

Albert 1.1.2: Open Quantum Systems

Thursday, August 22, 2019 03:08 PM

(also uses Caves 17-20: Superoperators & Completely Positive Maps)

Closed quantum systems: dynamics generated by Hamiltonian. Open quantum systems: dynamics derived from general Hamiltonian EOM, given by Liouville-von Neumann equation:

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}_{SE}}{dt} = -i [\hat{H}_{SE}, \hat{\rho}_{SE}]$$

Solution: $\hat{\rho}_{SE}(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} \hat{\rho}_{SE}(0) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t}$. Reduced DM:

$$\hat{\rho}_S(t) = \text{Tr}_E \{ \hat{\rho}_{SE}(t) \} = \text{Tr}_E \{ e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} \hat{\rho}_{SE}(t=0) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} \} = \sum_e (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes \langle e |_E) e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} \hat{\rho}_{SE}(t=0) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |e\rangle_E).$$

Assumption: initial state factorises. $\hat{\rho}_{SE}(t=0) = \hat{\rho}_{SE}(0) = \hat{\rho}_{in,S} \otimes |e=0\rangle\langle e=0|_E = \hat{\rho}_{in,S} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_E$. Then, $\hat{\rho}_S(t)$ is:

$$\hat{\rho}_S(t) = \sum_e (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes \langle e |_E) e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} \hat{\rho}_{SE}(0) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |e\rangle_E) = \sum_e (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes \langle e |_E) e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\hat{\rho}_{in,S} \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0|_E) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |e\rangle_E)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_S(t) = \sum_e (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes \langle e |_E) e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |0\rangle_E) (\hat{\rho}_{in,S} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E) (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes \langle 0 |_E) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |e\rangle_E)$$

Write $(\mathbb{1}_S \otimes \langle a |_E) f(\hat{A}_{SE}) (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |b\rangle_E)$ as $(a | f(\hat{A}_{SE}) | b)_E$ and $\hat{\rho}_{in,S} \otimes \mathbb{1}_E$ as $\hat{\rho}_{in,S}$ to get:

$$\hat{\rho}_S(t) = \sum_e (a | e | -i\hat{H}_{SE}t | 0 \rangle_E \langle 0 | e | b)_E = \sum_e \hat{F}^e(t) \hat{\rho}_{in,S} \hat{F}^{e\dagger}(t)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_s(t) = \sum_e^1 \langle e | e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} | \emptyset \rangle_E \hat{\rho}_{in,s} (\emptyset | e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} | e \rangle_E = \sum_e^1 \hat{E}^e(t) \hat{\rho}_{in,s} \hat{E}^{e\dagger}(t).$$

$\hat{E}^e(t) := \langle e | e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} | \emptyset \rangle_E$ are Kraus operators.

- One operator for each $|e\rangle_E$.
- Time-dependent.
- $\sum_e \hat{E}^{e\dagger} \hat{E}^e = \mathbb{I}_S$. (Proven below.)
- Operate exclusively on \mathcal{H}_S ; i.e. $\{\hat{E}^e(t)\}$ map $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_S)$; with $\{\hat{E}^e(t)\} : \hat{\rho}_{in,s} \mapsto \hat{\rho}_s(t)$.
- Recall discussion from Gould paper: these are actually processes, not maps, since they change as we change $\{|e\rangle_E\}$.
 - * Maps, conversely, are fixed.
- Recall discussion from Gould paper: Griffiths' & Harrow's lecture notes have $\hat{A}_e : \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_E$ and $\hat{A}_e^\dagger : \mathcal{H}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$, which makes sense from an operator perspective. This is technically correct, but Gould & Albert use the Kraus operators differently. Specifically, Gould uses $\hat{\rho}_E(t) = \text{Tr}_S \{ \hat{U} (\hat{\rho}_{in,s} \otimes \hat{\rho}_{in,E}) \hat{U}^\dagger \}$ as a machine to get $\hat{\rho}_E(t)$ from $\hat{\rho}_{in,s} \otimes \hat{\rho}_{in,E}$; so for him we have $\hat{A}_e, \hat{A}_e^\dagger : \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_E$. Similarly, Albert uses $\hat{\rho}_s(t) = \sum_e \hat{E}^e(t) \hat{\rho}_{in,s} \hat{E}^{e\dagger}(t)$ as a machine to get $\hat{\rho}_s(t)$ from $\hat{\rho}_{in,s}$. Since the use is different, the type of mapping is different too.
- Also called Kraus maps, quantum channels, & completely positive trace preserving (CPTP) maps. Properties:
 - * Completely positive: $\hat{\rho}_s(t) \geq \mathbb{0}$ for all t . (Preserves positivity.)
 - * Preserves positivity when acting on a larger system: $\hat{\rho}_s(t) \otimes \hat{\rho}_A(t) \geq \mathbb{0}$ for all t .
 - * Trace preserving: $\text{Tr} \{ \hat{\rho}_s(t) \} = \text{Tr}_S \{ \hat{\rho}(t) \} = 1$ for all t .

