U0EUHKVGB: In which case, it will crash on evaluation of the function

U0EUHKVGB: Same goes for if you wrap something in a case statement, or an if statement.

U31AUNK6F: <@U3SJEDR96> ohw nice i did not know that is what strict mend. Useful thanks

U3SJEDR96 : it's also where the subtle difference between `always "foo"` and `(_ -> "foo")` comes in

U0EUHKVGB: Indeed, see number 4 in this:

https://medium.com/@eeue56/top-6-ways-to-make-your-elm-app-crash-at-runtime-562b2fa92d70

U5LFUHH19: To pattern-match on an empty List, I use "[]." So how do I match (in a "case") an empty Dict?

U23SA861Y: yeah, regex... so infuriating

U0EUHKVGB: <@U5LFUHH19> Use an if statement + `Dict.empty`

U3SJEDR96: or `case Dict.toList myDict of [] -> "empty!" (though, if it's not empty, that's a waste)

U23SA861Y: <@U0EUHKVGB> you list function equality as a runtime crash, but shouldn't (wouldn't) the compiler catch that?

U5LFUHH19: "case List.length (Dict.keys optionList) of

0 -> ... __ -> ...

U3SJEDR96 : could just match on `Dict.size` in that case :slightly_smiling_face:

U3SJEDR96 : <@U23SA861Y> it could, I suppose, if there were another pseudo-typeclass `equatable` or something like that, which would be "any value except functions, json-encode values, and possibly some others".

U5LFUHH19: <@U3SJEDR96> I didn't know about Dict.size. Thanks!

U236M9FH9: If all you need from size is if it's 0 or something else, use `isEmpty` & amp; and if/then like eeue56 said U236M9FH9: Otherwise you're traversing the whole dict

U5LFUHH19: I tried `isEmpty` the other day, and it matched in all cases. Or something like that. <@U0EUHKVGB> helped me with it. In this particular case, I'll need the keys anyway, so I can get them in a "let".

U5LFUHH19: The REPL doesn't like this: ```[first, rest] = split "__" "foo__bar_baz"```

U23SA861Y: <@U3SJEDR96> umm why would you need that. If functions aren't comparable, they aren't comparable.

Just don't define (==) for function types U23SA861Y : nvm, I got what your saying

U5LFUHH19: Variations with `()` and nothing fail, too.

U23SA861Y: but comparable is a thing?

U3SJEDR96: yep

U23SA861Y: or is comparable considered to have an ordering

U0LPMPL2U: comparable is if something is greater than or less than something else

U5LFUHH19: `first :: rest = split "__" "foo__bar_baz"`

U3SJEDR96: `comparable`, `number` and `appendable`, but they're explained in the faq better than I can do so here :slightly_smilling_face: