```
U40QW928G: I can create one
U0LPMPL2U: Imagine two files```
module User.Commands exposing (fetchUsers)
fetchUsers: (a -> msg) -> Cmd msg
fetchUsers tagger =
-- fetch users and tag with tagger
...
module Main exposing (main)
import User.Commands exposing (fetchUsers)
type Msg = FetchUser | UserFetched User
update: Msg -> Model -> (Model, Cmd Msg)
updage msg model =
 case msg of
   FetchUser -> (model, fetchUsers UserFetched)
   -- rest
U0LPMPL2U: <@U5LFUHH19> are you using `elm-community/json-extra`?
U5LFUHH19: Ah, no.
U40QW928G : ok I have that
U40QW928G: ```fetchUser: Cmd msgfetchUser =
  Http.send FetchUsers userEndpoint``
U0LPMPL2U: right. Instead of hardcoding `FetchUsers`, pass it in as an argument
U0LPMPL2U: that way `fetchUser` function has no dependency on the `Msg` type
U40QW928G: oh ok
U0LPMPL2U: ```fetchUser: (a -> msg) -> Cmd msg
fetchUser tagger =
  Http.send tagger userEndpoint
U40QW928G: ok but now
U5ABF3BH7: In my company, we are transitioning from Rails to Elm for the FrontEnd. So far, things have been built
thinking only about the front end, building models that make sense for the front end, and without thinking too much
about the back end. Now I have the task of refactoring so that we have a better separation between data and views. I
am having a hard time because it is all tightly connected in several layers. For example, one view touches different
database models, and the same database model is represented in different views. I am a bit confused as what is the
best way to encapsulate that in the view. Let's say I have an Address model, an Organization model and a person
model (I have many more but it is to simplify). Both the organization and person have a contact information which in
turn has phone numbers (which are all models). And all those fields are in one view. A person and an organization have
an address. How would you design your view model to reflect that complexity, making it easy to encode and decode. I
know I am to separate your data from the view, but I am hesitant on what is the right way to go about it. Any advice?
Sorry for the long paragraph.
U40QW928G: It's yelling at me for this function type
U40QW928G: ```userEndpoint: Http.Request (List User)
userEndpoint =
  Http.get (baseUrl ++ "/users") decodeUsers
```

U23SA861Y: <@U40QW928G>, do you got a repo to point at so we can get a better feel?

But it is:

Http.Request a

U40QW928G: "Function send is expecting the 2nd argument to be:

```
Http.Request (List User)

U0LPMPL2U: Can you change the signature to `fetchUser: ((List User) -> msg) -> Cmd msg`?

U23SA861Y: Think it needs to be a `(Result Error (List User)) -> Cmd msg`
```

U40QW928G: ```The 1st argument to function `send` is causing a mismatch.

20| Http.send tag userEndpoint

Function `send` is expecting the 1st argument to be:

Result Error a -> msg

But it is:

List User -> msg

U0LPMPL2U: ah yes

U23SA861Y: sry `(Result Error (List User) -> msg) -> Cmd msg`

U23SA861Y: look at the type signature for send and replace `a` with `List User`

U40QW928G: yeap that worked

U40QW928G: now idk what to do with the update