Running head: TITLE

The title

Karolina Muszyńska $^1~\&~XXX^2$

¹ University of Warsaw, Faculty of Psychology

² Stanford University

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein
- 7 must be indented, like this line.
- Enter author note here.

2

5

- The authors made the following contributions. Karolina Muszyńska:
- 10 Conceptualization, Writing Original Draft Preparation, Writing Review & Editing;
- 11 XXX: Writing Review & Editing, Supervision.
- 12 Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Karolina Muszyńska,
- University of Warsaw, Faculty of Psychology, ul. Stefana Banacha 2D, 02-097 Warsaw,
- Poland. E-mail: karolina.muszynska@psych.uw.edu.pl

Abstract

One or two sentences providing a basic introduction to the field, comprehensible to a scientist in any discipline. Two to three sentences of more detailed background, comprehensible to scientists in related disciplines. One sentence clearly stating the general problem being addressed by this particular study. One sentence summarizing the main result (with the words "here we show" or their equivalent). Two or three sentences explaining what the main result reveals in direct comparison to what was thought to be the case previously, or how the main result adds to previous knowledge. One or two sentences to put the results into a more general context. Two or three sentences to provide a broader perspective, readily comprehensible to a scientist in any discipline.

25 Keywords: keywords

26 Word count: X

The title 27 Methods 28 (to paste from google doc) 29 **Participants** (to paste from google doc) Mention the bilinguals and multilinguals 31 Material (to paste from google doc) 33 Procedure (to paste from google doc) 35 Data analysis Results 37 Psychometric properties of the two CAT-CDIs Our first aim was to examine whether CAT-CDIs in American English and Polish 39 demonstrate comparable psychometric properties. To that end, we revisit the psychometric properties reported for the American English CAT-CDI (word production) in Kachergis et 41 al. (2022) and compare those to the data from Polish CAT-CDI (Words and Sentences). We found similarly strong correlations in the two languages between the abilities 43 estimated from CDI-CAT and full CDI scores (American English and Polish: r = .86), the

abilities estimated from the CDI-CAT and abilities estimated from full CDI (American

Table 1

American English: Correlations between ability estimated by CAT-CDI and ability estimated from full CDI by children's age

	[15,18)	[18,21)	[21,24)	[24,27)	[27,30)	[30,33)	[33,36]
r ability CAT vs full CDI	0.95	0.85	0.82	0.83	0.59	0.84	0.86
N	26	22	26	30	28	24	48

- English and Polish: r = .92), and the abilities estimated from the full CDI and the full
- 47 CDI scores (American English: r = .95, Polish: r = 0.94). The abilities estimated from the
- 48 CDI-CAT and the full CDI scores were also strongly correlated within individual age
- 49 groups (see Table 2).
- The Polish validation study included 28 data from bi- and multilingual families.
- Though it is a small group, we decided to explore their correlation coefficients
- (non-parametric Spearman's rho) and found these were similar to those found for Polish
- monolingual children (see Table 3 in Supplementary Materials).
- We also looked at the mean squared error between the abilities as estimated by
- $_{55}$ CAT-CDI and from the full CDI. The mean squared error in English was 0.55 (Mdn =
- 56 0.17, SD = 1), and in Polish it was 0.19 (Mdn = 0.08, SD = 0.45). We also looked at the
- 57 children for whom the estimates from the CAT-CDI and full CDI diverged extremely,
- i.e. their difference between the errors was 1.5 SD from the mean. There were 15 such cases
- 59 (7.35%) in the English dataset and 4 cases (1.96%) in the Polish dataset. All participants
- in both datasets showed higher ability estimates on the CDI-CAT compared to the full
- 61 CDI. If the full CDI is considered the baseline, this suggests that parents may have
- overestimated their child's vocabulary on the CDI-CAT, potentially responding "yes –
- produces" to more items than expected based on full CDI estimates (as suggested by
- Kachergis, et al. 2022). An alternative explanation is that, for these participants, the full

Table 2

Polish: Correlations between ability estimated by CAT-CDI and ability estimated from full CDI by children's age

	[18,21)	[21,24)	[24,27)	[27,30)	[30,33)	[33,36]
r ability CAT vs full CDI	0.8	0.94	0.91	0.89	0.95	NA
N	29	22	16	23	22	1

- 65 CDI may have underestimated the child's true ability. Notably, all Polish participants with
- 66 large discrepancies completed the full CDI in unusually short times (their completion times
- were among the shortest 5% in the sample) suggesting their responses may have been
- rushed or less attentive. This could have led to lower ability estimates from the full CDI.
- ⁶⁹ Supporting this interpretation, their CDI-CAT scores had acceptable measurement errors
- 70 (below or equal to 0.1 for Polish), indicating reliable ability estimation by the CDI-CAT, in
- contrast to the full CDI. However, this pattern did not appear in the English dataset,
- where only 2 participants who showed extreme discrepancy also showed very short
- administrations of the full CDI.

Table 3 $Supplementary\ Material:\ Table\ S1\ -\ Spearman's\ correlations\ for$ $monolingual\ and\ multilingual\ children\ in\ the\ Polish\ dataset$

lang_group	r	n	correlation
monolingual	0.92	85	Ability from CDI-CAT \sim full CDI score
multilingual	0.90	28	Ability from CDI-CAT \sim full CDI score
monolingual	0.92	85	Ability from CDI-CAT \sim ability from full CDI
multilingual	0.90	28	Ability from CDI-CAT \sim ability from full CDI
monolingual	1.00	85	Ability from full CDI \sim full CDI score
$\operatorname{multilingual}$	1.00	28	Ability from full CDI \sim full CDI score

Table 4

Table 4										TITLE
production	sex_full	age_full	order	fullTheta	fullTheta_SE	catTheta	catTheta_SE	sq_err	full_cat_diff	extreme_discre
97.00	Female	27.00	full_first	-0.14	0.04	1.20	0.17	1.81	-1.34	yes
8.00	Male	17.00	cat_first	-1.58	0.16	-0.23	0.16	1.82	-1.35	yes
158.00	Male	35.00	$full_first$	0.14	0.04	1.62	0.16	2.17	-1.47	yes
0.00	Male	34.00	$full_first$	-2.90	0.43	-1.48	0.38	2.01	-1.42	yes
132.00	Female	21.00	cat_first	0.02	0.04	1.75	0.17	2.99	-1.73	yes
165.00	Male	20.00	$[tull]_{tright}$	0.18	0.04	1.48	0.17	1.71	-1.31	yes
47.00	Female	28.00	$full_first$	-0.57	90.0	1.86	0.17	5.90	-2.43	yes
14.00	Male	20.00	$full_first$	-1.27	0.12	0.01	0.16	1.64	-1.28	yes
124.00	Female	28.00	cat _first	0.00	0.04	1.30	0.17	1.68	-1.30	yes
210.00	Female	26.00	cat _first	0.33	0.03	1.85	0.17	2.31	-1.52	yes
5.00	Female	26.00	cat _first	-1.79	0.19	-0.42	0.19	1.87	-1.37	yes
177.00	Male	28.00	$full_first$	0.22	0.03	1.62	0.18	1.98	-1.41	yes
470.00	Male	36.00	$full_first$	1.14	0.03	2.82	0.35	2.83	-1.68	yes
253.00	Male	35.00	cat_first	0.48	0.03	1.83	0.16	1.83	-1.35	yes
287.00	Male	23.00	full_first	0.58	0.03	1.91	0.16	1.78	-1.33	yes

We also re-calculated the mean squared error without the cases of extreme discrepancy, which yielded a MSE of 0.44 (Mdn = 0.29, SD = 0.44) in English and MSE of 0.12 (Mdn = 0.07, SD = 0.14) in Polish.

77 Discussion

- 1. Correlations strong in both languages (overall and in age-bins; in PL: multi and mono correlations similar and strong).
- 2. MSE after removing cases with extreme discrepancies.
- 3. Extreme discrepancies mixed results?

82 References

- Auguie, B. (2017). gridExtra: Miscellaneous functions for "grid" graphics. Retrieved from
- https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=gridExtra
- Aust, F., & Barth, M. (2024). papaja: Prepare reproducible APA journal articles with R
- Markdown. https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.papaja
- Barth, M. (2023). tinylabels: Lightweight variable labels. Retrieved from
- 88 https://cran.r-project.org/package=tinylabels
- ⁸⁹ Chalmers, R. P. (2012). mirt: A multidimensional item response theory package for the R
- environment. Journal of Statistical Software, 48(6), 1–29.
- 91 https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i06
- ⁹² Chalmers, R. P. (2016). Generating adaptive and non-adaptive test interfaces for
- multidimensional item response theory applications. Journal of Statistical Software,
- 94 71(5), 1–39. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v071.i05
- ⁹⁵ Chang, W., Cheng, J., Allaire, J., Sievert, C., Schloerke, B., Xie, Y., ... Borges, B. (2024).
- Shiny: Web application framework for r. Retrieved from
- 97 https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=shiny
- Garnier, Simon, Ross, Noam, Rudis, Robert, ... Cédric. (2023). viridis(Lite) -
- colorblind-friendly color maps for r. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4678327
- Garnier, Simon, Ross, Noam, Rudis, Robert, ... Cédric. (2024). viridis(Lite) -
- colorblind-friendly color maps for r. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4679423
- Grolemund, G., & Wickham, H. (2011). Dates and times made easy with lubridate.
- Journal of Statistical Software, 40(3), 1–25. Retrieved from
- https://www.jstatsoft.org/v40/i03/
- 105 Kassambara, A. (2023). Ggpubr: 'ggplot2' based publication ready plots. Retrieved from
- https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggpubr
- 107 Krajewski, G. (2025). Multilada: MultiLADA's little helpers. Retrieved from
- https://github.com/gkrajewski/Multilada

- Müller, K. (2020). Here: A simpler way to find your files. Retrieved from
- https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=here
- Müller, K., & Wickham, H. (2025). Tibble: Simple data frames. Retrieved from
- https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tibble
- R Core Team. (2025). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,
- Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from
- https://www.R-project.org/
- Sarkar, D. (2008). Lattice: Multivariate data visualization with r. New York: Springer.
- Retrieved from http://lmdvr.r-forge.r-project.org
- Slowikowski, K. (2024). Ggrepel: Automatically position non-overlapping text labels with
- 'ggplot2'. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggrepel
- Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag New
- York. Retrieved from https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org
- Wickham, H. (2023a). Forcats: Tools for working with categorical variables (factors).
- Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=forcats
- Wickham, H. (2023b). Stringr: Simple, consistent wrappers for common string operations.
- Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=stringr
- Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R., ...
- Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software, 4(43),
- 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686
- Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., Müller, K., & Vaughan, D. (2023). Dplyr: A
- grammar of data manipulation. Retrieved from
- https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dplyr
- Wickham, H., & Henry, L. (2025). Purrr: Functional programming tools. Retrieved from
- https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=purrr
- Wickham, H., Hester, J., & Bryan, J. (2024). Readr: Read rectangular text data. Retrieved
- from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=readr

Wickham, H., Vaughan, D., & Girlich, M. (2024). *Tidyr: Tidy messy data*. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=tidyr

R (Version 4.4.3; R Core Team, 2025) and the R-packages dplyr (Version 1.1.4; 138 Wickham, François, Henry, Müller, & Vaughan, 2023), forcats (Version 1.0.0; Wickham, 139 2023a), ggplot2 (Version 3.5.2; Wickham, 2016), ggpubr (Version 0.6.0; Kassambara, 2023), 140 ggrepel (Version 0.9.6; Slowikowski, 2024), gridExtra (Version 2.3; Auguie, 2017), here 141 (Version 1.0.1; Müller, 2020), lattice (Version 0.22.7; Sarkar, 2008), lubridate (Version 1.9.4; 142 Grolemund & Wickham, 2011), mirt (Version 1.44.0; Chalmers, 2012, 2016), mirtCAT 143 (Version 1.14; Chalmers, 2016), Multilada (Version 0.7.0; Krajewski, 2025), papaja (Version 0.1.3; Aust & Barth, 2024), purr (Version 1.0.4; Wickham & Henry, 2025), readr (Version 145 2.1.5; Wickham, Hester, & Bryan, 2024), shiny (Version 1.10.0; Chang et al., 2024), stringr 146 (Version 1.5.1; Wickham, 2023b), tibble (Version 3.3.0; Müller & Wickham, 2025), tidyr (Version 1.3.1; Wickham, Vaughan, & Girlich, 2024), tidyverse (Version 2.0.0; Wickham et 148 al., 2019), tinylabels (Version 0.2.5; Barth, 2023), viridis (Garnier et al., 2023; Version 149 0.6.5; Garnier et al., 2024) and viridisLite (Version 0.4.2; Garnier et al., 2023) 150