U4872964V: https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/SVG/Attribute/text-anchor perhaps

U4872964V: oh, you want to change the size of the box, hard

U4872964V: the would depend on the font rendering, so either you can approximate it or you have to wait until it has rendered and adjust the box

U2LAL86AY: can someone can help me understand why this library is using `comparable` here and not `number`?https://github.com/jschomay/elm-bounded-number/blob/1.0.0/src/Number/Bounded.elm#L35

U2LAL86AY: what is the advantage? or there is none? U5J0H2NS2: Just a higher level of abstraction I guess.

U5J0H2NS2: You can use it for any comparable type.

U2LAL86AY: yeah but comparable means `strings` and `list of strings` - and it doesn't make sense to "bound" a list of strings - in the context of this library- or i'm missing something..

U2LAL86AY: oh and i found something more interesting. How can i create a function of type: `number -> Float` the fancy search returns nothing.http://klaftertief.github.io/elm-search/?q=number+-%3E+Float

U681TBBUP: The only notion needed for bounding something is comparability, maybe it doesn't make sense in some contexts but there isn't a reason to unnecessarily restrict it

U3ZNWN526: Hmm... There's a strange thing happening (or maybe I'm just missing something obvious): I have an extensible record type `WithUserFields` and an extended record `UserFields`, which doesn't add any additional fields:```

type alias WithUserFields a = { a | ... } type alias UserFields = WithUserFields {}

But when I try to use `UserFields`, the compiler spits out "cannot find variable `UserFields`"

U2LAL86AY: how do you try to use `UserFields` can you show that particular example?

U2LAL86AY: and you can't have `WithUserFields` and `WithUserFields` twice in the same module i think - ups i thougth is a `type` - delete that:smile:

U5QJW0DDE: Incidentally this is only true if your data model is structured the same as your UI. Rarely does a UI page equate to an exact segment of your data model.

U3ZNWN526: Sure! The first case for example, is:"

userFieldsFrom : User -> UserFields

userFieldsFrom u =

UserFields u.userRole u.username u.name u.email u.password u.passwordConfirmation

U3ZNWN526: Well for `WithUserFields` the first time is defining it, and the second time I'm using it (to define `UserFields`)

U5QJW0DDE: I look forward to watching that.

U2LAL86AY: there is a small article about extensible records here. I can't find the other one i saved once - was much better at explaining how to compose extensible records.

https://dennisreimann.de/articles/elm-data-structures-record-tuple.html

U2LAL86AY: ok let check it out
U2LAL86AY: i simplified a bit:```
type alias WithUserFields a =
{ a | name: String }

type alias UserFields =

WithUserFields {}

userFieldsFrom : String -> UserFields userFieldsFrom string =

WithUserFields string

error:

Cannot find variable `WithUserFields`

...

U2LAL86AY: so it seems like you can't have parametric types act as constructors

U3ZNWN526: Oh, no, I have `UserFields` as the constructor within that last function, not `WithUserFields`

U2LAL86AY: Maybe is the same thing - since it gives the same error.

if you have `type alias Thing = String `

you get back a function named `Thing: String-> Thing`.

But if you have `type alias Thing a = String`

Seems you don't have this `Thing: String -> Thing` anymore. It's how elm works.

Let me try a few more things first

U3ZNWN526: Ooh, so you think even with `type alias Thing = MetaThing {}` maybe wouldn't give you the constructor? I suppose that's possible... very unexpected though, and doesn't seem to be documented anywhere