

U4WH8STNX : yeah it does have a lot of overhead

U4WH8STNX : well conceptual overhead that is

U4872964V : maybe not a discussion for <#C192T0Q1E|beginners> though

U4WH8STNX : sure :slightly_smiling_face:, got carried away

U3HQQVHERX : <<https://tour.golang.org/welcome/1>>

U4WH8STNX : Fixed: <<https://ellie-app.com/3TrzZNYf4F3a1/1>>

U0F7JPK36 : Oh no this isn't your fault, the error message for this needs to be way better than it currently is

U6FECHN3B : I have a question regarding how data is structured within Elm vs in JavaScript. if I were to pass in an array of Objects from JavaScript to Elm, is a List of Elm records equivalent enough for that to work i.e. passing from JavaScript the following:

...

U6FECHN3B : ``````

U6FECHN3B : sorry

U6FECHN3B : ````[{key: key, value: value}, {key: key, value: value}]

...

U65B9414J : I'm using Json.Decode.Pipeline . `

type alias User =

```
{ name : String
, age : Int
, githubid : Maybe String
}
```

userDecoder : Decoder User

userDecoder =

```
decode User
  |> required "name" string
  |> required "age" int
  --|> optional "githubid" (nullable string) (Just "")
  |> optional "githubid" (nullable string) Nothing
```

U65B9414J : commented out line works

U6FECHN3B : would that be represented in Elm as

...

type alias itemname =

```
{ key: String, value: String
}
```

...

U65B9414J : replacing Just "" with Nothing fails. Anyone knows why.

U6FECHN3B : something according to that

U6FECHN3B : I'm looking to pass in an array of key value pairs via a port

U48AEBJQ3 : <@U65B9414J> It compiles for me. What error are you getting?

U65B9414J : <@U48AEBJQ3> The 3rd argument to function `optional` is causing a mismatch.

```
73| optional "githubid" (nullable string) Nothing
   ~~~~~
```

Function `optional` is expecting the 3rd argument to be:

Maybe String

But it is:

Msg

U65B9414J : I have pasted the whole code here

<<https://gist.github.com/neerajdotname/b7deadea90d42b49e0b4f41d1b5488bb>>

U65B9414J : If key is missing or is null then I'm trying to get Nothing in return and not Just "".

U48AEBJQ3 : <@U6FECHN3B> Ports have to decode incoming data. Elm helps out a bit by providing automated ways to decode simple structures. If you had a port of type `port myIncomingPort : (List ItemName -> msg) -> Sub msg` it should work? I usually just do `port myIncomingPort : (Value -> msg) -> Sub msg` and write my own decoders.

U6FECHN3B : in this case it would be a very simple structure just of key value pairs of type String