



Engineering Thesis

**FORMAL GRAMMAR
PRODUCTION RULE PARSING TOOL**

Karol Belina

keywords:

Parser combinators, context-free grammars,
Extended Backus-Naur Form

short summary:

The paper documents the process of designing and implementing a tool for parsing the production rules of context-free grammars in a textual form. It discusses the choice of Extended Backus-Naur Form notation over the alternatives and provides a mathematical model for parsing such a notation. The implemented parser can turn a high-level specification of a grammar into a parser itself, which in turn is capable of constructing a parse tree from arbitrary input provided to the program with the use of parser combinators.

Supervisor	dr inż. Zdzisław Sławski
	Title/degree/name and surname	grade	signature

The final evaluation of the thesis

Head of the examination commission
	Title/degree/name and surname	grade	signature

*For the purposes of archival thesis qualified to:**

a) category A (perpetual files)

b) category BE 50 (subject to expertise after 50 years)

** delete as appropriate*

stamp of the faculty

Wrocław 2020

Abstract

The thesis presents the design and implementation of an EBNF-based context-free grammar parsing tool with real-time explanations and error detection. It discusses the choice of Extended Backus-Naur Form notation over the alternatives and provides a mathematical model for parsing such a notation. For this purpose, the official specification of the EBNF from the ISO/IEC 14977 standard has been examined and transformed into an unambiguous and ready for implementation form. The thesis proposes a definition of a grammar in the form of an abstract syntax tree. It describes the process of tokenization — the act of dividing the grammar in a textual form into a sequence of tokens — while taking into account proper interpretation of Unicode graphemes. The whitespace-agnostic tokens are then being combined together to form a previously-defined AST with a technique called *parser combination*. Several smaller helper parsers are defined, all of which are then combined into more sophisticated parsers capable of parsing entire terms, productions, and grammars. **[TODO coś o regexach w specjalnych sekwencjach?]** The paper defines an algorithm for handling left recursion in the resulting grammar defined by an AST, as well as a dependency graph reduction algorithm for determining the starting rule of a grammar. Up to this stage, any errors encountered in the textual form of a grammar are reported to the user in a user-friendly format with exact locations of the errors in the input. The paper thus compares several techniques of storing the locations of individual tokens and AST nodes for the purposes of error reporting. Further, the thesis describes a method of testing an arbitrary input against the constructed grammar to determine if it belongs to the language generated by that grammar. **[TODO tutaj prawdopodobnie coś o wyjaśnieniach zwracanych przez checker]** The thesis describes the process of creating a simple command line REPL program to act as a basic tool for interfacing with the grammar parser and checker, but in order to efficiently use the library, a web-based application is designed on top of that to serve as a more visual, user-friendly and easily accessible tool. **[TODO tutaj coś o wizualizacjach, edytorze tekstowym i highlightowaniu]** The paper describes the deployment of the application on a static site hosting service **[TODO service workery]**, as well as a cross-platform desktop application with the use of Electron. The designed and implemented system gives the opportunity to extend it with other grammar specifications. **[TODO poparafrazować “The thesis describes...”]**

Contents

1	Problem analysis	1
1.1	Description and motivation	1
1.2	Goal	1
1.3	Scope	2
2	Analysis of similar solutions	3
2.1	Coco/R	3
2.2	ANTLR	3
2.3	Lex and Yacc	3
2.4	PLY	3
2.5	Regex101	3
3	Theoretical preliminaries	5
3.1	Formal grammars	5
3.1.1	Introduction to formal grammars	5
3.1.2	The Chomsky hierarchy	6
3.1.3	Context-free grammars	6
3.2	Why EBNF?	6
3.3	Specification	6
3.4	Grammar definition	6
3.5	Lexical analysis	6
3.6	Syntactic analysis	6
3.6.1	Methods	6
3.6.2	Parser combination	6
3.6.3	Parser definitions	6
3.7	Grammar preprocessing	6
3.7.1	Left recursion handling	6
3.7.2	Dependency graph reduction	6
3.8	Grammar processing	7
4	Design	9
4.1	Requirements	9
4.1.1	Functional requirements	9
4.1.2	Non-functional requirements	9
4.2	Use cases	9
4.3	The architecture	9
4.3.1	Used technologies	9
4.3.2	Class diagram	9
4.4	Interface prototype	9

5	Implementation	11
5.1	Environment	11
5.1.1	Visual Studio Code	11
5.1.2	Git and GitHub	11
5.1.3	Cargo	11
5.1.4	npm	11
5.1.5	Rollup	11
5.2	Business logic	11
5.2.1	Lexer	11
5.2.2	Parser	11
5.2.3	Preprocessor	11
5.2.4	Checker	11
5.3	Command line application	11
5.4	Web-based application	11
5.4.1	Linking the business logic	11
5.4.2	Text editor	11
5.4.3	Visualizations	11
6	Testing	13
6.1	Automated testing	13
6.1.1	Business logic testing	13
6.1.2	UI testing	13
6.2	Manual testing	13
7	Deployment	15
7.1	GitHub Pages	15
7.2	Electron	15
	Summary	17
	Bibliography	19
	List of Figures	21
	List of Tables	23
	List of Listings	25
A	Modified specification	27

1. Problem analysis

1.1. Description and motivation

Programming language theory has become a well-recognized branch of computer science that deals with the study of programming languages and their characteristics. It is an active research field, with findings published in various journals, as well as general publications in computer science and engineering. But besides the formal nature of PLT, many amateur programming language creators try their hand at the challenge of creating a programming language of their own as a personal project. It is certainly relevant for a person to write their own language for educational purposes, and to learn about programming language and compiler design. However, the language creator must first of all make some fundamental decisions about the paradigms to be used, as well as the syntax of the language.

The tools for aiding the design and implementation of the syntax of a language are generally called *compiler-compilers*. These programs create parsers, interpreters or compilers from some formal description of a programming language (usually a grammar). The most commonly used types of compiler-compilers are *parser generators*, which handle only the syntactic analysis of the language — they do not handle the semantic analysis, not the code generation aspect. The parser generators most generally transform a grammar of the syntax of a given programming language into a source code of a parser for that language. The language of the source code for such a parser is dependent on the parser generator.

Most such tools, however, offer too much complexity and generally have a steep learning curve for people inexperienced with the topic. Limited availability makes them less fitted for prototyping a syntax of a language — they often require a complex setup for simple tasks, which is not welcoming for new users [TODO and may lead to...?]. The lack of visualization capabilities shipped with these tools makes them less desirable for teachers in the theory of formal languages, who often require such features for educative purposes in order to present the formulations of context-free grammars in a more visual format.

1.2. Goal

The main goal of this thesis is to design and implement a specialized tool in the form of an easily accessible web-based application, that serves teachers, programmers and other kinds of enthusiasts of the theory of formal languages in the field of discrete mathematics and computer science, in order to formulate and visualize context-free grammars in the form of the Extended Backus-Naur Form. The thesis itself will document the entire process of creating such a project.

[TODO jak projekt pomoże w powyższych problemach?]

In order to achieve the general goal, several sub-goals have been distinguished, all of which contribute to the main objective as a whole

- analysis of existing solutions and applications,

- presentation of the theoretical preliminaries of the project,
- definition of the outline of the project, including a description of the functional and non-functional requirements, the use case diagram, use case scenarios, the class diagram, and the user interface prototype,
- description of technologies used in the implementation,
- implementation of the project,
- description of the testing and deployment environments.

1.3. Scope

[TODO na pewno zakres? czym to się w ogóle różni?]

2. Analysis of similar solutions

2.1. Coco/R

[TODO [6]]

2.2. ANTLR

[TODO [1]]

2.3. Lex and Yacc

[TODO [2]]

2.4. PLY

[TODO [3]]

2.5. Regex101

[TODO [4]]

3. Theoretical preliminaries

3.1. Formal grammars

3.1.1. Introduction to formal grammars

Formal grammar of a language defines the construction of strings of symbols from the language's *alphabet* according to the language's *syntax*. It is a set of so-called *production rules* for rewriting certain strings of symbols with other strings of symbols — it can therefore generate any string belonging to that language by repeatedly applying these rules to a given starting symbol [12]. Furthermore, a grammar can also be applied in reverse: it can be determined if a string of symbols belongs to a given language by breaking it down into its constituents and analyzing them in the process known as *parsing*.

For now, let's consider a simple example of a formal grammar. It consists of two sets of symbols: (1) set $N = \{S, B\}$, whose symbols are *non-terminal* and must be rewritten into other, possibly non-terminal, symbols, and (2) set $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$, whose symbols are *terminal* and cannot be rewritten further. Let S be the start symbol and set P be the set of the following production rules:

1. $S \rightarrow aBSc$
2. $S \rightarrow abc$
3. $Ba \rightarrow aB$
4. $Bb \rightarrow bb$

To generate a string in this language, one must apply these rules (starting with the start symbol) until a string consisting only of terminal symbols is produced. A production rule is applied to a string by replacing an occurrence of the production rule's left-hand side in the string by that production rule's right-hand side. The simplest example of generating such a string would be

$$S \Rightarrow_2 \underline{abc}$$

where $P \Rightarrow_i Q$ means that string P generates the string Q according to the production rule i , and the generated part of the string is underlined.

By choosing a different sequence of production rules we can generate a different string in that language

$$\begin{aligned} S &\Rightarrow_1 \underline{aBSc} \\ &\Rightarrow_2 aB\underline{abcc} \\ &\Rightarrow_3 aa\underline{Bbcc} \\ &\Rightarrow_4 aabb\underline{cc} \end{aligned}$$

After examining further examples of strings generated by these production rules we may come into a conclusion that this grammar generates the language $\{ a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 1 \}$, where x^n is a string of n consecutive x 's. It means that the language is the set of strings consisting of one or more a 's, followed by the exact same number of b 's, then followed by the exact same number of c 's.

Such a system provides us with a notation for describing a given language formally. Such a language is a usually infinite set of finite-length sequences of terminal symbols from that language.

3.1.2. The Chomsky hierarchy

3.1.3. Context-free grammars

3.2. Why EBNF?

3.3. Specification

[TODO analiza i zmodyfikowanie oficjalnej specyfikacji EBNF]

3.4. Grammar definition

[TODO definicja gramatyki w formie AST w notacji Haskell]

3.5. Lexical analysis

[TODO krótko o "algorytmie" tokenizacji]

3.6. Syntactic analysis

3.6.1. Methods

[TODO o różnych metodach i podejściach do parsowania]

3.6.2. Parser combination

[TODO opisanie parser combinatorów w Haskellu [13] [11] [9]]

3.6.3. Parser definitions

[TODO zdefiniowanie ważnych parserów dla EBNF]

3.7. Grammar preprocessing

3.7.1. Left recursion handling

[TODO przedstawienie algorytmu do usuwania lewej rekurencji i wyjaśnienie po co]

3.7.2. Dependency graph reduction

[TODO przedstawienie algorytmu do wyszukania reguły początkowej]

3.8. Grammar processing

*[**TODO** opisanie sposobu na sprawdzenie czy wejście należy do języka generowanego przez gramatykę]*

4. Design

4.1. Requirements

4.1.1. Functional requirements

4.1.2. Non-functional requirements

4.2. Use cases

[**TODO** *diagram UML*] [**TODO** *scenariusze przypadków użycia*]

4.3. The architecture

4.3.1. Used technologies

[**TODO** *Git*] [**TODO** *Rust [10]*] [**TODO** *nom [8]*] [**TODO** *Svelte [5]*] [**TODO** *Rollup*]
[**TODO** *WebAssembly*]

4.3.2. Class diagram

[**TODO** *Diagram “klas”*]

4.4. Interface prototype

[**TODO** *obrazki*]

5. Implementation

5.1. Environment

5.1.1. Visual Studio Code

[TODO konfiguracja, rozszerzenia]

