U153UK3FA: Oh, that's actually just a function

U153UK3FA: Declaring a type alias for a record type also defines a function to construct values of that type with the same name as the type alias

U4K9J3NUC : And is the order of arguments same as in which those were declared? And is this documented somewhere?

U3SJEDR96: It's the same order, indeed. I don't know if that is actually clearly stated somewhere, to be honest

U3SJEDR96 : https://guide.elm-lang.org/types/type_aliases.html there's an example there, but it doesn't specify that this is positional

U3SJEDR96 : oh, wait, it does

U3SJEDR96: "The arguments are in the order they appear in the type alias declaration"

U4K9J3NUC : OK, cool, it was there in the docs just waiting for me to reach that page :slightly_smiling_face: Thanx everyone

U3SJEDR96: happy reading!:wink:

U3SJEDR96: interestingly, that syntax has been around since 0.9

(http://elm-lang.org/blog/announce/0.9#record-constructors)

U31FGNWCT: Hi everyone. What's wrong with the following webpack.config.js? Webpack says it can't find appropriate loader for Main.elm. I'm using webpack with gulp, btw. I did install elm-webpack-loader:``` gulp.task('elm', function() {

```
return gulp.src(paths.project.elmWebpack)
    .pipe(plumber())
    .pipe(webpack({
       entry: '../js/elm/entry.js',
       output: {
          filename: 'bundle.js'
       },
       module: {
          rules: [{
            test: \lambda.elm$/.
            exclude: [/elm-stuff/, /node_modules/],
            loader: {
               loader: 'elm-webpack-loader',
               options: {debug: true, warn: true}
            }
         }]
       }
    }))
    .pipe(gulp.dest(paths.toolkit.jsBase));
});
```

~So far embedding elm-make in the existing build process is painful, unproductive and very expensive. Just wanted to share my overall frustration with open source.~

U31FGNWCT: Forgot to mention: paths.project.elmWebpack == ../is/elm/entrv.is

U0JBSEGHY: How do I decode a single string in Elm. All the examples use a full model as the structure for decoding. Completely stumped. :confused:

U0CLDU8UB : What does your HTTP response look like?

U0JBSEGHY: {"token":"XX SECRET TOKEN XX"}

U180KMGRE: You just want the token then?

U0JBSEGHY: Yea I am literally just exposing some Json with the token. I'm still super new so trying to build up slowly

U0JBSEGHY: I'm running a Phoenix server and trying to connect to an API point to get the token. Then will try and figure out the rest as I go along: slightly_smiling_face:

U0JBSEGHY: I feel like I have gotten really close. I'll show the error that was tripping me up.

U3SJEDR96: `field "token" string` is all you need

U3SJEDR96: which decodes that JSON into simply the string `XX_SECRET_TOKEN_XX`

U0JBSEGHY: ```fetchToken = Http.send ReceiveToken (Http.get apiEndpoint tokenDecoder)

```
tokenDecoder =
```

Decode.decodeString (Decode.field "token" Decode.string)```

U0JBSEGHY: Says function `get` is expecting `Decode.Decode a` but is getting `String -> Result String String`

U180KMGRE: You don't want the decodeString there

U180KMGRE: decodeString is partially applied so it then wants a string to decode, but Http.get will run the decoder

when it gets the response and so on, so it only needs the decoder to run

U0JBSEGHY: sorry I am not sure I follow

U180KMGRE: decodeString is trying to apply the decoder to a string - you don't need that to happen - you just want

the decoder 'Decode.field "token" Decode.string'

U0JBSEGHY: Was following here and various other examples. Which had variants of the solution.

http://package.elm-lang.org/packages/elm-lang/http/latest

U0JBSEGHY: "The 2nd argument to function send is causing a mismatch.

86 Http.send ReceiveToken (Http.get apiEndpoint tokenDecoder)

^^^^^

Function `send` is expecting the 2nd argument to be:

Http.Request Model

But it is:

Http.Request String```

U153UK3FA: <@U0JBSEGHY> ReceiveToken takes a Model not a String

U0JBSEGHY: Yea this is where I keep tripping up in all the various ways I have tried