```
U5WS7CJLV: so when you start writing some new Elm, jonf, do you start with Model, update, init, etc? Or is it more
organic?
U5WS7CJLV: I am currently a robot following an Elm pattern
U23SA861Y: this stuff he's got here is a bit experimental as it's a CLI thing
U23SA861Y: If I'm reaching for elm its likely that I want to render some user interacting content
U23SA861Y: so I'm going to be thinking about what I want to show and how I want users to interact
U0LPMPL2U: Also worth noting that while it's convention to have a 'type alias Model' in Elm apps, the name of the
type that stores state can be anything
U23SA861Y: yes, TEA is completely agnostic to the exact types of models and messages
U0LPMPL2U: The elm architecture does require some value for it's `model` / `init`
U0LPMPL2U: but you can give it anything you want
U0LPMPL2U: In the sudoku solver, that's the empty tuple `()`
U0LPMPL2U: ```Platform.program
    { init = () ! [ solve board, emitBoard board ]
     , update = update
     , subscriptions = always Sub.none
U0LPMPL2U: thus the update function looks like:""
update : Msg -> () -> ( (), Cmd msg )
U0LPMPL2U: `()` is used as a sort of "I don't care" value
U0LPMPL2U: If you'd wanted to track a board state, you could have used `Board` in there
U0LPMPL2U: or done `type alias Model = Board` and used `Model` like in the examples
U57KYFW67: `()` is essentially the same as `{}`, the empty record type.
U57KYFW67: Instead of a record with no fields, it's a tuple with no slots: slightly smiling face:
U5WS7CJLV: empty tuple for the win! Nothing beats purity! Makes me think of Harry Potter and the whole pureblood
concept.
U57KYFW67: Fun Fact: `()` (the type) and `Never` are actually opposites of each other
U57KYFW67: `()` (as a type) has exactly one element (which is confusingly also called `()`, so we have `(): ()`).
U57KYFW67: `Never` on the other hand has no elements.
```