U57KYFW67: Let ? = 3. Then ? = 3. I have shadowed the variable ?. Not good practice, but you can't say I'm wrong, because I just gave a definition for what I mean by ?.

U57KYFW67: The only thing is that some variables have historical importance, and are used nearly universally in certain ways. But that's convention, not logic.

U23SA861Y: we coloquially refer to archimedes constant as pi because its frequent use as the symbol. But the constant itself doesn't change

U57KYFW67: A good example is something like?, the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Surely? is used in lots of ways, but it is also an important naturally-occurring number -- not all that different from?.

U23SA861Y: the concept of a fixed ratio between a diameter and a circumference that is the constant.

U57KYFW67: jonf: Just taking this aside, since it's not programming-related. But if you look at situations like multiple integrals, you can have "constants" which "vary" Like ??xydxdy (taken over, say, a rectangle) which is equal to ?y (?xdx) dy. We say the variable y is constant with respect to x. It's treated as constant because when you zoom in on the inner integral "?xydx", you can see the quantifier for x (the "dx" in a sense brings the variable x into existence), but you can't see the quantifier for y until you zoom back out.

U23SA861Y: y isn't a constant in that scenario it is a variable of the inner function f(y,x)=xy you are simply recoginizing that there exists an identity g(y) = 2xydx = y + 2xdx

U57KYFW67: Right. But that requires a global perspective. Locally, (when you confine your analysis to just the inner scope) it acts in all ways exactly like a constant.

U57KYFW67: The perspective I'm arguing here is useful if you're, say, writing a compiler, and you want to concern yourself with local data whenever possible.

U57KYFW67: But ultimately, you can take different perspectives on it.

a`?

U3HQVHERX : Like `unique [1,1,2] == [1,2]`

U5ABF3BH7 : <@U23SA861Y> thanks for helping me earlier. I am not fetching the data though. The decoder isn't doing its job and I get an empty list when I shouldn't . Would you might taking a look to see if you catch some error in my code? Going to the url "cases/frontend/all_rolodex" fetches the data so the error isn't there.

entryDecoder = Json.Decode.map2 Types.RolodexEntry (Json.Decode.field "id" http://Json.Decode.int/Json.Decode.int/Json.Decode.int/) (Json.Decode.field "name" Json.Decode.string)

categoryDecoder = Json.Decode.map2 Types.RolodexList (Json.Decode.field "category" Json.Decode.string) (Json.Decode.field "list" (Json.Decode.list entryDecoder))

```
(Json.Decode.field "list" (Json.Decode.list entryDecoder))
getRolodexLists =
 Json.Decode.list categoryDecoder
        |> Http.get "cases/frontend/all rolodex"
        |> Http.send Types.LoadRolodexLists
...
type alias RolodexList =
  { category : String
  , list : List RolodexEntry)
type alias RolodexEntry =
   { id : Int
    , name : String}
Types.LoadRolodexLists (Ok rolodexLists) -&at:
  ({ model | rolodexCategoriesAndEntries = rolodexLists}, Cmd.none)
Types.LoadRolodexLists (Err _) ->
   (model, Cmd.none)
U5ABF3BH7: I also get the data with ```Cmd.batch [ ..., ..., getRolodexLists]
U153UK3FA: <@U5ABF3BH7> are you sure the http request isn't erroring?
U153UK3FA: what does the json from the response look like?
U3HQVHERX: Could someone provide me with an example of `uniqueBy: (a -> comparable) -> List a -> List
```

U3HQVHERX: `unique ?? [1,1,2] == [1,2]`

U3HQVHERX : from List.Extra

U57KYFW67 : <@U3HQVHERX> I don't know if this is right, but my guess would be something like unique (\p ->

p.age) personList

U57KYFW67 : or to be a bit more concrete, unique (\x -> abs x) [-1, 2, -3, 4, 1, -2]

U236M9FH9 : :point_up: