FROSS ZELNICK LEHRMAN & ZISSU, P.C. 2015 PERFORMANCE REVIEW

NAME:	
POSITION:	
DATE OF HIRE:	
REVIEWED BY:	

THIS FORM IS DESIGNED AS A TOOL TO AID IN PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL AND MERIT REVIEW.

DIRECTIONS

- 1. Read each item carefully and consider specific instances of the employee's performance to justify your rating.
- 2. Score each factor independently without regard to age, race, color, religion, sex, national origin, physical disability or mental disability.

CRITERIA FOR SALARY ADJUSTMENTS AND PERFORMANCE RATINGS

<u>OUTSTANDING</u>	Performance significantly and consi	istently exceeds expected	
--------------------	-------------------------------------	---------------------------	--

results for the position in all major areas and overall results are clearly due to sound planning, execution, and management <u>not</u> unanticipated or "windfall" conditions. This means that virtually any knowledgeable observer would understand the overall high

quality of results in all areas.

SUPERIOR Performance is at the expected level in all areas and overall

results exceed that level to a significant degree in some

important areas.

GOOD Performance is at the expected level and overall results are

satisfactory.

MARGINAL Performance below the acceptable level, either because the

employee in the position is new and has not yet reached an acceptable level, or because the overall quality of results has

diminished since the last evaluation.

UNSATISFACTORY: Performance clearly below the acceptable level and there is no

reasonable expectation that the employee is capable of meeting the

standards for the position. This means that virtually any knowledgeable observer would understand the overall

unacceptable quality of the results.

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL

PRODUCTIVITY

Consider the output of work this review period. Compare the amount of work performed with your standard for such a job:

Outstanding	(Exceptional producer)		
Superior _	(Above average quantity; always does more than their share)		
Good	(Regularly turns out a normal or average amount of work)		
Marginal _	(Volume below average; does enough to get by)		
Unsatisfactory _	(Excessively slow)		
QUALITY OF WORK			
Consider accuracy, thorou	ighness and content:		
Outstanding	(Exceptionally accurate)		
Superior _	(Only rare errors)		
Good _	(Few errors; dependable)		
Marginal	(Frequent mistakes)		
Unsatisfactory	(Careless work)		
JOB KNOWLEDGE			
	edures and proficiency in all phases of job; overall ability to handle tered:		
Outstanding	(Complete knowledge of all details of the job)		
Superior	(Performs job without much assistance)		
Good	(Adequate job knowledge)		
Marginal	(Needs much assistance)		
Unsatisfactory	(Limited understanding)		
INITIATIVE			
Consider the employee's se	elf-motivation and drive in planning, organizing and performance:		
Outstanding	(Self-starter; seeks responsibility)		
Superior	(Willing to do more than most; will not settle for less than an excellent performance)		
Good	(Shows occasional initiative)		
Marginal	(Does not show effort unless prodded)		
Unsatisfactory	(Does less than required)		

BEHAVIOR

Consider the employee	s behavior and respone to the requests from yourself or others:		
Outstanding	(Always extremely professional to co-workers and clients)		
Superior	(Conduct is very good, colunteers to help others)		
Good	(Generally cordial, will help others when asked)		
Marginal	(Conduct towards co-workers could improve, rarely helps others)		
Unsatisfactory	(Conduct is often very unprofessional toward co-workers)		
consistent tardiness. Consider employee's reapproriate use of vacation	red by Administration, taking into account the number unplanned absences and adiness to begin work at the start of the day; conformance to work schedules; on, sick and personal time: (Sets example for others; always reliable)(More reliable and dependable than most; always punctual)(Generally reliable)(Sometimes unreliable)		
Unsatisfactory	(Unacceptable)		

COMMENTS

1.	Strongest areas of performance:	
2.	Areas of performance that need improvement:	
۷.	Areas of performance that need improvement.	
3.	Other comments:	
SIGNA	ATURE:	DATE: