

U663M2MB7 : Has anyone used <https://github.com/rtfeldman/elm-css> without having it create an external css file? I would like to use it in my code, and have it style my elements when running `elm-reactor` but I am confused as to whether this is an possible.

U46JV6X3K : What's the best way to encode a record to a JS object?

U68RXKS5D : Check out `Json.Encode`

U68RXKS5D : <http://package.elm-lang.org/packages/elm-lang/core/5.1.1/Json-Encode>

U0CL0AS3V : [@U663M2MB7](#) definitely doable - ask in [C0HJVT881|elm-css](#) and folks can help!

U48AEBJQ3 : [@U46JV6X3K](#) Presuming you want to make a JS object:``

```
type alias MyRecord =
```

```
  { foo : Int
    , bar : String
  }
```

```
encodeMyRecord : MyRecord -> Encode.Value
```

```
encodeMyRecord myRecord =
```

```
  Encode.object
    [ ("foo", <a href="#">http://Encode.int|Encode.int> myRecord.foo)
    , ("bar", Encode.string myRecord.bar)
    ]
```

```
...
```

U663M2MB7 : [@U0CL0AS3V](#) thanks man, will do! :slightly_smiling_face:

U65B9414J : Folks posted my first blog on Elm. This was an issue for me and my team members while understanding Elm. It's very basic stuff. <https://blog.bigbinary.com/2017/07/12/difference-between-type-and-type-alias-in-elm.html> . If anyone has any feedback then let me know. Thanks everyone and keep on Elming.

U3LUC6SNS : I need to frequently to the below after starting `elm-repl` . Is there a way of putting this in a script or something to avoid repetitious typing?

```
...
```

```
&gt; import LatexParser.Latex exposing(..)
```

```
&gt; import LatexParser.Parser exposing(..)
```

```
&gt; import Parser exposing(run)
```

```
...
```

U494Y62N7 : huh, i guess it would be nice to do something like `elm-repl YourCode.elm`

U3LUC6SNS : [@U494Y62N7](#) I tried this:``

```
jxxmbp:koko_client carlson$ elm-repl start.elm
```

```
Unhandled argument, none expected: start.elm
```

```
...
```

U494Y62N7 : Yea, I was thinking that would be nice. F# has the ability where you can just highlight code & send it to the repl.

U494Y62N7 : Clojure does as well.

U494Y62N7 : I guess it's time to start learning Haskell :slightly_smiling_face:

U3LUC6SNS : Another question. In the `elm-repl` I do the following:``

```
r = run latexList "a b c\nx y z\n"
```

```
Ok { value = [Words { value = ["a","b","c"] },Words { value = ["x","y","z"] }] }
```

```
  : Result.Result Parser.Error LatexParser.Parser.LatexList
```

```
...
```

Is there an easy way to extract the part after the `Ok`? Working with multi-line code in the repl is a pain.

U494Y62N7 : The only thing I can think of is to write a function with `Result.withDefault` and then have the `value` just be an empty array if it fails

U494Y62N7 : Sorry :disappointed:

U153UK3FA : [@U3LUC6SNS](#)> `Result.withDefault` is what you want. But you might just want `Result.map` if you're planning on using that value for something

U2GPAEU1L : If you want a tutorial on it:

<http://codetidbit.com/#view/story/58f7ac012bdce7111285c2ea>

These 5 mini-tutorials take you through everything you need to know about encoding and decoding in Elm

U2U94G0QG : <@U3SJEDR96> My attempts at `at : List String -> Decoder a -> Decoder a` :

U2U94G0QG : `` -- Haha, what a fool I am... But it works!

at : List String -> Decoder a -> Decoder a

at location decoder =

```
let
  reorder =
    List.reverse location
in
  case reorder of
    thing :: [] -&gt;
      field thing decoder

    thing :: moreThings -&gt;
      at (List.reverse moreThings) decoder
      |&gt; field thing

  _ -&gt;
    fail "I don't understand that location."
```

-- That's better... Say! This looks like a fold...

at : List String -> Decoder a -> Decoder a

at location decoder =

```
case location of
  thing :: [] -&gt;
    field thing decoder

  thing :: moreThings -&gt;
    field thing decoder
    |&gt; at moreThings

  _ -&gt;
    fail "I don't understand that location."
```

-- So pretty... This is how it's done in Json.Decode

at : List String -> Decoder a -> Decoder a

at location decoder =

```
List.foldr field decoder location
...
```

U5P1BDUM8 : Is there any way to hide some sort of warnings on `elm-make --warn foobar.elm` like `fno-warn-*` pragmas of Haskell? I do not want to show following warnings on specific files.

...

Top-level value `foobar` does not have a type annotation.

...

U2U94G0QG : leave off the `--warn` part

U5P1BDUM8 : I want to show other sorts of warnings...