## HW # 4

1. 
$$\mu = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1} \\ M_{1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{E}_{11} & \mathcal{E}_{12} \\ \mathcal{E}_{21} & \mathcal{E}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mu_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mu_{2} = \mathcal{E}_{11} \quad \mathcal{E}_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 8 \\ 8 & 15 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{E}_{11}^{T} = \mathcal{E}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathcal{E}_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(a) \quad p(x_{1}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{1} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{11}) = \mathcal{N}(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 8 \\ 8 \cdot 15 \end{bmatrix})$$

$$(b) \quad p(x_{2}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{12} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{22}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{E}_{11})$$

$$(c) \quad p(x_{1}|x_{2}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_{112}, \mathcal{E}_{112})$$

$$\mu_{112} = \mu_{11} + \mathcal{E}_{12} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{22}^{T} \cdot (x_{2} - \mu_{2}) = \mathcal{I}_{12}^{T} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{11}^{T} \cdot (x_{2} - \mathcal{E}_{12})$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 5 q_{11q} & 5 q_{11q} \\ 5 q_{11q} & 5 q_{11q} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 8 \cdot 15 \end{bmatrix} - \mathcal{I}_{11}^{T} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{11}^{T} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{12}^{T} \cdot \mathcal{E}$$

2.

(a)  $P(y=1|x;\theta) = \alpha(\theta^Tx) \leftarrow logistic model$ Causian prior on weights, we have negative log likelihood nll (0)=- \(\frac{2}{3}\) \(\lambda\) \(\lambda\) + (1-yi) \log (1-\alpha\) 1 (1012) Taking gradients, Vol= ξy: (1- ~ (0<sup>T</sup>x)) x -(1-y.) ~ (0<sup>T</sup>x) x + = { [yi - ~ (0 xi)] xi + 10 = XT (~ (X0)-y)+20  $\nabla^{2}l : \underline{d} \nabla l^{T} = \underbrace{\forall \partial \mathcal{L} (\partial^{T} x) x_{i}^{T} + \lambda \mathbf{I}}_{i}$ = X T diag [ ~ (X 0)(1- ~ (X0))]X + ] I (Convergen plat attached) we can her that Newton's Nethod is nuch faster than raw gradient descent. Hesting descriptions attorned)

(b) for softwar regression we have: P(y = C(x, W) = 1 exp(Wex)= exp(wtx)

Zi exp(wix)

Assuring Gaussian prior on each column of W: nel(W):-log TI TI Mic- ATI (WTW) = E & yic log Mic + Atr(WTW) we can find:  $\nabla_{W} \text{ nell } = X^{T}(M-y) + \lambda W$   $y \in \{0,1\}^{n \times c}$ yi { 0 y1c = 1n Similarly, we define ME[0,1] "xc as  $Mi = S(xi) = \frac{exp(W^Tx)}{\mathbf{1}^T exp(W^Tx)}$ uing stochastic gradient descent, we have test accuracies over diff. regularization param. (accuracy is & attached) (convergence plot attached)