U3YDBDP4Z: Thanks <@U0F01KLV6>

U2LAL86AY: how can i read DOM information about an element - immediately after it has been created - but with no interaction form the user?

I'm showing text inside some boxes - and this boxes are layd out in 2d plane - and i need to detect if they collide or not - for that i need their dimension - width height- and absolute positioning.

I use http://package.elm-lang.org/packages/MichaelCombs28/elm-dom/1.0.0/DOM#boundingClientRect
But how can i get this info without no user interaction? How do i know how to lay out this boxes - up front? Calculating their future dimensions in pure elm is not an option.

I need to render them first, then get their dimensions and positions. all this without the user doing anything... hmm any ideas? :thinking_face:

U2LAL86AY: i need to go, but please if you have any ideas on how this can be done - let me know: smile:

U0GPGFQQY: <@U2LAL86AY> there is no way to do this without ports

U0JFGGZS6: you can't use CSS for this somehow?

U0GPGFQQY: <@U2LAL86AY> if you explain layout, maybe we can come up with a different solution

U2LAL86AY: hey i'm back

U2LAL86AY: yes, i'll show you a picture.

U2LAL86AY: <@U0GPGFQQY> loading..

U2LAL86AY: for generating what i have here - i only rely on the relative/absolute positioning - and i only need to know the height of the orange box - and the width of the blue box - and using this alternation of relative/absolute positioning i generate this. The orange boxes contain blue boxes and the blue boxes contain orange boxes. And what i'm trying to solve is:

- 1. when adding a new box i need to make sure it doesn't collide with an already expanded column or row.
- 2. when expanding a row i need to make sure it doesn't collide with an already expanded row or column.

And this can be at any level of nesting.. So that's why i need all this dom info - because this boxes will contain UI stuff inside - like text - that can;t be calculated up front - only after i render them - i get their widths and heights- and the absolute positioning related to the the viewport / document.

U2LAL86AY : so just to explain some more.```
type BlueBox = { id: Int, width: Int, orangeBoxes : List Int }
type OrangeBox = { id: Int, height: Int, blueBoxes: List Int }

So i only keep a reference > i don't store them literally inside one another. It's a flat data structure. In a dict.

Now i want this to be transformed into:

 $type\ BlueBox = \{\ id:\ Int,\ width:\ Int,\ orangeBoxes:\ List\ Int,\ geometryStuff:\ Dom.BoundingClientStuff\ \}$ $type\ OrangeBox = \{\ id:\ Int,\ height:\ Int,\ blueBoxes:\ List\ Int,\ geometryStuff:\ Dom.BoundingClientStuff\ \}$

And load this geometry in - somehow - after everything is rendered using this absolute/relative stuff i have.

U0JFGGZS6: it seems like you could trigger the port cmd to update the dimensions on those 2 actions you mention (adding a new box and expanding a row).

U0JFGGZS6: If the dimensions of the new box are fixed, and expanding a row is a pixel at a time etc., you could check for collisions ahead of time - no?

U2LAL86AY: ok. i need to go for a few minutes, but i'm back real soon - that souds interesting - not sure if i got it yet.

U0JFGGZS6: interesting looking project...

U0F01KLV6: Reducing code size even without minifying.

U0GPGFQQY: is it an option to fix the dimensions and then calculate the positioning?

U0GPGFQQY: you seem to keep the size somewhere because you allow resizing

U0GPGFQQY: what if everything was positioned absolutely?

U2LAL86AY: ok, i think i partially underestand what you say -when having any action for creating stuff - you say to command a port to get the rendered stuff back.

So it goes like this: ->` i receive the message createBlueBox` -> `i add it to the model in a "not ready yet state" AND then send the port command.` ->` then in the view this new box get's rendered` - and `js listens for when this is rendered`. When this render happens - the `js sends me back the geometry info` -> `and i move the model in "ready/complete state"`

So the hole point is to have this intermediary step - where i render - and wait for js to give me back what i need.

What was confusing at first - is that the first time the app loads - i have many boxes not just one.

But i will solve that by storing all this geometry information in firebase or something - and when it comes all at once - i already have all this info from before. So the problem then becomes - making sure that each box that i add - will get the the geometry information individually. And this is not that bad. I only need 2 ports i think. Hmm we will see - thanks so much for now :smilev: .

And no, - positioning everything absolutely i try to avoid that - because will require even more manual computation. I want to let the browser do as much as i can.

But maybe i will be forced to do that. I'm in experimenting phase now - not sure about anything yet.

U2LAL86AY: <@U0JFGGZS6> i'll keep you in the loop:smile:

U0GPGFQQY: aion: good luck! ps you mentioned a wrong person

U2LAL86AY: very true: smile: you too.

U5XQNLRLP: Hello Everyone: smile:

U5XQNLRLP: So I have a quick question for you folks. I am creating a game with elm and I am trying to figure out something. So i am creating an Hacking simulation game where my main app is an OS. I want to put buttons in where it allows me to open a small window within the page. I am not sure where to start with that.

U2LAL86AY: how can i receive a `Result Error Success` type - inside my inbound port - instead of a normal type `string / list` . I mean i want js to tell me if i got a succes or error. And not use 2 different ports for that.

U2LAL86AY: <@U5XQNLRLP> so this `small window within the page` - is just a normal div - that is styled to look like a window?

U0JFGGZS6: what I was thinking was you might not need a "not ready" state for individual boxes, if the boxes are initialized to a fixed size, and you have the geometry of the rest of the boxes.

U5XQNLRLP: So essential it will be like this.....You are in windows and you click on the chrome icon and chrome browser pops up. I will be able to do things within that window.

U5XQNLRLP: I haven't officially started coding it yet. I am kind of making small plans and seeing if my ideas can actually work.

U0JFGGZS6: `I receive the message CreateBlueBox` ->`I check if it would collide with any existing box based on its default geometry`->

`If so, handle the error case; if not, render the new box and send out a port request to re-fetch geometry`

U0JFGGZS6: you'd just need a global "not ready" state for when you fetch the geometry of the first box

U2LAL86AY: hmm - i made a mistake when i mention that i know the length of the orange boxes. I know it here - in this demo - i generated randomly as you can see - but in reality will be calculated based on the text it contains. So there is no inititial/default geometry.

A `Global not ready state` sounds like an interesting idea. :smiley:

U0JFGGZS6: <@U2LAL86AY> Elm can automatically handle Maybe's coming from javascript (`null` turns into

U0JFGGZS6: https://guide.elm-lang.org/interop/javascript.html

U0JFGGZS6: if that helps

U2LAL86AY: Result allows for a string to be passed in, in the case of Error.. Maybe is just Nothing -> that's why i'm interested in Result type...

U0JFGGZS6 : ah ok

U0FP80EKB: <@U2LAL86AY> you could pass in an `Decode.Value` and then decode it into a `Result`

U0JFGGZS6: right, sometimes you don't care about the error message so Maybe would work.. if you need a Result yes exactly what coreyhaines said

U14Q8S4EM: <@U5XQNLRLP>, I recently made this in Elm: http://www.ct-os.us/www.ct-os.us/

U14Q8S4EM: Sounds similar to what you are going for, I would be happy to share the code or tell you about it.

U5XQNLRLP: <@U14Q8S4EM> That is exactly what I am looking for :smile:

U55CZT6T1: Still wondering about measuring performance: a variant of Debug.log that would log a timestamp with each message would let me collect timings for critical parts of my program. Is something like this possible? U1CE9DL9H: <@U55CZT6T1> no, but there is

http://package.elm-lang.org/packages/BrianHicks/elm-benchmark/latest if you suspect something is slow

U55CZT6T1: <@U1CE9DL9H>: Thanks!

U2D7NUGS1: Hello, good folks! Is there a way of setting custom HTML attribute to a boolean value (i.e. `true` or

`false` instead of string `"true"` or `"false"`)? I'm fighting with Polymer custom elements and their attributes and string doesn't do the trick.

U1CE9DL9H: <@U2D7NUGS1> what are you using now?

U1CE9DL9H: because `property "x" "false" will produce `x=false` (so no goutes)

U2D7NUGS1: Hmm... let me check it. Maybe the problem is elsewhere.

U5Z6J0VNJ: Three problem might be that the HTML spec only allows strings for attribute values. I believe the previous elm diff patch was vdom and there are issues reported against the vdom library asking for the same.

https://www.w3.org/TR/html51/syntax.html#elements-attributes

U5Z6J0VNJ: Im not being definitive here wrt elm... Just trying to add some hopefully helpful context I've seen in other libraries

U2D7NUGS1 : <@U1CE9DL9H> are you sure? I'm trying it on standard `main` like that: ```view : Model -> Html Msg view model =

main_
[attribute "test" "true"]
[]
``` and in chrome I see: ```
<main test="true"&gt;&lt;/main&gt;

U1CE9DL9H: hmm, right

U1CE9DL9H: so, <a href="https://github.com/elm-lang/html/blob/2.0.0/src/Html/Attributes.elm#L177">https://github.com/elm-lang/html/blob/2.0.0/src/Html/Attributes.elm#L177</a>> has a `boolProperty`

function

U1CE9DL9H: I think that is what you want to replicate that using

<a href="http://package.elm-lang.org/packages/elm-lang/virtual-dom/2.0.4/VirtualDom#property">http://package.elm-lang.org/packages/elm-lang/virtual-dom/2.0.4/VirtualDom#property> U2D7NUGS1: Mhm... it's not exposed and looks like an internal helper. How would I use it?

U1CE9DL9H: replicate it

U2D7NUGS1: But I think it will still output quoted value, wouldn't it?

U1CE9DL9H: I think it wouldn't U2D7NUGS1: Ok, let me try.

U1CE9DL9H: wait, this is for something else, like things that are present or not