: a -> List a -> Bool`No, when you call List.member, the type of the value you are

searching for has to map the element type of the list.

U5X2ZRFDF: Those `a`s must be the same type for any particular call.