U051SS2EU: <@U0W0JDY4C> `#(apply comp (map (fn [f] (fn [[a b c]] [a (f b) c])) (reverse %))` will take the functions

in the order -> would accept them, and return a composed function that does what you describe

U051SS2EU: or you could write a macro that inserts that function around or inside each function in the form

U0W0JDY4C: that's pretty neat. i considered macros, too, but thats a whole 'nother can of worms i havent opened yet.

U3L6TFEJF: ooooh boy, you're in for a treat! :smile:

U051SS2EU: this becomes more clear if you name that function eg. "(defn to-middle [f]

(fn [[a b c]] [a (f b) c]))

(apply comp (map to-middle functions))"

U0W0JDY4C: yea that's much more clear. funny now that you point that out I realize this is expressed in a protocol, which doesn't support variadic arguments. so either enforce a seq like `(do-map v [f])` and use the fn above^, or I think something like `(-&qt; coll (do-map xform-a) (do-map xform-b)` (though more inefficient) works too

U0W0JDY4C : so much functional-ness, so little time

U051SS2EU: that -> form will break

U051SS2EU: that's why I mentioned using comp to avoid syntactic hoops - since `->` is a form rewriter, it will put the coll in the wrong place

U0W0JDY4C: hmm, ill have to look deeper at that. thanks for the warning

U0W0JDY4C : so beautiful: ```

(map (apply comp (map reshape fns)) coll)

thanks a bunch!

U5NAUMCAD: I am trying to rename the keys from a hashmap with rename-keysI have ``` (def a {a "A", b "B"} ```

U5NAUMCAD: and use ``` (set/rename-keys a {:a:aa,:b:bb} ```

U5NAUMCAD: but nothing changes

U5NAUMCAD: Am I doing something wrong?

U051SS2EU: nice

U071CG4QY: Try `(def a {:a "A", :b "B"})`

U0NCTKEV8: well for one, `a` and `b` are both unquote symbols which evaluate to some value where `:a` and `:b` are

keywords that evaluate to themselves

U5NAUMCAD: the problem is that I receive the Json as it is

U5NAUMCAD: I can not change the a variable

U5NAUMCAD: it was just an example; But i need to modify the names of the keys