U5QJW0DDE: if essentially every single action a user can take on a page is an option of the same type

U604S603Y: I'm dealing with a challenge concerning nested models again: I have a `Record a`, containing a `Record b', containing a 'Maybe c', containing a 'Maybe Float' of which I want the string representation of the inner value in the Just case. When I'm using ugly nested `case ... of ... `to match the `Maybe`s I get the `Float` as `String` in the end just fine, let's say "42".

But when making it look nicer using 'Maybe.map' I end up with "Just 42" in the end.

And using `|> Maybe.withDefault ""` does not work, because Float and String don't match. And `toString`ing the Float beforehand gets me "Just 42" again.

```
The complete helper methond in the let block is:
 `getErgebnisZahl schnellcheckData =
       case schnellcheckData.rechenergebnis of
         Just re ->
            case re.ergebnis of
              Just ergebnis ->
                ergebnis |> toString
              Nothing ->
```

U0FP80EKB: Yeah

U0FP80EKB: <@U5QJW0DDE> so, you have one top-level `type Msg =` that contains all the messages that can be triggered.

U0FP80EKB: <@U5QJW0DDE> Here's a sample of my top-level `Msg` on one of my apps (this is an editor for customizing our embed modules) ``

type Msa

= UpdateEmbed FieldHelpers.FieldInfo

| Save

SaveResult (Api.ApiResult Embed)

| EmbedMsg Embed.Msg

Nothing ->

| ImageSelected ImagePicker.ImageControl FieldHelpers.FieldInfo

| ImageControlClicked ImagePicker.ImageControl

| ImageStateChange { id : String, state : String, data : String, bytes : Int, totalBytes : Int }

| ToggleEmbedCodeVisibility | IgnoreEmbedMsg Embed.Msg

U5QJW0DDE: <@U0FP80EKB> would be nice if elm-format allowed you to put empty lines between parts of that long list, for annotating different categories of related messages

U0FP80EKB: As you start noticing grouping of messages (such as ones that update the data for our `Embed`), you can pull them into a new one and collapse them, such as `EmbedMsa Embed,Msa`

U0FP80EKB: If you start wanting to annotate, you might be at a point where you want to start grouping them.

U5QJW0DDE: oh i see. so EmbedMsg is itself a union

U0FP80EKB: Yeah, notice `FieldHelpers.FieldInfo`, as well. This is how we collapsed editing form fields

U0FP80EKB: We have 'FieldInfo' further collapse'"

type FieldInfo

= ContentField ContentFieldInfo

| AppearanceField AppearanceFieldInfo

| GeneralField GeneralFieldInfo

U5QJW0DDE: that's' nice

U0FP80EKB: So, over time, there are techniques to keep your top-level `Msg` from being too cluttered. At some point, I always end up collapsing my form field update messages.

U5QJW0DDE: i dig it

U0FP80EKB: Oh, here is one of the bottom types, 'AppearanceFieldInfo'''

type AppearanceFieldInfo

= BackgroundColor ColorPicker.ColorControl

```
| SubmitButtonColor ColorPicker.ColorControl | AccentColor ColorPicker.ColorControl | QuestionBackgroundColor ColorPicker.ColorControl | HeaderTextColor ColorPicker.ColorControl | PrimaryTextColor ColorPicker.ColorControl | SecondaryTextColor ColorPicker.ColorControl | HeaderImage ImagePicker.ImageControl | FontFamily String | FontSize Int
```

U0FP80EKB : There you can see all the possible things that can be updated. So, when `FontSize` is edited, the message comes up like `FontSize 16` or something

U0FP80EKB: So, the payload has the new value

U0FP80EKB: Our situation has a bit of complexity because we used the same editor customizing 3 different types of embeds, so there are general fields and type-specific fields that need to be edited.

U5QJW0DDE: i suppose that sending a Msg then means wrapping the FontSize in an FieldInfo and then in another, etc.

```
U0FP80EKB: Yup
U0FP80EKB: For example```
generalControls =
Html.map UpdateEmbed <|
div [ class "control-group" ]
[ CommonControls.textControl "Name" embed.displayName (FieldHelpers.GeneralField &lt;&lt;
FieldHelpers.DisplayName)
]
```

U0FP80EKB: This edits the "Name" field, so the message ends up something like `UpdateEmbed (FieldHelpers.GeneralField (FieldHelpers.DisplayName "Corey"))`

U0FP80EKB: Luckily the constructors for a union type are composable, since they are just ordinary functions, so we can do `FieldsHelpers.GeneralField << FieldHelpers.DisplaName` as the event handler U5QJW0DDE: I like it