5.1.2. Git and GitHub

[TODO w jaki sposób używam Gita, GitHuba, jak używam branchy, issues, PR, projektów]

5.1.3. Cargo

[TODO konfiguracja Cargo, Clippy]

5.1.4. npm

5.1.5. Rollup

5.2. Business logic

5.2.1. Lexer

5.2.2. Parser

5.2.3. Preprocessor

5.2.4. Checker

5.3. Command line application

5.4. Web-based application

5.4.1. Linking the business logic

[TODO jak się kompiluje Rusta do WebAssembly, czyli wasm-pack]

5.4.2. Text editor

[TODO CodeMirror]

5.4.3. Visualizations

6. Testing

6.1. Automated testing

6.1.1. Business logic testing

[TODO *Cargo test*]

6.1.2. UI testing

[TODO *Jest*]

6.2. Manual testing

7. Deployment

7.1. GitHub Pages

7.2. Electron

Summary

Bibliography

- [1] ANTLR homepage. <https://www.antlr.org/>. Accessed: 2020-10-24.
- [2] The lex & yacc homepage. <http://dinosaur.compilertools.net/>. Accessed: 2020-10-24.
- [3] Ply homepage. <https://www.dabeaz.com/ply/>. Accessed: 2020-10-24.
- [4] Regex101 homepage. <https://regex101.com/>. Accessed: 2020-10-24.
- [5] Svelte api documentation. <https://svelte.dev/docs>. Accessed: 2020-10-24.
- [6] The Compiler Generator Coco/R homepage. <http://www.ssw.uni-linz.ac.at/Coco/>. Accessed: 2020-10-24.
- [7] *Information technology, syntactic metalanguage, extended BNF*. ISO/IEC, 1996.
- [8] COUPRIE, G. Nom, a byte oriented, streaming, zero copy, parser combinators library in Rust. *2015 IEEE Security and Privacy Workshops* (2015).
- [9] FOKKER, J. Functional parsers. *Advanced Functional Programming Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (1995), 1–23.
- [10] KLABNIK, S., AND NICHOLS, C. *The Rust Programming Language*. No Starch Press, USA, 2018.
- [11] LEIJEN, D., AND MEIJER, E. Parsec: Direct style monadic parser combinators for the real world.
- [12] MEDUNA, A. *Formal Languages and Computation: Models and Their Applications*. CRC Press, 2014.
- [13] SWIERSTRA, S. D. Combinator parsing: A short tutorial. *Language Engineering and Rigorous Software Development Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (2009), 252–300.

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Listings

A.1	Modified version of the EBNF language specification defined in [7]	27
-----	--	---------	----

A. Modified specification

```
1 character
2   = ? any Unicode non-control character ?;
3 letter
4   = ? any Unicode alphabetic character ?;
5 digit
6   = ? any Unicode numeric character ?;
7 whitespace
8   = ? any Unicode whitespace character ?;
9 comment
10  = '(*', {comment | character}, '*)';
11 gap
12  = (whitespace | comment), {whitespace}, {{comment}, {whitespace}};
13 identifier
14  = letter, {{whitespace}, letter | digit};
15 factor
16  = [[gap], digit, {{whitespace}, digit}, [gap], '*'],
17    [gap], [(identifier
18      | ('[' | '(/', alternative, (']' | '/)')
19      | ('{' | '(:', alternative, ('}' | ':)')
20      | '(', alternative, ')')
21      | '"', character - '"', {character - '"'}, '"'
22      | "'", character - "'", {character - "'"}, "'"
23      | '?', {{whitespace}, character - '?', '?'), [gap]]];
24 term
25   = factor,
26     ['- ', ? a factor that could be replaced
27       by a factor containing no identifiers ?];
28 sequence
29   = term, {' ', term};
30 alternative
31   = sequence, {'|', sequence};
32 production
33   = [gap], identifier, [gap], '=', alternative, (';' | '.'), [gap];
34 grammar
35   = production, {production};
```

Listing A.1: Modified version of the EBNF language specification defined in [7]