Computational Physics – Lecture 16

(13 April 2020)

Recap

In the previous class, we understood the meaning of the Discrete Fourier Transform as a basis change in \mathbb{C}^n .

Recap

We ended by writing the DFT as

$$\tilde{f}(k_q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_p) \cdot \exp(-ik_q x_p),$$
 (1)

and the inverse DFT as

$$f(x_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} \tilde{f}(k_q) \cdot \exp(ik_q x_p), \tag{2}$$

for p = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 and q = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, and

$$x_p = p\Delta \tag{3}$$

and

$$k_q = 2\pi q/n\Delta \tag{4}$$



Recap

That form was a rewording of the simpler form of the DFT

$$\tilde{w}_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} w_p \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi qp}{n}\right),\tag{5}$$

and the inverse DFT

$$w_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{q=0}^{n-1} \tilde{w}_q \cdot \exp\left(\frac{i2\pi qp}{n}\right),\tag{6}$$

for p = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 and q = 0, 1, ..., n - 1.



Today's plan

In today's class, we want to learn an algorithm called Fast Fourier Transform for computing the DFT and then we want to apply this algorithm to calculate the FT.

DFT is a $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operation.

DFT is a $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operation.

This is because calculating the matrix multiplication

$$\tilde{w}_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} w_p \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi qp}{n}\right),\tag{7}$$

requires $\sim n$ multiplications plus n additions, n times.



DFT is a $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operation.

This is because calculating the matrix multiplication

$$\tilde{w}_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} w_p \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi qp}{n}\right),\tag{7}$$

requires $\sim n$ multiplications plus n additions, n times.

The total number of arithmetic operations is then $\sim 2n^2$, which is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.



DFT is a $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operation.

This is because calculating the matrix multiplication

$$\tilde{w}_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} w_p \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi qp}{n}\right),\tag{7}$$

requires $\sim n$ multiplications plus n additions, n times.

The total number of arithmetic operations is then $\sim 2n^2$, which is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

It turns out that there is an $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$ method.



DFT is a $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ operation.

This is because calculating the matrix multiplication

$$\tilde{w}_q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} w_p \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi qp}{n}\right),\tag{7}$$

requires $\sim n$ multiplications plus n additions, n times.

The total number of arithmetic operations is then $\sim 2n^2$, which is $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$.

It turns out that there is an $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$ method.

That is a big improvement: For a billion numbers, if an $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$ method takes a second, $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ will need a year.



Let us consider the special case in which $n = 2^m$. That is, for example, n = 32 or n = 512 or n = 1024.

Let us consider the special case in which $n = 2^m$. That is, for example, n = 32 or n = 512 or n = 1024.

Now we can write

$$\tilde{w}_{q} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} w_{p} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi qp}{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot (2p)}{n}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p+1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot (2p+1)}{n}\right)$$
(9)



$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot (2p)}{n}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p+1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot (2p+1)}{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot p}{n/2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p+1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot (2p+1)}{n}\right)$$

$$(10)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot p}{n/2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p+1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot (2p+1)}{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot p}{n/2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q}{n}\right) \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p+1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot p}{n/2}\right)$$
(13)



So our DFT is

$$\tilde{w}_{q} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} w_{p} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi qp}{n}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot p}{n/2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q}{n}\right) \sum_{p=0}^{n/2-1} w_{2p+1} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi q \cdot p}{n/2}\right)$$

$$= F_{q}^{e} + W^{q} \cdot F_{q}^{o},$$

$$(16)$$

where F_k^e and F_k^o are DFTs of n/2 numbers, and W is the number $\exp(-i2\pi/n)$.



We can now break F_k^e , which is the DFT of n/2 numbers, into F_k^{ee} and F_k^{eo} , which are DFTs of n/4 numbers.

We can now break F_k^e , which is the DFT of n/2 numbers, into F_k^{ee} and F_k^{eo} , which are DFTs of n/4 numbers.

Similarly, we can also break F_k^o into F_k^{oe} and F_k^{oo} .

We can now break F_k^e , which is the DFT of n/2 numbers, into F_k^{ee} and F_k^{eo} , which are DFTs of n/4 numbers.

Similarly, we can also break F_k^o into F_k^{oe} and F_k^{oo} .

In other words, we can apply our scheme recursively.

We can now break F_k^e , which is the DFT of n/2 numbers, into F_k^{ee} and F_k^{eo} , which are DFTs of n/4 numbers.

Similarly, we can also break F_k^o into F_k^{oe} and F_k^{oo} .

In other words, we can apply our scheme recursively.

Where will the recursion stop? It will stop at the step where we have to do DFTs of single numbers.