Unitarity of $e^{-i\hat{H}_S t}$ and completeness of $\{|e\rangle_E\}$ give $\sum |e\rangle_E \hat{E}^e + \hat{E}^e = 1_S$:

$$\sum_e \hat{E}^{e\dagger} \hat{E}^e = \sum_e (\langle \emptyset | e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} | e \rangle_E \langle e | e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} | \emptyset \rangle_E) = \sum_e (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes (\langle \emptyset |_E) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |e\rangle_E) (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes \langle e|_E) e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |\emptyset\rangle_E))$$

$$\sum_c \hat{E}^c \hat{E}^c = (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |\psi\rangle_E) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} \sum_c (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |c\rangle_E) (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |c\rangle_E) e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |\psi\rangle_E) = (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |\psi\rangle_E) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes \mathbb{1}_E) e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_S \otimes |\psi\rangle_E)$$

$$\sum_e \hat{E}^{e+} \hat{E}^e = (\mathbb{1}_s \otimes (\emptyset |)_E) e^{i\hat{H}_{SE}t} e^{-i\hat{H}_{SE}t} (\mathbb{1}_s \otimes |\emptyset\rangle_E) = (\mathbb{1}_s \otimes (\emptyset |)_E) \mathbb{1}_{SE} (\mathbb{1}_s \otimes |\emptyset\rangle_E) = \mathbb{1}_s \otimes (\emptyset | \emptyset\rangle_E) = \mathbb{1}_s \otimes 1 = \mathbb{1}_s.$$

Stinespring's dilation theorem: examine a superoperator $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{O}_p(\mathcal{H}_A))$ applied to $\hat{\rho} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ with a Kraus decomposition given by:

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}[\hat{\rho}] = \sum_e \hat{E}^e \hat{\rho} \hat{E}^{e\dagger}$$

We can expand \hat{A} to a larger space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ using a pure initial state $|s\rangle\langle s| \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ over \mathcal{H}_B and a joint unitary operator $\hat{U} \in \mathcal{O}_p(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{A}}[\hat{\rho}] = \text{Tr}_B \left\{ \hat{U}(\hat{\rho} \otimes |s\rangle\langle s|) \hat{U}^\dagger \right\} = \sum_b (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \langle b|_B) \hat{U}(\hat{\rho}_A \otimes |s\rangle\langle s|_B) \hat{U}^\dagger (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes |b\rangle_B)$$

Proof: as Kraus representation theorem in Caves.

→ For a set $\{\hat{A}_\alpha\}$ of superoperators, have set of projection operators $\hat{P}_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_p(\mathcal{H}_B)$. Now, Kraus representation theorem reads :

$$\hat{A}[\hat{\rho}] = \text{Tr}_B \{ \hat{P}_\alpha \hat{U} (\hat{\rho} \otimes |s\rangle\langle s|) \hat{U}^+ \} = \sum_b (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \langle b|_B) (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \hat{P}_{\alpha_B}) \hat{U} (\hat{\rho}_A \otimes |s\rangle\langle s|_B) \hat{U}^+ (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes |b\rangle_B)$$