

U60SXF96 : Thanks.

U60SXF96 : I appreciate it.

U3LUC6SNS : <@U48AEBJQ3>, I also ran into the jumping cursor bug. I'm using workaround that is adapted from various online resources including this slack channel: <<https://github.com/jxxcarlson/nanoedit>> I think I had an Ellie on this. Is there a way to search Ellies?

U3LUC6SNS : If you search the style-elements channel for "jumping", you will find some discussion of this. The use of `counter` or a better substitute like a document ID is essential to make the virtual DOM comply with your wishes.

U3KSN5MAL : <@U3SJEDR96> wait, how can i do toUpper on the strings if the decoder is being called by the test?

U48AEBJQ3 : <@U3KSN5MAL> I would suggest writing `stringsToUpper : List String -> List String` then look for a way to use that function in your decoder.

U611WQPL4 : > In the tests, there is no field-name for the first one. The value is literally `_just_`5` Literally.Literally 5`

U3KSN5MAL : ok thanks

U0JFGGS6 : more on jumping cursor -> <<https://github.com/elm-lang/html/issues/105>>

U0JFGGS6 : and <<https://github.com/elm-lang/html/issues/55>>

U3KSN5MAL : ``stringsToUpper : List String -> List StringstringsToUpper list =

let

up t =

toUpper t

in

List.map up list

decoder : Decoder (List String)

decoder =

Json.Decode.map stringsToUpper (list decodeString)``

U3KSN5MAL : ok can't work out what i'm doing wrong :confused:

U5VTA57UN : <@U3KSN5MAL> `up = (t -> toUpper t)` ?

U3KSN5MAL : i know that

U3KSN5MAL : i just write verbose and compress later as it's easier for me

U0LPMPL2U : `up` and `toUpper` are the same right?

U48AEBJQ3 : `decodeString` isn't a `Decoder`, you want just `string`

U3KSN5MAL : doh

U3KSN5MAL : that was it

U3KSN5MAL : thanks

U3KSN5MAL : and yes you are correct joel just silly mistakes

U3KSN5MAL : thanks

U3KSN5MAL : God i'd hate to think about how messy my code base would be for anyone else to look at -_-

U3KSN5MAL : Might have to go through and do a big semantic compression pass once i get this update out

U48AEBJQ3 : <@U3KSN5MAL> One nice thing about Elm is that it feels so much safer to do major refactors, so one *can* write a bunch of messy, ugly code, then go back and clean it up and have few problems.

U3KSN5MAL : Oh yeah of course

U3KSN5MAL : i've already refactored a lot of things before

U3KSN5MAL : Like i did a total overhaul of the colour system which everything relies on halfway through

U3KSN5MAL : The biggest thing that will take work to refactor is the monolithic update loop

U48AEBJQ3 : That is something which gets easier with experience.

U3KSN5MAL : I've gone to do that and given up 3 times so far -_-

U3KSN5MAL : and i just keep adding features making the eventuality worse

U60SXF96 : Is there a good, pure URL validation library for Elm?

U3HQVHERX : what do you mean by url validation?

U60SXF96 : Just something so that I can refer to a `URL` type and have confidence that it's sane, as oppose to an arbitrary `String`.

U3HQVHERX : take a look at the url-parser and navigation libraries

U60SXF96 : Equivalent to the `URL` class in Java, or `URI.js`.

U3HQVHERX : it gives you a record from `window.location`

U60SXF96 : Not quite what I was looking for, but very useful for my next problem. :smile:

U5XC2FJ1Y : does elm have support for pattern matching with conditionals?

U300LJUAK : <@U5XC2FJ1Y> No. At least not for now.

U23SA861Y : so, if I install via NPM i get platform version 15, anyone know whats up with that?

U300LJUAK : Gotta admit that's a feature I would love too.

U5XC2FJ1Y : what's the best alternative, just moving the conditional inside the matched pattern?

U300LJUAK : Yup. Although it can lead to duplicated code in your `else` case, that's basically the only way to go right now.

U2FP79HN3 : How do recursive types work? Say I have a cell which can be linked to other cells..

...

```
type alias Cell =  
  { row : Int  
    , column : Int  
    , links : List Cell  
  }  
...
```

doesn't work, so I tried

...

```
type alias Cell =  
  { row : Int  
    , column : Int  
    , links : Links  
  }
```

```
type Links  
  = List Cell  
...
```

which didn't really work with:

...

```
link : Cell -> Cell -> Cell  
link cell neighbour =  
  { cell | links = cell.links :: neighbour }  
...
```

and then I tried

...

```
type Links  
  = Links (List Cell)  
...
```

But now I'm in type un/wrapping hell

U0JFXEUCT : I believe you want something like `type Cell = Cell {}`

U0JFXEUCT : instead of a type alias

U0JFXEUCT : There is still some unwrapping, but remember you can unwrap in the function arguments

U0JFXEUCT : something like `link (Cell cell) = --do stuff`

U0CLDU8UB : The compiler suggests something like that to you when you make a recursive type alias!
:slightly_smiling_face:

U2FP79HN3 : Yeah, I've read <<https://github.com/elm-lang/elm-compiler/blob/0.18.0/hints/recursive-alias.md>> but still confused

U0CLDU8UB : Okay, so reiterating what Matt said, you can do this:``

```
type Cell =  
  Cell  
  { row : Int  
    , column : Int  
    , links : List Cell  
  }
```

```
... }
```

```
U0CLDU8UB : and then something like``  
link : Cell -&gt; Cell -&gt; Cell  
link (Cell cell) neighbour =  
  Cell { cell | links = cell.links :: neighbour }  
...
```

U0LPMPL2U : If you find yourself unwrapping, doing something with the data, and re-wrapping a lot, I find it helpful to define a ``map`` function