Linux and Open Source

Use smart pointers

- Avoid using *new* without RAII wrapper like smart pointer
 - Prefer std::unique_ptr over std::shared_ptr
 - Do not overuse std::shared_ptr
 - Most of the cases can be solved with std::unique_ptr, typical use-case for std::shared_ptr is multithreading

Avoid using *new* at all

• When working on desktop applications, where stack size is not an important limitation, prefer allocating objects on stack over heap

Do not return pointers to locally created objects

```
struct User
      std::string name;
      std::string id;
};
User* getUser() {
      User u;
      return &u;
```

Avoid circular dependencies

```
#include "b.h"

class A {
public:
    A(B* b) : m_b(b){}
private:
    B* m_b = nullptr;
}
```

```
#include "a.h"

class B {
  public:
     B(A* a) : m_a(a){}
  private:
     A* m_a = nullptr;
}
```

- Avoid circular dependencies
 - o Consider dependency via abstraction
 - Use forward declaration and plmpl idiom

- Avoid circular dependencies
 - o Consider dependency via abstraction
 - Use forward declaration and plmpl idiom

- Avoid circular dependencies
 - Consider dependency via abstraction
 - Use forward declaration and pImpl idiom
- Define interfaces (abstractions) in separate header file

- Avoid circular dependencies
 - Consider dependency via abstraction
 - Use forward declaration and plmpl idiom
- Define interfaces (abstractions) in separate header file
- Limit includes in header files to bare minimum, headers needed for implementation include in cpp file

- Avoid circular dependencies
 - Consider dependency via abstraction
 - Use forward declaration and pImpl idiom
- Define interfaces (abstractions) in separate header file
- Limit includes in header files to bare minimum, headers needed for implementation include in cpp file
- When working on modules boundaries, prefer copies of DTO's over incorrect dependencies

- Avoid circular dependencies
 - Consider dependency via abstraction
 - Use forward declaration and plmpl idiom
- Define interfaces (abstractions) in separate header file
- Limit includes in header files to bare minimum, headers needed for implementation include in cpp file
- When working on modules boundaries, prefer copies of DTO's over incorrect dependencies
- Avoid depending on IO/OS modules directly, introduce reasonable abstractions instead

- Avoid circular dependencies
 - Consider dependency via abstraction
 - Use forward declaration and plmpl idiom
- Define interfaces (abstractions) in separate header file
- Limit includes in header files to bare minimum, headers needed for implementation include in cpp file
- When working on modules boundaries, prefer copies of DTO's over incorrect dependencies
- Avoid depending on IO/OS modules directly, introduce reasonable abstractions instead
- Do not link DLL-s (shared objects on Linux) statically

Do not join die-hard template team

- With many advantages of templates in c++, there are several problems:
 - o Increased compilation time
 - Need to implement whole template in header file (see: Avoid dependency hell)
 - Misused templates leads to vast specializations which makes things hard to maintain in the long run

Do not overcomplicate things

• I know you are smart, there is no need to manifest it in code

Do not oversimplify things

- If there are advantages of increased complexity, then do not cut corners
 - Temporary solutions and hacky workarounds tends to become long-run solutions increased tech debt significantly

Think about testing

- Test behavior, not an implementation (BDD vs TDD)
 - Unit test on interface level, there is no need to test implementation details
 - Too specific tests needs to be updated each time implementation change which is error prone
- Stick to test pyramid:
 - most of the tests should be unit tests
 - o another big, lesser though, chunk of tests are integration tests
 - write some regression tests to have overall testing and whole system condition

There is (almost) always a trade-off

• Think about pros & cons of alternative ways to implement things, just pick whatever seems to come with best result even if it means minor problems

Deal with imperfection

• Technical perfection is a process, not a goal