We can now break F_k^e , which is the DFT of n/2 numbers, into F_k^{ee} and F_k^{eo} , which are DFTs of n/4 numbers.

Similarly, we can also break F_k^o into F_k^{oe} and F_k^{oo} .

In other words, we can apply our scheme recursively.

Where will the recursion stop? It will stop at the step where we have to do DFTs of single numbers.

But the DFT of a single number is the number itself, because

$$\tilde{w}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1}} \sum_{p=0}^{0} w_p \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-i2\pi \cdot 0 \cdot p}{1}\right) = w_0.$$
 (17)



Now to get the DFT of the original n numbers, we start with these single-number DFTs and work backwards.

Now to get the DFT of the original n numbers, we start with these single-number DFTs and work backwards.

At each step, we have $\mathcal{O}(n)$ operations to do at each steps and there $\log_2 n$ steps. So the whole algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$.

Now to get the DFT of the original n numbers, we start with these single-number DFTs and work backwards.

At each step, we have $\mathcal{O}(n)$ operations to do at each steps and there $\log_2 n$ steps. So the whole algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$.

The same algorithm can also be used to calculate the inverse DFT by simply changing the sign in the exponent.

Now to get the DFT of the original n numbers, we start with these single-number DFTs and work backwards.

At each step, we have $\mathcal{O}(n)$ operations to do at each steps and there $\log_2 n$ steps. So the whole algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(n \log_2 n)$.

The same algorithm can also be used to calculate the inverse DFT by simply changing the sign in the exponent.

Libraries like numpy.fft, GSL, and FFTW all use the FFT algorithm.



What if $n \neq 2^m$?

What if $n \neq 2^m$?

In that case we divide the problem by prime factors of n instead of factors of 2.

What if $n \neq 2^m$?

In that case we divide the problem by prime factors of n instead of factors of 2.

If these prime factors are small, we get an almost $\mathcal{O}(n\log_2 n)$ computation. Otherwise, we come closer the $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ computation.



What if $n \neq 2^m$?

In that case we divide the problem by prime factors of n instead of factors of 2.

If these prime factors are small, we get an almost $\mathcal{O}(n\log_2 n)$ computation. Otherwise, we come closer the $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ computation.

Whenever possible, it is advisable to construct your problem so that $n = 2^m$.



We now understand the definition of the DFT of n numbers.

We now understand the definition of the DFT of n numbers.

We also know how to calculate the DFT efficiently.

We now understand the definition of the DFT of n numbers.

We also know how to calculate the DFT efficiently.

Now, it turns out that we can use the DFT to compute a numerical approximation to the FT of a function.

Suppose I have a function f(x).

Suppose I have a function f(x).

We know that the FT of this function is the infinite integral

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \cdot f(x) \cdot \exp(-ikx).$$
 (18)



Suppose I have a function f(x).

We know that the FT of this function is the infinite integral

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \cdot f(x) \cdot \exp(-ikx).$$
 (18)

Our task now is to evaluate this integral numerically.



We begin by considering the n numbers

$$f(x_i)$$
 for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. (19)

where the x_i are evenly spaced sample points

$$x_i = x_{\min} + i\Delta, \tag{20}$$

for i = 0, ..., n - 1, where the constant Δ is called the sampling rate.



We begin by considering the n numbers

$$f(x_i)$$
 for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. (19)

where the x_i are evenly spaced sample points

$$x_i = x_{\min} + i\Delta, \tag{20}$$

for i = 0, ..., n - 1, where the constant Δ is called the sampling rate.

The maximum value of x_i is therefore $x_{\text{max}} = x_{\text{min}} + (n-1)\Delta$. (We will discuss later how to choose x_{min} , x_{max} , and Δ .)



We begin by considering the n numbers

$$f(x_i)$$
 for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. (19)

where the x_i are evenly spaced sample points

$$x_i = x_{\min} + i\Delta, \tag{20}$$

for i = 0, ..., n - 1, where the constant Δ is called the sampling rate.

The maximum value of x_i is therefore $x_{\text{max}} = x_{\text{min}} + (n-1)\Delta$. (We will discuss later how to choose x_{min} , x_{max} , and Δ .)

The process of obtaining $f(x_i)$ is called *sampling*. The set of $f(x_i)$ is called a *sample* of the function f(x).



Now we can write

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \cdot f(x) \cdot \exp(-ikx)$$
 (21)

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \Delta \cdot f(x_p) \cdot \exp(-ikx_p)$$
 (22)

$$= \Delta \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_p) \cdot \exp(-ikx_p), \tag{23}$$

where we have replaced the integral by an approximate Riemann sum in Equation (22), and taken the constant Δ out of the summation in Equation (23).



Now, we know that given the *n* numbers $f(x_p)$, p = 0, ..., n-1, the Discrete Fourier transform of these *n* numbers is given by

$$\tilde{f}(k_q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_p) \cdot \exp(-ik_q x_p),$$
 (24)

if $x_p = p\Delta$ and $k_q = 2\pi q/n\Delta$ for a constant Δ and $q = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.



Now, we know that given the *n* numbers $f(x_p)$, p = 0, ..., n-1, the Discrete Fourier transform of these *n* numbers is given by

$$\tilde{f}(k_q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_p) \cdot \exp(-ik_q x_p),$$
 (24)

if $x_p = p\Delta$ and $k_q = 2\pi q/n\Delta$ for a constant Δ and $q = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

What if $x_p = x_{\min} + p\Delta$ as we have in our case?



Well, in this case, we notice that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_p) \cdot \exp\left(-ik_q x_p\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_{\min} + p\Delta) \cdot \exp\left(-ik_q \cdot [x_{\min} + p\Delta]\right) \qquad (25)$$

$$= \exp\left(-ik_q \cdot x_{\min}\right)$$

$$\cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_{\min} + p\Delta) \cdot \exp\left(-ik_q \cdot p\Delta\right). \qquad (26)$$

Well, in this case, we notice that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_p) \cdot \exp\left(-ik_q x_p\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_{\min} + p\Delta) \cdot \exp\left(-ik_q \cdot [x_{\min} + p\Delta]\right) \qquad (25)$$

$$= \exp\left(-ik_q \cdot x_{\min}\right)$$

$$\cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_{\min} + p\Delta) \cdot \exp\left(-ik_q \cdot p\Delta\right). \qquad (26)$$

So if the sample points are of the form $x_{\min} + p\Delta$, just use the old DFT formula, which we had derived for sample points of the form $p\Delta$, but multiply the result with the phase factor $\exp(-ik_q \cdot x_{\min})$



So now we can go back and write the Fourier transform as

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \cdot f(x) \cdot \exp(-ikx)$$
 (27)

$$= \Delta \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_p) \cdot \exp(-ikx_p)$$
 (28)

$$= \Delta \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \cdot \exp\left(-ik_q \cdot x_{\min}\right) \cdot \text{DFT}\left[f(x_p)\right], \tag{29}$$

where DFT[$f(x_p)$] is the result of using the standard DFT formula from with the n numbers $f(x_p)$.



So now we can go back and write the Fourier transform as

$$\tilde{f}(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \cdot f(x) \cdot \exp(-ikx)$$
 (27)

$$= \Delta \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f(x_p) \cdot \exp(-ikx_p)$$
 (28)

$$= \Delta \cdot \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} \cdot \exp\left(-ik_q \cdot x_{\min}\right) \cdot \text{DFT}\left[f(x_p)\right], \tag{29}$$

where DFT[$f(x_p)$] is the result of using the standard DFT formula from with the n numbers $f(x_p)$.

This now enables you to numerically calculate the FT of an arbitrary function.



Note that we have ended up computing a sample of the FT.

Note that we have ended up computing a sample of the FT.

The frequencies are

$$k_0 = 0, k_1 = \frac{2\pi}{n\Delta}, k_2 = \frac{4\pi}{n\Delta}, \dots, k_{n-1} = \frac{2\pi(n-1)}{n\Delta}.$$
 (30)



Note that we have ended up computing a sample of the FT.

The frequencies are

$$k_0 = 0, k_1 = \frac{2\pi}{n\Delta}, k_2 = \frac{4\pi}{n\Delta}, \dots, k_{n-1} = \frac{2\pi(n-1)}{n\Delta}.$$
 (30)

The quantity

$$f_n = \frac{1}{2\Delta} \tag{31}$$

turns out to be important. It is called the *Nyquist frequency*.



Note that we have ended up computing a sample of the FT.

The frequencies are

$$k_0 = 0, k_1 = \frac{2\pi}{n\Delta}, k_2 = \frac{4\pi}{n\Delta}, \dots, k_{n-1} = \frac{2\pi(n-1)}{n\Delta}.$$
 (30)

The quantity

$$f_n = \frac{1}{2\Delta} \tag{31}$$

turns out to be important. It is called the Nyquist frequency.

So we can write

$$k_0 = 0, k_1 = \frac{4\pi f_n}{n}, k_2 = \frac{8\pi f_n}{n}, \dots, k_{n-1} = \frac{4\pi (n-1)f_n}{n}.$$
 (32)

